

Igor Bock

On the asymptotic behaviour of a solution of a differential equation in a Hilbert space

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 29 (1979), No. 3, 293--303

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136215>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF A SOLUTION OF A DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION IN A HILBERT SPACE

IGOR BOCK

1. Introduction

We shall be dealing with the initial value problem

$$(1.1) \quad A_0(t) \frac{d^m u}{dt^m} + \dots + A_{m-1}(t) \frac{du}{dt} + A_m(t)u = f(t)$$

$$(1.2) \quad \left. \frac{d^r u}{dt^r} \right|_{t=0} = u_r, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$$

with the abstract functions $u: (R^+ \rightarrow X)$, $f: (R^+ \rightarrow X^*)$, the operator functions $A_r(\cdot): (R^+ \rightarrow L(X, X^*))$ and the elements $u_r \in X$, where $R^+ = [0, \infty)$, X is a Hilbert space, X^* is a dual space to X and $L(X, X^*)$ is a space of all linear bounded operators mapping X into X^* .

We shall analyse the behaviour of a solution of (1.1), (1.2) for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Due to the results obtained in this paper the solution behaves in the same way as the deflection of a viscoelastic plate made of aging material. These results generalize the results of paper [1], where the problem (1.1), (1.2) with the stationary operator functions $A_r(t) \equiv A_r$ was considered.

First we shall introduce some results from the theory of differential equations in a Banach space proved in [3].

Let X be a complex Banach space, $R^+ = [0, \infty)$. We denote by $C(R^+, X)$ the space of all continuous functions mapping R^+ into X and by $C^{(m)}(R^+, X)$ the space of all m -times continuously differentiable functions mapping R^+ into X .

Consider the initial value problem for the differential equation in the space X

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{du}{dt} = A(t)u + f(t), \quad t \in R^+$$

$$(1.4) \quad u(0) = u_0$$

Theorem 1.1 ([3], III. 1.2). *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, X)$, $A(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, L(X, X))$, $u_0 \in X$. Then there exists a unique solution $u \in C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^+, X)$ of the problem (1.3), (1.4).*

A solution u of the problem (1.3), (1.4) can be expressed with the help of a solution $U \in C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^+, L(X, X))$ of the homogeneous operator differential equation in the space $L(X, X)$

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{dU}{dt} = A(t)U$$

$$(1.6) \quad U(0) = I \text{ (the identical operator)}$$

There exists for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ the inverse operator $U^{-1}(t)$. The operator function $V(\cdot)$ is a solution of the problem

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{dV}{dt} = -VA(t)$$

$$(1.8) \quad V(0) = I$$

A solution u of (1.3), (1.4) can be expressed in the form

$$(1.9) \quad u(t) = U(t)u_0 + \int_0^t U(t, \tau)f(\tau) d\tau,$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad U(t, \tau) = U(t)U^{-1}(\tau).$$

The following theorem plays an important role in our further considerations of the asymptotic behaviour of a solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2).

Theorem 1.2 ([3], III. 6.3). *Let $A(\cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, L(X, X))$, $A_\infty \in L(X, X)$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|A(t) - A_\infty\| = 0$. $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < -\nu_0 < 0$ for all $\lambda \in \sigma(A_\infty)$, where $\sigma(A_\infty)$ is the spectrum of the operator A_∞ , $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm in the space $L(X, X)$.*

Then there exist such constants $\nu > 0$, N depending only on $A(t)$ that

$$(1.11) \quad \|U(t, \tau)\| \leq N e^{-\nu(t-\tau)}, \quad \forall t \geq \tau, \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

2. The existence and the uniqueness of a solution

Let X be a complex Hilbert space with the scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) and the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and X^* with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. the antidual space of all linear bounded functionals over X .

We formulate a theorem of the existence and the uniqueness of a solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2)

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in C(R^+, X^*)$, $A_i(\cdot) \in C(R^+, L(X, X^*))$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$; $u_r \in X$, $r = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$. If there exists such a real positive and continuous on R^+ function $\alpha(t)$ that

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha(t)\|x\|^2 \leq |\langle A_0(t)x, x \rangle|, \quad \forall x \in X, t \in R^+,$$

then there exists a unique solution $u \in C^{(m)}(R^+, X)$ of the problem (1.1), (1.2).

