

Sodnomkhorloo Tumurbat; Richard Wiegandt  
On  $A$ -radicals

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 56 (2006), No. 1, 113--119

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136925>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

*Dedicated to Professor Tibor Katriňák*

## ON $A$ -RADICALS

S. TUMURBAT\* — R. WIEGANDT\*\*

*(Communicated by Sylvia Pulmannová)*

**ABSTRACT.** We consider biideal versions of conditions imposed on left (and/or right) ideals which latter characterize normal radicals. It is proved that the bi-strong and principally bi-hereditary radicals are the  $A$ -radicals (i.e. radicals depending only on the additive groups), a special case of normal radicals.  $A$ -radicals are characterized also in terms of quasi-ideals.

### 1. Preliminaries

A Kurosh-Amitsur radical  $\gamma$  of rings is said to be an  $A$ -radical if the radicality depends only on the additive group of rings, that is, for any two rings  $A$  and  $B$  with isomorphic additive groups,  $A \in \gamma \implies B \in \gamma$ .

This notion was introduced by Gardner [2] and studied, for instance, in the papers of Jaegermann [5], Jaegermann and Sands [6].

For a ring  $A$ , we shall denote the zero-ring on the additive group  $A^+$ , by  $A^0$ . Gardner [2] (cf. [4; Lemma 3.12.7]) proved that

$$\gamma^0(A) = \sum(S \subseteq A \mid S^0 \in \gamma) \text{ is an ideal of } A.$$

We shall make use of Gardner's Lemma ([3]) (cf. [4; Lemma 3.19.17]):

*A nilpotent ring  $A$  belongs to a radical  $\gamma$  if and only if  $A^0 \in \gamma$ .*

$A$ -radicals are special cases of normal radicals which are defined by Morita contexts. A radical  $\gamma$  is called a *normal radical* if for every Morita context  $(R, V, W, S)$  the inclusion  $V_\gamma(S)W \subseteq \gamma(R)$  holds. For details we refer to [4].

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16N80.

Keywords: biideal, quasi-ideal,  $A$ -radical.

Research supported by the Hungarian OTKA Grants T034530 and T043034.

A subring  $B$  of a ring  $A$  is called a *biideal* if  $BAB \subseteq B$ , this fact will be denoted by  $B \triangleleft_b A$ . A *quasi-ideal*  $Q$  of a ring  $A$  (denoted by  $Q \triangleleft_q A$ ) is a subring satisfying  $QA \cap AQ \subseteq Q$ . Biideals and quasi-ideals are useful tools in structural investigations of rings (cf. for instance, [1], [4] and [9]); in [4; p. 164] it was observed that supernilpotent normal radicals can be defined in terms of biideals as upper radicals. In accordance with the notations  $\triangleleft_b$  and  $\triangleleft_q$ , ideals, left ideals and right ideals will be denoted by  $\triangleleft$ ,  $\triangleleft_\ell$  and  $\triangleleft_r$ , respectively. One readily sees that

- i) every quasi-ideal is a biideal,
- ii) if  $B \triangleleft_b A$ , then  $B \triangleleft_\ell B + AB \triangleleft_r A$ ,
- iii) if  $B \triangleleft_\ell R \triangleleft_r A$ , then  $B \triangleleft_b A$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a radical and  $\mathcal{S}\gamma$  its semisimple class. The radical  $\gamma$  is *bi-stable* (*q-stable*) if  $S \triangleleft_b A$  ( $S \triangleleft_q A$ ), respectively, implies  $\gamma(S) \subseteq \gamma(A)$ .  $\gamma$  is bi-stable (*q-stable*) if and only if the semisimple class  $\mathcal{S}\gamma$  is *bi-hereditary* (*q-hereditary*), that is  $S \triangleleft_b A \in \mathcal{S}\gamma$  ( $S \triangleleft_q A \in \mathcal{S}\gamma$ ), respectively, implies  $S \in \mathcal{S}\gamma$ . We say that  $\gamma$  is *bi-strong* (*q-strong*) if  $\gamma(S) = S \triangleleft_b A$  ( $\gamma(S) = S \triangleleft_q A$ ) implies  $S \subseteq \gamma(A)$ . Left (right) stability, strongness and hereditariness are defined correspondingly.

Obviously stability implies strongness, but a left and right strong radical need not be left or right stable. For biideals, however, *bi-strongness is equivalent to bi-stability* (cf. [10; Proposition 8]).

Sands [7] characterized *normal radicals as left strong and principally left hereditary* (i.e.  $A \in \gamma \implies Aa \in \gamma$  for all  $a \in A$ ) radicals (cf. [4; Theorem 3.18.5]). Nevertheless, *the left stable and principally left hereditary radicals are just the A-radicals*, as proved by Jaegermann and Sands [6], see also [4; Theorem 3.19.13]).

