

Beáta Stehlíková

On the convergence of operators

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 38 (1988), No. 4, 395--401

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136476>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF OPERATORS

BEÁTA STEHLÍKOVÁ

1. Introduction

This paper is a contribution to the non-commutative probability theory. The following Theorem is known in the conventional probability theory [3].

Theorem 0. *Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of random variables. If x_n converges in probability to x , then x_n converges in distribution to x .*

We obtain in consequence of Theorem 0 that if x_n converges in the square mean to x , then x_n converges in distribution to x .

In the generalized probability theory the σ -algebra of subsets of a set is replaced by the lattice of all closed subspaces of a Hilbert space. The random variables are replaced by self-adjoint operators and the probability measures by states. Our aim is to prove a non-commutative version of Theorem 0.

2. Convergence of operators

Let us begin with some notations and preliminaries. Let H be a complex separable Hilbert space, $\dim H \geq 3$. Let $L(H)$ be the set of all closed linear subspaces of H (or equivalently, the set of all projections on H). If $e \in H$ is a unit vector (i.e. $\|e\| = 1$), then a map $m_e: L(H) \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ such that $m_e(P) = (Pe, e)$ is a vector state on $L(H)$. By the Gleason theorem [4], every state can be written in the form $m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i m_{e_i}$, where $\{e_i\}$ is a complete orthonormal system with $c_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i = 1$. Recall that a state m on $L(H)$ is called faithful if the equality $m(P) = 0$ implies $P = 0$. It can be easily seen that if a state $m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i m_{e_i}$ is faithful, then $c_i > 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let A, A_1, A_2, \dots be bounded self-adjoint operators on H . We say that the sequence $\{A_n\}$ converges on A in the measure $[m]$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(P_{A_n} \langle -\varepsilon, \varepsilon \rangle) = 1$, where $P_{A_n - A}$ is the spectral resolution of $A_n - A$. We say that the sequence $\{A_n\}$ converges on A in the square mean with respect to the state m if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m((A_n - A)^2) = 0$ (i.e. if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i ((A_n - A)^2 e_i, e_i) \right) = 0$, where $m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i m_i$).

If A is a self-adjoint operator on H and m is a state on $L(H)$, the characteristic distribution function of the operator A is the map $F_A^m: R \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, where $F_A^m(t) = m(P_A(-\infty, t))$ ($t \in R$). (We put R for the real numbers and $B(R)$ for the σ -algebra of the Borel subsets of R .) We say that the sequence $\{A_n\}$ converges to A in distribution (with respect to m) if $F_{A_n}^m(t) \rightarrow F_A^m(t)$ in every continuity point $t \in R$ of the function $F_A^m(t)$.

Finally, let us define the characteristic function of a self-adjoint operator A in the state m as the map $\Phi_A^m: R \rightarrow C$, where $\Phi_A^m(t) = \int_R e^{it} m(P_A(ds))$.

Similarly as in the conventional probability theory, we can prove the following statement.

Proposition 1. *The sequence $\{A_n\}$ of bounded self-adjoint operators on H converges on the self-adjoint operator A in distribution if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{A_n}^m(t) = \Phi_A^m(t)$ for all $t \in R$.*

The proof of the main result will be performed in several steps.

Proposition 2. *Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of bounded self-adjoint operators such that the sequence $\{A_n \varphi\}$ is Cauchy for every $\varphi \in H$. Then there is a bounded self-adjoint operator A such that $A_n \rightarrow A$ in the strong topology.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in H$. By the assumption the sequence $\{A_n \varphi\}$ is Cauchy.

From the completeness of H , there is a vector $\psi \in H$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n \varphi - \psi\| = 0$. Put $A\varphi = \psi$. It can be easily seen that the map $A: \varphi \rightarrow A\varphi$ is linear.

