

Ján Borsík

Maxima and minima of simply continuous and quasicontinuous functions

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 46 (1996), No. 2-3, 261--268

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136673>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

*Dedicated to Professor Tibor Šalát
on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

MAXIMA AND MINIMA OF SIMPLY CONTINUOUS AND QUASICONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

JÁN BORSÍK

(Communicated by Lúbia Holá)

ABSTRACT. Functions which are maxima and minima of simply continuous and quasicontinuous functions are characterized.

In what follows, X denotes a topological space. For a subset A of a topological space denote by $\text{Cl } A$ and $\text{Int } A$ the closure and the interior of A , respectively. The letters \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} stand for the set of natural, rational and real numbers, respectively. For $x \in X$ denote by \mathcal{U}_x the family of all neighbourhoods of x . A regular (normal) space is not assumed to be T_1 .

A family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{R}^X$ of real functions is a lattice if and only if $\min(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\max(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$. The symbol $L(\mathcal{F})$ stands for the lattice generated by \mathcal{F} , i.e., the smallest lattice of functions containing \mathcal{F} .

We recall that a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *quasicontinuous* (*cliquish*) at a point $x \in X$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each neighbourhood U of x there is a nonempty open set $G \subset U$ such that $|f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ for each $y \in G$ ($|f(y) - f(z)| < \varepsilon$ for each $y, z \in G$). A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quasicontinuous (cliquish) if it is quasicontinuous (cliquish) at each point $x \in X$ (see [6]).

A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *simply continuous* if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a simply open set in X for each open set V in \mathbb{R} . A set A is *simply open* if it is the union of an open set and a nowhere dense set (see [1]).

Denote by \mathcal{Q} , \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{K} the set of all functions (in \mathbb{R}^X) which are quasicontinuous, simply continuous and cliquish, respectively. By $C(f)$ and $Q(f)$ we will denote the set of all continuity points of a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the set of all

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 54C08.

Key words: quasicontinuity, simply continuity, minimum, maximum.

quasicontinuity points of f , respectively. Furthermore, let $A(f) = X \setminus Q(f)$. It is easy to see that $Q \subset S$ and $Q \subset K$. If X is a Baire space, then every simply continuous function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is cliquish ([7]). Example 1 in [3] shows that the assumption “ X is a Baire space” cannot be omitted.

In [5], T. Natkaniec has characterized the maximum of real quasicontinuous functions of one real variable. Properties of \mathbb{R} (the ordering and the completeness) in his proof play the key role. In this paper, we shall give a characterization of the maximum of real quasicontinuous functions defined on a regular second countable topological space.

It is well known that $f + g$, $|f|$ and cf ($c \in \mathbb{R}$) are cliquish functions for cliquish functions $f, g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for an arbitrary topological space X . Hence $\max(f, g)$ and $\min(f, g)$ are cliquish functions for cliquish f and g and $L(K) = K$.

By [2], the sum of a simply continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a continuous function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ need not be a simply continuous function. Nevertheless, we have:

THEOREM 1. *Assume that X is a topological space with the following property:*

- (*) *if $(X_n)_n$ is a partition of X such that $\bigcup_{n \in M} X_n$ is simply open for each $M \subset \mathbb{N}$, and G is a nonempty open set in X , then $G \cap \text{Int } X_n \neq \emptyset$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Then both $\min(f, g)$ and $\max(f, g)$ are simply continuous functions whenever $f, g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are simply continuous, i.e., $L(S) = S$.

Proof. Suppose that $h = \max(f, g)$ is not simply continuous. Then there is an open set V in \mathbb{R} such that $h^{-1}(V)$ is not simply open, i.e., $h^{-1}(V) \setminus \text{Int } h^{-1}(V)$ is not nowhere dense. Let $A \subset X$ be an open set such that $h^{-1}(V) \setminus \text{Int } h^{-1}(V)$ is dense in A . This yields

- (1) $h^{-1}(V)$ is dense in A ,
- (2) $\text{Int } h^{-1}(V) \cap A = \emptyset$.