The main objective of this note is to replace here “left” and/or “right” by “bi-”, and characterize radicals with these properties. Since bi-strongness is equivalent to bi-stability, characterizations of  $A$ -radicals are anticipated. For that purpose we need to define principally *bi-hereditariness*:  $A \in \gamma \implies aAa \in \gamma$  for all  $a \in A$ .

$A$ -radicals will be characterized also in terms of quasi-ideals, therefore beside  $q$ -stability and  $q$ -strongness, we have to define a suitable notion for hereditariness. A radical  $\gamma$  is said to be *principally left q-hereditary* if  $A \in \gamma$  implies  $A(Aa \cap aA) \in \gamma$  for all  $a \in A$ .

In the proofs we shall work with matrix rings, more precisely with the ring  $M_2(A)$  of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over a ring  $A$ . Doing so, we use the notations  $(A)_{ij}$  for the set of matrices which have elements from  $A$  at the  $i, j$  position and 0 everywhere else, and  $\begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ U & V \end{pmatrix}$  for the set of matrices which have elements from  $X, Y, U$  and  $V$  at the corresponding positions. We recall from Snider [8]

(cf. [4; Proposition 4.9.1]): if  $\gamma$  is a radical, then  $\gamma(M_n(A)) = M_n(I)$  for some ideal  $I$  of  $A$  for every ring  $A$ .

## 2. Results

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a bi-strong or a  $q$ -strong or  $q$ -stable radical. If  $A \in \gamma$  or  $A^0 \in \gamma$ , then  $M_2(A) \in \gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Since every bi-strong or  $q$ -stable radical is  $q$ -strong and every quasi-ideal is a biideal, it suffices to prove the statement only for  $q$ -strong radicals.

Suppose that  $A \in \gamma$ . Then  $(A)_{11} \triangleleft_q M_2(A)$  and  $(A)_{11} \cong A \in \gamma$ . Since  $\gamma$  is  $q$ -strong, in view of Snider [8] we have

$$(A)_{11} \subseteq \gamma(M_2(A)) = \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ I & I \end{pmatrix}$$

with an appropriate ideal  $I$  of  $A$ . Hence  $A \subseteq I$ , and so  $\gamma(M_2(A)) = M_2(A)$ .

Writing  $(A)_{12}$  in place of  $(A)_{11}$ , we get the proof for the case  $A^0 \in \gamma$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a  $q$ -strong and principally left  $q$ -hereditary radical. If  $A^0 \in \gamma$  for a ring  $A$ , then  $A \in \gamma$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1, we have  $M_2(A) \in \gamma$ . Since for every element  $a \in A$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} A(Aa \cap aA) & 0 \\ A(Aa \cap aA) & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= M_2(A)(Aa \cap aA)_{11} \\ &= M_2(A) \left( \begin{pmatrix} Aa & 0 \\ Aa & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cap \begin{pmatrix} aA & aA \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= M_2(A)(M_2(A)(a)_{11} \cap (a)_{11}M_2(A)) \triangleleft_q M_2(A), \end{aligned}$$

the principally  $q$ -hereditariness of  $\gamma$  yields that

$$M_2(A)(M_2(A)(a)_{11} \cap (a)_{11}M_2(A)) \in \gamma.$$

Hence

$$(A(Aa \cap aA))_{21} \triangleleft \begin{pmatrix} A(Aa \cap aA) & 0 \\ A(Aa \cap aA) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \gamma,$$

and so also  $A(Aa \cap aA) \in \gamma$ . But  $A(Aa \cap aA) \triangleleft_q A$  and  $\gamma$  is  $q$ -strong, therefore

$$AaAa \subseteq A(Aa \cap aA) \subseteq \gamma(A).$$

Hence  $(Aa + \gamma(A))/\gamma(A)$  is a homomorphic image of  $A^0 \in \gamma$ , and so  $(Aa + \gamma(A))/\gamma(A) \in \gamma$ . Since  $\gamma$  is  $q$ -strong and  $(Aa + \gamma(A))/\gamma(A) \triangleleft_q A/\gamma(A)$ , it follows that  $Aa \subseteq \gamma(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ , that is,  $A^2 \subseteq \gamma(A)$ . Thus  $A/\gamma(A) \cong A^0/(\gamma(A))^0 \in \gamma$  holds implying  $A = \gamma(A)$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a  $q$ -strong and principally left  $q$ -hereditary radical. If  $A \in \gamma$ , then  $A^0 \in \gamma$ .*

**P r o o f.** Assume that  $A \in \gamma$  and  $A^0 \notin \gamma$ . As already mentioned, we know that  $\gamma^0(A) \triangleleft A$ , so without loss of generality we may confine ourselves to the case  $A \in \gamma$  and  $0 \neq A^0 \in \mathcal{S}\gamma$ .

