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Abstract. In this paper we consider the nonlinear difference equation with several delays

\[(ax_{n+1} + bx_n)^k - (cx_n)^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n)x_{n-\sigma_i}^k = 0\]

where \(a, b, c \in (0, \infty)\), \(k = q/r, q, r\) are positive odd integers, \(m, \sigma_i\) are positive integers, \(\{p_i(n)\}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m\) is a real sequence with \(p_i(n) \geq 0\) for all large \(n\), and \(\liminf_{n \to \infty} p_i(n) = p_i < \infty, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m\). Some sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of the above equation are obtained.
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$p_i < \infty$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. It is easy to see that if $c < b$ then (1) cannot have an eventually positive solution. The corresponding “limiting” equation of (1) is

$$ (ax_{n+1} + bx_n)^k - (cx_n)^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i x_{n-\sigma_i}^k = 0 $$

with the characteristic equation

$$ (a\lambda + b)^k - c^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \lambda^{-k\sigma_i} = 0. $$

For the special case where $k = a = 1$, $c = b + 1$, equation (1) reduces to the linear difference equation

$$ x_{n+1} - x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n)x_{n-\sigma_i} = 0. $$

There have been a lot of activities concerning the oscillation of solutions of linear difference equations. But there have been few results for the oscillation of solutions of the nonlinear equation (1). Under the condition that $0 < \frac{c-b}{a} \leq 1$, a sufficient condition of nonexistence of eventually positive solutions for (1) was obtained in [5], [6]. In this paper we obtain several new sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions of (1) by removing the condition $\frac{c-b}{a} \leq 1$. A sufficient and necessary condition for oscillation of all solutions of (2) is obtained as well.

A solution $\{x_n\}$ of equation (1) is said to oscillate about zero or simply to oscillate if the terms $x_n$ of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ are neither eventually all positive nor eventually all negative. Otherwise, the solution is called nonoscillatory.

2. Main results

**Lemma 1** [3]. If $x, y$ are positive numbers and $x \neq y$, then

$$ rx^{r-1}(x - y) \geq x^r - y^r \geq ry^{r-1}(x - y) \quad \text{for} \quad r \geq 1. $$

**Theorem 1.** If (3) has no positive roots, then every solution of (1) oscillates.

**Proof.** By way of contradiction, assume that $\{x_n\}$ is an eventually positive solution of (1). By (1) we have

$$ \left( a \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} + b \right)^k - c^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n) \left( \frac{x_{n-\sigma_i}}{x_n} \right)^k = 0. $$
For sufficiently large $n$, set $\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = \beta_n$. Then eventually

$$0 < \beta_n \leq \frac{c - b}{a},$$

and (4) yields

(5) $$(a\beta_n + b)^k - c^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{\sigma_i} \beta_{n-j}^{-1} \right)^k = 0.$$

Set

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \beta.$$

It follows from (5) that $0 < \beta \leq c - \frac{b}{a}$. We also claim that

$$(a\beta + b)^k - c^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i\beta^{-k\sigma_i} \leq 0.$$

Indeed, by virtue of $\liminf_{n \to \infty} p_i(n) = p_i < \infty$, for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists an $n_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$p_i(n) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)p_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \quad \text{and} \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$(a\beta_n + b)^k - c^k + (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \left( \prod_{j=1}^{\sigma_i} \beta_{n-j}^{-1} \right)^k \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon.$$

Let $N_\varepsilon > n_\varepsilon$ be such that

$$\beta_n < (1 + \varepsilon)\beta \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq N_\varepsilon.$$

Then

$$(a\beta_n + b)^k - c^k + (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i (1 + \varepsilon)^{-k\sigma_i} \beta^{-k\sigma_i} \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq N_\varepsilon + \sigma_i,$$

i.e.,

$$(a\beta_n + b)^k \leq c^k - (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i (1 + \varepsilon)^{-k\sigma_i} \beta^{-k\sigma_i},$$

which implies

$$(a\beta + b)^k \leq c^k - (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i (1 + \varepsilon)^{-k\sigma_i} \beta^{-k\sigma_i}.$$
As this is true for every \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \), it follows that

\[
(a\beta + b)^k \leq c^k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \beta^{-k\sigma_i},
\]

which proves our claim. Set

\[
F(\lambda) = (a\lambda + b)^k - c^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \lambda^{-k\sigma_i}.
\]

Then \( F(0+) = +\infty \) and \( F(\beta) \leq 0 \). It follows that (3) has a positive root. This contradiction completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Corollary 1.** Every solution of (2) oscillates if and only if (3) has no positive roots.

