Eliška Tomová Decomposition of complete bipartite graphs into factors with given diameters and radii

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 3, 249--253

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136359

# Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

# DECOMPOSITION OF COMPLETE BIPARTITE GRAPHS INTO FACTORS WITH GIVEN DIAMETERS AND RADII

ELIŠKA TOMOVÁ

# Introduction

L. Niepel [3] studies the existence of a decomposition of the complete graph into factors with given diameters and radii. In the present paper we study the analogous problem for the complete q-partite graphs. Most of the results are concerned with the case q = 2 of bipartite graphs.

All graphs in the present paper are undirected, without loops or multiple edges. Let an integer  $q \ge 2$  be given. A graph G with the vertex set V is called q-partite if V can be partitioned into q mutually disjoint, nonempty subsets  $V_1, V_2, ..., V_q$ , which are called parts of G such that every edge of G joins vertices of two different parts of G. If every two vertices of different parts of G are joined by an edge, then G is said to be a complete q-partite graphs and we write  $G = K_{m_1, m_2, ..., m_q}$ , where the cardinality  $|V_i| = m_i$  for j = 1, 2, ..., q (2-partite graphs are called bipartite).

By a factor of a graph G we mean a subgraph of G containing all the vertices of G. By a decomposition of a graph G into factors we mean a system  $\mathscr{S}$  of factors of G such that every edge of G is contained in exactly one factor of  $\mathscr{S}$ . The eccentricity e(v) of a vertex v is  $\sup \varrho_G(u, v)$ , for all  $u \in V_G$ , where  $V_G$  is the vertex set of G and  $\varrho_G(u, v)$  denotes the distance between two vertices  $u, v \in V_G$  in G. The radius r(G) of a graph G is defined as  $r(G) = \min e(v)$  and the diameter d(G) of G as  $d(G) = \max e(v)$ . The diameter d(G) and the radius r(G) can be also equal to  $\infty$  if G is a disconnected graph or if G is connected but e(v) is infinite for all v. The remaining terms are used in the usual sense [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

Let integers  $p, q \ge 2$  and nonnegative integers (or symbols  $\infty$ )  $d_i$ ,  $r_i$  for  $1 \le i \le p$ and non-zero cardinal numbers  $m_i$  for  $1 \le j \le q$  be given. Our aim is to determine the conditions for the existence of a decomposition of the graph  $K_{m_1, m_2, ..., m_q}$  into pfactors  $F_1, F_2, ..., F_p$  with given diameters  $d_1, d_2, ..., d_p$  and radii  $r_1, r_2, ..., r_p$ .

# 1. The general case

Let  $q \ge 2$  and  $p \ge 1$  be integers,  $m_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., q - 1) — cardinal numbers  $\ge 1$ ,  $d_i$ ,  $r_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., p) — positive integers or symbols  $\infty$ . For the diameter  $d_i$  and the radius  $r_i$  of the factor  $F_i$  of  $K_{m_1, m_2, ..., m_q}$  the following inequalities hold:

$$r_i \leq d_i \leq 2r_i$$
  $(i = 1, 2, ..., p).$ 

Denote by  $D_{m_1, m_2, ..., m_{q-1}}$   $(d_1, d_2, ..., d_p, r_1, r_2, ..., r_p)$  the smallest cardinal number  $m_q$  such that the graph  $K_{m_1, ..., m_q}$  can be decomposed into p factors  $F_1, F_2, ..., F_p$  with  $d(F_i) = d_i$  and  $r(F_i)r_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., p). If such a number does not exist, we shall write

$$D_{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{q-1}}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_p, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_p) = \infty.$$

The importance of the function  $D_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{q-1}}$  can be seen from the next theorem.

**Theorem 1.** If the graph  $K_{m_1,m_2,...,m_q}$  is decomposable into p factors with given diameters  $d_1, d_2, ..., d_p$  and radii  $r_1, r_2, ..., r_p$ , where  $d_i > 1$  (i = 1, 2, ..., p), then the graph  $K_{M_1,M_2,...,M_q}$  (where  $M_1 \ge m_1, M_2 \ge m_2, ..., M_q \ge m_q$ ) is also decomposable into p factors with the same diameters  $d_1, d_2, ..., d_p$  and radii  $r_1, r_2, ..., r_p$ .

The proof of this theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 1 of [4] or [5].