Since f is simply continuous, there is an open nonempty set $B \subset A$ such that $B \cap (f^{-1}(V) \setminus \text{Int } f^{-1}(V)) = \emptyset$. Further, there is an open nonempty set $C \subset B$ such that $C \cap (g^{-1}(V) \setminus \text{Int } g^{-1}(V)) = \emptyset$. We have four possibilities:

a) $C \cap g^{-1}(V) = \emptyset = C \cap f^{-1}(V)$. Then $g(x) \notin V$, $f(x) \notin V$, and hence $h(x) \notin V$ for each $x \in C$, which is a contradiction with (1).

b) $C \subset g^{-1}(V) \cap f^{-1}(V)$. Then $g(x), f(x) \in V$, and hence $h(x) \in V$ for each $x \in C$, which is a contradiction with (2).

c) $C \cap g^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$ and $C \subset f^{-1}(V)$. Let $(J_n)_n$ be a sequence of all components of V . By (*), there are an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a nonempty open subset $W \subset C$ such that $f(x) \in J_n$ for each $x \in W$. Since $g(W) \cap J_n = \emptyset$, $g(W) \subset (-\infty, \inf J_n] \cup [\sup J_n, \infty)$. It is easy to observe that the sets $g^{-1}((-\infty, \inf J_n])$

and $g^{-1}([\sup J_n, \infty))$ are simply open, so there is a nonempty open set $U \subset W$ such that either $U \subset g^{-1}((-\infty, \inf J_n])$ or $U \subset g^{-1}([\sup J_n, \infty))$. This yields $h|_U = f|_U$ and $U \subset h^{-1}(V)$, which is a contradiction with (2), or $h|_U = g|_U$ and $U \cap h^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction with (1).

d) $C \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$ and $C \subset g^{-1}(V)$. The proof is similar as in c). □

Recall that a collection \mathcal{P} of nonempty open sets in X is a π -base for X if every nonempty open subset of X contains at least one member of \mathcal{P} . A π -base \mathcal{P} is said to be locally countable if each member of \mathcal{P} contains only countably many members of \mathcal{P} (see [4]).

PROPOSITION 1. *If X either is a Baire topological space, or has a locally countable π -base, then it possesses the property (*).*

PROOF. If X is a Baire space, then this is a consequence of the Baire Category Theorem. Assume that X has a locally countable π -base \mathcal{P} . Suppose that (*) fails. Then there are a nonempty set G and a partition of X of simply open sets $(X_n)_n$ such that $G \cap \text{Int } X_n = \emptyset$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let P be a member of \mathcal{P} with $P \subset G$, and let $(U_n)_n$ be a π -base for P . Since $X_n \cap P$ are nowhere dense sets, we can choose by induction a one-to-one sequence of positive integers $(t_n)_n$ such that

$$X_{t_{2n}} \cap U_n \neq \emptyset \neq X_{t_{2n+1}} \cap U_n \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then the set $Y = \bigcup_n X_{t_{2n}}$ is dense in P , and $P \cap \text{Int } Y = \emptyset$. Thus Y is not simply open, which is a contradiction. □

Remark 1. There are topological spaces which neither are Baire nor have locally countable π -base, but still possess the property (*). In fact, let X be uncountable product of \mathbb{Q} (\mathbb{Q} with the Euclidean topology of \mathbb{R}) with the Tychonoff topology. Then it is easy to verify that X has the property (*), has no locally countable π -base and it is not Baire.

Remark 2. There are topological spaces which do not satisfy the condition (*). In fact, let X be a space from [3], i.e., $X = \mathbb{N}$ with the topology $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D} \cup \{\emptyset\}$, where \mathcal{D} is an ultrafilter on X which contains no finite sets. (By [3; Example 1], there is a real simply continuous function on X which is not cliquish. By a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 1 in [3], we see that every real simply continuous function on a space with the property (*) is cliquish; hence the space X does not satisfy (*).)

PROBLEM 1. Is Theorem 1 true for an arbitrary topological space?

LEMMA 1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (X is an arbitrary topological space), and let the set $A(f)$ be nowhere dense. Then $\liminf_{u \rightarrow x, u \in Q(f)} f(u) \leq f(x) \leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow x, u \in Q(f)} f(u)$ for each $x \in Q(f)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in Q(f)$, and suppose that $\limsup_{u \rightarrow x, u \in Q(f)} f(u) < c < f(x)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there is a neighbourhood U of x such that $f(u) < c$ for each $u \in Q(f) \cap U$, $u \neq x$. Since $x \in Q(f)$, there is an open nonempty set $G \subset U$ such that $f(u) > c$ for $u \in G$. Since $A(f)$ is nowhere dense, there is $t \in G \cap Q(f)$. Now, $c < f(t) < c$, which is a contradiction. \square

Remark 3. If X is a Baire space, then $\limsup_{u \rightarrow x, u \in Q(f)} f(u) = \limsup_{u \rightarrow x, u \in C(f)} f(u)$. This is not true for an arbitrary topological space.