**Proof.** Sufficiency can be directly derived from Theorem 1. So it suffices to prove Necessity. Suppose that (3) has a positive root \( \lambda \).

Let

\[ x_n = \lambda^n, \ n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

Then we have

\[
(ax_{n+1} + bx_n)^k - (cx_n)^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i x_{n-\sigma_i} = \lambda^{kn} \left[ (a\lambda + b)^k - c^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \lambda^{-k\sigma_i} \right] = 0.
\]

Hence, \( \{x_n\} \) is an eventually positive solution of (2). This contradiction completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.** Assume that \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} p_i(n) = p_i < \infty, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ m, \ k > 1 \), and that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{p_i a^{k\sigma_i}(k\sigma_i + 1)^{k\sigma_i+1}}{k c^{k-1}(c-b)^{k\sigma_i+1}(k\sigma_i)^{k\sigma_i}} > 1.
\]

Then every solution of (1) oscillates.

**Proof.** Let

\[
F(\lambda) = (a\lambda + b)^k - c^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \lambda^{-k\sigma_i}.
\]

Then

\[
F(\lambda) > 0 \ \text{for} \ \lambda \geq \frac{c-b}{a}.
\]
For \( \lambda < \frac{c-b}{a} \), by Lemma 1, we have

\[
F(\lambda) \geq \{ c^k - (a\lambda + b)^k \} \left\{ -1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{p_i \lambda^{-k\sigma_i}}{k c^{k-1}(c - a\lambda - b)} \right\}.
\]

Since

\[
\min_{0 < \lambda < \frac{c-b}{a}} \left\{ \frac{\lambda^{-k\sigma_i}}{k c^{k-1}(c - a\lambda - b)} \right\} = \frac{a^{k\sigma_i}(k\sigma_i + 1)^{k\sigma_i+1}}{k c^{k-1}(c - b)^{k\sigma_i+1}(k\sigma_i)^{k\sigma_i}},
\]

by the condition of Corollary 2 we get

\[
F(\lambda) \geq \{ c^k - (a\lambda + b)^k \} \left\{ -1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{p_i a^{k\sigma_i}(k\sigma_i + 1)^{k\sigma_i+1}}{k c^{k-1}(c - b)^{k\sigma_i+1}(k\sigma_i)^{k\sigma_i}} \right\} > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda < \frac{c-b}{a}.
\]

By Theorem 1, every solution of (1) oscillates. The proof is completed. □

Define a sequence \( \{ \lambda_l \} \) by

\[
\lambda_1 = \frac{c-b}{a}, \quad \lambda_{l+1} = \frac{1}{a} \left[ \left( c^k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \lambda_l^{-k\sigma_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} - b \right], \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that \( \{ \lambda_l \} \) is defined by (8). Then \( 0 < \lambda_* \leq \lambda_l \leq \frac{c-b}{a} \) and \( \lim_{l \to \infty} \lambda_l = \lambda_* \), where \( \lambda_* \) is the largest root of equation (3) on \( (0, \frac{c-b}{a}) \).

