Mária Pastorová The absolute continuity of functions defined on the σ -ring generated by a ring

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 28 (1978), No. 3, 227--233

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136178

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

VOLUME 28

1978

NUMBER 3

THE ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY OF FUNCTIONS DEFINED ON THE σ -RING GENERATED BY A RING

MÁRIA PASTOROVÁ

In the measure theory the following theorem is known: "Let μ , v be two measures on the σ -ring \mathscr{S} generated by a ring \mathscr{R} and let μ be finite and v be σ -finite. Let μ_1 and v_1 be measures on \mathscr{R} such that $\mu_1 = \mu/\mathscr{R}$, $v_1 = v/\mathscr{R}$. Then $\mu \ll v$ if and only if $\mu_1(\ll)v_1$ " [1].

The aim of this paper is to generalize the mentioned theorem for vector measures, signed measures and subadditive measures. A common formulation of all the cases considered will be given in terms of small systems [2], [3]. We shall show further this theorem to be valid even if the ring \mathcal{R} is replaced by the semi-ring.

Recall that a vector measure is a σ -additive set function defined on a ring with values in a normed vector space [5]. A subadditive measure is a non-negative non-decreasing subadditive and continuous set function.

If φ is a set function (real or vector) on \mathcal{S} , then $|\varphi|$ denotes the variation of φ in the sense of [5], i. e.

$$|\varphi|(A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} |\varphi(A_i)|, A_i \subset A, A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, i \neq j \text{ and } A_i \in \mathcal{S} \right\}.$$

It can easily be proved that the variation of a signed measure defined on \mathscr{S} coincides with the total variation of the Jordan decomposition of the signed measure. Therefore it is a positive measure. Also the variation of a vector measure and variation of a non-negative σ -subadditive function (specially the variation of a subadditive measure) is a positive measure.

Throught this paper, the symbol P is used for the set of non-negative integers and \emptyset for the empty set.

1

Let X be an abstract set and \mathscr{S} a σ -ring of subsets of X and $\{\mathscr{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ a sequence of subclasses of \mathscr{S} such that

- (1) For each $n \in P$, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{N}_n$.
- (2) For each $n \in P$, there exists an increasing sequence $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers such that $E_i \in \mathcal{N}_{k_i}$ (i = 1, 2, ...) implies $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{N}_n$.
- (3) Let $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an arbitrary non-increasing sequence of sets of \mathcal{N}_0 and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i = \emptyset$, then for each $n \in P$ there is $m \in P$ such that $E_m \in \mathcal{N}_n$.
- (4) For each $n \in P$, if $E \subset F$, $F \in \mathcal{N}_n$, then $E \in \mathcal{N}_n$.
- (5) $\mathcal{N}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{N}_n$ for all $n \in P$.
- (6) For each $n \in P$ we have: If $E \in \mathcal{N}_n$ and $F \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_n$, then $E \cup F \in \mathcal{N}_n$.

A sequence $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying all the properties will be called a small system on \mathcal{S} .

Example. Let (X, \mathscr{S}) be a measurable space and let μ be a positive or subadditive measure defined on \mathscr{S} . Then the sequence $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_0 = \{ E \in \mathcal{G} : \mu(E) < \infty \}, \\ \mathcal{N}_n = \{ E \in \mathcal{G} : \mu(E) < 1/n \} \qquad (n = 1, 2, ...)$$

satisfies the properties (1)—(6).

Since the variation |v| of a vector measure v or a signed measure v defined on \mathscr{S} is a positive measure, the sequence $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ generated by |v|, i. e.

$$\mathcal{N}_0 = \{ E \in \mathcal{G} : |v|(E) < \infty \}, \quad \mathcal{N}_n = \{ E \in \mathcal{G} : |v|(E) < 1/n \},$$

satisfies the properties (1)—(6), too.

Now we formulate two lemmas whose proofs are actually contained in the proof of Theorem 8 in [2]. In the following, let \mathcal{N} stand for $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_n$.

Lemma 1. Let $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy the properties (3), (5), (6), then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \notin \mathcal{N}$ for any non-increasing sequence of sets in \mathcal{N}_0 such that $E_i \notin \mathcal{N}_m$ (i = 1, 2, ...).