Proof. Due to (2.1) the operators $A(t)$ and $A(t)^*$ (the adjoint operator to $A(t)$) satisfy the inequalities

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha(t)\|x\| &\leq \|A(t)x\|_* \\ \alpha(t)\|x\| &\leq \|A(t)^*x\|_*, \quad \forall x \in X, t \in R^+ \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.2) and the theorem on the solvability of the operator equations ([6], VII. 5) we obtain that there exists the inverse operator $A_0^{-1}(t) \in L(X^*, X)$ satisfying

$$(2.3) \quad \|A_0^{-1}(t)\|_{L(X^*, X)} \leq \alpha(t)^{-1}, \quad \forall t \in R^+,$$

where the function $\alpha(t)^{-1}$ is continuous on R^+ . Using the relation

$$A_0^{-1}(t) - A_0^{-1}(t_0) = A_0^{-1}(t_0) (A_0(t_0) - A_0(t)) A_0^{-1}(t)$$

we can verify easily that the operator-function $A_0^{-1}(\cdot)$ is continuous in each point $t_0 \in R^+$ and hence

$$(2.4) \quad A_0^{-1}(\cdot) \in C(R^+, L(X^*, X)).$$

Consider the initial value problem in the Hilbert product space $\chi = [X]^m$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dt} &= \mathcal{A}(t)u + F(t) \\ u(0) &= u_0 \end{aligned}$$

with the operator function $\mathcal{A}(\cdot): (R^+ \rightarrow L(\chi, \chi))$, the function $F(\cdot): (R^+ \rightarrow \chi)$ and the element $u_0 \in \chi$ defined by

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{A}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & I, & 0, & \dots, & 0 \\ 0, & 0, & I, & \dots, & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots, & I \\ -A_0^{-1}(t) A_m(t), & \dots, & -A_0^{-1}(t) A_1(t) & & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(2.8) \quad F(t) = (0, 0, \dots, A_0^{-1}(t)f(t))^T,$$

$$(2.9) \quad u_0 = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{m-1})^T$$

Using (2.4) we obtain $\mathcal{A}(\cdot) \in C(R^+, L(\chi, \chi))$, $F(\cdot) \in C(R^+, \chi)$. There exists, due to

Theorem 1.1, a unique solution $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \chi)$ of (2.5), (2.6) which has the form

$$(2.10) \quad u(t) = (u(t), u'(t), \dots, u^{(m-1)}(t))^T.$$

The function $u \in C^{(m)}(\mathbb{R}^+, X)$ is then a unique solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2).

3. On the asymptotic behaviour of a solution

Using the result of Theorem 1.2 we shall investigate the asymptotic behaviour of a solution of the problem (1.1), (1.2).

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are fulfilled. Assume, moreover, that there exist such a constant $\alpha_0 > 0$ and the operators $A_i \in L(X, X^*)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$, that*

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha_0 \|x\|^2 \leq |\langle A_0(t)x, x \rangle|, \quad \forall x \in X, t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|A_i(t) - A_{i, \infty}\| = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m$$

and the polynomial operator

$$(3.3) \quad D(\lambda) = \lambda^m A_{0, \infty} + \dots + \lambda A_{m-1, \infty} + A_{m, \infty}; \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

possesses the inverse operator $D(\lambda)^{-1} \in L(X^*, X)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$.

Then the estimate

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|u^{(i)}(t)\| \leq M e^{-\nu t} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|u_i\| + \int_0^t e^{\nu \tau} \|f(\tau)\|_* d\tau \right)$$

of a solution $u \in C^{(m)}(\mathbb{R}^+, X)$ of (1.1), (1.2) holds with the constants $M, \nu > 0$ depending only on $A_i(t)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

If there exists such a functional $f_\infty \in X^*$, that

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|f(t) - f_\infty\|_* = 0,$$

then

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|(\|u(t) - A_{m, \infty}^{-1} f_\infty\|_* + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|u^{(i)}(t)\|)\| = 0$$

