

İlhan Dağadur

$C_\lambda$ -wedge and weak  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-spaces

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 54 (2004), No. 3, 303--314

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136909>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## $C_\lambda$ -WEDGE AND WEAK $C_\lambda$ -WEDGE FK-SPACES

İLHAN DAĞADUR

(Communicated by Ľubica Holá)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the (weak)  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-spaces for  $C_\lambda$  methods defined by deleting a set of rows from the Cesáro matrix  $C_1$  and give some characterizations. We also apply these results to summability domains.

### 1. Introduction and notation

In Section 1 we introduce the notation and terminology while in Section 2 we study the  $C_\lambda$ -wedge and weak  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-spaces, some characterizations related to these spaces and compactness of the inclusion mapping are found. In Section 3 we give some applications of results given above to general summability domains. Also some important applications are obtained for some particular summability domains.

Let  $E$  be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and consider  $E$  as the range of a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers, say  $E = \{\lambda(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . The Cesáro submethod  $C_\lambda$  is defined as

$$(C_\lambda x)_n = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} x_k \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of a real or complex numbers. Therefore, the  $C_\lambda$ -method yields a subsequence of the Cesáro method  $C_1$ , and hence it is regular for any  $\lambda$ .  $C_\lambda$  is obtained by deleting a set of rows from Cesáro matrix. The basic properties of  $C_\lambda$ -method may be found in [1] and [11].

Let  $w$  denote the space of all real or complex-valued sequences. It can be topologized with the seminorms  $p_n(x) = |x_n|$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Any vector subspace  $X$  of  $w$  is a sequence space. A sequence space  $X$  with a vector space topology  $\tau$  is a  $K$ -space provided that the inclusion map  $i: (X, \tau) \rightarrow w$ ,  $i(x) = x$ ,

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46A45; Secondary 47B37, 40H05.  
Keywords: FK-space,  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-space, weak  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-space,  $C_\lambda$ -summability method, matrix mapping.

is continuous. If, in addition,  $\tau$  is complete, metrizable and locally convex, then  $(X, \tau)$  is an *FK-space*. So an FK-space is a complete, metrizable locally convex topological vector space of sequences for which the coordinate functionals  $P_n(x) = x_n, (n = 1, 2, \dots)$  are continuous. An FK-space whose topology is normable is called a *BK-space*. The basic properties of FK-spaces may be found in [13], [14] and [16].

By  $c, c_0, \ell^\infty$  we denote the spaces of all convergent sequences, null sequences and bounded sequences, respectively. These are FK-spaces under  $\|x\| = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |x_j|$ .  $\ell^p, 1 \leq p < \infty$ , is the space of all absolutely  $p$ -summable sequences,

$$cs = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \text{ exists} \right\}$$

is the space of all summable sequences, and  $bs$  is as the following

$$bs = \left\{ x \in w : \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

As usual,  $\ell^1$  is replaced by  $\ell$ . The sequence spaces

$$\sigma_0(\lambda) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} x_j = 0 \right\}$$

and

$$h(\lambda) = \left\{ x \in w : \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda(j) |\Delta x_j| < \infty \right\}$$

are BK-spaces with the norms

$$\|x\|_{\sigma_0(\lambda)} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} x_j \right|$$

and

$$\|x\|_{h(\lambda)} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda(j) |\Delta x_j| + \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |x_j|$$

respectively, where  $\Delta x_j = x_j - x_{j+1}$ . Also,  $bv$  and  $bv_0$  can be shown as the following

$$bv = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j - x_{j+1}| < \infty \right\}, \quad bv_0 = bv \cap c_0$$

(see [3], [4], [6] and [7]).

Throughout the paper,  $e$  denotes the sequence of ones, i.e.,  $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1, \dots)$ ;  $\delta^j (j = 1, 2, \dots)$  the sequence  $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$  with the one in

the  $j$ th position;  $\phi$  the linear span of the  $\delta^j$ 's. The topological dual of  $X$  is denoted by  $X'$ . A sequence  $x$  in a locally convex sequence space  $X$  is said to have the *property AK* if  $x^{(n)} \rightarrow x$  in  $X$ , where  $x^{(n)} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots) = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \delta^k$ . Let  $z = \{z_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in w$  be such that  $z_j \neq 0$  for every  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then