LEMMA 2. *Let X be a regular second countable topological space. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that the set $A(f)$ is nowhere dense and $f(x) \leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow x, u \in Q(f)} f(u)$ for each $x \in A(f)$. Then there is a sequence $(K_{n,m})_{m \leq n}$ of nonempty open sets in X such that*

- (i) $\text{Cl } K_{n,m} \cap \text{Cl } A(f) = \emptyset$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \leq n$,
- (ii) $\text{Cl } K_{r,s} \cap \text{Cl } K_{i,j} = \emptyset$ whenever $(r,s) \neq (i,j)$,
- (iii) for each $x \in X \setminus \text{Cl } A(f)$ there is a neighbourhood V of x such that the set $\{(n,m) : V \cap \text{Cl } K_{n,m} \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite,
- (iv) for each $x \in \text{Cl } A(f)$, for each neighbourhood U of x , and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $n \geq m$ such that $\text{Cl } K_{n,m} \subset U$ and $f(x) - \frac{1}{m} < \sup\{f(u) : u \in K_{n,m}\}$.

Proof. Let $(B_n)_n$ be a countable base of open sets in X , and let $(G_n)_n$ be a sequence of all sets in $(B_n)_n$ such that $G_n \cap \text{Cl } A(f) \neq \emptyset$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $(E_n)_n$ be a sequence of open sets in X such that $\text{Cl } E_{n+1} \subset E_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \text{Cl } A(f)$.

We put $H_{1,1} = (G_1 \cap E_1) \setminus \text{Cl } A(f) (\neq \emptyset)$ and $\beta_{1,1} = \min\{1, \sup\{f(t) : t \in H_{1,1}\} - 1\}$. Let $z_{1,1} \in H_{1,1}$ be such that $f(z_{1,1}) > \beta_{1,1}$, and let $K_{1,1}$ be an open set such that $z_{1,1} \in K_{1,1} \subset \text{Cl } K_{1,1} \subset H_{1,1}$.

Suppose that we have constructed sets $K_{r,s}$ for all $r < n$ ($n > 1$) and $s \leq r$. Put

$$H_{n,1} = (G_n \cap E_n) \setminus \left(\text{Cl } A(f) \cup \bigcup_{r < n} \bigcup_{s \leq r} \text{Cl } K_{r,s} \right).$$

Since $\text{Cl } K_{r,s} \cap \text{Cl } A(f) = \emptyset$ and $E_n \cap G_n \cap \text{Cl } A(f) \neq \emptyset$, the set $H_{n,1}$ is nonempty open. Put

$$\beta_{n,1} = \min\left\{n, \sup\{f(t) : t \in H_{n,1}\} - \frac{1}{n}\right\}.$$

MAXIMA AND MINIMA OF FUNCTIONS

Then there is $z_{n,1} \in H_{n,1}$ such that $f(z_{n,1}) > \beta_{n,1}$. Let $K_{n,1}$ be an open set such that $z_{n,1} \in K_{n,1} \subset \text{Cl } K_{n,1} \subset H_{n,1}$.

Now suppose that we have constructed sets $K_{n,p}$ for all $p < m$ ($1 < m \leq n$). Since $\text{Cl } K_{n,p} \cap \text{Cl } A(f) = \emptyset$ for $p < m$, the set

$$H_{n,m} = H_{n,1} \setminus \bigcup_{p < m} \text{Cl } K_{n,p}$$

is nonempty open. Put

$$\beta_{n,m} = \min\{n, \sup\{f(t) : t \in H_{n,m}\} - \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

Let $z_{n,m} \in H_{n,m}$ be such that $f(z_{n,m}) > \beta_{n,m}$, and let $K_{n,m}$ be an open set such that $z_{n,m} \in K_{n,m} \subset \text{Cl } K_{n,m} \subset H_{n,m}$.

We shall verify that $K_{n,m}$ satisfy (i), (ii), (iii), (iv). Conditions (i) and (ii) are obvious.

(iii): Let $x \in X \setminus \text{Cl } A(f)$. Then there is a neighbourhood V of x and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $V \cap E_k = \emptyset$. Therefore, if $V \cap \text{Cl } K_{n,m} \neq \emptyset$, then $n < k$ and the set $\{(n, m) : V \cap \text{Cl } K_{n,m} \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite.