Proof. Consider the problem (1.1), (1.2) as the problem (2.5), (2.6) in the space $\chi = [X]^m$. Using (3.1), (3.2) we can see that there exists the inverse operator $A_{0, \infty}^{-1} \in L(X^*, X)$ satisfying the relation

$$(3.7) \quad \|A_{0, \infty}^{-1}\| \leq \alpha_0^{-1}$$

Using the relations (3.1), (3.7) we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \|A_0^{-1}(t) - A_{0,\infty}^{-1}\| = \|A_{0,\infty}^{-1}(A_{0,\infty} - A_0(t))A_0^{-1}(t)\| \leq \\ \leq \alpha_0^{-2} \|A_{0,\infty} - A_0(t)\|, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

and combining with (3.2) we arrive at

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|A_0^{-1}(t) - A_{0,\infty}^{-1}\| = 0$$

Let us define the operator $\mathcal{A}_\infty \in L\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}$) by

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{A}_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & I, & 0, & \dots, & 0 \\ 0, & 0, & I, & \dots, & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots, & I \\ -A_{0,\infty}^{-1}A_{m,\infty}, & -A_{0,\infty}^{-1}A_{m-1,\infty}, & \dots, & & -A_{0,\infty}^{-1}A_{1,\infty} \end{pmatrix}$$

Combining (2.7), (3.2), (3.8), (3.9) we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{A}(t) - \mathcal{A}_\infty\|_{L(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})} = 0.$$

We apply now the results of Theorem 1.2. We must therefore find such a number $\nu_0 > 0$ that

$$(3.12) \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda < -\nu_0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_\infty).$$

It can be verified easily that $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ if and only if $0 \in \sigma(D(\lambda))$, which means that there does not exist the inverse operator $D(\lambda)^{-1}$. Using the assumption (3.3) we obtain that

$$(3.13) \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0, \quad \forall \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$$

The set $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ is closed in the complex plane ([6], VIII. 2). Then there must exist such $\nu_0 > 0$ that (3.12) holds. Otherwise there exists such a sequence $\lambda_n \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \lambda_0$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 = 0$, $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$, which is in contradiction to (3.13).

We can now use Theorem 1.2. Combining (1.9), (1.11), (2.5), (2.6) we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \|u(t)\| \leq M e^{-\nu t} (\|u_0\| + \int_0^t e^{\nu \tau} \|F(\tau)\| d\tau), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

Using (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (3.1) we obtain the estimate (3.4) with the constants M , $\nu > 0$ depending only on $A_i(t)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

It remains to verify the second part of the theorem. Let f_∞ be such a functional from X^* that (3.5) holds. We express a solution u of the problem (1.1), (1.2) in the form

$$(3.15) \quad u(t) = v(t) + A_{m,\infty}^{-1} f_\infty.$$

The operator $A_{m,\infty}^{-1} \in L(X^*, X)$ exists, because $D(0) = A_{m,\infty}$. A function $v \in C^{(m)}(R^+, X)$ is a solution of the initial value problem

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{i=0}^m A_i(t) \frac{d^{m-i} v}{dt^{m-i}} = g(t)$$

$$\left. \frac{d^i v}{dt^i} \right|_{t=0} = v_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$$

with $v_i \in X$ and

$$(3.17) \quad g(t) = f(t) - A_m(t) A_{m,\infty}^{-1} f_\infty.$$

Due to the first part of the theorem a function v satisfies

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|v^{(i)}(t)\| \leq M e^{-\nu t} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|v_i\| + \int_0^t e^{\nu \tau} \|g(\tau)\|_* d\tau \right).$$

The relations (3.2), (3.5), (3.17) imply

$$(3.19) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|g(t)\|_* = 0.$$

If

$$(3.20) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|v^{(i)}(t)\| = 0,$$

then the conclusion of the theorem follows from (3.15). Considering (3.18) we see that it suffices to verify

$$(3.21) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\nu t} \int_0^t e^{\nu \tau} \|g(\tau)\|_* d\tau = 0.$$

If $\int_0^\infty e^{\nu \tau} \|g(\tau)\|_* d\tau < \infty$, then (3.21) follows immediately. If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t e^{\nu \tau} \|g(\tau)\|_* d\tau = \infty$, then (3.21) follows from (3.19) after using the L'Hospital rule and the proof is complete.