**Corollary.** The graph  $K_{m_1, m_2, ..., m_q}$  can be decomposed into p factors with diameters  $d_1, d_2, ..., d_p$  and radii  $r_1, r_2, ..., r_p$  (where  $d_i \ge 2, r_i \ge 2, i = 1, 2, ..., p$ ) if and only if

$$m_q \ge D_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{q-1}}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p).$$

### **2.** Decomposition of $K_{m,n}$ into p factors

In the graph  $K_{m,n}$  (where m, n are integers such that  $2 \le m \le n$ ) there evidently exists a factor with an arbitrary diameter d such that  $2 \le d \le m$  with the exception of m = n, d = 2m and a factor with an arbitrary radius r such that  $2 \le r \le m$ . Moreover, a factor with another finite diameter or radius in  $K_{m,n}$  does not exist. If  $m = 1, n \ge 2$ , then in the graph  $K_{m,n}$  there exists a factor with the diameter 2 or  $\infty$ only and with the radius 1 or  $\infty$  only.

**Lemma 1** [3]. If a finite connected graph has order p, radius r and diameter d, then the following inequalities hold:

(a) 
$$d \le 2r \le 2d$$
.  
(b)  $p \ge \begin{cases} d+1, & \text{if } d \le 2r \le d+1, \\ d+r, & \text{if } d+2 \le 2r \le 2d. \end{cases}$ 

**Theorem 2.** Let m, n and r be positive integers. Then in the complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$  there exists a factor with diameter d and radius r if and only if one of the following six cases occurs:

- (1) m = n = d = r = 1.
- (2) m = 1 < n, d = 2, r = 1.
- (3)  $m=n, 3 \leq d \leq 2r \leq d+1 \leq 2m$ .
- (4)  $m < n, 3 \le d \le 2r \le d + 1 \le 2m + 1$ .
- (5)  $m \leq n \leq m+r$ ,  $d+2 \leq 2r \leq 2d$ ,  $d+r \leq m+n$ .
- (6) n > m + r,  $d + 2 \leq 2r \leq 2d$ ,  $d \leq 2m$ .

**Proof.** I. Let  $K_{m,n}$  have a factor with diameter d and radius r. If  $m \le n$ , then we evidently have:

- (7)  $d \leq 2m 1$ , if m = n,
- (8)  $d \leq 2m$ , if m < n.

If d = 1 or r = 1, then (1) or (2) evidently holds. Therefore let d > 1, r > 1. If d = 2, r > 1, then from (a) we have r = 2 and (5) or (6) holds. Therefore let  $d \ge 3$ . According to (a) we have either

 $(9) \ 3 \le d \le 2r \le d+1$ 

or

 $(10) \quad d+2 \leq 2r \leq 2d.$ 

In the case (9) according to (7) and (8) either (3) or (4) holds. Therefore let (10) hold. Put p = m + n. If  $m \le n \le m + r$ , then according to (b)  $d + r \le m + n$  and (5) holds. If n > m + r, then according to (8)  $d \le 2m$  and (6) holds. Thus some of the conditions (1)—(6) always holds.

II. Let some of the conditions (1)—(6) hold. We shall construct a factor F of  $K_{m,n}$  with diameter d and radius r. Denote by A and B the parts of  $K_{m,n}$ , where |A| = m, |B| = n and  $m \le n$ .

If (1) or (2) holds, then it is sufficient to set  $F = K_{m,n}$ . When (3) or (4) holds, then the factor F contains the edges of the path  $v_1v_2...v_{d+1}$  where  $v_1, v_3, v_5, ... \in B, v_2,$  $v_4, v_6, ... \in A$  and all edges (if they exist)  $v_2x$  and  $v_3y$ , where x [or y] is the vertex from the part B [or A, respectively] not belonging to the path  $v_1v_2v_3...v_{d+1}$ . It is clear that F has diameter d and radius

$$r = \left[\frac{d+1}{2}\right].$$

If (5) or (6) holds, then the factor F is defined by the edges of the path  $v_1v_2...v_{d+r}$ , where  $v_1, v_3, v_5, ... \in B$ ,  $v_2, v_4, ... \in A$  and by the edge  $v_1v_{2r}$  and all the edges (if they exist)  $v_2x$  and  $v_3y$  where x [or y] is the vertex of the part B [or A, respectively] do not belonging to the path  $v_1v_2v_3...v_{d+r}$ .

It is easy to see that the maximum [or the minimum] of the eccentricity of a vertex is d [or r] and it is reached for the vertex  $v_{d+r}$  [or  $v_{2r}$ , respectively]. Hence the factor F has diameter d and radius r.