Clearly  $(A)_{11}, (A)_{22} \triangleleft_q \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$  and  $(A)_{ii} \cong A \in \gamma$ . Since  $\gamma$  is  $q$ -strong,

$$(A)_{11} + (A)_{22} \subseteq \gamma \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^2 & A^2 \\ 0 & A^2 \end{pmatrix} = ((A)_{11} + (A)_{22}) \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \gamma \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

These relations imply

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & A^2 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \gamma \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the factor ring  $\begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} / \gamma \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$  is a homomorphic image of  $A/A^2 \in \gamma$ , and so we conclude that  $\begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \in \gamma$ . Since for each element  $a \in A$  we have

$$(A(Aa \cap aA))_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} (a)_{12} \cap (a)_{12} \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \right) \triangleleft_q \begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $\gamma$  is principally left  $q$ -hereditary, it follows that

$$(A(Aa \cap aA))^0 \cong (A(Aa \cap aA))_{12} \in \gamma.$$

Hence  $(A(Aa \cap aA))^0 \subseteq \gamma^0(A) = 0$ . This proves that  $AaAa \subseteq A(Aa \cap aA) = 0$  and  $(Aa)^2 = 0$  for every element  $a \in A$ . Thus for every  $a, b \in A$  we have

$$M_2(A) \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_2(A) \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

and therefore  $AaAb = 0$ , that is  $A^4 = 0$ . Hence  $A \in \gamma$  is a nilpotent ring, and so  $A^0 \in \gamma$  by Gardner's Lemma. Hence  $A^0 \in \gamma \cap \mathcal{S}\gamma = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $A^0 \in \gamma$  as claimed.  $\square$

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a  $q$ -stable and principally bi-hereditary radical. If  $A^0 \in \gamma$  for a ring  $A$ , then  $A \in \gamma$ .*

**P r o o f.** We know from Lemma 1 that  $M_2(A) \in \gamma$ . Since  $\gamma$  is principally bi-hereditary, for any  $a \in A$  we have

$$aAa \cong (aAa)_{11} = (a)_{11} M_2(A) (a)_{11} \in \gamma.$$

Taking into account that  $\gamma$  is  $q$ -stable, we get that

$$aAa \subseteq \gamma(Aa \cap aA) \subseteq \gamma(A).$$

Hence  $(Aa)^2 \subseteq \gamma(A)$  for all  $a \in A$ , and proceeding as the proof of Lemma 2, we get that  $A^2 \subseteq \gamma(A)$  and  $A \in \gamma(A)$ .  $\square$

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a  $q$ -stable and principally bi-hereditary radical. If  $A \in \gamma$ , then  $A^0 \in \gamma$ .*

**P r o o f.** As in the proof of Lemma 4, we get  $(aAa)^0 \cong (aAa)_{12} \in \gamma$ . Suppose that  $A^0 \notin \gamma$ . As in the proof of Lemma 3, it suffices to deal with the case  $0 \neq A^0 \in \mathcal{S}\gamma$ . But then  $(aAa)^0 \in \mathcal{S}\gamma$  implying  $aAa = 0$  for all  $a \in A$ . Hence from  $(x + y)A(x + y) = 0$  we conclude that  $xAy = 0$  for all  $x, y \in A$ , that is,  $A^3 = 0$ . Now Gardner's Lemma is applicable yielding  $0 \neq A^0 \in \gamma$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**LEMMA 6.** *If  $\gamma$  is an  $A$ -radical, then  $\gamma$  is bi-stable, principally bi-hereditary and principally left  $q$ -hereditary.*

**P r o o f.** As mentioned, the  $A$ -radical  $\gamma$  is left and right stable and principally left and right hereditary. Thus, if  $B \triangleleft_b A \in \mathcal{S}\gamma$ , then  $B \triangleleft_\ell B + AB \triangleleft_r A$ , and so  $B \in \mathcal{S}\gamma$ , proving that  $\gamma$  is bi-stable. Further, if  $A \in \gamma$ , then by  $aAa \triangleleft_\ell aA \triangleleft_r A$  it follows that  $aAa \in \gamma$ , whence  $\gamma$  is principally bi-hereditary.

If  $A \in \gamma$ , then also  $A^0 \in \gamma$ . Now, for every element  $x \in Aa \cap aA$  the ring  $(Ax)^0$  is in  $\gamma$  as a homomorphic image of  $A^0$ . So by  $(Ax)^0 \triangleleft A^0$  we have

$$(A(Aa \cap aA))^0 = \sum((Ax)^0 \mid x \in Aa \cap aA) \in \gamma.$$

Taking into consideration that  $\gamma$  is an  $A$ -radical, also  $A(Aa \cap aA) \in \gamma$  proving that  $\gamma$  is principally left  $q$ -hereditary.  $\square$

Summarizing the so far proved results, we get several characterizations for  $A$ -radicals.