$$V_0(z) := \left\{ x \in c_0 : \sum_{j=1}^\infty |z_j| |\Delta x_j| < \infty \right\}$$

is an FK-AK space with norm  $\|x\|_{V_0(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty |z_j| |\Delta x_j|$  ([7]). We recall (see [7]) that the  $\beta$ -dual of a subset  $X$  of  $w$  is defined to be

$$\begin{aligned} X^\beta &= \left\{ y \in w : \sum_{j=1}^\infty x_j y_j \text{ converges for all } x \in X \right\} \\ &= \left\{ y \in w : x \cdot y \in \mathfrak{cs} \text{ for all } x \in X \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For example  $\sigma_0^\beta = h$  with  $h := \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{j=1}^\infty j |\Delta x_j| < \infty \text{ and } x \in c_0 \right\}$  (see [4] and [6]).

Following Bennett [3] we say that a K-space  $(X, \tau)$  containing  $\phi$  is a *weak wedge space* if  $\delta^j \rightarrow 0$  (weakly) in  $X$ . It is a *wedge space* if  $\delta^j \rightarrow 0$  in  $X$ . In c e, in [8], continued to work on Cesáro wedge and weak Cesáro wedge FK-spaces and to give some characterizations.

## 2. $C_\lambda$ -Wedge FK-spaces

In this section, the concept of  $C_\lambda$ -wedgeness for an FK-space  $X$  containing  $\phi$  is defined, and some characterizations related to this space and compactness of the inclusion mapping are studied.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a K-space containing  $\phi$  and

$$\mu^n := \frac{e^{(\lambda(n))}}{\lambda(n)} = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \delta^k = \underbrace{\left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)}, \frac{1}{\lambda(n)}, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda(n)}, 0, \dots \right)}_{\lambda(n)}. \quad (1)$$

If  $\mu^n \rightarrow 0$  in  $X$ , then  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space; and if  $\mu^n \rightarrow 0$  (weakly) in  $X$ , then  $(X, \tau)$  is called a *weak  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space*.

We shall now present several examples of  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-spaces which are not wedge space. For example  $c, c_0, \ell^\infty, \mathfrak{bv}, \mathfrak{bv}_0$ , and  $\ell^p$  ( $p > 1$ ) are  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-spaces, but these are not wedge spaces. Also,  $\mathfrak{bv}_0$  is weak  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space but not wedge space.

Let  $s = \{s_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  denote throughout a strictly increasing sequence of non-negative integers with  $s_1 = 0$ . Let  $c|s|(\lambda)$  designate the space defined by

$$c|s|(\lambda) = \left\{ x \in c_0 : \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j=s_n+1}^{s_{n+1}} \lambda(j) |\Delta x_j| < \infty \right\}.$$

Then  $c|s|(\lambda)$  is a FK-space under the norm

$$\|x\|_{c|s|(\lambda)} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j=s_n+1}^{s_{n+1}} \lambda(j) |\Delta x_j|.$$

Also, it is obvious that  $h(\lambda) \subset c|s|(\lambda) \subset c_0 \subset \ell^\infty$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z_j^n}{\lambda(j)} = 0$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then there exists  $z \in w$  with  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z_j}{\lambda(j)} = 0$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z_j^n}{z_j} = 0$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Moreover, for any such  $z$ , we get*

$$V_0(z) \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty V_0(z^n).$$

The proof uses the same technique as in [3] and [8], therefore it is omitted.

Now we give the sufficient conditions for an FK-space  $X$  to be a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be an FK-space and  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\lambda(\lambda(n))}{\lambda(n)} < \infty$ . Consider the following propositions:*

- (i)  $V_0(z) \subset X$  for some  $z \in w$  such that  $z_j = o(\lambda(j))$ ;
- (ii)  $X$  contains  $c|s|(\lambda)$  for some  $s$ , and the identity map

$$I: (c|s|(\lambda), \|\cdot\|_{c|s|(\lambda)}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$$

is compact;

- (iii)  $h(\lambda) \subset X$ , and the identity map  $I: (h(\lambda), \|\cdot\|_{c|s|(\lambda)}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is compact;

- (iv)  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space.

Then (i)  $\implies$  (ii)  $\implies$  (iii)  $\implies$  (iv).

