Nataša Bilić
Results for an optimal control problem with a semilinear state equation with constrained control


Persistent URL: [http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136857](http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136857)

**Terms of use:**
© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms of use.

This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library [http://project.dml.cz](http://project.dml.cz)
RESULTS FOR AN OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEM WITH A SEMILINEAR STATE EQUATION WITH CONSTRAINED CONTROL

NATAŠA BILIĆ
(Communicated by Michal Fečkan)

ABSTRACT. This paper deals with a control problem governed by a semilinear state equation dependent on a small parameter \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \), \( \varepsilon > 0 \), with a constrained control variable \( u(t) \in C \), where \( C \subset U \) is a closed, convex and bounded set containing the origin. It is proved that for a small \( \varepsilon \) the associated Hamilton-Jacobi equation has a unique strict solution; consequently, the control problem can be solved by employing a dynamic programming method.

Introduction and statement of results

This paper is concerned with the following problem (P):

(P) Minimize the functional

\[
J(u, x) = \int_0^T \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|u(t)\|^2_U + g(y(t)) \right] dt + \phi_0(y(T))
\]

over the controls \( u \in L^2(0, T; U) \), where \( y \) is subject to the state equation

\[
\begin{align*}
y'(t) &= Ay + f(\varepsilon, y) + Bu \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T], \\
y(0) &= x, \quad x \in H, \quad u(t) \in C.
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( U \) and \( H \) are real Hilbert spaces with inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U \), \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H \) respectively.

Functions \( g \) and \( \phi_0 \) are smooth and convex. \( f \) is a smooth function from \( H \) to \( H \) which goes uniformly to zero when \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). The operator
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A: $D(A) \subset H \to H$ is the infinitesimal generator of a $C_0$-semigroup, $B \in L(U, H)$ and the set $C \subset U$ is a closed, convex and bounded set containing the origin.

We treat this problem by the dynamic programming method studying the corresponding Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$
\phi'_t(t, x) + F(\phi'_x(t, x)) - \langle Ax + f(\varepsilon, x), \phi'_x(t, x) \rangle = g(x)
$$

for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times D(A), \quad (HJ)$,

$$
\phi(0, x) = \phi_0(x),
$$

where

$$
F(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ ||B^*z||^2 - ||B^*z + P_c(-B^*z)||^2 \right], \quad (1)
$$

and $P_c$ denotes the projection from $U$ to $C$.

In the case where $\varepsilon = 0$ and $H = \mathbb{R}^n$, equation $(HJ)_0$ has been studied by many authors under various assumptions on $F$, see [8], [9] et al. When $H$ is the infinite dimensional, in [5], the existence and uniqueness of equation $(HJ)_0$ is proved in the case where $F$ is a continuous Frechet differentiable and $F'$ is bounded. These assumptions are suggested by problem $(P)$ ($\varepsilon = 0$) with constrained controls $u(t) \in C$.

If $\varepsilon \neq 0$, then $J$ is generally not convex and the method used in [10], without the constrained control, gives only the existence of the local solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$
\phi'_t(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} |\phi'_x(t, x)|^2 - \langle Ax + f(\varepsilon, x), \phi'_x(t, x) \rangle = g(x)
$$

for all $(t, x) \in [0, \delta] \times D(A), \quad (HJ)_\varepsilon$,

$$
\phi(0, x) = \phi_0(x).
$$

For smooth and convex functions $g$, $\phi_0$ and $f$ the existence and uniqueness of a global regular solution of equation $(HJ)_\varepsilon$ was proved in [2].

The aim of this paper is to find a global strict solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation $(HJ)_\varepsilon$ for $|\varepsilon|$ sufficiently small, and then to show that this solution is equal to the value function. Subsequently, the dynamic programming method is used to prove the existence and uniqueness of the optimal control in the feedback form.

First we used a successive approximative method to construct the sequence $\{\phi^m\} \in B([0, T]; C^2(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R)$ and to show that this sequence converges to $\{\phi_{\lambda m}\} \in B([0, T]; C^2(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R)$ which satisfies the approximate Hamilton-Jacobi equation $(HJ)_{\lambda m}$. Then, when $m \to \infty$ and $\lambda \to 0$, we get the function $\phi_\varepsilon$ which is a strict solution of Hamilton-Jacobi equation $(HJ)_\varepsilon$. Section 1 contains the list of necessary notations and assumptions; in Section 2, it is described
how to get the strict solution of Hamilton-Jacobi equation (HJ). Section 3 is devoted to the study how to get the solution of the optimal control problem, the feedback formula and finally one example is presented.

1. Notation

We begin with specifying the notation which will be used through this paper. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two Hilbert spaces. If $f: X \to Y$, by $f^{(k)}$ we shall denote the Frechet derivative of order $k \geq 1$. The following spaces of mappings $f$ from $X$ to $Y$ will be used.

$C^k(X,Y)$ is the space of all $k$-time Frechet differentiable mappings $f: X \to Y$ such that $f^j$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$, are continuous and bounded on $X$. The space $C^\infty(X,Y)$ is endowed with the norm

$$|f|_{C^\infty(X,Y)} = \sum_{j=0}^k |f|^j,$$

where $|f|^j = \sup \{ |f^j(x)| : x \in X \}$.

$C^k_{\text{Lip}}(X,Y)$ is the space of all $f \in C^k(X,Y)$ such that

$$\|f\|_k = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f^k(x) - f^k(y)|_Y}{|x - y|_X} : x \neq y, \ x, y \in X \right\} < \infty.$$

$C^k_{\text{Lip}}(X,Y)$ is the Banach space with the norm

$$|f|_{C^k_{\text{Lip}}(X,Y)} = \sum_{j=0}^k |f|^j + \|f\|_k.$$

For every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$, we denote by $\Sigma_r$ the closed ball $\{ x \in X : |x| \leq r \}$ and by $C^k(\Sigma_r, Y)$ the space of all mappings $f: \Sigma_r \to Y$ which are Frechet differentiable up to the order $k$ on $\Sigma_r$ such that $f^j$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$, are continuous and bounded on $\Sigma_r$.