From the equality $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \|A_r \varphi - A\varphi\| = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|A_r \varphi - A_s \varphi\| = 0$ it follows that $A_n \rightarrow A$ in the strong topology. The convergence in strong topology implies the convergence in weak topology and therefore

$$(\varphi, A\psi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi, A_n \psi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n \varphi, \psi) = (A\varphi, \psi)$$

for every $\varphi, \psi \in H$, which implies that A is self-adjoint. We shall prove that the operator A is bounded. By the principle of uniform boundedness we have that the sequence $\{\|A_n\|\}$ is bounded. From this we obtain that $\|A\varphi\| =$

$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_n \varphi\| \leq K \|\varphi\|$ for every $\varphi \in H$, where $\|A_n\| \leq K$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). This completes the proof.

Proposition 3. *Let m be a faithful state on $L(H)$ and let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of uniformly bounded self-adjoint operators such that $m((A_r - A_s)^2) \rightarrow 0$ ($r, s \rightarrow \infty$). Then the sequence $\{A_n \varphi\}$ is Cauchy for every vector $\varphi \in H$.*

Proof. By the Gleason theorem we have that $m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i m_{e_i}$ where $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i = 1$, $c_i > 0$ for every $i \in N$ (as m is faithful) and $\{e_i\}$ is a complete orthonormal system in H . Since

$$c_i m_{e_i}((A_r - A_s)^2) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i m_{e_i}((A_r - A_s)^2) = m((A_r - A_s)^2) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have $m_{e_i}(A_r - A_s)^2 \rightarrow 0$ for every e_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). Take $\varphi \in H$. Then $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\varphi, e_i) e_i$. Let $M < \infty$ be such that $\sup_n \|A_n\| < M$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $k \in N$ be such that $\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} (\varphi, e_i) e_i < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}$. We have

$$m_{e_i}((A_r - A_s)^2) = \|(A_r - A_s) e_i\|^2 \rightarrow 0$$

for $r, s \rightarrow \infty$ and for every e_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). This implies that there is $n_0 \in N$ such that for $r, s \geq n_0$ there holds $\|(A_r - A_s) e_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2D}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where

$D = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |(\varphi, e_i)|$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_r - A_s) \varphi\| &= \left\| (A_r - A_s) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\varphi, e_i) e_i \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k |(\varphi, e_i)| \|(A_r - A_s) e_i\| + (\|A_r\| + \|A_s\|) \left\| \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} (\varphi, e_i) e_i \right\| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From this we see that the sequence $\{A_n \varphi\}$ is Cauchy for every $\varphi \in H$. This concludes the proof.

Proposition 4. *Let m be a faithful state on $L(H)$ and let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of uniformly bounded self-adjoint operators on H . Let $m((A_r - A_s)^2) \rightarrow 0$ for $r, s \rightarrow \infty$. Then there is a bounded self-adjoint operator A such that $m((A_n - A)^2) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. From Proposition 2 and Proposition 3 it follows that there is a bounded self-adjoint operator A such that $A_n \rightarrow A$ in the strong topology. Write $m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i m_{e_i}$, where $c_i > 0$ for every i and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i = 1$. Let $M < \infty$ be such that

$\sup_n \|A_n\| < M$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $k \in N$ such that $\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} c_i < \frac{\varepsilon}{8M^2}$. The strong convergence $A_n \rightarrow A$ implies that especially $\|(A_n - A)e_i\| \rightarrow 0$ for every e_i . Then there is $n_0 \in N$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$ there holds $\|(A_n - A)e_i\|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. For $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} m((A_n - A)^2) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \|(A_n - A)e_j\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \|(A_n - A)e_j\|^2 + \\ &+ \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} c_j \|(A_n - A)e_j\|^2 < \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + (\|A_n\| + \|A\|)^2 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} c_j < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m((A_n - A)^2) = 0$.