(iv): Let $x \in \text{Cl } A(f)$. Let U be a neighbourhood of x and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n > \max\{m, f(x)\}$ and $x \in G_n \subset U$. Then $\text{Cl } K_{n,m} \subset H_{n,m} \subset U$. According to assumptions and Lemma 1, we have $f(x) \leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow x, u \in Q(f)} f(u)$. The set

$$J_{n,m} = \left((G_n \cap E_n) \setminus \bigcup_{r < n, s \leq r} \text{Cl } K_{r,s} \right) \setminus \bigcup_{p < m} \text{Cl } K_{n,p}$$

is a neighbourhood of x .

Hence, there is $y \in J_{n,m} \cap Q(f)$ such that $f(x) - \frac{1}{n} < f(y)$. Since $y \in Q(f)$ and the set $A(f)$ is nowhere dense, there is $z \in J_{n,m} \cap \text{Int } Q(f) = H_{n,m}$ such that $f(z) > f(x) - \frac{1}{n}$. Now, if $\sup\{f(t) : t \in H_{n,m}\} - \frac{1}{n} \leq n$, then $f(z) \leq \beta_{n,m} + \frac{1}{n} < f(z_{n,m}) + \frac{1}{n}$ and $f(x) - \frac{1}{m} < f(x) - \frac{1}{n} < f(x) - \frac{1}{2n} < f(z) - \frac{1}{n} < f(z_{n,m}) \leq \sup\{f(t) : t \in K_{n,m}\}$. If $\sup\{f(t) : t \in H_{n,m}\} - \frac{1}{n} > n$, then $f(x) - \frac{1}{m} < n < f(z_{n,m}) \leq \sup\{f(t) : t \in K_{n,m}\}$. □

THEOREM 2. Let X be a regular second countable topological space. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f = \max(f_0, f_1)$ for some quasicontinuous functions f_0 and f_1 if and only if the set $A(f)$ is nowhere dense and $f(x) \leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow x, u \in Q(f)} f(u)$

for each $x \in A(f)$.

Proof. Let $f = \max(f_0, f_1)$, where $f_0, f_1 \in Q$. Then $A(f)$ is nowhere dense by [5; Lemma 2] and $f(x) \leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow x, u \in Q(f)} f(u)$ for each $x \in A(f)$ by [5;

Lemma 3].

Now, let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $A(f)$ is nowhere dense and $f(x) \leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow x, u \in Q(f)} f(u)$ for each $x \in A(f)$. Then, by Lemma 2, there is a sequence of

open sets $(K_{n,m})_{m \leq n}$ satisfying (i)–(iv). Let $\mathbb{Q} = \{q_1, q_2, \dots\}$ be a one-to-one sequence of all rationals. For $i \in \{0, 1\}$ we define functions $f_i: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$f_i(x) = \begin{cases} \min\{f(x), q_m\} & \text{if there is } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n \geq 2m - i \text{ such that} \\ & x \in \text{Cl } K_{n,2m-i} \text{ and} \\ & f(x) \in \bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}_x} \text{Cl } f(U \cap K_{n,2m-i}), \\ f(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then evidently $f = \max\{f_0, f_1\}$. We shall verify that f_i are quasicontinuous. Let $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $x \in X$, let U be a neighbourhood of x , and let $\varepsilon > 0$. We have four possibilities.

a) Suppose that $x \in X \setminus \left(\text{Cl } A(f) \cup \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n \geq 2m-i} \text{Cl } K_{n,2m-i} \right)$. Then, with respect to (iii), there is a neighbourhood $V \subset U$ of x such that $f_i(t) = f(t)$ for each $t \in V$, and the quasicontinuity of f at x yields $x \in Q(f_i)$.

b) Suppose that $x \in \text{Cl } K_{n,2m-i}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2m - i$ and $f(x) \notin \bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}_x} \text{Cl } f(U \cap K_{n,2m-i})$. Then there are $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ and $W \subset U$, $W \in \mathcal{U}_x$ such that $(f(x) - \delta, f(x) + \delta) \cap \text{Cl } f(W \cap K_{n,2m-i}) = \emptyset$. Then, with respect to (iii), there is a neighbourhood $V \subset W$ of x such that $V \cap \text{Cl } K_{r,s} = \emptyset$ for $(r, s) \neq (n, 2m - i)$. Since $x \in Q(f)$, there is an open nonempty set $G \subset V$ such that $|f(t) - f(x)| < \delta$ for each $t \in G$. This implies $G \cap K_{n,2m-i} = \emptyset$ (so $G \cap \text{Cl } K_{n,2m-i} = \emptyset$), and hence $|f_i(t) - f_i(x)| = |f(t) - f(x)| < \delta < \varepsilon$ for each $t \in G$, i.e., $x \in Q(f_i)$.