We shall denote by $C^k_{\text{Lip}}(\Sigma_r, Y)$ the space of all $f \in C^k(\Sigma_r, Y)$ such that $f^{(k)}$ is Lipshitz on $\Sigma_r$, i.e.

$$\|f\|_{k,r} = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f^k(x) - f^k(y)|_Y}{|x - y|_X} : x \neq y, \ x, y \in \Sigma_r \right\} < \infty.$$
The spaces $C_k(\Sigma_r, Y)$ and $C_{Lip}^k(\Sigma_r, Y)$ are endowed with the norm

$$|f|_{C^k(\Sigma_r, Y)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} |f|_{j,r},$$

$$|f|_{C_{Lip}^k(\Sigma_r, Y)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} |f|_{j,r} + \|f\|_{k,r},$$

where

$$|f|_{j,r} = \sup \{|f^{(j)}(x)| : x \in \Sigma_r\}.$$

$C^k(X, Y)$ is the space of all mappings $f: X \to Y$ which are $k$-time Frechet differentiable and their restrictions to every $\Sigma_r$ belong to $C^k(\Sigma_r, Y)$. The space $C_{Lip}^k(X, Y)$ is defined as the set of all $f \in C^k(X, Y)$ such that the restrictions of $f$ at every $\Sigma_r$, $r > 0$, belong to $C_{Lip}^k(\Sigma_r, Y)$. If $Y = \mathbb{R}$, we shall often write $C^k(X)$ and $C_{Lip}^k(X)$ instead of $C^k(\Sigma_r, \mathbb{R})$ and $C_{Lip}^k(\Sigma_r, \mathbb{R})$ respectively.

Now, let $\phi: [0, T] \times H \to \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 1$. We say that $\phi \in B([0, T], C^k(H))$ if $\phi$ satisfies the following conditions

(i) $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\phi(t, \cdot)|_{j,r} < +\infty$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$ and for all $r > 0$,

(ii) $\phi: [0, T] \times H \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous,

(iii) $\phi_{x}: [0, T] \times H \to H$ is continuous,

(iv) $\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2}$ is strongly continuous, that is the map $(t, x) \mapsto \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} y: [0, T] \times H \to H$ is continuous for all $y \in H$.

Further, we denote by $K$ the subset of $C^1(H)$

$$K = \{\phi \in C^1(H) : \phi \text{ is convex and } \phi'(0) = 0\},$$

and by $K_r$ the subset of $C^1(\Sigma_r)$

$$K_r = \{\phi \in C^1(\Sigma_r) : \phi \text{ is convex and } \phi'(0) = 0\}.$$

It is easy to verify that function $F: H \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by (1) has the following properties:

a) $F$ is Frechet differentiable and $F'(z) = -B(P_e(-B^*z))$,

b) $F(0) = 0$, $F$ is convex,

c) $F \in C_{Lip}^1(H)$, $|F'| \leq M$ for some $M$.

We will make the regularization of the function $\phi \in K$, defined by

$$\phi_\lambda(x) = \inf_{y \in H} \left\{ \phi(y) + \lambda F^*(\frac{x-y}{\lambda}) \right\}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$  

$$\phi_\lambda(x) = \inf_{y \in H} \left\{ \phi(y) + \lambda F^*(\frac{x-y}{\lambda}) \right\}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$  

$$\phi_\lambda(x) = \inf_{y \in H} \left\{ \phi(y) + \lambda F^*(\frac{x-y}{\lambda}) \right\}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$
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where $F^*$ is the conjugate function of $F$ i.e.

$$F^*(x) = \sup_y \{ \langle x, y \rangle - F(y) \}.$$

The property of $F^*$ which will be needed later is

$$F^*(x) + F(y) \geq \langle x, y \rangle_H$$

(it follows from the definition of $F^*$) with equality holding if and only if $x = F'(y)$. If the $F(x) = \frac{1}{2}||x||^2$ ($C = U = H$), the function $\phi_\lambda$ is reduced to the well-known regularization of the convex function.

Let $\phi \in K$ and $\lambda' = ||F'\phi||^{-1}$, for all the $\lambda \in (0, \lambda')$ set

$$J_\lambda(x) = (1 + \lambda F'\phi')^{-1}(x).$$

It is a well defined operator and it has the following properties:

a) $||J_\lambda(x)||_H \leq ||x||_H + \lambda M$,  
b) $||J_\lambda||_0 \leq (1 - \lambda ||F'\phi||)^{-1}$,  
c) $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} ||J_\lambda(x) - x||_H = 0$ uniformly.

Now, we collect the properties of $\phi_\lambda$:

A) $\phi_\lambda(x) = \phi(J_\lambda(x)) + \lambda F^* \left( \frac{x - J_\lambda(x)}{\lambda} \right)$,
B) $\phi_\lambda(x) = \phi(J_\lambda(x)) + \lambda F^* F'(J_\lambda(x))$,
C) $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \phi_\lambda(x) = \phi(x)$ uniformly on bounded set,
D) $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi_\lambda(x)}{\lambda} = F(\phi'(x))$ uniformly,
E) $\phi_\lambda'(x) = \phi'(J_\lambda(x))$.

These properties were proved in [5].

2. Global existence and uniqueness of the solution for $(HJ)_\varepsilon$ equation

We want to get the strict solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation $(HJ)_\varepsilon$ for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

We say that the function $\phi \in B([0, T]; C^1_{\text{Lip}}(\Sigma_\varepsilon) \cap K_\varepsilon)$ is a strict solution of $(HJ)_\varepsilon$ if $\phi(\cdot, x) \in C^1([0, T])$ for all $x \in D(A)$ and satisfies the equation $(HJ)_\varepsilon$. Consequently, we consider the approximate Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Namely, the property (D) allows us to replace the bad term $F(\phi'_\varepsilon)$ in $(HJ)_\varepsilon$ by $(\phi(x) - \phi_\lambda(x))/\lambda$, and now the approximation $(HJ)_\lambda$ equation is

$$\phi'_\varepsilon(t, x) + \frac{\phi(t, x) - \phi_\lambda(t, x)}{\lambda} - \langle Ax + f(\varepsilon, x), \phi'_\varepsilon(t, x) \rangle = g(x)$$

for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times D(A)$,\hspace{1cm} (HJ)$_\lambda$

$$\phi(0, x) = \phi_0(x),$$
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where \( \phi_\lambda(t, x) \) is defined by (2).

