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# RESULTS ON THE RATIOS OF THE TERMS OF SECOND ORDER LINEAR RECURRENCES 

PÉTER KISS*


#### Abstract

We survey the results concerning the diophantine approximative property of second order linear recurrences and discuss the dependence of the estimate on the discriminant of a characteristic polynomial.


Let $R=\left\{R_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a second order linear recursive sequence of rational integers defined by

$$
R_{n}=A R_{n-1}+B R_{n-2} \quad(n>1)
$$

where $R_{0}, R_{1}$ and $A, B$ are fixed integers with $A B \neq 0, R_{0}^{2}+R_{1}^{2} \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{D}=A^{2}+4 B \neq 0$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be the roots of the equation $x^{2}-A x-B=0$, where $\alpha \neq \beta$ since $\mathbf{D} \neq 0$. It is known that the terms of $R$ can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}=a \alpha^{n}+b \beta^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $n \geq 0$, where

$$
a=\frac{R_{1}-\beta R_{0}}{\alpha-\beta} \quad \text { and } \quad b=\frac{R_{1}-\alpha R_{0}}{\beta-\alpha}
$$

(see e.g. [1], pp. 106-108).
Throughout this paper we assume $|\alpha| \geq|\beta|, a b \neq 0$ and the sequence is nondegenerate, i.e. $\alpha / \beta$ is not a root of unity. We may also suppose that $R_{n} \neq 0$ for $n>0$ since in [2] it was proved that a non-degenerate sequence $R$ has at most one zero term and after a change of indices this condition will be fulfilled.

If $\mathbf{D}=A^{2}+4 B>0$, i.e. if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are real numbers, then $(\beta / \alpha)^{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so by (1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_{n+1}}{R_{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1+(b / a)(\beta / \alpha)^{n+1}}{1+(b / a)(\beta / \alpha)^{n}} \cdot \alpha=\alpha \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This raises the following interesting problem: what is the quality of approximation of $\alpha$ by rationals of the form $R_{n+1} / R_{n}$ ? In [3], using the continued fraction expansion of $\alpha$, we proved that this approximation is "good" only if $|B|=1$.

[^0]Theorem 1. If $\mathbf{D}>0, R_{0}=0, R_{1}=1$ and $\alpha$ is irrational, then the inequality

$$
\left|\alpha-\frac{R_{n+1}}{R_{n}}\right|<\frac{1}{c R_{n}^{2}}
$$

holds with a positive real number $c$ for infinitely many integer $n$ if and only if $|B|=1$ and $c \leq \sqrt{\mathrm{D}}$. Furthermore, if $p / q$ is a rational number such that

$$
\left|\alpha-\frac{p}{q}\right|<\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{D}} q^{2}}
$$

then $p / q$ has the form $p / q=R_{n+1} / R_{n}$.
In some other special cases similar results follow from [4] and [7].
In a joint paper with Z. Sinka [5] the complete answer to the problem was obtained by determining the measure of approximation for general second order recurrences.

Theorem 2. Let $R$ be a non-degenerate linear recurrence with $\mathbf{D}>0$. Define the numbers $k_{0}$ and $c_{0} b y$

$$
k_{0}=2-\frac{\log |B|}{\log |\alpha|} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{0}=\frac{(\sqrt{\mathrm{D}})^{-1}}{\left|a^{k_{0}-1} b\right|}
$$

and let $k$ and $c$ be positive real numbers. Then

$$
\left|\alpha-\frac{R_{n+1}}{R_{n}}\right|<\frac{1}{c R_{n}^{k}}
$$

holds for infinitely many integers $n$ if and only if
(i) $k<k_{0}$ and $c$ is arbitrary, or
(ii) $k=k_{0}$ and $c<c_{0}$, or
(iii) $k=k_{0}, c=c_{0}$ and $B>0$, or
(iv) $k=k_{0}, c=c_{0}, B<0$ and $b / a>0$.

Since $1 \leq|B|=|\alpha \beta|<|\alpha|^{2}, k_{0}>0$ always holds. Furthermore, Theorem 1 is a special case of Theorem 2 since $a=-b=1 /(\alpha-\beta)=1 / \sqrt{\mathbf{D}}$ if $R_{0}=0$, $R_{1}=1$, and $k_{0}=2$ if $|B|=1$.

