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#### Abstract

The paper concludes our investigations in looking for the locally best linearquadratic estimators of mean value parameters and of the covariance matrix elements in a special structure of the linear model ( 2 variables case) where the dispersions of the observed quantities depend on the mean value parameters. Unfortunately there exists no linearquadratic improvement of the linear estimator of mean value parameters in this model.
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## 1. Introduction

In the case of measuring a linear dependence ( 2 variables case) with a measuring device whose dispersion characteristic is linear-quadratically dependent on the actual measured value we obtain the model

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X} \beta, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{Y}_{n, 1}$ is considered to be a normally distributed random vector. Its realization $\mathbf{y}_{n, 1}$ is the result of the measurement. The mean value is $\mathscr{E}(\mathbf{Y})=\mathbf{X}_{n, 2} \beta_{2,1}$, where

$$
\mathbf{X}_{n, 2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{X}_{1} \\
\mathbf{X}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{X}_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

[^0]with $\mathbf{X}_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & t_{i} \\ 1 & t_{i} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & t_{i}\end{array}\right)$ of order $n_{i} \times 2, n_{i} \geqslant 1, i=1,2, \ldots, k, k \geqslant 3, n=\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}$, $t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots<t_{k}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ (two dimensional Euclidean space).

The covariance matrix of the vector $\mathbf{Y}$ is

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\sigma^{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\beta)=\sigma^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\left(a+b\left|\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \beta\right|\right)^{2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \left(a+b\left|\mathbf{e}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \beta\right|\right)^{2} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & \left(a+b\left|\mathbf{e}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \beta\right|\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a, b$ and $\sigma^{2}$ are known positive constants (the characteristics of the measuring device, for more details see e.g. [3] p. 456, 914), $\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}$ is the transpose of the $i$-th unit vector.

The paper is based on results obtained in [4], [5], [6], [7] and [8]. In [4], Lemma 3.1 a necessary and sufficient condition for the statistic $\mathbf{p}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}$ to be the UBLUE (uniformly best linear estimator, see e.g. [1]) of its mean value was shown. According to this condition it is stated in Section 2 that in model (1.1) the UBLUE of the parametric function (linear functional) $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ does not exist (it exists only for $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{0}_{2,1}$ ). That is why (according to further considerations in [4]) only the $\beta_{0}$-LBLUE (locally best linear unbiased estimator, see e.g. [1]) exists. Our effort is to find the $\beta_{0}$-LBLQUE (locally best linear-quadratic unbiased estimator, see e.g. [6], [7]) in model (1.1). In Section 3 it is shown that our effort ended unsuccessfully in the sense that in model (1.1) exists no locally best linear-quadratic unbiased estimator as an improvement of the $\beta_{0}$-LBLUE of $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$. This is also the goal of this paper.

We want only to remark for completeness that the $\beta_{0}$-LBLQUE of the covariance matrix elements in model (1.1) (in the case of no or one independently repeated observation) can be found in [8] together with its asymptotic behaviour (some results are stated also in [5]).

## 2. The UBLUE of $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ IN model (1.1)

According to Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 in [4] the statistic $\mathbf{p}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}$ is the UBLUE of its mean value in model (1.1) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left\{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\} \quad\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X X}^{-}\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\beta) \mathbf{p}=\mathbf{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an arbitrary but fixed g-inverse $\mathbf{X}^{-}$(see e.g. [2]). In this case $\mathbf{p} \in \mu(\mathbf{X})=$ $\left\{\mathbf{X u}: \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\}$.

Let the matrix $\mathbf{C}_{1}$ (of order $2 \times n_{1}$ ) be

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\frac{1}{t_{1}-t_{2}} & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

while the matrix $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ (of order $2 \times n_{2}$ ) is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{t_{1}-t_{2}} & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It can be easily shown that the matrix $\mathbf{X}^{-}=\left(\mathbf{C}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{O}\right)$ (of order $2 \times n$ ) is a g-inverse of the matrix $\mathbf{X}$. So the matrix $\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X X}^{-}($of order $n \times n)$ is

$$
\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X X}^{-}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{D}_{1,1} & \mathbf{D}_{1,2} & \ldots & \mathbf{D}_{1, k} \\
\mathbf{D}_{2,1} & \mathbf{D}_{2,2} & \ldots & \mathbf{D}_{2, k} \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \\
\mathbf{D}_{k, 1} & \mathbf{D}_{k, 2} & \ldots & \mathbf{D}_{k, k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the matrices $\mathbf{D}_{i, j} i=1,2, \ldots, k, j=1,2, \ldots, k$ are of orders $n_{i} \times n_{j}$, respectively. Here

$$
\mathbf{D}_{1,1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

is of order $n_{1} \times n_{1}$,

$$
\mathbf{D}_{2,2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

is of order $n_{2} \times n_{2}$,

$$
\mathbf{D}_{i, 1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{t_{2}-t_{i}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\frac{t_{2}-t_{i}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \\
\frac{t_{2}-t_{i}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

is of order $n_{i} \times n_{1}, i=3,4, \ldots, k$,

$$
\mathbf{D}_{i, 2}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{t_{i}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\frac{t_{i}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & & & \\
\frac{t_{i}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

is of order $n_{i} \times n_{2}, i=3,4, \ldots, k$,

$$
\mathbf{D}_{i, i}=\mathbf{I}
$$

is the unit matrix of order $n_{i} \times n_{i}, i=3,4, \ldots, k$ and the other $\mathbf{D}_{i, j}$ are equal to $\mathbf{O}$ of proper orders. As $\mathbf{p}$ in (2.1) belongs to $\mu(\mathbf{X})$, we can write it as $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{X w}$, where $\mathbf{w}=\binom{w_{1}}{w_{2}}$.