**P r o o f .**

(i)  $\implies$  (ii): Let  $s_1 = 0$  and  $s = \{s_n : n \geq 1\}$  denote a strictly increasing sequence satisfying  $\frac{|z_j|}{\lambda(j)} < \frac{1}{2^n}$ ,  $j \geq s_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Let  $x \in c|s|(\lambda)$ . Suppose  $t, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t \leq m$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=s_{t+1}}^{s_{m+1}} |z_j| |\Delta x_j| \leq \sum_{n=t}^m \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=s_n+1}^{s_{n+1}} \lambda(j) |\Delta x_j| \leq \|x\|_{c|s|(\lambda)} \sum_{n=t}^m \frac{1}{2^n},$$

hence  $x \in V_0(z)$ . So  $c|s|(\lambda) \subset X$ . Let now  $K \subset c|s|(\lambda)$  be such that  $\|x\|_{c|s|(\lambda)} \leq M$  for all  $x \in K$ . For  $s_n < m \leq s_{n+1}$  and  $x \in K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x^{(m)}\|_{V_0(z)} &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} |z_j| |\Delta x_j| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \sum_{j=s_i+1}^{s_{i+1}} |z_j| |\Delta x_j| \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \sum_{j=s_i+1}^{s_{i+1}} \lambda(j) |\Delta x_j| \leq \|x\|_{c|s|(\lambda)} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \\ &\leq M \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \rightarrow 0 \text{ (uniformly)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the convergence with respect to topology of the space  $V_0(z)$  is uniform on  $K$ . On the other hand, since  $V_0(z)$  is AK-space by [3; Lemma 2], we find that  $K$  is  $\tau$ -relatively compact.

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii): Since  $h(\lambda) \subset c|s|(\lambda)$ , by [9; Proposition 3.1] the identity map from  $h(\lambda)$  into  $c|s|(\lambda)$  is continuous, hence (iii) follows from (ii).

(iii)  $\implies$  (iv): Since  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\lambda(\lambda(n))}{\lambda(n)} < \infty$ , first observe that  $\psi := \{\mu^n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a bounded subset of  $h(\lambda)$  and so it must be relatively compact in  $X$ . Therefore, it is easy to see that, for each  $i$ ,  $p_i(\mu^n) = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)}$  if  $i \leq \lambda(n)$ , and 0 if  $i > \lambda(n)$ . Hence, for each  $i$ ,  $p_i(\mu^n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now [9; Theorem 2.3.11] implies that  $\mu^n \rightarrow 0$  in  $(X, \tau)$ , giving (iv).  $\square$

Using the fact that the space  $z^{-1} \cdot X = \{x \in w : z \cdot x \in X\}$  is an FK-space ([14]) one can get immediately the following:

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Let  $(X, q)$  be an FK-space with  $\phi \subset X$  and  $z \in w$ , then  $z^{-1} \cdot X$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space if and only if  $\frac{z^{\lambda(n)}}{\lambda(n)} \rightarrow 0$  in  $X$ .*

**Proof.**

*Sufficiency:* Consider [14; Theorem 4.3.6] to obtain the seminorms of  $z^{-1} \cdot X$ . Hence it easy to see that, for each  $i$ ,  $p_i(\mu^n) = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)}$  if  $i \leq \lambda(n)$ , and 0 if  $i > \lambda(n)$ . Thus we have for each  $i$ , that  $p_i(\mu^n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Also,

$$h(\mu^n) = q(z \cdot \mu^n) = q\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} z_k \delta^k\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$\square$

**THEOREM 2.5.** *If  $z \in \sigma_0(\lambda)$ , then  $z^\beta$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-space.*

**Proof.** Recall that  $z^\beta = \left\{ x : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k z_k \text{ converges} \right\}$  is an FK-space under the topology given by the seminorms

$$p_n(x) = |x_n| \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad p_0(x) = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m z_k x_k \right|$$

([14]). Observe that

$$p_n(\mu^r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda(r)}, & n \leq \lambda(r), \\ 0, & n > \lambda(r). \end{cases}$$

Hence, for each  $n$ ,  $p_n(\mu^r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Now a few calculation yields that  $p_0(\mu^r) = \max_{1 \leq m \leq \lambda(r)} \frac{1}{\lambda(r)} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m z_k \right|$ . By hypothesis, since  $z \in \sigma_0(\lambda)$ , choose an index sequence  $(\nu_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\frac{\nu_N}{\nu_{N-1}} \geq 2^N$  and for each  $\lambda(\nu) \geq \nu_N$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(\nu)} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(\nu)} z_k \right| \leq 2^{-N}.$$