**Proof.** First, we prove the sequence \( \{ \lambda_l \} \) is nonincreasing. Since

\[
\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{a} \left[ \left( c^k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \lambda_1^{-k\sigma_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} - b \right] < \frac{c-b}{a} = \lambda_1,
\]

hence, by induction, supposing that \( \lambda_l \leq \lambda_{l-1} \), we have

\[
\lambda_{l+1} = \frac{1}{a} \left[ \left( c^k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \lambda_l^{-k\sigma_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} - b \right] \leq \frac{1}{a} \left[ \left( c^k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \lambda_{l-1}^{-k\sigma_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} - b \right] = \lambda_l.
\]

Hence, the sequence \( \{ \lambda_l \} \) is nonincreasing. From (3) it is obvious that \( \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_* \), and by induction

\[
\lambda_{l+1} = \frac{1}{a} \left[ \left( c^k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \lambda_l^{-k\sigma_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} - b \right] \geq \frac{1}{a} \left[ \left( c^k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i \lambda_*^{-k\sigma_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} - b \right] = \lambda_*.
\]

Hence, \( \{ \lambda_l \} \) is nonincreasing and bounded. Therefore, \( \lim_{l \to \infty} \lambda_l \) exists. Letting \( l \to \infty \) in (8) and noting that \( \lambda_* \) is the largest root of (3), we conclude \( \lim_{l \to \infty} \lambda_l = \lambda_* \). The proof is completed. □
Theorem 2. Assume that (3) has positive roots, $\lambda_*$ is the largest root of (3) and

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\{ a \left( \frac{1}{c^k - b^k} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n+1)\lambda_*^{k(1-\sigma_i)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n)\lambda_*^{-k\sigma_i} \right\} > c^k.
$$

Then every solution of (1) oscillates.

Proof. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is an eventually positive solution of (1). Then there exists $n_1 > 0$ such that $x_n > 0$ and $x_{n-\sigma_i} > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, for $n \geq n_1$. From (1) we have

$$
(ax_{n+1} + bx_n)^k - (cx_n)^k = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n)x_{n-\sigma_i}^k \leq 0,
$$
i.e.,

$$
(ax_{n+1} + bx_n)^k \leq (cx_n)^k.
$$

Since $k = q/r$, $q, r$ are positive odd integers, we have

$$
x_{n+1} \leq \theta x_n \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq n_1,
$$

where $\theta = \frac{c-b}{a}$. By virtue of $\liminf_{n \to \infty} p_i(n) = p_i < \infty$, for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists an $n_\varepsilon > n_1$ such that

$$
p_i(n) \geq (1-\varepsilon)p_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \quad \text{and} \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon.
$$

Define a sequence $\{\mu_l(\varepsilon)\}$ by

$$
\mu_1(\varepsilon) = \theta, \quad \mu_{l+1}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{a} \left\{ \left[ c^k - (1-\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\mu_l(\varepsilon))^{-k\sigma_i} \right]^{\frac{1}{k}} - b \right\}, \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots.
$$

From (10) we get

$$
x_{n-\sigma_i}^k \geq (\mu_1(\varepsilon))^{-k\sigma_i} x_n^k \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon + \sigma_i.
$$

From (1), (11), and (12) we have

$$
(ax_{n+1} + bx_n)^k \leq (cx_n)^k - (1-\varepsilon)\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\mu_1(\varepsilon))^{-k\sigma_i} x_n^k,
$$
i.e.,

$$
x_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{a} \left\{ \left[ c^k - (1-\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\mu_1(\varepsilon))^{-k\sigma_i} \right]^{\frac{1}{k}} - b \right\} x_n.
$$
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That is
\[ x_{n+1} \leq \mu_2(\varepsilon)x_n, \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon + \sigma_i, \]
which gives
\[ x_n \leq \mu_2(\varepsilon)x_{n-1} \leq (\mu_2(\varepsilon))^2x_{n-2} \leq \ldots \leq (\mu_2(\varepsilon))^{\sigma_i}x_{n-\sigma_i}, \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon + 2\sigma_i, \]
i.e.,
\[ x_{n-\sigma_i} \geq (\mu_2(\varepsilon))^{-\sigma_i}x_n. \]
Repeating the above process, we obtain
\[ x_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{a}\left\{\left[\frac{c^k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\mu_{i-1}(\varepsilon))^{-k\sigma_i}}{m}\right]^\frac{1}{k} - b\right\}x_n, \]
i.e.,
\[ x_{n+1} \leq \mu_l(\varepsilon)x_n, \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon + (l - 1)\sigma_i, \]
(13)
Since \( \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mu_l(\varepsilon) = \lambda_l \) and \( \lim_{l \to \infty} \lambda_l = \lambda_* \), for a sequence \( \{\varepsilon_l\} \) with \( \varepsilon_l > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon_l \to 0 \) as \( l \to \infty \), by (13) there exists a sequence \( \{n_l\} \) such that \( n_l \to \infty \) as \( l \to \infty \) and
\[ x_{n+1} \leq (\lambda_* + \varepsilon_l)x_n, \quad n > n_l, \]
and
\[ x_{n-\sigma_i} \geq (\lambda_* + \varepsilon_l)^{-\sigma_i}x_n, \quad n > n_l + \sigma_i, \]
(14)
(15)
On the other hand, from (1) we have
\[ (b^k - c^k)x_n^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n)x_{n-\sigma_i}^k \leq 0, \]
i.e.,
\[ (c^k - b^k)x_n^k \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n)x_{n-\sigma_i}^k, \]
(16)
From (15) and (16) we obtain
\[ (c^k - b^k)x_n^k \geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n)(\lambda_* + \varepsilon_l)^{k(1-\sigma_i)}x_{n-1}^k, \]
i.e.,