So finally we have

$$
\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X X}^{-}\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\beta) \mathbf{p}=\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{-}\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\beta) \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{g}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{g}_{1}  \tag{2.2}\\
\mathbf{g}_{2} \\
\mathbf{g}_{3} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{g}_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{g}$ is of order $n \times 1, \mathbf{g}_{i}=\mathbf{0}$ is of order $n_{i} \times 1, i=1,2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{g}_{j}= & \mathbf{1}_{j} \otimes\left[\left(a+b\left|\beta_{1}+t_{1} \beta_{2}\right|\right)^{2} \frac{t_{2}-t_{j}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}\left(w_{1}+t_{1} w_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(a+b\left|\beta_{1}+t_{2} \beta_{2}\right|\right)^{2} \frac{t_{j}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}\left(w_{1}+t_{2} w_{2}\right)+\left(a+b\left|\beta_{1}+t_{j} \beta_{2}\right|\right)^{2}\left(w_{1}+t_{j} w_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \text { with } \mathbf{1}_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{array}\right) \text { of order } n_{j} \times 1, j=3,4, \ldots, k .
\end{aligned}
$$

By $\otimes$ we denote the Kronecker product (see e.g. [2], p. 11).
According to (2.1) we are looking for a statistic $\mathbf{p}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}$ to be the UBLUE of its mean value, i.e. we are searching for such a vector $\mathbf{w}$ that $\mathbf{g}$ in (2.2) is equal to $\mathbf{O}$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. As $k \geqslant 3$, let us take the first element of the vector $\mathbf{g}_{3}$. (2.1) implies that for all $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a+b\left|\beta_{1}+t_{1} \beta_{2}\right|\right)^{2} \frac{t_{2}-t_{3}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}\left(w_{1}+t_{1} w_{2}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
+ & \left(a+b\left|\beta_{1}+t_{2} \beta_{2}\right|\right)^{2} \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}\left(w_{1}+t_{2} w_{2}\right)+\left(a+b\left|\beta_{1}+t_{3} \beta_{2}\right|\right)^{2}\left(w_{1}+t_{3} w_{2}\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\beta_{1}^{(1)}=\frac{t_{3}}{t_{3}-t_{1}}, \beta_{2}^{(1)}=\frac{-1}{t_{3}-t_{1}}$. So (2.3) is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[(a+b)^{2} \frac{t_{2}-t_{3}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}+\left(a+b \frac{t_{3}-t_{2}}{t_{3}-t_{1}}\right)^{2} \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}+a^{2}\right] w_{1}}  \tag{2.4}\\
& \quad+\left[(a+b)^{2} \frac{t_{2}-t_{3}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} t_{1}+\left(a+b \frac{t_{3}-t_{2}}{t_{3}-t_{1}}\right)^{2} \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} t_{2}+a^{2} t_{3}\right] w_{2}=0
\end{align*}
$$

For another choice of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}: \beta_{1}^{(2)}=\frac{t_{3}}{t_{3}-t_{2}}, \beta_{2}^{(2)}=\frac{-1}{t_{3}-t_{2}},(2.3)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(a+b \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{3}-t_{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{t_{3}-t_{2}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}+(a+b)^{2} \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}+a^{2}\right] w_{1}}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \quad+\left[\left(a+b \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{3}-t_{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{t_{2}-t_{3}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} t_{1}+(a+b)^{2} \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}+a^{2} t_{3}\right] w_{2}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Because of

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[(a+b)^{2} \frac{t_{2}-t_{3}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}\right.} & \left.+\left(a+b \frac{t_{3}-t_{2}}{t_{3}-t_{1}}\right)^{2} \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}+a^{2}\right] \\
& \times\left[\left(a+b \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{3}-t_{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{t_{2}-t_{3}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} t_{1}+(a+b)^{2} \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}+a^{2} t_{3}\right] \\
& -\left[(a+b)^{2} \frac{t_{2}-t_{3}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} t_{1}+\left(a+b \frac{t_{3}-t_{2}}{t_{3}-t_{1}}\right)^{2} \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}} t_{2}+a^{2} t_{3}\right] \\
& \times\left[\left(a+b \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{3}-t_{2}}\right)^{2} \frac{t_{3}-t_{2}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}+(a+b)^{2} \frac{t_{3}-t_{1}}{t_{1}-t_{2}}+a^{2}\right] \\
= & 2 a b^{3}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(which can be obtained after a straightforward calculation), equations (2.4) and (2.5) imply $w_{1}=w_{2}=O$.