Let  $\lambda(r) \geq \nu_N$ ; then for an arbitrary  $N > 2$ ,

(i)  $m = \lambda(r)$ ,  $\frac{1}{\lambda(r)} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(r)} z_k \right| \leq 2^{-N}$ ;

(ii)  $m < \nu_{N-1}$ ,  $\frac{m}{\lambda(r)} \frac{1}{m} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m z_k \right| \leq 2^{-N} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{m} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m z_k \right|$ ;

(iii)  $\nu_{N-1} \leq m < \lambda(r)$ ,  $\frac{m}{\lambda(r)} \frac{1}{m} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m z_k \right| \leq 2^{-(N-1)}$ .

Hence, since

$$p_0(\mu^r) = \max \left\{ \sup_{m < \nu_{N-1}} \frac{1}{\lambda(r)} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m z_k \right|, \sup_{\nu_{N-1} \leq m < \lambda(r)} \frac{1}{\lambda(r)} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m z_k \right|, \frac{1}{\lambda(r)} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(r)} z_k \right| \right\},$$

this proves the theorem. □

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *The intersection of all (weak)  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-spaces is  $h$ .*

**Proof.** Let the set of all  $(C_1$ -wedge)  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-spaces be  $(\Gamma(C_1)) \Gamma(C_\lambda)$ . Since every  $C_1$ -wedge FK-space is  $C_\lambda$ -wedge, we get  $\Gamma(C_1) \subset \Gamma(C_\lambda)$ . Also,

$$\bigcap \{X : X \in \Gamma(C_1)\} \subset \bigcap \{X : X \in \Gamma(C_\lambda)\}.$$

On the other hand the intersection of all (weak)  $C_1$ -wedge FK-spaces is  $h$  in [8]. Hence  $h \subset \bigcap \{X : X \in \Gamma(C_\lambda)\}$ . Therefore, we have

$$h \subset \bigcap \{X : X \in \Gamma(C_\lambda)\} \subset \bigcap \{z^\beta : z \in \sigma_0\} = \sigma_0^\beta = h,$$

thus the result. □

**THEOREM 2.7.**

- (i) An FK-space that contains a (weak)  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-space must be a (weak)  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-space.
- (ii) A closed subspace containing  $\phi$  of a (weak)  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-space is a (weak)  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-space.
- (iii) A countable intersection of (weak)  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-spaces is a (weak)  $C_\lambda$ -wedge FK-spaces.

The proof is easily obtained from elementary properties of FK-spaces (see, e.g. [14]).

**THEOREM 2.8.**

- (i) If  $X$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space, then  $X \cap (\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s} \setminus \mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s}_0)$  is non-empty.
- (ii) If  $X$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space, then  $X \cap (\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s} \setminus \ell)$  is non-empty, where

$$\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s}_0 = \left\{ x : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j = 0 \right\}.$$

**Proof.**

(i): It is clear that  $\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s}$  is not  $C_\lambda$ -wedge, and hence, by Theorem 2.7(i), nor is  $\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s} \cap X$ . Theorem 2.7(ii) implies that  $\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s} \cap X$  is not closed in  $X$ . Thus we consider the one-to-one and onto mapping  $S: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $Sx = \left( x_1, x_1 + x_2, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n x_k, \dots \right)$  ([9] and [3]). Hence  $S(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s} \cap X) = c \cap Y$  is not closed in  $Y$ . If  $c \cap Y$  is not closed in  $Y$ , then  $c_0$  is of codimension 1 in  $c$  so it follows from [2] that  $c_0 \cap Y$  is not closed in  $Y$ . Therefore, by [12; Corollary 1],  $Y \cap (\ell^\infty \setminus c_0)$  is non-empty. We have that  $S^{-1}(Y \cap (\ell^\infty \setminus c_0))$  is non-empty. Moreover, since  $S^{-1}(Y \cap (\ell^\infty \setminus c_0)) = X \cap (\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s} \setminus \mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s}_0)$ , we get  $X \cap (\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s} \setminus \mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s}_0)$  is non-empty.