Further, in order to get a strict solution of equation \( (HJ)_\epsilon \), we shall consider such mappings \( F \) which satisfy the following assumptions: there exists a set \( \{F_m\} \subset C^2_{\infty}(H) \) such that

I. \( F_m \) is convex, \( F_m(0) = 0, |F'_m|_0 < M' \) for some \( M' \),

II. \( F_m \to F \) uniformly on the bounded set,

III. \( F'_m \to F' \) uniformly on the bounded set.

Then for the \( F_m \) and \( \phi \in C^2_{\infty}(H) \cap K \) the function \( \phi_\lambda \) has the following property:

\[
\phi_\lambda''(x) = \phi''(J_{\lambda m}(x)) \cdot \left( 1 + \lambda F''_m \phi'(J_{\lambda m}(x)) \cdot \phi''(J_{\lambda m}(x)) \right)^{-1}
\]

for all \( x \in H, \lambda \in (0, \lambda'') \) \( (G) \)

where \( \lambda'' = \min\{\lambda', \|F'_m\|^{-1} \|\phi'\|^{-1} \} \), and \( J_{\lambda m}(x) = (1 + \lambda F'_m \phi')^{-1}(x) \).

Now we consider the following approximate equation \( (HJ)_{\lambda m} \)

\[
\phi'_l(t, x) + \frac{\phi(t, x) - \phi'_m(t, x)}{\lambda} = (Ax + f(\epsilon, x), \phi'_m(t, x)) = g(x), \quad \phi(0, x) = \phi_0(x), \quad (HJ)_{\lambda m}
\]

where

\[
\phi'_m(t, x) = \sup_{y \in H} \left\{ \phi(t, y) + \lambda F^*_{m} \left( \frac{x - y}{\lambda} \right) \right\}, \quad \lambda > 0.
\]

Also, we need the following estimates:

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \phi, \overline{\phi} \in C^1_{\text{Lip}}(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R \), then

\[
|\phi^*_m|_{i, R} \leq |\phi|_{i, R}, \quad i = 0, 1,
\]

\[
\|\phi^*_m\|_{1, R} \leq \|\phi\|_{1, R},
\]

\[
|\phi^*_m - \overline{\phi}_m|_{i, R} \leq |\phi - \overline{\phi}|_{i, R}, \quad i = 0, 1.
\]

It was proved in [1], [5], [11].

If \( \phi \) is from \( C^2(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R \), then \( \|\phi\|_{1, R} = |\phi|_{2, R} \) and it follows that \( |\phi^*_m|_{2, R} \leq |\phi|_{2, R} \). First, we prove, by using the method of a successive approximation, the existence of a solution \( \phi_\lambda^m \) of the integral form of the approximation equation \( (HJ)_{\lambda m} \). Then we show that \( \{\phi_\lambda^m\} \) converges to a strict solution \( \phi^* \) of the \( (HJ)_\epsilon \) when \( m \to \infty \) and \( \lambda \to 0 \).

Our hypotheses are the following:

(i) \( A: D(A) \subset H \to H \) is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup in \( H \) and there exists \( \omega \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( (Ax, x) \leq \omega |x|^2 \) for all \( x \in D(A) \).
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(ii) \( f(\varepsilon, \cdot) \in C^2(H, H) \) for all \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \); \( f(\varepsilon, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f(\varepsilon, 0)}{\partial x} = 0 \) for all \( \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \); \( f(\varepsilon, x) \to 0 \) when \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) uniformly on \( C^2(H, H) \).

(iii) \( g, \phi_0 \in C^2(H) \cap K \) and \( \langle g''(x)z, z \rangle \geq \mu |z|^2 \) for all \( x, z \in H \), and for \( \mu > 0 \) fixed; that is, \( g \) is strictly convex on \( H \).

(iv) \( C \subset U \) is a closed, convex and bounded set containing the origin.

We assume that in (i), \( \omega < 0 \), that is, \( A \) is strictly dissipative operator. The proof for the general case is the same; we have only to arrange the constants.

Using the characteristic method if \( \phi \) satisfies (HJ)_{\lambda m}, then it satisfies the integral equation

\[
\phi(t, x) = e^{-t/\lambda} \phi_0(\xi_\varepsilon(0, t, x)) + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)/\lambda} \left[ g(\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_\lambda^m(s, \xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)) \right] ds.
\]

Conversely, if \( \phi \) satisfies (HJI)_{\lambda m} and \( x \in D(A) \), then \( \phi \) satisfies (HJ)_{\lambda m}.

In (HJI)_{\lambda m}, the function \( \xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x) \) is the solution of the Cauchy problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_\varepsilon'(s) &= -A\xi_\varepsilon(s) - f(\varepsilon, \xi_\varepsilon(s)) \quad \text{for all} \quad s \in [0, t), \\
\xi_\varepsilon(t) &= x, \\
&\quad x \in H, \quad t \in [0, T],
\end{align*}
\]

i.e. \( \xi_\varepsilon \) is the family of characteristic curves for (HJ)_{\lambda m}. Some fundamental estimates for them are put together.

**PROPOSITION 1.** Let (i) and (ii) be true. We fix \( R > 0 \) and let \( t \in [0, T] \), \( x \in H \) and \( |x| \leq R \). There exists \( \varepsilon_1(R) > 0 \) such that if \( |\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_1(R) \), then the Cauchy problem (4) has a unique mild solution \( \xi_\varepsilon(\cdot, t, x) \) on \( [0, T] \), \( \xi_\varepsilon(s, t, \cdot) \in C^2(H, H) \) for all \( s, t \in [0, T], \quad s < t \), and the following estimates hold

\[
\begin{align*}
|\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)| &\leq |x| e^{\omega(t-s)/2}, \\
|\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, \cdot)|_{1, R} &\leq e^{\omega(t-s)/2}, \\
\|\xi_{\varepsilon s}(s, t, x)(z, z)\|_H &\leq |f(\varepsilon, \cdot)|_{2, R} \int_0^t \|\xi_{\varepsilon s}(\sigma, t, x)\|_H^2 e^{\omega(\sigma-s)/2} \, d\sigma.
\end{align*}
\]

This proposition was proved in [2].