Proposition 5. *Let m be a faithful state on $L(H)$ and let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of uniformly bounded self-adjoint operators such that $m((A_n - A)^2) \rightarrow 0$. Then $A_n \rightarrow A$ in the strong topology.*

Proof. Let $m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i m_{e_i}$, where $c_i > 0$ for every $i \in N$. Similarly as in Proposition 3 we can show that $m_{e_i}((A_n - A)^2) \rightarrow 0$ for any $e_i (i = 1, 2, \dots)$. Let $M < \infty$ be such that $\sup_n \|A_n\| < M$. Let $\varphi \in H$. Then $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\varphi, e_i) e_i$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \in N$ such that $\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \|(\varphi, e_i) e_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}$. Since we have $m_{e_i}((A_n - A)^2) = \|(A_n - A)e_i\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for every e_i , there is $n_0 \in N$ such that for $n \geq n_0$ there holds $\|(A_n - A)e_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2D}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $D = \sum_{i=1}^k |(\varphi, e_i)|$. Then we have

$$\|(A_n - A)\varphi\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k |(\varphi, e_i)| \|(A_n - A)e_i\| + (\|A_n\| + \|A\|) \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \|(\varphi, e_i) e_i\|.$$

Therefore $A_n \rightarrow A$ in the strong topology.

We note that making use of a similar method the converse of Proposition 3 and Proposition 5 can be also proved.

Theorem 6 (Trotter). *Let $A, \{A_n\}$ be self-adjoint operators. Then $(\lambda I - A_n)^{-1} \rightarrow (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ for every complex number λ such that $\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$ if and only if $e^{itA_n} \rightarrow e^{itA}$ in the strong topology for every $t \in R$.*

The Theorem 6 is proved in [2].

Proposition 7. *Let $\{A_n\}$ be uniformly bounded self-adjoint operators. Then*

$A_n \rightarrow A$ in the strong topology if and only if $(\lambda I - A_n)^{-1} \rightarrow (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ for every complex number λ such that $\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $A_n \rightarrow A$ in the strong topology, i.e. $\|(A_n - A)\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ for every $\varphi \in H$. Then if $\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$, then $(A_n - A)(A - \lambda)^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ in the strong topology (since for every $\varphi \in H$ we have

$$\|(A_n - A)(A - \lambda)^{-1}\varphi\| \leq \|(A_n - A)\varphi\| \|(A - \lambda)^{-1}\|).$$

Using the identity

$$(A_n - \lambda)^{-1} = (A - \lambda)^{-1}(I + (A_n - A)(A - \lambda)^{-1})^{-1}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(A_n - \lambda)^{-1}\varphi - (A - \lambda)^{-1}\varphi\| = \\ & = \|(A - \lambda)^{-1}((I + (A_n - A)(A - \lambda)^{-1})^{-1} - I)\varphi\| \leq \\ & \leq \|(A_n - A)(A - \lambda)^{-1}\varphi\| \|(A - \lambda)^{-1}\| \|(A_n - \lambda)^{-1}\| \|(A - \lambda)\| \leq \\ & \leq \|(A_n - A)(A - \lambda)^{-1}\varphi\| (\sup_n \|A_n\| + |\lambda|)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|(A_n - A)(A - \lambda)^{-1}\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ and $(\sup_n \|A_n\| + |\lambda|)^{-1}$ is bounded, we obtain $(\lambda I - A_n)^{-1} \rightarrow (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for every λ such that $\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$.

Now we shall prove the converse implication. We use the identity

$$(A_n - A) = (A_n - i)((A - i)^{-1} - (A_n - i)^{-1})(A - i).$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_n - A)\varphi\| &= \|(A_n - i)((A - i)^{-1} - (A_n - i)^{-1})(A - i)\varphi\| \leq \\ &\leq \|(A - i)^{-1}\varphi - (A_n - i)^{-1}\varphi\| (\sup_n \|A_n\| + 1)(\|A\| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

From this we obviously conclude that $A_n \rightarrow A$ in the strong topology.

Theorem 8. Let m be a faithful state on $L(H)$ and let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of uniformly bounded self-adjoint operators on H such that $m((A_n - A)^2) \rightarrow 0$. Then A_n converges on A in distribution (with respect to m).