**THEOREM.** *For a radical  $\gamma$  of rings the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\gamma$  is an  $A$ -radical,
- (ii)  $\gamma$  is bi-strong and principally bi-hereditary,
- (iii)  $\gamma$  is  $q$ -stable and principally bi-hereditary,
- (iv)  $\gamma$  is bi-strong and principally left  $q$ -hereditary,
- (v)  $\gamma$  is  $q$ -strong and principally left  $q$ -hereditary.

**P r o o f.** By Lemma 6, any  $A$ -radical  $\gamma$  is bi-strong, principally bi-hereditary and principally left  $q$ -hereditary.

As proved in [10], bi-strongness is equivalent to bi-stability. Further, the implications

$$\text{bi-stable} \implies q\text{-stable} \implies q\text{-strong}$$

are obvious.

Lemmas 2 and 3 state that for a  $q$ -strong and principally left  $q$ -hereditary radical  $\gamma$ ,  $A \in \gamma \iff A^0 \in \gamma$ . Lemmas 4 and 5 assert that for a  $q$ -stable and principally bi-hereditary radical  $\gamma$ ,  $A \in \gamma \iff A^0 \in \gamma$ . This property characterizes the  $A$ -radicals (cf. [4; Proposition 3.19.2]).  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.** *A radical  $\gamma$  of rings is an  $A$ -radical if and only if  $\gamma$  is normal and bi-strong.*

**Proof.** By [4; Corollary 3.19.14],  $\gamma$  is an  $A$ -radical if and only if  $\gamma$  is normal and left (and right) stable. As one readily verifies, left and right stability is equivalent to bi-stability, that is, to bi-strongness, by [10].  $\square$

**Remark.** A normal radical is always left and right strong, but not necessarily an  $A$ -radical. So Corollary 2 shows that left and right strongness (even together with normality) does not imply bi-strongness.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.** *For a radical  $\gamma$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\gamma$  is a hereditary  $A$ -radical,
- (ii)  $\gamma$  is bi-strong and bi-hereditary,
- (iii)  $\gamma(B) = B \cap \gamma(A)$  for every  $B \triangleleft_b A$ .

**Proof.**

(i)  $\iff$  (ii): Left and right hereditariness is obviously equivalent to bi-hereditariness. Furthermore, a bi-hereditary radical is also hereditary.

(i)  $\iff$  (iii): By [4; Corollary 3.19.5],  $\gamma$  is a hereditary  $A$ -radical if and only if  $\gamma(L) = L \cap \gamma(A)$  for every  $L \triangleleft_\ell A$  (and also for every  $L \triangleleft_r A$ ). Hence, if  $B \triangleleft_\ell A$ , then  $\gamma(B) = B \cap \gamma(B + AB)$  and  $\gamma(B + AB) = (B + AB) \cap \gamma(A)$ , whence  $\gamma(B) = B \cap \gamma(A)$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] BEIDAR, K. I.—WIEGANDT, R.: *Rings with involution and chain conditions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **87** (1993), 205–220.
- [2] GARDNER, B. J.: *Radicals of abelian groups and associative rings*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **24** (1973), 259–268.
- [3] GARDNER, B. J.: *Sub-prime radical classes determined by zerorings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **12** (1975), 95–97.
- [4] GARDNER, B. J.—WIEGANDT, R.: *Radical Theory of Rings*. Monogr. Textbooks Pure Appl. Math. 261, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel, 2004.

ON  $A$ -RADICALS

- [5] JAEGERMANN, M.: *Morita contexts and radicals*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. **20** (1972), 619–623.
- [6] JAEGERMANN, M.—SANDS, A. D.: *On normal radicals and normal classes of rings*, J. Algebra **50** (1978), 337–349.
- [7] SANDS, A. D.: *On normal radicals*, J. London Math. Soc. **11** (1975), 361–365.
- [8] SNIDER, R. L.: *Complemented hereditary radicals*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **4** (1971), 307–320.
- [9] STEINFELD, O.: *Quasi-Ideals in Rings and Semigroups*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1978.
- [10] STEWART, P. N.—WIEGANDT, R.: *Quasi-ideals and bi-ideals in radical theory of rings*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **39** (1982), 298–294.

Received April 6, 2004

\* *Department of Algebra*  
*University of Mongolia*  
*P.O. Box 75*  
*Ulaan Baatar 20*  
*MONGOLIA*  
*E-mail: stumurbat@hotmail.com*

\*\* *A. Rényi Institute of Mathematics*  
*Hungarian Academy of Sciences*  
*P.O. Box 127*  
*H-1364 Budapest*  
*HUNGARY*  
*E-mail: wiegandt@renyi.hu*