Fixed \( R > 0 \), \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda') \), where

\[
\lambda' = \min \left\{ \frac{R}{2M}, \|F_m'\|^{-1} \right\},
\]

the mapping

\[
(\Gamma_{\lambda m} \phi)(t, x)
\]

\[
= e^{-t/\lambda} \phi_0(\xi_\varepsilon(0, t, x)) + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)/\lambda} \left[ g(\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \phi_\lambda^m(s, \xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)) \right] ds
\]
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is well defined from $B([0, T]; C^2(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R)$ to $B([0, T]; C^2(\Sigma_R))$. Furthermore, for functions $\phi \in B([0, T]; C^2(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R)$ with
$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\phi(t, \cdot)|_{1,R} < c \quad (c \in \mathbb{R}, \ c > 0)$$
there exist $\varepsilon(R, c) \in (0, \varepsilon_1(R))$ such that for $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon(R, c)$ we have
$$|f(\varepsilon, \cdot)|_{2,R} \leq \frac{\mu}{|\phi_0|_{1,R} + |g|_{1,R} + c}.$$
Then the mapping $\Gamma_{\lambda_m}$ is a convexity-preserving map, i.e.
$$\Gamma_{\lambda_m} \phi \in B([0, T]; C^2(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R).$$
To prove this we need only to prove that $((\Gamma_{\lambda_m} \phi)_{xx}(t, x) z, z) \geq 0$. It was done in [2].

**Lemma 2.** Let (i) – (iii) hold true. Fix $R > 0$ and set $L = L(R) = |\phi_0|_{C^2(\Sigma_R)} + T|g|_{C^2(\Sigma_R)}$. Then for each $\lambda \in (0, \lambda'')$, where
$$\lambda'' = \min\left\{ \frac{R}{2M}, \left( \sup\|F_m\| \right)^{-1} L(R)^{-1} \right\},$$
and for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon_2(R)$, where $\varepsilon_2(R) \in (0, \varepsilon(R, L))$ $(\varepsilon(R, L) = \varepsilon(R, c), \ c = L)$, there exists a function $\phi_{\lambda_m} \in B([0, T]; C^2(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R)$ such that
\begin{enumerate}
  \item $\phi_{\lambda_m}(t, x) = (\Gamma_{\lambda_m} \phi_{\lambda_m})(t, x)$ on $[0, T] \times \Sigma_R$,
  \item $\phi_{\lambda_m}$ is strict solution of $(HJ)_{\lambda_m}$,
  \item $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\phi_{\lambda_m}(t, \cdot)|_{C^2(\Sigma_R)} \leq L(R)$.
\end{enumerate}

**Proof.** These statements are the consequences of [2; Lemma 4.3]. Namely, in that lemma, for $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon(R, L)|$, the sequence
$$\{\phi^n\} \subset B([0, T]; C^2(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R)$$
was constructed by successive approximation method: $\phi^0 = \Gamma_{\lambda_m}(0); \ \phi^n = \Gamma_{\lambda_m}(\phi^{n-1})$. Then for $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_2(R)$ the following was proved:
\begin{enumerate}
  \item $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\phi^n(t, \cdot)|_{C^2(\Sigma_R)} \leq L(R)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
  \item $|\phi^n(t, \cdot) - \phi^{n-1}(t, \cdot)|_{C^2(\Sigma_R)} \leq \frac{(L(R) + 1)^{n+1} v^n}{\lambda^n n!}$.
  \item $\Gamma_{\lambda_m}$ is continuous on $B([0, T]; C^1(\Sigma_R))$.
\end{enumerate}
Now it follows from II that there exists $\phi_{\lambda_m} \in B([0, T]; C^2(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R)$ such that $\phi^n \to \phi_{\lambda_m}$ in $B([0, T]; C^2(\Sigma_R))$, and by III,
$$\phi_{\lambda_m}(t, x) = (\Gamma_{\lambda_m} \phi_{\lambda_m}) \quad \text{on} \ [0, T] \times \Sigma_R. \quad (5)$$
Thereby for any $x \in D(A)$ the function $\phi_{\lambda_m}(\cdot, x) \in C^1([0, T])$ and $\phi_{\lambda_m}$ satisfy equation $(HJ)_{\lambda_m}$. So it is a strict solution. Then using Ascoli-Arzela theorem like [1; pp. 40-41], and replacing $\phi_x$ with $\phi_{xx}$, we may conclude that $\phi_{\lambda_m} \in C^2(H)$. Further, because the left hand side in I is independent of $m$ and $\lambda$, we have (3).
LEMMA 3. (Convergence of $\phi_{\lambda_m} \to \phi_{\lambda}$, $m \to \infty$) Let (i) – (iii) hold true. For a fixed $R > 0$ let $\varepsilon_2(R) > 0$ be as in Lemma 2. Let $\phi_{\lambda_m} \in B([0,T]; C^2(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R)$ be a strict solution of $(HJ)_{\lambda_m}$ for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda'')$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists $\phi \in B([0,T]; C^1(\Sigma_R))$ such that $\phi_{\lambda_m} \to \phi_{\lambda}$ in $B([0,T]; C^1(\Sigma_R))$ when $m \to \infty$. Further, $\phi_{\lambda}$ is the solution of the integral equation

$$
\phi_{\lambda}(t, x) = e^{-t/\lambda} \phi_0(\xi_\varepsilon(0, t, x)) + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)/\lambda} \left[ g(\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} (\phi_{\lambda})_\lambda(s, \xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)) \right] \, ds,
$$

where $(\phi_{\lambda})_\lambda$ if given by (2), and $\phi_{\lambda}$ has the following properties:

1') $\phi_{\lambda}$ is a strict solution of $(HJ)_{\lambda}$,
2') $\phi_{\lambda}(t, \cdot) \in C^2(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R$ for all $t \in [0,T],$
3') $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\phi_{\lambda}(t, \cdot)|_{C^2(\Sigma_R)} \leq L(R).$

Proof. Let $\phi_{\lambda_m}$ be the solution of (5) and let $\phi_{\lambda_n}$ be the solution of (5) with $m$ replaced by $n$. Then we have

$$
\phi_{\lambda_m}(t, x) - \phi_{\lambda_n}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)/\lambda} \left[ (\phi_{\lambda_m})_{\lambda_m}(s, \xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)) - (\phi_{\lambda_n})_{\lambda_n}(s, \xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)) \right] \, ds.
$$