Lemma 2. Let $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy the properties (1), (2), (5), (6). There is a sequence $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers such that for any $n \in P$ there is $r(n) \in P$ such that

$$\bigcup_{i \ge r(n)} E_i \in \mathcal{N}_n \text{ whenever } E_i \in \mathcal{N}_{k_i} \ (i = 1, 2, \ldots).$$

Definition. Let $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be two small systems. The system $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be absolutely continuous with respect to $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (notation $\{\mathcal{N}_n\} \ll \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$) iff $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$.

The system $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is said to be strongly absolutely continuous with respect to $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (notation $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}$ (\ll) $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}$) iff, for each $m \in P$, there is $n \in P$ such that $\mathcal{M}_n \subset \mathcal{N}_m$.

Lemma 3. Let (X, \mathcal{S}) be a measurable space and $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}$. Let μ and v be vector or signed measures on \mathcal{S} and $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}$, $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}$ be small systems generated by the variations $|\mu|$, |v|, respectively. If μ , v are subadditive measures, let $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}$, $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}$ be small systems generated by μ , v, respectively. Put $\mathcal{N}'_n = \mathcal{N}_n \cap \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{M}'_n = \mathcal{M}_n \cap \mathcal{A}$ (n = 0, 1, 2, ...) and $\mu_1 = \mu/\mathcal{A}$, $v_1 = v/\mathcal{A}$.

Then a) $\mu \ll v$ if and only if $\{\mathcal{N}'_n\} \ll \{\mathcal{M}'_n\}$, b) $\mu_1 (\ll) v_1$ if and only if $\{\mathcal{N}'_n\} (\ll) \{\mathcal{M}'_n\}$.

The proof is evident.

Theorem 1. Let (X, \mathcal{S}) be a measurable space and $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be two small systems on \mathcal{S} and $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathcal{S}$.

Then $\{\mathcal{N}_n\} \ll \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$ if and only if $\{\mathcal{N}_n\} (\ll) \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$.

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}$ (\ll) $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}$, then $\bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{n_m} \subset \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{N}$. Since $\mathcal{M} \subset \bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{n_m}$, we get $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$, i. e. $\{\mathcal{N}_n\} \ll \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$.

Let now $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$. Assume that there is $m \in P$ such that, for each $n \in P$, we have $\mathcal{M}_n \not\subset \mathcal{N}_m$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_{k_i} \not\subset \mathcal{N}_m$ (i = 1, 2, ...), where $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of positive integers from Lemma 2. Hence we get a sequence $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of sets such that $E_i \in \mathcal{M}_{k_i}$ and $E_i \not\subset \mathcal{N}_m$ (i = 1, 2, ...).

Put $E = \text{limsup } E_i = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$, where $F_k = \bigcup_{i=k}^{\infty} E_i$. Evidently $E \subset F_k$ (k = 1, 2, ...).

Let $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers. In view of Lemma 2, we have the increasing sequence $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that, for each j = 1, 2, ..., we get

 $\bigcup_{i \ge r_i} E_i \subset \mathcal{M}_{n_i}, \text{ whenever } E_i \in \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{k_i} \ (i = 1, 2, \ldots).$

Hence $F_{r_i} \in \mathcal{M}_{k_i}$ (j = 1, 2, ...) and by the property (5) we get $E \in \mathcal{M}$.

Now we shall prove that $E \notin \mathcal{N}$, which contradicts the assumption $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$. Clearly $F_k \searrow E$, $F_k \in \mathcal{N}_0$ and $F_k \notin \mathcal{N}_m$ (k = 1, 2, ...). In view of Lemma 1, we get $E \notin \mathcal{N}$. Therefore $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}$ (\ll) $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}$. Theorem 1 is proved.

Corollary 1. Let (X,\mathcal{S}) be a measurable space and let $\varphi, \psi : \mathcal{S} \to A$ be set functions, where A is either \overline{R} or a normed vector space. Let the variations $|\varphi|, |\psi|$ be positive or subadditive measures and let $|\varphi|$ be finite. Then $\varphi \ll \psi$ if and only if $\varphi (\ll) \psi$.