So $\mathbf{p}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}$ (with $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{X w}$ ) is the UBLUE of its mean value if and only if $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{O}$. The only linear functional $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ having the UBLUE in model (1.1) is equal to 0 for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. There exists no UBLUE of a (nonzero) linear functional $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ in model (1.1). That is why we can only look for the $\beta_{0}$-LBLUE of the functional $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$. According to Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.5 in [4] the $\beta_{0}$-LBLUE of $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta\left(\mathbf{f} \in \mu\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{f}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \mathbf{X}\right)^{-} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \mathbf{Y} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \mathbf{X}\right)^{-}$is an arbitrary but fixed $g$-inverse of the matrix $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\left(\beta_{0}\right) \mathbf{X}$. We only note that the $\beta_{0}$-LBLUE of $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ exists if and only if $\mathbf{f} \in \mu\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$ and is unique.
3. The $\beta_{0}$-LBLQUE of $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ in model (1.1)

Based on Lemma 1.8 in [7] we will show that in model (1.1) the $\beta_{0}$-LBLQUE as an improvement of the $\beta_{0}$-LBLUE of any $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ does not exist. Also we have

$$
\left\{\mathbf{f}: \exists \beta_{\circ}-\text { LBLQUE for } \mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta\right\}=\left\{\mathbf{f}: \exists \beta_{\circ}-\text { LBLUE for } \mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta\right\}=\mu\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Let us denote by $\mathscr{D}$ the class of matrices $\mathbf{D}_{n, n}$ satisfying the following three conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\forall\left\{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\} \quad \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{D}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\left|\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \beta\right| & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \left|\mathbf{e}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \beta\right| & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \\
0 & \ldots & & \left|\mathbf{e}_{n}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \beta\right|
\end{array}\right)=0  \tag{3.1}\\
\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{D}=0  \tag{3.2}\\
 \tag{3.3}\\
\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{D}+\sigma^{2} b^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{e}_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{O}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\left(\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{D}\right.$ is the trace of $\mathbf{D}$ i.e. $\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{e}_{i}.\right)$
Let $\mathbf{D} \in \mathscr{D}$ have the $(i, j)$-th element $d_{i, j}, i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$. From (3.1) it follows that for all $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} d_{i, i}\left|\beta_{1}+t_{1} \beta_{2}\right|+\sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{n_{1}+n_{2}} d_{i, i}\left|\beta_{1}+t_{2} \beta_{2}\right|+\ldots+\sum_{i=n_{1}+\ldots+n_{k}-1}^{n_{1}+\ldots+n_{k}} d_{i, i}\left|\beta_{1}+t_{k} \beta_{2}\right|=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} d_{i, i}=d_{1}, \sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{n_{1}+n_{2}} d_{i, i}=d_{2}, \ldots, \sum_{i=n_{1}+\ldots+n_{k}-1}^{n_{1}+\ldots+n_{k}} d_{i, i}=d_{k}
$$

then following the same way as in [8], Lemma 9.1, we obtain that condition (3.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}=d_{2}=\ldots=d_{k}=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So (3.2) follows from (3.1). Taking it into account, for all $\mathbf{D} \in \mathscr{D}$ from (3.3) we obtain

$$
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{X}=-\sigma^{2} b^{2} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{e}_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}=-\sigma^{2} b^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i} & \sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i} d_{i} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i} d_{i} & \sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}^{2} d_{i}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{O}
$$

Now we apply Lemma 1.8 in [7] and obtain the desired result

$$
\left\{\mathbf{f}: \exists \beta_{\circ}-\operatorname{LBLQUE} \text { for } \mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta\right\}=\mu\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)
$$

i.e. the class of linear functionals $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ having the $\beta_{0}$-LBLUE is the same as the class of linear functionals having the $\beta_{0}$-LBLUQE. Further the $\beta_{0}$-LBLUQE is the same as the $\beta_{0}$-LBLUE of $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ for all $\mathbf{f} \in \mu\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$.

## Final conclusions for the model (1.1).

(i) The uniformly best linear unbiased estimator (UBLUE) of any nonzero linear functional $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ does not exist.
(ii) The $\beta_{0}$-locally best linear unbiased estimator $\left(\beta_{0}\right.$-LBLUE) of $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ exists if and only if $\mathbf{f} \in \mu\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$, it is unique and of the form (2.6).
(iii) The $\beta_{0}$-locally best linear-quadratic unbiased estimator ( $\beta_{0}$-LBLQUE) of $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ exists for all $\mathbf{f} \in \mu\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)$ and is the same as the $\beta_{0}$-LBLUE of $\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta$ (i.e there exists no quadratic improvement of the $\beta_{0}$-LBLUE of any $\left.\mathbf{f}^{\prime} \beta, \mathbf{f} \in \mu\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
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