For $\lambda \in (0, \lambda'')$ we have

$$
(\phi_{\lambda_m})_{\lambda_m}(s, \xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)) = \phi_{\lambda_m}(s, J_{\lambda_m}(\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x))) - \lambda F_{m} F'_{m}(\phi_{\lambda_m})_{\lambda_m}'(s, \xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x))
$$

and analogously for $\phi_{\lambda_n}$. So

$$
|\phi_{\lambda_m}(s, J_{\lambda_m}(\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x))) - (\phi_{\lambda_n})_{\lambda_n}(s, \xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x))| \leq |\phi_{\lambda_m}(s, J_{\lambda_m}(\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x))) - \phi_{\lambda_n}(s, J_{\lambda_n}(\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)))| + \lambda |F_{m} F'_{n}(\phi_{\lambda_m})_{\lambda_m}'(s, \xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)) - F_{m} F'_{m}(\phi_{\lambda_m})_{\lambda_m}'(s, \xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x))|.
$$

From the relation $\frac{J_{\lambda_m}(x) - J_{\lambda_n}(x)}{x - J_{\lambda_n}(x)} = F'_{m}(\phi_{\lambda_m})_{\lambda_m}'(t, J_{\lambda_m}(x))$, analogously for $F'_{n}$, and the fact that $\{F'_{n}\}$ converges to $F'$ uniformly on the bounded set, we get

$$
||J_{\lambda_m}(x) - J_{\lambda_n}(x)||_H \leq \varepsilon(n,m) + c|\phi_{\lambda_m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda_n}(s, \cdot)|_{0,R},
$$

where $\varepsilon(n,m) \to 0$ when $m, n \to \infty$ and $c$ denote different constants independent of $m$ and $n$. Then for the first term on the right-hand side in (6) we have

$$
|\phi_{\lambda_m}(s, J_{\lambda_m}(\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x))) - (\phi_{\lambda_n})_{\lambda_n}(s, J_{\lambda_n}(\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)))| \leq ||\phi_{\lambda_m}|| \cdot ||J_{\lambda_m}(\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x)) - J_{\lambda_n}(\xi_\varepsilon(s, t, x))||_H + |\phi_{\lambda_m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda_n}(s, \cdot)|_{0,R} \leq L(R)\varepsilon(n,m) + c|\phi_{\lambda_m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda_n}(s, \cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)}.
$$
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From equality (3), with \( x = F'(y) \), for the second term on the right-hand side in (6) we get

\[
\left| F'_n F'_m (\phi_{\lambda n})'_x(s, \xi(s, t, x)) - F'_m F'_m (\phi_{\lambda m})'_x(s, \xi(s, t, x)) \right|
\leq \left| F'_n - F'_m \right| \cdot L(R) + \left| F'_n \right| \left| \phi_{\lambda m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda n}(s, \cdot) \right|_{1,R} \cdot L(R)
+ 2\left| F'_n \right| \left| \phi_{\lambda m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda n}(s, \cdot) \right|_{1,R} + \left| F'_m - F'_n \right|_{0}.
\]

So we have that

\[
\left| (\phi_{\lambda m})_{\lambda m}(s, \xi(s, t, x)) - (\phi_{\lambda n})_{\lambda n}(s, \xi(s, t, x)) \right|
\leq \varepsilon(n, m) + c|\phi_{\lambda m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda n}(s, \cdot)|_{1,R} + \varepsilon(n, m) \cdot L(R)
+ (2\lambda M' + 1)|\phi_{\lambda m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda n}(s, \cdot)|_{1,R}
\leq \varepsilon(n, m) + c|\phi_{\lambda m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda n}(s, \cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)}.
\]

Further

\[
(\phi_{\lambda m})'_x(t, x) - (\phi_{\lambda n})'_x(t, x)
= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{\lambda}} \left[ (\phi_{\lambda m})'_{\lambda m x}(s, \xi(s, t, x)) - (\phi_{\lambda n})'_{\lambda n x}(s, \xi(s, t, x)) \right] ds.
\]

From the property (E) and Lemma 1 we have

\[
\left| (\phi_{\lambda m})'_{\lambda m x}(s, \xi(s, t, x)) - (\phi_{\lambda n})'_{\lambda n x}(s, \xi(s, t, x)) \right|
\leq \left| (\phi_{\lambda m})'_x(s, J_{\lambda m}(\xi(s, t, x))) - (\phi_{\lambda n})'_x(s, J_{\lambda n}(\xi(s, t, x))) \right|
\leq L(R)\varepsilon(n, m) + c|\phi_{\lambda m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda n}(s, \cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)}.
\]

Now we get

\[
|\phi_{\lambda m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda n}(s, \cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)}
\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{\lambda}} \left[ \varepsilon(n, m) + c|\phi_{\lambda m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda n}(s, \cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)} \right] ds
+ \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{\lambda}} e^{-\frac{\omega(t-s)}{2}} \left[ \varepsilon(n, m) + c_1|\phi_{\lambda m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda n}(s, \cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)} \right] ds.
\]

Then

\[
|\phi_{\lambda m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda n}(s, \cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)} \leq \varepsilon(n, m) + c \int_0^t |\phi_{\lambda m}(s, \cdot) - \phi_{\lambda n}(s, \cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)} ds,
\]
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and from the Gronwall's inequality we get
\[ |\phi_m^\lambda(s,\cdot) - \phi_m^\lambda(s,\cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)} \leq \varepsilon(n,m) \cdot e^{ct}, \quad c > 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \]
where \( \varepsilon(n,m) \to 0 \) when \( n,m \to \infty \). Therefore, \( \{\phi_m^\lambda\}_{m=0}^\infty \) is the Cauchy sequence in \( B([0,T];C^1(\Sigma_R)) \) and then there exists the function
\[ \phi_\lambda \in B([0,T];C^1(\Sigma_R)) \]
such that \( \phi_m^\lambda \to \phi_\lambda \) in \( B([0,T];C^1(\Sigma_R)) \) when \( m \to \infty \). From (7) it follows that \( J_{\lambda m}^\varepsilon = (1 + \lambda F_m^* \phi')^{-1} \to (1 + \lambda F^* \phi')^{-1} \), and from (8), \( (\phi_m^\lambda)_{\lambda m} \to (\phi_\lambda)^\lambda \), so that \( \phi_\lambda \) satisfies
\[ \phi_\lambda(t,x) = e^{-\frac{t}{\lambda}} \phi_0(\xi(s,t,x)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{t-s}{\lambda}} \left[ g(\xi(s,t,x)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} (\phi_\lambda)(s,\xi(s,t,x)) \right] \, ds. \]