There arise difficulties with verifying the assumption about the operator $D(\lambda)$ by applying Theorem 3.1. The following corollaries show that under some conditions the polynomial operator $D(\lambda)$ defined in (3.3) satisfies the assumption of Theorem 3.3. We shall be dealing with the problem of the first and the second order.

Corollary 3.1. ($m = 1$). Assume that the operators $A_{i,\infty} \in L(X, X^*)$, $i = 0, 1$ satisfy the assumptions

$$(3.22) \quad \langle A_{0,\infty}x, y \rangle = \langle A_{0,\infty}y, x \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in X,$$

$$(3.23) \quad 0 \leq \langle A_{0,\infty}x, x \rangle, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

$$(3.24) \quad \alpha_1 \|x\|^2 \leq \operatorname{Re} \langle A_{1,\infty}x, x \rangle, \quad \alpha_1 > 0, \forall x \in X.$$

Then the operator

$$(3.25) \quad D(\lambda) = \lambda A_{0,\infty} + A_{1,\infty}$$

possesses the inverse operator $D(\lambda)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in C$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$.

Proof. Using (3.22) we obtain

$$(3.26) \quad \operatorname{Re} \langle D(\lambda)x, x \rangle = \operatorname{Re} \lambda \langle A_{0,\infty}x, x \rangle + \operatorname{Re} \langle A_{1,\infty}x, x \rangle.$$

Considering (3.23), (3.24) we arrive at

$$(3.27) \quad \operatorname{Re} \langle D(\lambda)x, x \rangle \geq \alpha_1 \|x\|^2, \quad \lambda \in C, \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0, \forall x \in X.$$

The last inequality implies the existence of the inverse operator $D(\lambda)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in C$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$ and the proof is complete.

Corollary 3.2. ($m = 2$). Assume that the operators $A_{i,\infty} \in L(X, X^*)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$ satisfy the next assumptions

$$(3.28) \quad \langle A_{j,\infty}x, y \rangle = \langle A_{j,\infty}y, x \rangle, \quad j = 0, 2, \forall x, y \in X,$$

$$(3.29) \quad 0 \leq \langle A_{0,\infty}x, x \rangle, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

$$(3.30) \quad \alpha_1 \|x\|^2 \leq \operatorname{Re} \langle A_{1,\infty}x, x \rangle, \quad \alpha_1 > 0, \forall x \in X,$$

$$(3.31) \quad \alpha_2 \|x\|^2 \leq \langle A_{2,\infty}x, x \rangle, \quad \alpha_2 > 0, \forall x \in X.$$

Then the operator

$$(3.32) \quad D(\lambda) = \lambda^2 A_{0,\infty} + \lambda A_{1,\infty} + A_{2,\infty}$$

possesses the inverse operator $D(\lambda)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \in C$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$.

Proof. Assume first that $\lambda = 0$. Then $D(\lambda) = D(0) = A_{2,\infty}$. There exists due to (3.31) the inverse operator $A_{2,\infty}^{-1} = D(0)^{-1}$.

Let $\lambda \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$. Consider the operator $T(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1}D(\lambda)$. $T(\lambda)$ can be expressed in the form

$$T(\lambda) = \lambda A_{0,\infty} + A_{1,\infty} + \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|\lambda|^2} A_{2,\infty}, \quad \lambda \neq 0$$

With the help of (3.28) we obtain

$$(3.34) \quad \operatorname{Re} \langle T(\lambda)x, x \rangle = \operatorname{Re} \lambda \langle A_{0, \infty}x, x \rangle + \operatorname{Re} \langle A_{1, \infty}x, x \rangle + \\ + \frac{\operatorname{Re} \lambda}{|\lambda|^2} \langle A_{2, \infty}x, x \rangle, \quad \lambda \neq 0, x \in X$$

Using (3.29), (3.30) we obtain the inequality

$$(3.35) \quad \operatorname{Re} \langle T(\lambda)x, x \rangle \geq \alpha_1 \|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in X, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0, \lambda \neq 0,$$

which implies the existence of the operator $T(\lambda)^{-1}$. Then, however, there exists the inverse operator $D(\lambda)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} T(\lambda)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda \neq 0$ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$ and the proof is complete.