The case $\mathbf{D}<0$ is far more complicated. In this case $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are nonreal complex numbers with $|\alpha|=|\beta|$ and (2) does not hold even if we consider the absolute values of the numbers. Since $\alpha(=\bar{\beta})$ and $a(=\bar{b})$ are complex conjugates of $\beta$ and $b$ respectively, we can write

$$
\beta=r \mathrm{e}^{\pi \theta \mathrm{i}}, \quad \alpha=r \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \theta \mathrm{i}}, \quad \beta / \alpha=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \theta \mathrm{i}}
$$

and

$$
b=r_{1} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \gamma \mathbf{i}}, \quad a=r_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \gamma \mathbf{i}}, \quad b / a=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \omega \mathbf{i}}
$$

where $r, r_{1}, \theta, \gamma$ and $\omega$ are positive real numbers with $0<\theta, \gamma, \omega<1$. Using these notations, we get from (1):

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{R_{n+1}}{R_{n}}\right| & =|\alpha| \cdot\left|\frac{1+(b / a)(\beta / \alpha)^{n+1}}{1+(b / a)(\beta / \alpha)^{n}}\right|= \\
& =|\alpha| \cdot\left|\frac{1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi(n+1) \theta \mathrm{i}+2 \pi \omega \mathrm{i}}}{1+\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi n \theta \mathrm{i}+2 \pi \omega \mathrm{i}}}\right| \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Under our conditions $\beta / \alpha$ is not a root of unity and so $\theta$ is an irrational number. This implies that the sequence $(n \theta+\omega), n=1,2, \ldots$, is uniformly distributed modulo 1 and then $n \theta+\omega$ can come arbitrarily "close" to the real number $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\theta}{2}$ for infinitely many $n$. Hence

$$
\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}(n \theta+\omega)} \approx \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}(1 / 2-\theta / 2)}=z
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}((n+1) \theta+\omega)} \approx \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i}(1 / 2+\theta / 2)}=\bar{z}
$$

for these $n$ 's ( $z$ and $\bar{z}$ are conjugate complex numbers). From this and from (3) it follows that

$$
|\alpha| \cdot\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{|\alpha|}\right)<\left|\frac{R_{n+1}}{R_{n}}\right|<|\alpha| \cdot\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{|\alpha|}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left||\alpha|-\left|\frac{R_{n+1}}{R_{n}}\right|\right|<\varepsilon \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for infinitely many $n$ with any $\varepsilon>0$.
(4) raises the question: what can we say about $\varepsilon$ ? In a joint paper with $R$. Tichy [6], using a result for the discrepancy of the sequence $(n \theta+\omega)$, the following result was proved:

Theorem 3. For any non-degenerate second order linear recurrence $R$, for which $\mathbf{D}<0$, there is a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left||\alpha|-\left|\frac{R_{n+1}}{R_{n}}\right|\right|<\frac{1}{n^{c}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for infinitely many $n$.
This approximation of $|\alpha|$ by $\left|R_{n+1} / R_{n}\right|$ is very "bad", since $n \approx \log \left|R_{n}\right|$ by (1). However, surprisingly, this approximation, apart from the constant $c$, is the best possible one.

Also in [6], using results about the estimation of linear forms of logarithms of algebraic numbers, we have shown:

Theorem 4. For any non-degenerate second order linear recurrence $R$, for which $\mathbf{D}<0$, there is a constant $c^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left||\alpha|-\left|\frac{R_{n+1}}{R_{n}}\right|\right|>\frac{1}{n^{c^{\prime}}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$.
The only remaining open problem consists of determining the best values of the constants $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ in Theorems 3 and 4. In [5] we obtained the following improvernent of Theorem 3 for a special case:

Theorem 5. Let $R$ be a non-degenerate second order linear recurrence with $\mathbf{D}<0$ and initial values $R_{0}=0, R_{1}=1$. Then there is a constant $c_{1}(>0)$ such that

$$
\left||\alpha|-\left|\frac{R_{n+1}}{R_{n}}\right|\right|<\frac{c_{1}}{n}
$$

for infinitely many $n$.
I conjecture that there exists an absolute constant $\mathcal{C}$ not depending on the parameters of the sequence $R$ such that (5) holds with any $c<\mathcal{C}$ for infinitely many $n$ and (6) holds with any $c^{\prime}>\mathcal{C}$ for all sufficiently large $n$.
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