(ii): Since  $\ell$  is not a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space, then by Theorem 2.7(i),  $\ell \cap X$  is not  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space, too. Hence, Theorem 2.7(ii) implies that  $\ell \cap X$  is not closed in  $X$ . Therefore, by [2; Theorem 2(i)],  $X \cap (\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s} \setminus \ell)$  is non-empty. □

**THEOREM 2.9.** *If  $X$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space, then  $X \cap \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s}$  is a non-separable subspace of  $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s}$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s}$  is not a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space, then by Theorem 2.7(i),  $\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s} \cap X$  is not a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space either. Theorem 2.7(ii) implies that  $\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s} \cap X$  is not closed in  $X$ . Therefore,  $S(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{s} \cap X) = c \cap Y$  is not closed in  $Y$ . Hence [2; Theorem 8] implies that the space  $\ell^\infty \cap Y$  is a non-separable subspace of  $\ell^\infty$ . In this case we claim that the space  $S^{-1}(\ell^\infty \cap Y) = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s} \cap X$  is a non-separable subspace of  $S^{-1}(\ell^\infty) = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s}$ . To see this, suppose that  $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s} \cap X$  is a separable subspace of  $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s}$ . Then there exists a countable set  $\kappa \subset \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s} \cap X$  such that  $\bar{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s} \cap X} = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s} \cap X$ . Thus,

$$S(\kappa) \subset S(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s} \cap X) = S(\bar{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s} \cap X}) = (\ell^\infty \cap Y) \cap S(\bar{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{s}}).$$

However, since  $\bar{\kappa}^{bs} \subset \bar{\kappa}^{\ell^\infty}$ , then  $S(\bar{\kappa}^{bs}) \subset S(\bar{\kappa}^{\ell^\infty})$  therefore, we get

$$\ell^\infty \cap Y = \overline{S(\kappa)}^{\ell^\infty \cap Y}.$$

Since  $S(\kappa)$  is countable and  $\ell^\infty \cap Y$  is dense in the topology of  $\ell^\infty$ , then it is a separable subspace of  $\ell^\infty$ , which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Let  $X$  be an FK-space and  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\lambda(\lambda(n))}{\lambda(n)} < \infty$ . If  $h(\lambda) \subset X$ , and the identity map  $I: (h(\lambda), \|\cdot\|_{h(\lambda)}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is weakly compact, then  $X$  is weak  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $h(\lambda) \subset X$  and  $I: (h(\lambda), \|\cdot\|_{h(\lambda)}) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is weak compact. Since  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\lambda(\lambda(n))}{\lambda(n)} < \infty$ ,  $\psi := \{\mu^n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a bounded subset of  $h(\lambda)$  and it is  $\sigma(X, X')$ -relatively compact. Observe that  $p_i(\mu^n) = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)}$  if  $i \leq \lambda(n)$ , and zero if  $i > \lambda(n)$ . Hence, for each  $i$ ,  $p_i(\mu^n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The same is also true in  $\sigma(X, X')$  by [9; Theorem 2.3.11]. This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.11.** *Let  $X$  be an FK-space with  $\phi \subset X$  and  $z \in w$ , then  $z^{-1} \cdot X$  is a weak  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space if and only if  $\frac{z^{(\lambda(n))}}{\lambda(n)} \rightarrow 0$  (weakly) in  $X$ .*

**Proof.**

*Necessity:* Let  $f \in (z^{-1} \cdot X)'$ . By [14; Theorem 4.4.10],  $f \in (z^{-1} \cdot X)'$  if and only if  $f(x) = \alpha x + g(z \cdot x)$ ,  $\alpha \in \phi$ ,  $g \in X'$ . Also,

$$x^n := (x_k^n) = \frac{e^{(\lambda(n))}}{\lambda(n)} = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda(n)}, \frac{1}{\lambda(n)}, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda(n)}, 0, \dots \right).$$

Hence we get that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^n) &= \alpha x^n + g(z \cdot x^n) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k^n + g((z_k x_k^n)) \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k, \quad p \leq \lambda(n) \\ \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \alpha_k, \quad p > \lambda(n) \end{array} \right\} + g\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} z_k \delta^k\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Therefore, for each  $f \in (z^{-1} \cdot X)'$ ,  $f\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\lambda(n)} \delta^k\right) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which proves the theorem.