Remark 3.1. The previous results can be applied to the case of the real Hilbert space X , too. We can extend the space X onto the complex Hilbert space $\hat{X} = \{\hat{x} = \{x_1, x_2\} \in X \times X\}$ with the scalar product $[\hat{x}, \hat{y}] = (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2) + i((x_2, y_1) - (x_1, y_2))$. The operator $A \in L(X, X^*)$ can be extended onto the operator $\hat{A} \in L(\hat{X}, \hat{X}^*)$ by $\langle \hat{A}\hat{x}, \hat{y} \rangle = \langle Ax_1, y_1 \rangle + \langle Ax_2, y_2 \rangle + i(\langle Ax_1, y_2 \rangle - \langle Ax_2, y_1 \rangle)$.

4. Bending of viscoelastic plates with aging

The previous theory can be applied to the initial boundary value problem, which expresses a bending of a viscoelastic plate made of aging material with a short memory ([5], IV.). We suppose, that the central surface of the plate is the bounded region $\Omega \subset E_2$ with the Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$ (def. [4]). We assume that $\partial\Omega = \bar{\Gamma}_1 \cup \bar{\Gamma}_2$, $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$. A plate is clamped on Γ_1 and simply supported on Γ_2 . The case $\Gamma_1 = \partial\Omega$, or $\Gamma_2 = \partial\Omega$ is always possible. The bending $u(x_1, x_2, t)$ of the plate is a solution of the initial boundary value problem

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{r=0}^m K_{ijkl}(t) \frac{d^{m-r}}{dt^{m-r}} u_{,ijkl} = f(x_1, x_2, t), \quad (x_1, x_2, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$$

$$(4.2) \quad \left. \frac{d^r u}{dt^r} \right|_{t=0} = u_r, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$$

$$(4.3) \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$$

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$$

$$(4.5) \quad M(t)u = \sum_{r=0}^m K_{ijkl}^{(r)}(t) \frac{d^{m-r}}{dt^{m-r}} u_{,ij} \cos(n, x_k) \cos(n, x_1) = 0 \\ \text{on } \Gamma_2 \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

We denote by n the exterior normal to $\partial\Omega$. The above problem with constant coefficients is investigated in [2]. We use the notation $u_{,ijkl} = \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k \partial x_l}$, $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2\}$. Summation over repeated subscripts i, j, k, l is implied. We assume that the coefficients $K_{ijkl}^{(r)}(t)$ are symmetric

$$(4.6) \quad K_{ijkl}^{(r)}(t) = K_{jikl}^{(r)}(t) = K_{klij}^{(r)}(t), \quad \forall t \in R^+,$$

continuous on R^+ and uniformly positive definite, i.e.

$$(4.7) \quad K_{ijkl}^{(r)}(t) \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{kl} \geq c_r \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad c_r > 0, \\ r = 0, 1, \dots, m, \quad \{\varepsilon_{ij}\} \in E_4, \quad \varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ji}, \quad t \in R^+$$

We introduce a weak solution of the problem (4.1)—(4.5). Let $H^2(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space of all functions from the space $L_2(\Omega)$, whose generalized derivatives up to the 2-nd order belong to $L_2(\Omega)$. The scalar product in $H_2(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$(4.8) \quad (u, v)_2 = \sum_{|i| \leq 2} \int_{\Omega} D^i u D^i v \, d\Omega \\ (D^i u = \frac{\partial^{|i|} u}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \partial x_2^{i_2}}, \quad i = (i_1, i_2), \quad |i| = i_1 + i_2).$$

We denote by $W(\Omega)$ the space of all functions from $H^2(\Omega)$ which satisfy the essential (or geometrical) boundary conditions (4.3), (4.4) in the sense of traces (def. [4]). It can be verified with the help of the Fridrichs and Poincaré inequalities ([4]), that $W(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space with the scalar product

$$(4.9) \quad (u, v) = \sum_{|i|=2} \int_{\Omega} D^i u D^i v \, d\Omega$$

and the norm

$$(4.10) \quad \|u\| = \left(\sum_{|i|=2} \int_{\Omega} (D^i u)^2 \, d\Omega \right)^{1/2},$$

which is equivalent to the original norm in the space $H^2(\Omega)$. Let us denote by $W(\Omega)^*$ the space dual to $W(\Omega)$. We define now a weak solution of the problem (4.1)—(4.5).