c) Suppose that $x \in \text{Cl } K_{n,2m-i}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2m - i$, and $f(x) \in \bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}_x} \text{Cl } f(U \cap K_{n,2m-i})$. Then there is $y \in U \cap K_{n,2m-i}$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Since $y \in Q(f)$, there is an open nonempty $G \subset U \cap K_{n,2m-i}$ such that $|f(t) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for each $t \in G$. Then clearly $|\min\{f(t), q_m\} - \min\{f(x), q_m\}| \leq |f(t) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$, so $|f_i(t) - f_i(x)| < \varepsilon$ for each $t \in G$, and thus $x \in Q(f_i)$.

d) Suppose that $x \in \text{Cl } A(f)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\frac{1}{m} < \varepsilon$ and $|f(x) - q_m| < \varepsilon$. With respect to (iv), there is $n \geq 2m - i$ such that $\text{Cl } K_{n,2m-i} \subset U$ and $f(x) - \frac{1}{2m-i} < \sup\{f(u) : u \in K_{n,2m-i}\}$. Choose $y \in K_{n,2m-i}$ with $f(x) - \frac{1}{2m-i} < f(y)$. Since $y \in Q(f)$, there is an open nonempty $G \subset K_{n,2m-i}$ such that $f(t) > f(x) - \frac{1}{2m-i}$ for each $t \in G$. Thus, for $t \in G$ we have $f(x) - \varepsilon < f(x) - \frac{1}{2m-i} < f(t)$, $f(x) - \varepsilon < q_m$, and hence $f(x) - \varepsilon < \min\{f(t), q_m\} = f_i(t)$. Further, we have $q_m < f(x) + \varepsilon$, and hence $f_i(t) < f(x) + \varepsilon$. Therefore, we obtain $|f_i(t) - f_i(x)| < \varepsilon$ and $x \in Q(f_i)$. \square

Remark 4. The assumption “ X is regular second countable” cannot be replaced with “ X is normal second countable”. If $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the topology \mathcal{T} , where $A \in \mathcal{T}$ if and only if $A = \emptyset$ or $A = (a, \infty)$ ($a \in \mathbb{R}$), then every quasicontinuous function on X is constant, but there are nonconstant functions satisfying assumptions of Theorem 2 (e.g., $f(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 0$ and $f(x) = 1$ for $x > 0$).

PROBLEM 2. Is Theorem 2 true for an arbitrary metric space X ?

THEOREM 2'. Let X be a regular second countable topological space, and let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f = \min(f_0, f_1)$ for some $f_0, f_1 \in \mathcal{Q}$ if and only if the set $A(f)$ is nowhere dense and $f(x) \geq \liminf_{u \rightarrow x, u \in Q(f)} f(u)$ for each $x \in A(f)$.

T. Natkaniec has shown in [5] that if $X' = X \setminus X_0$ is a regular second countable space without isolated points (where X_0 is the set of all isolated points of X), then $L(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{Q}^*$, where \mathcal{Q}^* is the family of all functions for which the set $A(f)$ is nowhere dense.

One can see (similarly as in the proof of our Lemma 2) that his Lemma 2 is true for an arbitrary regular second countable space. Hence, from his proof, we see that $L(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{Q}^*$, if X' is a regular second countable space; especially, if X is a regular second countable topological space.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] BISWAS, N.: *On some mappings in topological spaces*, Calcutta Math. Soc. **61** (1969), 127–135.
- [2] BORSÍK, J.—DOBOŠ, J.: *A note on real cliquish functions*, Real Anal. Exchange **18** (1992-93), 139–145.
- [3] DOBOŠ, J.: *Simple continuity and cliquishness*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. **112** (1987), 355–358.
- [4] HAWORTH, R. C.—MCCOY, R. A.: *Baire spaces*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **141** (1977).
- [5] NATKANIEC, T.: *On the maximum and the minimum of quasi-continuous functions*, Math. Slovaca **42** (1992), 103–110.
- [6] NEUBRUNN, T.: *Quasi-continuity*, Real Anal. Exchange **14** (1988-89), 259–306.

JÁN BORSÍK

[7] NEUBRUNNOVÁ, A.: *On certain generalizations of the notion of continuity*, Mat. Časopis **23** (1973), 374–384.

Received July 5, 1994

Revised January 19, 1995

*Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences
Grešákova 6
SK-040 01 Košice
SLOVAKIA
E-mail: borsik@linux1.saske.sk*