For \( x \in D(A) \) the function \( \phi_\lambda(\cdot,x) \in C^1([0,T]) \) and it satisfies equation (HJ)_\lambda. Then \( \phi_\lambda \) is a strict solution of (HJ)_\lambda. Since the function \( \phi_m^\lambda \) satisfies (3) and the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, it follows that \( \phi_m^\lambda \in C^2(\Sigma_R) \) and (3') holds.

**Theorem 1.** Let (i)–(iii) hold true. Let \( R > 0 \) be fixed, and let \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda'') \) and \( \varepsilon_2(R) \) be as in Lemma 2. Let \( \phi_\lambda \in B([0,T];C^1(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R) \) be a strict solution of equation (HJ)_\lambda. Then there exists \( \phi^\varepsilon \in B([0,T];C^1(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R) \) such that \( \phi_\lambda \to \phi^\varepsilon \) in \( B([0,T];C^1(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R) \) when \( \lambda \to 0 \). Function \( \phi^\varepsilon \) has the following properties:

1') \( \phi^\varepsilon \) is a strict solution of (HJ)_\varepsilon,
2') \( \phi^\varepsilon(t,\cdot) \in C^2(\Sigma_R) \cap K_R \) for all \( t \in [0,T] \),
3') \( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\phi^\varepsilon(t,\cdot)|_{C^2(\Sigma_R)} \leq L(R) \).

**Proof.** First for \( \lambda, \mu \in (0, \lambda'' \) we define
\[ R_{\mu\phi_\mu(t,\cdot)}(x) = \frac{1}{\mu} (\phi_\mu(t,x) - (\phi_\mu)^\mu(t,x)) - F(\phi_\mu^\mu(t,x)), \]
\[ R_{\lambda\phi_\mu(t,\cdot)}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} (\phi_\mu(t,x) - (\phi_\mu)^\mu(t,x)) - F(\phi_\mu^\mu(t,x)). \]

It is easy to prove that the following estimates hold
\[ |R_{\mu\phi_\mu(t,\cdot)}|_{0,R} \leq \mu M^2 L(R)(L(R) + 1), \quad |R_{\lambda\phi_\mu(t,\cdot)}|_{1,R} \leq 2M^2 L(R), \]
\[ |R_{\lambda\phi_\mu(t,\cdot)}|_{0,R} \leq \lambda M^2 L(R)(L(R) + 1), \quad |R_{\lambda\phi_\mu(t,\cdot)}|_{1,R} \leq 2M^2 L(R). \]

Further, the function \( \phi_\mu \) satisfies the equation
\[ \phi_\mu(t,x) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \phi_\mu(t,x) - (\phi_\mu)^\mu(t,x) \right) - \langle Ax + f(\varepsilon, x), \phi_\mu^\mu(t,x) \rangle = g(x) - R_{\mu\phi_\mu(t,\cdot)}(x) + R_{\lambda\phi_\mu(t,\cdot)}(x), \]
\[ \phi_\mu(0,x) = \phi_0(x). \]
Now, using integral form we get

\[ |\phi_\mu(t, \cdot) - \phi_\lambda(t, \cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{\lambda}} \left[ |(\phi_\mu)_\lambda(s, \cdot) - (\phi_\lambda)_\lambda(s, \cdot)|_{0,R} ds + \int_0^t e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{\lambda}} \left[ |R_{\lambda\phi_\mu(t, \cdot)}|_{0,R} + |R_{\mu\phi_\mu(t, \cdot)}|_{0,R} \right] ds \right. \]

\[ + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{\lambda}} \left[ |(\phi_\mu)_\lambda(s, \cdot) - (\phi_\lambda)_\lambda(s, \cdot)|_{1,R} |\xi_\lambda(s, t, \cdot)|_{0,R} ds \right. \]

\[ + \left. \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{\lambda}} \left[ |R_{\lambda\phi_\mu(t, \cdot)}|_{1,R} + |R_{\mu\phi_\mu(t, \cdot)}|_{1,R} \right] |\xi_\lambda(s, t, \cdot)|_{1,R} ds \right]. \]

Therefore, from estimates (10) it follows

\[ |\phi_\mu(t, \cdot) - \phi_\lambda(t, \cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)} \leq c_1 \int_0^t |\phi_\mu(s, \cdot) - \phi_\lambda(s, \cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)} ds + c_2(\lambda + \mu). \]

By applying the Gronwall inequality we get

\[ |\phi_\mu(t, \cdot) - \phi_\lambda(t, \cdot)|_{C^1(\Sigma_R)} \leq c_2(\lambda + \mu)e^{c_1 t}, \]

i.e. \( \{\phi_\lambda\} \) is a Cauchy sequence in \( B([0, T]; C^1(\Sigma_r)) \). Then there exists the function \( \phi^x \in B([0, T]; C^1(\Sigma_r)) \) such that \( \phi_\lambda \to \phi^x \) in \( B([0, T]; C^1(\Sigma_r)) \) when \( \lambda \to 0 \). To show 1''') we note that if \( x \in D(A) \), then \( \phi_\lambda \in C^1([0, T]) \) and

\[ \phi_\lambda'(t, x) = g(x) - R_{\lambda\phi_\lambda(t, \cdot)}(x) - F(\phi_\lambda'(t, x)) + \langle Ax + f(\varepsilon, x), \phi_\lambda'(t, x) \rangle, \]

so \( \phi_\lambda'(\cdot, x) \to \phi^x'(\cdot, x) \) in \( C([0, T]) \), then \( \phi^x \) is a strict solution of \( (HJ)_\varepsilon \). Since functions \( \phi_\lambda \) satisfy 2') and 3'), then from the Ascoli-Arzela theorem it follows that \( \phi^x \in C^2(\Sigma_r) \) and it satisfies 3'''). To prove the uniqueness, see [1].
3. Solution of the control problem

In this section we apply the results of Theorem 1 to solve the control problem (P). First we prove the fundamental identity.