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be small systems generated by the measures $|\varphi|$, $|\psi|$. Evidently they satisfy the properties (1)—(6). Since $|\varphi|$ is finite, the assumptions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Therefore $\{\mathcal{N}_n\} \ll \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$ if and only if $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}$ (\ll) $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}$. Now we apply Lemma 3 and the proof is completed.

Corollary 2. Let (X, \mathcal{S}) be a measurable space.

a) Let μ , v be signed measures on \mathscr{S} and let $|\mu|$ be finite. Then $\mu \ll v$ if and only if

 $\mu (\ll) v.$

- c) Let μ , v be subadditive measures on \mathscr{S} and μ be finite. Then $\mu \ll v$ if and only if $\mu (\ll) v$.
- c) Let μ , v be two vector measures on \mathscr{S} and $|\mu|$ be finite. Then $\mu \ll v$ if and only if $\mu (\ll) v$.

Remark. The proof for signed measures μ , v is in [4]. In this paper we define the absolute continuity of subadditive measures μ , v as follows: $\mu \ll v$ iff v(E) = 0 implies $\mu(E) = 0$ ($E \in \mathcal{S}$).

If the absolute continuity of subadditive measures is defined by means of a variation, then Corollary b) remains true.

2

Now we shall generalize the theorem mentioned in the introduction. Let $\mathscr{G} = \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{R})$ be a σ -ring generated by a ring \mathscr{R} and let $\mathscr{R}^* = \{R : R = \limsup R_n, R_n \in \mathscr{R}\}$ Let $\{\mathscr{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{\mathscr{M}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be two small systems on \mathscr{G} . Denote $\mathscr{N}'_n = \mathscr{N}_n \cap \mathscr{R}, \ \mathscr{M}'_n = \mathscr{M}_n \cap \mathscr{R}$ and $\mathscr{N}^*_n = \mathscr{N}_n \cap \mathscr{R}^*, \ \mathscr{M}^*_n = \mathscr{M}_n \cap \mathscr{R}^* \ n = 0, 1, 2, ...\}$.

Theorem 2. Let (X, \mathscr{S}) be a measurable space and $\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}(\mathscr{R})$. Let $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be two small systems on \mathscr{S} and $\mathcal{N}_0 = \mathscr{S}$. Let there be for each $E \in \mathscr{R}$ and for

each $i \in P$ a set $F_i \in \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{M}_0$ such that $E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$. Then $\{\mathcal{N}_n\} \leq \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$ if and only if $\{\mathcal{N}'_n\}$ (\ll) $\{\mathcal{M}'_n\}$.

Proof. By Theorem 1 $\{\mathcal{N}_n\} \ll \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$ implies $\{\mathcal{N}'_n\}$ (\ll) $\{\mathcal{M}'_n\}$. We shall prove the inverse implication. First we can see that the sequence $\{\mathcal{L}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \{\mathcal{M}_n \cap \mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the properties (1)—(4). Then the following assertion holds : For each $n \in P$ and for each $E \in \mathcal{L}_0$, there is $F \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $E \bigtriangleup F \in \mathcal{L}_n$ (see Theorem 3 in [3]).

Now we prove that $\{\mathcal{N}'_n\}$ (\ll) $\{\mathcal{M}'_n\}$ implies $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$. Let $E \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_0 \cap \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{L}_0$. Let *n* be an arbitrary positive integer. There exists a set $F \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $E \bigtriangleup F \in \mathcal{L}_n$. Since $(F - E) \subset F \bigtriangleup E \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and $E \in \mathcal{M}$, it follows by the property (6) that $(F - E) \cup E \in \mathcal{M}_n$. Since $F \subset (F - E) \cup E$ and $F \in \mathcal{R}$, we obtain $F \in \mathcal{M}'_n$.

Let *m* be an arbitrary positive integer. Choose *p*, $q \in P$ such that $A \cup B \in \mathcal{N}_m$, whenever $A \in \mathcal{N}_p$ and $B \in \mathcal{N}_q$ by the property (2). By the assumption there is n_q such that $\mathcal{M}'_{n_q} \subset \mathcal{N}'_q$. Put $n = \max\{n_q, p\}$. We have $\mathcal{M}_n \subset \mathcal{M}'_{n_q} \subset \mathcal{N}'_q \subset \mathcal{N}_q$, hence $F \in \mathcal{N}_q$. Since $(E - F) \subset E \bigtriangleup F \in \mathcal{N}_n \subset \mathcal{N}_p$, we get $E \subset (E - F) \cup F \in \mathcal{N}_m$. It is true for each $m \in P$. Therefore $E \in \mathcal{N}$. Theorem 2 is proved.