Proof. By Proposition 5, $A_n \rightarrow A$ in the strong topology. By Trotter's theorem and Proposition 7, this is equivalent to $e^{itA_n} \rightarrow e^{itA}$ in the strong topology for every t . The convergence in the strong topology implies the convergence in the weak topology. therefore for every $\varphi, \psi \in H$ we have

$$((e^{itA_n} - e^{itA})\varphi, \psi) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Let $m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i m_{e_i}$. Then $((e^{itA_n} - e^{itA})e_i, e_i) \rightarrow 0$ holds for every e_i . therefore we

have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j ((e^{iA_n} - e^{iA}) e_j, e_j) \right| \leq \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{j=1}^k c_j ((e^{iA_n} - e^{iA}) e_j, e_j) \right| + \left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} c_j ((e^{iA_n} - e^{iA}) e_j, e_j) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

As $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j = 1$, for given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $k \in N$ such that $\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} c_j < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}$, where

$\infty > M > \sup_n \|A_n\|$. The convergence $e^{iA_n} \rightarrow e^{iA}$ in the weak topology implies that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n_0 \in N$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$ there holds $|((e^{iA_n} - e^{iA}) e_j, e_j)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$). We have proved that the sequence of

characteristic functions $\Phi_{A_n}^m$ converges on Φ_A^m , which by Proposition 1 is equivalent to the convergence $A_n \rightarrow A$ in distribution (with respect to m).

Theorem 9. *Let m be a faithful state on $L(H)$ and let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of uniformly bounded self-adjoint operators such that A_n converges on A in measure $[m]$. Then the sequence of operators $\{A_n\}$ converges on A in distribution.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 8 and Lemma 5.4. [1].

Corollary 10. *Let m be a faithful state on $L(H)$ and $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of uniformly bounded self-adjoint operators such that A_n converges on A in the square mean with respect to m and let the distribution function of A_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be Gaussian. Then the distribution function of A (with respect to m) is Gaussian, too.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 8 and Lemma 16.10. [3].

Finally we shall show that the assumption of uniform boundedness is necessary to prove that the convergence in the square mean with respect to m_{e_i} ($m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i m_{e_i}$) implies convergence in the square mean with respect to m .

Example 1. Let $\{e_n\}$ be a complete orthonormal system in H and $\{P_n\}$ be the projections on the subspaces generated by $\{e_n\}$. Let the spectra of the operators A_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) consist of 0 and $2^{n/2}$. Put $A_n \{2^{n/2}\} = P_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $m = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} m_{e_i}$. Clearly $A_n = 2^{n/2} P_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and there holds $m_{e_i}(P_n) = \delta_{in}$ and $m_{e_i}(A_n^2) = 2^n \delta_{in}$ ($i, n = 1, 2, \dots$). Then $m_{e_i}(A_n^2) \rightarrow 0$ for every state e_i , but $m(A_n^2) = 1$.

Acknowledgement

The author gratefully acknowledges valuable suggestions of Dr. Sylvia Pulmannová.

REFERENCES

- [1] GUDDER, S. P.—MULLIKIN, H. C.: Measure theoretic convergences of observables and operators. *J. Math. Phys.*, 14, 1173, 2, 234—242.
- [2] REED, M.—SIMON, B.: *Methods of Modern Mathematical physics. Vol. 1.*, Academic Press N. Y. 1973.
- [3] YEH, J.: *Stochastic Processes and the Wiener Integral.* Marcel Dekker N. Y. 1973.
- [4] VARADARAJAN, V. S.: *Geometry of Quantum Theory. Vol. 1.*, Van Nostrand, Princeton N. Y. 1968.

Received July 1, 1986

*Výpočtové středisko OBP
ul. SNP 9
940 01 Nové Zámky
Czechoslovakia*

О СХОДИМОСТИ ОПЕРАТОРОВ

Beáta Stehliková

Резюме

В этой статье доказывается, что если последовательность равномерно ограниченных самосопряженных операторов сходится по мере и если состояние точное, то сходится и по распределению.