Sufficiency is trivial by (2).  $\square$

### 3. Summability domains and applications

In this sections we give simple conditions for a summability domains  $E_A$  to be (weak)  $C_\lambda$ -wedge. We shall be concerned with matrix transformations  $y = Ax$ , where  $x, y \in w$ ,  $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^\infty$  is an infinite matrix with complex coefficients, and

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_{ij}x_j \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

The sequence  $\{a_{ij}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is called the  $i$ th row of  $A$  and is denoted by  $a^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ); similarly, the  $j$ th column of the matrix  $A$ ,  $\{a_{ij}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is denoted by  $a^j$ , ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ). For an FK-space  $E$ , we consider the summability domain  $E_A$  defined by

$$E_A = \{x \in w : Ax \text{ exists and } Ax \in E\}.$$

Then  $E_A$  is an FK-space under the seminorms  $p_n(x) = |x_n|$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ );

$$h_i(x) = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j \right| \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad (q \circ A)(x) = q(Ax)$$

([14] and [16]).

The following theorem is an application of Lemma 2.3 to summability domains.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be an FK-space,  $A$  be a matrix and  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\lambda(\lambda(n))}{\lambda(n)} < \infty$ .*

*Then consider the propositions below.*

(i)  $h(\lambda) \subset E_A$ ,  $a^i \in \sigma_0(\lambda)$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and the mapping  $A: h(\lambda) \rightarrow E$  is compact;

(ii) the sequence defined by  $A\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} \delta^j\right) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} \right\}_{i=1}^\infty$  for each  $n$  belongs to  $E$  and converges to zero there;

(iii)  $E_A$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space.

Then (i)  $\implies$  (ii)  $\implies$  (iii).

**P r o o f .**

(i)  $\implies$  (ii): Observe that  $\delta^j \in h(\lambda)$  for all  $j$ , and since  $h(\lambda) \subset E_A$ , we have  $a^j = A(\delta^j) \in E$  for all  $j \geq 1$ . Since  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\lambda(\lambda(n))}{\lambda(n)} < \infty$ ,  $\psi := \{\mu^n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a bounded subset of  $h(\lambda)$  and  $A: h(\lambda) \rightarrow E$  is compact,  $A(\psi) = \{A(\mu^n) : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is relatively compact in  $E$ . Thus, by [9; Theorem 2.3.11],  $A(\mu^n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $w$  implies that  $A(\mu^n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $E$ .

The proof (ii)  $\implies$  (iii) is similar to Theorem 2.5 and hence is omitted.  $\square$

The following theorem is an application of Theorem 2.12 to summability domains.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $E$  be an FK-space,  $A$  be a matrix and  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\lambda(\lambda(n))}{\lambda(n)} < \infty$ .*

*Then consider the propositions below.*

(i)  $h(\lambda) \subset E_A$ ,  $a^i \in \sigma_0(\lambda)$  for all  $i \geq 1$  and the mapping  $A: h(\lambda) \rightarrow E$  is weakly compact;

(ii) the sequence defined by  $A\left(\frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} \delta^j\right) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} \right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  for each  $n$  belong to  $E$  and converge weakly to zero there;

(iii)  $E_A$  is a weak  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space.

Then (i)  $\implies$  (ii)  $\implies$  (iii).

**P r o o f .**

(i)  $\implies$  (ii): Proceed as in the proof (i)  $\implies$  (ii) of Theorem 3.1.

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii): By [14; Theorem 4.4.2],  $f \in E'_A$  if and only if  $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k + g(Ax)$  for all  $x \in E_A$ , where  $\alpha \in w_A^\beta = \left\{ x : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n \text{ converges for all } y \in w_A \right\}$ , and  $g \in E'$ . Thus we get for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\alpha x| \leq M \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} x_j \right| = M h_i(x), \quad M > 0.$$

Therefore

$$|\alpha(\mu^n)| \leq M h_i(\mu^n) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1. \quad (3)$$

Since  $\frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} \rightarrow 0$  (weakly) in  $E$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $E$  is a  $K$ -space, we get

$$p_i \left( \left\{ \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} \right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence as in the proof of (ii)  $\implies$  (iii) of Theorem 3.1,  $h_i(\mu^n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus (3) implies that

$$\alpha(\mu^n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

Also,

$$f(\mu^n) = \alpha(\mu^n) + g(A(\mu^n)), \quad \alpha \in w_A^\beta, \quad g \in E'. \quad (5)$$

By hypothesis,  $g(A(\mu^n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, by (3), (4) and (5),  $f(\mu^n) \rightarrow 0$ , for each  $f \in E'_A$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

Let  $\lambda := \{\lambda(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\lambda(\lambda(n))}{\lambda(n)} < \infty$ . Then we have some important applications.