Corollary 1. Let (X, \mathcal{S}) be a measurable space and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{R})$. Let φ, ψ be two set function, real or vector. Let the variations $|\varphi|, |\psi|$ be positive or

subadditive measures and $|\varphi|$ be finite and $|\psi|$ be σ -finite. Then $\varphi \ll \psi$ if and only if $\varphi (\ll) \psi$ on \mathcal{R} .

Corollary 2. Let (X, \mathcal{G}) be a measurable space and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{R})$.

- a) Let μ , v be signed measures on \mathscr{S} and let μ be finite and v be σ -finite. Then $\mu \ll v$ if and only if $\mu (\ll) v$ on \mathscr{R} .
- b) Let μ, v be subadditive measures on S and μ be finite and v be σ-finite. Then μ ≪ v if and only if μ (≪) v on R.
- c) Let μ , v be two vector measures on \mathcal{S} . Let $|\mu|$ be finite and |v| be σ -finite. Then $\mu \ll v$ if and only if $\mu (\ll) v$ on \mathcal{R} .

Remark. For the case of signed measures this corollary is in [1].

Theorem 3. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2 be satisfied. Then $\{\mathcal{N}_n\} \ll \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$ if and only if $\{\mathcal{N}_n\} \ll \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$.

Proof. Evidently $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ implies $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$. Now we shall prove the converse. Let *m* be an arbitrary positive integer. Construct the system $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_m = \{E \in \mathcal{S} : E \notin \mathcal{N}_m\}$.

Put $n_0 = \sup \{n : \text{there is } A \text{ such that } A \in \mathcal{M}_n \cap \overline{\mathcal{N}}_m \}$. If $n_0 < \infty$, then take $n_m = n_0 + 1$. Clearly $\mathcal{M}_{n_m} \subset \mathcal{N}_m$, hence $\{\mathcal{N}_n\} (\ll) \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$ and, by Theorem 2, $\{\mathcal{N}_n\} \ll \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$. We prove that $n_0 = \infty$. Assume $n_0 = \infty$. Then there is an increasing sequence $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers such that $n_i \ge k_i$ (where $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence from Lemma 2) and there is a sequence $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of sets such that $A_i \in \mathcal{M}_{n_i} \cap \overline{\mathcal{N}}_m$ (i = 1, 1).

2, ...). Put $A = \limsup A_i = \bigcap_{s=1}^{\infty} E_s$, where $E_s = \bigcup_{i=s}^{\infty} A_i$. Evidently $E_s \notin \mathcal{N}_m$ (s = 1, 2, ...), therefore $A \notin \mathcal{N}$ by Lemma 1. Since $\mathcal{N}^* \subset \mathcal{N}$, we have $A \notin \mathcal{N}^*$.

But $A \subset E_s$ (s = 1, 2, ...), since by Lemma 1. Since $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}$, we have $A \notin \mathcal{N}^*$. But $A \subset E_s$ (s = 1, 2, ...). Since, by Lemma 2, we have $E_{r(p)} \in \mathcal{M}_p$ (p = 1, 2, ...),

we get $A \in \mathcal{M}_p$ (p = 1, 2, ...). Therefore $A \in \mathcal{M}^*$. This contradicts the assumption $\mathcal{M}^* \subset \mathcal{N}^*$. Hence $n_0 < \infty$ and now the proof of Theorem 3 follows immediately.

Corollary 1. Let the assumption of Corollary 1 of Theorem 2 be satisfied. Then $\varphi \ll \psi$ if and only if $\varphi \ll \psi$ on \Re^* .

Corollary 2. Let μ , v be signed measures or vector measures on a σ -ring $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{R})$. Let the variation $|\mu|$ be finite and |v| be σ -finite. Then $\mu \ll v$ if and only if $\mu \ll v$ on \mathcal{R} .

If μ , v are subadditive measures on $\mathscr{G} = \mathscr{G}(\mathscr{R})$ and μ is finite and v is σ -finite, then $\mu \ll v$ if and only if $\mu \ll v$ on \mathscr{R} .