\[
\frac{x_n}{x_{n-1}} \geq \left[ \frac{1}{c^k - b^k} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n) (\lambda_* + \varepsilon_l)^{k(1-\sigma_i)} \right]^{1/k}, \quad n > n_l + \sigma_i.
\]

From (1) we have

\[
c^k = \left( \frac{ax_{n+1} + bx_n}{x_n} \right)^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n) \frac{x_n^{k-\sigma_i}}{x_n^k}.
\]

From (15), (17) and (18) we obtain

\[
c^k \geq \left\{ a \left( \frac{1}{c^k - b^k} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n) (\lambda_* + \varepsilon_l)^{k(1-\sigma_i)} \right)^{1/k} + b \right\}^k
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n) (\lambda_*)^{-k\sigma_i} \right\}.
\]

Let \( l \to \infty \), then (19) implies

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\{ a \left( \frac{1}{c^k - b^k} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n) (\lambda_*)^{k(1-\sigma_i)} \right)^{1/k} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n) (\lambda_*)^{-k\sigma_i} \right\} \leq c^k,
\]

which contradicts (9) and completes the proof.

Remarks 1. Theorems 1 and 2 extend the results on linear difference equations in [2], [9].

Example 1. Consider equation (1). Let

\[
p_1(0) = \frac{4}{3}, \quad p_1(1) = \frac{3}{4}, \quad p_1(n+2) = p_1(n) \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

\[
p_2(0) = \frac{3}{4}, \quad p_2(1) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad p_2(n+2) = p_2(n) \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

\[
k = 3, \quad a = 1, \quad b = 1, \quad c = 2, \quad \sigma_1 = 1, \quad \sigma_2 = 2, \quad m = 2.
\]

Then

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} p_1(n) = \frac{3}{4}, \quad \liminf_{n \to \infty} p_2(n) = \frac{1}{2},
\]

and

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{p_i a^{k\sigma_i} (k\sigma_i + 1)^{k\sigma_i+1}}{kc^{k-1}(c-b)^{k\sigma_i+1}(k\sigma_i)^{k\sigma_i}} = 1.32 \ldots > 1.
\]

Thus according to Corollary 2, every solution of (1) oscillates.
Example 2. Consider equation (1). Let

\[ p_1(0) = 1, \quad p_1(1) = 7, \quad p_1(n + 2) = p_1(n) \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \]

\[ k = 3, \quad a = 1, \quad b = 1, \quad c = 2, \quad \sigma_1 = 1, \quad m = 1. \]

Then

\[ \liminf_{n \to \infty} p_1(n) = 1. \]

Hence, the characteristic equation (3) has the largest root \( \lambda_* = 0.8586 \). Therefore,

\[ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \left[ a \left( \frac{1}{c^k - b^k} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n+1) \lambda_*^{k(1-\sigma_i)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} + b \right]^k + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(n) \lambda_*^{-k\sigma_i} \right\} = 9.5799 > 8. \]

Thus according to Theorem 2, every solution of (1) oscillates.
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