**Lemma 4.** Let (i) – (ii) hold true. Let \( r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0 \), be fixed. Let

\[
|x| \leq \frac{r}{4}, \quad |Bu|_{L^2(0,T;H)} < M_1, \quad R = r + \sqrt{T}M_1, \quad \bar{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon_2(R) < \varepsilon_1(R).
\]

Let \( y \) be a mild solution of the state equation; the following fundamental identity holds

\[
\phi^\varepsilon(T-t,x) + \int_t^T \left[ F\left( \phi^\varepsilon_x(T-s,y(s)) \right) + \left\langle \phi^\varepsilon_x(T-s,y(s)), Bu(s) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|u(s)\|^2_U \right] ds
\]

\[
= \int_0^T \left[ g(y(s)) + \frac{1}{2} \|u(s)\|^2_U \right] ds + \phi_0(y(T)) \quad \text{for all} \quad (t,x) \in [0,T] \times \Sigma_R,
\]

where \( \phi^\varepsilon \) is a strict solution of equation \((HJ)_\varepsilon\).

**Proof.** For \( n > \omega \) set \( x_n = n(n-A)^{-1}x, u_n(s) = n(n-A)^{-1}Bu(s) \) and let \( y_n \) be the solution of the following problem

\[
y_n'(s) = Ay_n(s) + f(\varepsilon, y_n(s)) + u_n(s), \quad s \in [t,T],
\]

\[
y_n(t) = x_n.
\]

Since \( x_n \in D(A) \) and \( u_n \in L^2(0,T : D(A)) \), then \( y_n \in C^1([0,T];H) \) and \( y_n \to y \) in \( C([t,T];H) \). Further, for all \( x \in \Sigma_r \) the function \( \phi^\varepsilon(\cdot, x) \in C^1([0,T]) \), so the function \( s \to \phi^\varepsilon(T-s,y_n(s)) \in C^1([t,T]) \) and

\[
\frac{d}{ds} \phi^\varepsilon(T-s,y_n(s)) = -\phi^\varepsilon_t(T-s,y_n(s)) + \left\langle \phi^\varepsilon_x(T-s,y_n(s)), y_n'(s) \right\rangle
\]

\[
= F\left( \phi^\varepsilon_x(T-s,y_n(s)) \right) + \left\langle \left( \phi^\varepsilon_x(T-s,y_n(s)), u_n(s) - g(y_n(s)) \right) \right\rangle.
\]
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Now, if we integrate this, we get

\[
\phi^e(T - t, y_n(t)) + \int_t^T \left[ F(\phi^e_x(T - s, y_n(s))) + \langle (\phi^e_x(T - s, y_n(s)), u_n(s)) + \frac{1}{2}||u_n(s)||^2_U \right] ds
\]

\[
= \int_t^T \left[ g(y_n(s)) + \frac{1}{2}||u_n(s)||^2_U \right] ds + \phi_0(y_n(T)).
\]

Hence, letting \( n \to \infty \) we get (11).

From the fundamental identity (11) it follows that

\[
\phi^e(T - t, x) \leq \int_t^T \left[ g(y(s)) + \frac{1}{2}||u(s)||^2_U \right] ds + \phi_0(y(T)) \tag{12}
\]

for all \( (y, u) \) which satisfy the state equation. Namely, from the identity (11) it follows

\[
\phi^e(T - t, x) + \int_t^T \left[ F(\phi^e_x(T - s, y(s))) + F^*(-Bu(s)) + \langle (\phi^e_x(T - s, y(s)), Bu_n(s)) \right] ds
\]

\[
+ \int_t^T \left[ -F^*(-Bu(s)) + \frac{1}{2}||u(s)||^2_U \right] ds
\]

\[
= \int_t^T \left[ g(y(s)) + \frac{1}{2}||u(s)||^2_U \right] ds.
\]

On the left-hand side, the first integral is greater than or equal to zero. It follows from (3). It remains to prove that the second integral on the left-hand side is also greater than or equal to zero. For \( u \in C \) there holds

\[
||P_c(-B^*v) + B^*v||^2_H \leq ||u + B^*v||^2_H \quad \text{for all} \quad v \in U.
\]

Then we have

\[
\langle u, -B^*u \rangle - F(v) = \langle u, -B^*u \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left[ ||B^*v||^2_H - ||B^*v + P_c(-B^*v)||^2_H \right] \leq \frac{1}{2}||u||^2_U.
\]

Hence \( F^*\langle Bu \rangle = \sup_v \{ \langle u, -B^*v \rangle - F(v) \} \leq \frac{1}{2}||u||^2_U \), so we prove the inequality (12).
THEOREM 2. Let \( r_0 > 0 \) be fixed, \(|x| \leq \frac{r_0}{4}\) and \( \varepsilon_3(r_0) \) be such that \( \varepsilon_3(r_0) < \varepsilon_2(R) \) (\( R = r_0 + M_1 \sqrt{T} \)). Let \( \phi^\varepsilon \), for \(|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_3(r_0)\), be a strict solution of \((HJ)_\varepsilon\) and let \( w \) be the value function

\[
w(t, x) = \inf \int_t^T \left[ \frac{1}{2} \| u(s) \|_{U}^2 + g(y(s)) \right] \, ds + \phi_0(y(T)),
\]

where the infimum is taken over all \((y, u)\) which satisfy the state equation in the mild sense. Then

(i) \( \phi^\varepsilon(T-t, x) = w(t, x) \).

Moreover, if \((y_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)\) is the optimal pair of \((P)\), then we have

(ii) \( u_\varepsilon(s) = P_\varepsilon(-B^* \phi^\varepsilon_x(T-s, y_\varepsilon(s))) \).

Proof. Let \( \phi^\varepsilon \) be a strict solution of \((HJ)_\varepsilon\) and let \( u_\varepsilon \) be defined as in (ii), where \( y_\varepsilon \) is a mild solution of

\[
y_\varepsilon'(s) = Ay_\varepsilon(s) + f(\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon(s)) - F'(\phi^\varepsilon_x(T-s, y_\varepsilon(s))),
\]

\( y_\varepsilon(t) = x \).