Remark. Corollary 2 is proved for the case of signed measures in [1].

In Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, the ring $\mathcal R$ can be replaced by the semi-ring $\mathcal P$

satisfying appropriate conditions for \mathcal{R} . It follows immediately from the following theorem:

Theorem 4. Let \mathcal{R} be generated by a semi-ring \mathcal{P} . Let $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be small systems on \mathcal{R} and $\{\mathcal{N}'_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \{\mathcal{N}_n \cap \mathcal{P}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, \{\mathcal{M}'_n\}_{n=0} = \{\mathcal{M}_n \cap \mathcal{P}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Then $\{\mathcal{N}'_n\} \ (\leqslant) \ \{\mathcal{M}_n\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{\mathcal{N}_n\}$ (\ll) $\{\mathcal{M}_n\}$ is not true. Then there is $n_0 \in P$ having the following property: for each $n \in P$, there is $E \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $E \in \mathcal{M}_n$ and $E \notin \mathcal{M}_{n_0}$. Denote the system $\{E \in \mathcal{M}_n : E \notin \mathcal{N}_{n_0}\}$ by \mathcal{L}_n . We shall show $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n \neq \emptyset$. Let us consider the topological space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T})$, where $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{\emptyset, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \ldots\}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \ldots$ are compact sets of \mathcal{X} . Further, $\mathcal{L}_1 \supset \mathcal{L}_2 \supset \mathcal{L}_3 \ldots$ and therefore $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n \neq \emptyset$. We have used the known theorem of topology.

It means that $E_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $E \notin \mathcal{N}_{n_0}$ for some E_0 . Since $E_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ and \mathcal{R} is generated by \mathcal{P} , there are $F_i \in \mathcal{P}$ (i = 1, 2, ..., p) such that $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} F_i$. There is a sequence $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $E_i \in \mathcal{N}_{k_i}$ (i = 1, 2, ...) implies $\bigcup_{i=1} E_i \in \mathcal{N}_{n_0}$. We have used the property (2) of a small system. Since $\{\mathcal{N}'_n\}$ (\ll) $\{\mathcal{M}'_n\}$, for each k_i , there is l_i such that $\mathcal{M}_{l_i} \subset \mathcal{N}_{k_i}$. Evidently, $F_i \in \mathcal{M}_{l_i}$ (i = 1, 2, ..., p). Hence $F_i \in \mathcal{N}_{k_i}$. But it leads to the relation $E_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p} F_i \in \mathcal{N}_{n_0}$, which is a contradiction with our relation $E_0 \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n$. Hence Theorem 4 is proved.

REFERENCES

- PFEIFFER, P. E.: Equivalence of totally finite measures on product spaces. Ann. Math., 66, 1952, 523-549.
- [2] NEUBRUNN, T.: On an abstract formulation of absolute continuity and dominancy. Mat. Čas., 19, 1969, 205-215.
- [3] RIEČAN, B.: Abstract formulation of some theorems of measure theory. Mat. Čas., 19, 1969, 138-144.
- [4] HALMOS, P. R.: Measure theory. New York 1950.
- [5] DINCULEANU, N.: Vector measures. Bucuresti 1967.

Received February 25, 1974

Katedra teoretickej kybernetiky Prírodovedeckej fakulty UK Mlynská dolina 816 31 Bratislava

АБСОЛЮТНАЯ НЕПРЕРЫВНОСТЬ ФУНКЦИЙ ОПРЕДЕЛЕННЫХ НА σ-КОЛЬЦЕ ПОРОЖДЕННОМ КОЛЬЦОМ

Мариа Пасторова

Резюме

Пусть μ , v меры определенные на σ -кольце \mathscr{S} прожденном кольцом \mathscr{R} , мера μ конечна и мера $v \sigma$ -конечна. Пусть μ_1 , v_1 — меры определенные на \mathscr{R} таким образом, что $\mu_1 = \mu/\mathscr{R}$, $v_1 = v \mathscr{R}$. Роtom $\mu \ll v$ тогда и только тогда, если $\mu_1 (\ll) v_1$.

В работе обобщена предыдущая теорема для векторных и полуадитивных мер. В третьей части работы доказывается теорема для σ -кольца порожденного полукольцим.