Definition 4.1. Let $f \in C(R^+, W(\Omega)^*)$, $u_i \in W(\Omega)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$, $K_{ijkl}^{(r)}(\cdot) \in C(R^+)$, $r = 0, 1, \dots, m$; $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2\}$. A function $u \in C^{(m)}(R^+, W(\Omega))$, which is for each $h \in W(\Omega)$ a solution of the initial value problem

$$(4.11) \quad \sum_{r=0}^m \int_{\Omega} K_{ijkl}^{(r)} \frac{d^{m-r}}{dt^{m-r}} u_{,ij}(t) h_{,kl} \, d\Omega = \langle f(t), h \rangle$$

$$(4.12) \quad \left. \frac{d^r u}{dt^r} \right|_{t=0} = u_r, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, m-1,$$

is a weak solution of the problem (4.1)—(4.5).

If we define the operators $A_r(t)$ by

$$(4.13) \quad \langle A_r(t)u, h \rangle = \int_{\Omega} K_{ijkl}^{(r)}(t) u_{,ij} h_{,kl} \, d\Omega,$$

$$u, h \in W(\Omega), \quad t \in R^+, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, m,$$

then the operators $A_r(t)$ (extended to $\hat{A}_r(t)$ according to Remark 3.1) satisfy all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 with $X = W(\Omega)$, $X^* = W(\Omega)^*$ and hence there exists a unique weak solution of the problem (4.1)—(4.5).

If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} K_{ijkl}^{(r)}(t) = K_{ijkl}^{r, \infty}$, $r = 0, 1, \dots, m$; $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|f(t) - f_{\infty}\|_* = 0$, $f_{\infty} \in W(\Omega)^*$, then the assumptions of Corollaries 3.1, 3.2 are fulfilled and hence a weak solution u of (4.1)—(4.5) satisfies in the cases $m = 1, 2$ the relation

$$(4.14) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - u_{\infty}\| = 0,$$

where $u_{\infty} \in W(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of the corresponding elastic problem, i.e.

$$(4.15) \quad \int_{\Omega} K_{ijkl}^{m, \infty} u_{,ij} h_{,kl} \, d\Omega = \langle f_{\infty}, h \rangle, \quad \forall h \in W(\Omega).$$

This result corresponds with the physical experience.

REFERENCES

- [1] BOCK, I.: On quasiparabolic differential equations of higher order. *Math. slov.* 3, 26, 1976.
- [2] BRILLA, J.: Variational methods in mathematical theory of viscoelasticity. *Proceedings of Equadiff III, Brno 1972.*
- [3] DALECKIJ, J. L., KREJN, M. G.: *Ustočivost' rešenij differencialnyh uravnenij v Banachovom prostranstve*, Moskva, "Nauka" 1970.
- [4] NEČAS, J.: *Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques*. Academia, Praha 1967.
- [5] RŽANICYN, Q. R.: *Teoria polzučesti*, Moskva, "Strojizdat" 1968.
- [6] YOSIDA, K.: *Functional analysis*. Springer Verlag 1965.

Received September 22, 1977

*Katedra matematiky Elektrotechnickej fakulty SVŠT
Gottwaldovo nám 19
884 20 Bratislava*

АСИМПТОТИЧЕСКОЕ ПОВЕДЕНИЕ РЕШЕНИЯ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНОГО УРАВНЕНИЯ В ПРОСТРАНСТВЕ ГИЛЬБЕРТА

Игорь Бок

Резюме

В этой работе изучается начальная задача (1.1), (1.2) в пространстве Гильберта X с операторными функциями $A_r(\cdot) \in C(R^+, L(X, X^*))$. Если оператор A_0 коэрзивный для любого $t \in R^+$, то для любой функции $f \in C(R^+, X^*)$ и для любых элементов $u_r \in X$ существует единственное решение задачи (1.1), (1.2). Если выполнены некоторые предположения и если

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|A_r(t) - A_{r, \infty}\| = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|f(t) - f_\infty\|_* = 0, \text{ то } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - A_{m, \infty}^{-1} f_\infty\|_* = 0.$$

Полученные результаты используются для решения начально краевых задач, решения которых определяют изгибы вязкоупругих плит со свойствами зависящими от времени.