\((F'(\phi^\varepsilon_x(T-s, \cdot)))\) is a locally Lipshitz monotone operator on \( H \), then \((13)\) has a unique mild solution

\[
y_\varepsilon \in C([t, T], H) \quad \text{with} \quad |y_\varepsilon(s)| \leq R \quad \text{for all} \quad s \in [t, T].
\]

Since \( F'(\phi^\varepsilon_x(T-s, y_\varepsilon(s))) = -Bu_\varepsilon(s) \), then from \((3)\) it follows that

\[
F'(\phi^\varepsilon_x(T-s, y_\varepsilon(s))) + F'(-Bu_\varepsilon(s)) + \langle \phi^\varepsilon_x(T-s, y_\varepsilon(s)), Bu_\varepsilon(s) \rangle = 0
\]

and \( F^*(-Bu_\varepsilon(s)) = \frac{1}{2} \| u_\varepsilon(s) \|_{U}^2 \). From the fundamental identity it follows that

\[
\phi^\varepsilon(T-t, x) = \int_t^T \left[ \frac{1}{2} \| u_\varepsilon(s) \|_{U}^2 + g(y_\varepsilon(s)) \right] \, ds + \phi_0(y_\varepsilon(T))
\]

\[
\geq \inf \left\{ \int_t^T \left[ \frac{1}{2} \| u(s) \|_{U}^2 + g(y(s)) \right] \, ds + \phi_0(y(T)), \quad s \in [t, T] \right\}
\]

\[
y_\varepsilon'(s) = Ay(s) + f(\varepsilon, y(s)) + Bu(s), \quad y(t) = x, \quad u \in C
\]

i.e. \( \phi^\varepsilon(T-t, x) \geq w(t, x) \). Now, from \((12)\) we have

\[
\phi^\varepsilon(T-t, x) = \int_t^T \left[ \frac{1}{2} \| u_\varepsilon(s) \|_{U}^2 + g(y_\varepsilon(s)) \right] \, ds + \phi_0(y_\varepsilon(T))
\]

\[
\leq \inf \left\{ \int_t^T \left[ \frac{1}{2} \| u(s) \|_{U}^2 + g(y(s)) \right] \, ds + \phi_0(y(T)) \right\},
\]
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where the infimum is taken over all \((y, u)\) which satisfies the state equation \((14)\). Then we get
\[
\phi^\varepsilon(T - t, x) = w(t, x).
\]
Moreover \((y_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)\) is a unique optimal pair of the problem \((P)\). The optimal control \(u_\varepsilon\) is given by the feedback formula
\[
u_\varepsilon(s) = P_c(-B^* \phi^\varepsilon_x(T - s, y_\varepsilon(s))),
\]
where \(y_\varepsilon(s)\) is the solution of the closed-loop equation \((13)\) for \(t = 0\). \(\square\)

**EXAMPLE.** Let \(H = U = L^2(0, 1)\) and \(B = I\). First, we get an example for the subset \(C \subset L^2(0, 1)\). It is the same as in [5],
\[C = \{u \in L^2(0, 1) : \|u\| \leq R\},\]
where \(R > 0\) is a given constant and the projection \(P_c\) is given by
\[P_c(u) = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } \|u\| \leq R, \\ \frac{R}{\|u\|} u & \text{if } \|u\| > R. \end{cases}\]
Therefore, the function \(F\) defined in \((1)\) is
\[F(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \|z\|^2 & \text{if } \|z\| \leq R, \\ R\|z\| - \frac{1}{2} R^2 & \text{if } \|z\| > R. \end{cases}\]
If we set
\[\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} t & 0 \leq t \leq M^2, \\ M\sqrt{t} - \frac{1}{2} M^2 & M^2 < t, \end{cases}\]
we get
\[F(z) = \gamma(\|z\|^2).\]
We take the function \(j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})\) such that \(j \geq 0\), \(\text{supp} \ j \subset (-1, 1)\), and \(\int \int j(t) \, dt = 1\).
Set \(j_m(t) = \frac{1}{m} j\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\) and \(\gamma_m(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_m(t - s) \gamma(s) \, ds\); then we define
\[F_m(z) = \gamma_m(\|z\|^2) - \gamma_m(0) \quad (\gamma(t) = -\gamma(-t), \ t < 0).\]
The functions \(F_m\) satisfy the properties I–III on page 114. Now we consider the problem:

**\((P_1)\)** Minimize the cost
\[J(y_0, u) = \int_0^T \left[ \frac{1}{2} |y(s)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u(s)|^2 \right] \, ds + \frac{1}{2} |y(T)|^2 \]
OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEM WITH A SEMILINEAR STATE EQUATION

over the all controls \( u \in L^2(0, T : L^2(0, 1)) = L^2(Q) \) \((Q = [0, T] \times (0, 1))\), where \( y \) is the mild solution of

\[
y'_i(t, x) = \Delta_x y(t, x) + \varepsilon f(y(t, x)) + u(t, x), \quad t \in [0, T], \ x \in (0, 1),
\]

\[
y(0, x) = y_0(x) \in L^2(0, 1), \quad y(t, 0) = y(t, 1) = 0,
\]

\[u(t) \in C.\]

We denote by \( A \) the operator \( \Delta_x = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \) with \( D(A) = H^2(0, 1) \cap H_0^1(0, 1) \) and let \( f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \), then for \( z \in L^2(0, 1) \) the function \((f \circ z)(x) = f(z(x))\) is from \( C^2(H) \). The assumptions (i)–(iv) are verified, particularly, \((Ay, y) \leq -c_0|y|^2\) for all \( y \in D(A) \), where \( c_0 > 0 \). Then according to Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 there exists a unique optimal pair \((y_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)\) for the problem \((P_1)\) and the following feedback formula holds

\[
u_\varepsilon(s) = \frac{-\phi^\varepsilon(T - s, y_\varepsilon(s))}{\varepsilon},
\]

where \( \phi^\varepsilon \) is the strict solution of \((HJ)_\varepsilon\) equations.
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