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *If*

- (i)  $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} \right| < \infty$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ )
- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij}$  exists for each  $n$ , respectively  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} \right| = 0$ , then  $(\ell^\infty)_A$  ( $c_A$ , respectively  $(c_0)_A$ ) is a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space.

*Proof.* This is just Theorem 3.1, (ii)  $\implies$  (iii), with  $E = \ell^\infty$  ( $c$ , respectively  $c_0$ ). □

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} \right| = 0$ , then  $\ell_A$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space.*

*Proof.* This follows at once from Theorem 3.1, (ii)  $\implies$  (iii), with  $E = \ell$ . □

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} (a_{ij} - a_{i+1,j}) \right| + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} \right| \right\} = 0$ , then  $(bv)_A$  is a  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space.*

*Proof.* This is just Theorem 3.1, (ii)  $\implies$  (iii), with  $E = bv$ . □

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** *Let  $A \in (\ell, \ell; p)$  and  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\lambda(\lambda(n))}{\lambda(n)} < \infty$ . Then  $\ell_A$  is not  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space.*

*Proof.*  $A \in (\ell, \ell; p)$  if and only if

- (a)  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < \infty$ ;
- (b)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} = 1$  for all  $k \geq 1$

(see, [10; p. 189]). Hence we get the following

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} \right| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} 1.$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda(n)} a_{ij} \right| \not\rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\ell_A$  is not  $C_\lambda$ -wedge space by Corollary 3.4. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] ARMITAGE, D. H.—MADDOX, I. J.: *A new type of Cesáro mean*, Analysis **9** (1989), 195–204.
- [2] BENNETT, G.: *The gliding humps for FK-spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **166** (1972), 285–292.
- [3] BENNETT, G.: *A new class of sequence spaces with applications in summability theory*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **266** (1974), 49–75.
- [4] BUNTINAS, M.: *Convergent and bounded Cesáro sections in FK-spaces*, Math. Z. **121** (1971), 191–200.
- [5] DUNFORD, N.—SCHWARTZ, J. T.: *Linear Operators I. General Theory*. Pure Appl. Math. 6, Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1958.
- [6] GOES, G.—GOES, S.: *Sequences of bounded variation and sequences of Fourier coefficients I*, Math. Z. **118** (1970), 93–102.
- [7] GOES, G.: *Sequences of bounded variation and sequences of Fourier coefficients II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **39** (1972), 477–494.
- [8] İNCE, H. G.: *Cesáro wedge and weak Cesáro wedge FK-spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **52** (2002), 141–154.
- [9] KAMTHAN, P. K.—GUPTA, M.: *Sequence Spaces and Series*. Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 65, Marcell Dekker Inc., New York-Basel, 1981.
- [10] MADDOX, J. I.: *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1970.
- [11] OSIKIEWICZ, J. A.: *Equivalence results for Cesáro submethods*, Analysis **20** (2000), 35–43.
- [12] SNYDER, A. K.: *An embedding property of sequence spaces related to Meyer König and Zeller type theorems*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **35** (1986), 669–679.
- [13] WILANSKY, A.: *Functional Analysis*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, New York-Toronto-London, 1964.
- [14] WILANSKY, A.: *Summability Through Functional Analysis*. North-Holland Math. Stud. 85, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1984.
- [15] YURIMYAE, E.: *Einige Fragen über verallgemeinerte Matrixverfahren co-regulär und co-null Verfahren*, Eesti Tead. Akad. Toimetised Tehn. Füüs. Math. **8** (1959), 115–121.
- [16] ZELLER, K.: *Allgemeine Eigenschaften von Limitierungsverfahren*, Math. Z. **53** (1951), 463–487.

Received October 23, 2001

Revised January 30, 2003

Mersin University  
 Faculty of Arts and Sciences  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Çiftlikköyü campus  
 33342, Mersin  
 TURKEY  
 E-mail: ilhandagadur@yahoo.com