#### **3.** Decomposition of K<sub>m,n</sub> into two factors

Our results are complete in the case of bipartite graphs (i. e. q = 2), two factors (i. e. p = 2) and diameters equal to radii  $(d_i = r_i)$ . There are found for every given diameters  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$  and radii  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  such that  $d_1 = r_1$ ,  $d_2 = r_2$  all the couples (m, n) such that  $m \le n$ ,  $D_m(d_1, d_2, r_1, r_2) = n$ , and  $D_M(d_1, d_2, r_1, r_2) = N$  does not hold for any  $M \le m$ ,  $N \le n$  and  $(M, N) \ne (m, n)$ . These couples are given in table I.

$d_1 = r_1$ $d_2 = r_2$	œ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
∞	(1, 2)	(1, 1)	(2, )	(3, 3)				
1	(1, 1)			In this	s area no decomposition exists for any $K_{m,n}$			
2	(2, 2)							
3	(3, 3)			(6, 6)	(5, 7) (6, 6)	(5, 5)	(6, 6)	(7, 7)
4				(5, 7) (6, 6)	(4, 4)			
5				(5, 5)				
6				(6, 6)	In this area no decomposition exists for any $K_{m,n}$			
7				(7, 7)				

Table 1

**Theorem 3.** Let  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ ,  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$  be positive integers or symbols  $\infty$  and m, n be cardinal numbers such that  $d_1 \leq d_2$ ,  $d_1 = r_1$ ,  $d_2 = r_2$  and  $m \leq n$  hold. Then the complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$  is decomposable into two factors with diameters  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  and radii  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  if and only if one of the following cases occurs:

÷

- (1)  $d_1 = d_2 = \infty, m \ge 1, n \ge 2.$
- (2)  $d_1 = 1, d_2 = \infty, m = 1, n = 1.$
- (3)  $d_1 = 2, d_2 = \infty, m \ge 2.$
- (4)  $d_1 = 3, d_2 = \infty, m \ge 3.$
- (5)  $d_1 = 3$ ,  $d_2 = 3$  or 4,  $m \ge 6$ .
- (6)  $d_1 = 3, d_2 = 4, m \ge 5, n \ge 7.$
- (7)  $d_1 = 3, 5 \le d_2 < \infty, m \ge d_2.$
- (8)  $d_1 = 4, d_2 = 4, m \ge 4.$

Proof of the Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 4 of [5] and Theorem 11 of [4]. It is sufficient to check that the graphs constructed there have  $r_1 = d_1$  and  $r_2 = d_2$ .

The next Corollary shows for which diameters and radii it is possible to decompose a complete bipartite graph.

**Corollary.** Let positive integers  $d_1 = r_1$ ,  $d_2 = r_2$  ( $d_1 \le d_2$ ) be given. A complete bipartite graph decomposable into two factors with diameters  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  and with radii  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  exists if and only if one of the following cases occurs:

(1)  $d_1 = 3$ .

(2)  $d_1 = d_2 = 4$ .

Proof. This is obvious from Theorem 3.

#### REFERENCES

- BOSÁK, J.—ROSA, A.—ZNÁM, Š.: On decomposition of complete graphs into factors with given diameters, Theory of graphs, Proceedings of the colloquium held at Tihany, Hungary, September 1966, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest 1968, 37—56.
- [2] HARARY, F.: Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- [3] NIEPEL, L.: On decomposition of complete graph into factors with given diameters and radii, Math. Slovaca 30, 1980, 3-11.
- [4] TOMOVÁ, E.: Decomposition of complete bipartite graphs into factors with given diameters, Math. Slovaca 27, 1977, 113–128.
- [5] TOMOVÁ, E.: Decomposition of complete bipartite graphs into factors with given radii, Math. Slovaca 27, 1977, 231-237.

Received July 1981.

Matematický ústav SAV Obrancov mieru 49 814 73 Bratislava

# РАЗЛОЖЕНИЕ ПОЛНЫХ ДВУДОЛЬНЫХ ГРАФОВ НА ФАКТОРЫ С ДАННЫМИ ДИАМЕТРАМИ И РАДИУСАМИ

#### Eliška Tomová

#### Резюме

Рассматривается проблема существования разложения полных двудольных графов на факторы с данными диаметрами и радиусами. Для случая факторов с равняющимися диаметрами и радиусами проблема решена полностью.