

Ladislav Bican

Relative purity over Noetherian rings

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 57 (2007), No. 4, [333]--338

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136959>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

RELATIVE PURITY OVER NOETHERIAN RINGS

LADISLAV BICAN

(Communicated by Tibor Katriňák)

ABSTRACT. In this note we are going to show that if M is a left module over a left noetherian ring R of the infinite cardinality $\lambda \geq |R|$, then its injective hull $E(M)$ is of the same size. Further, if M is an injective module with $|M| \geq (2^\lambda)^+$ and $K \leq M$ is its submodule such that $|M/K| \leq \lambda$, then K contains an injective submodule L with $|M/L| \leq 2^\lambda$. These results are applied to modules which are torsionfree with respect to a given hereditary torsion theory and generalize the results obtained by different methods in author's previous papers: [*A note on pure subgroups*, Contributions to General Algebra 12. Proceedings of the Vienna Conference, June 3–6, 1999, Verlag Johannes Heyn, Klagenfurt, 2000, pp. 105–107], [*Pure subgroups*, Math. Bohem. 126 (2001), 649–652].

©2007
Mathematical Institute
Slovak Academy of Sciences

In this paper R denotes an associative ring with identity, which is usually left noetherian, and $R\text{-mod}$ stands for the category of all unitary left R -modules. As usual, for a submodule K of the module M and for any element $x \in M$ the annihilator (left) ideal $(K : x)$ of R consists of all elements $r \in R$ with $rx \in K$. Dualizing the notion of the injective envelope of a module ([7]) H. Bass [1] investigated the projective cover of a module and he characterized the class of so called *perfect rings* over which every module has a projective cover. By a *projective cover* of a module M it is meant an epimorphism $\varphi: F \rightarrow M$ with F projective and such that the kernel K of φ is *superfluous* in F in the sense that the equality $K + L = F$ implies $L = F$ whenever L is a submodule of F .

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16P40, 16D50, 16D90, 18E40.

Keywords: left noetherian ring, injective module, injective hull, hereditary torsion theory, relative purity.

This research has been partially supported by the Grant Agency of the Charles University, grant #GAUK 301-10/203115/B-MAT/MFF and also by the institutional grant MSM 113 200 007.

Recently, the general theory of covers has been studied intensively. If \mathcal{G} is an *abstract class* of modules (i.e. \mathcal{G} is closed under isomorphic copies) then a homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow M$ with $G \in \mathcal{G}$ is called a \mathcal{G} -*precover* of the module M if for each homomorphism $f: F \rightarrow M$ with $F \in \mathcal{G}$ there is $g: F \rightarrow G$ such that $\varphi g = f$. A \mathcal{G} -precover of M is said to be a \mathcal{G} -*cover* if every endomorphism f of G with $\varphi f = \varphi$ is the automorphism of G . It is well-known (see e.g. [11]) that an epimorphism $\varphi: F \rightarrow M$, F projective, is a projective cover of the module M if and only if it is a \mathcal{P} -cover of M , where \mathcal{P} denotes the class of all projective modules. Denoting by \mathcal{F} the class of all flat modules, the Enochs' conjecture ([8]), whether every module over any associative ring with identity has an \mathcal{F} -cover, has been recently solved in affirmative independently by E. Enochs and L. Bican with R. El Bashir in the common paper [4].

In the general theory of precovers several types of purities are used. In some cases (see e.g. [5], [6], [10], [11]) the existence of pure submodules in the kernels of some homomorphisms plays an important role. Using the general theory of covers, in [6] the main result of this note appears as a corollary. However, the direct proof presented here is of some interest because the existence of non-zero pure submodules of "large" flat modules contained in submodules with "small" factors is sufficient for the existence of flat covers (see [6] and [4]).

In my previous paper [2] I proved that if λ is an infinite cardinal, then for any torsionfree abelian group F of the size $|F| \geq (2^\lambda)^+$ and any its subgroup K such that F/K is p -primary and $|F/K| \leq \lambda$, the subgroup K contains a non-zero subgroup L pure in F . This result was extended in [3] to the case when F/K is an arbitrary torsion group of the size at most λ , but the lower bound for the size of F is $(\nu^{\aleph_0})^+$, where ν is the first cardinal with $\lambda_i < \nu$, and λ_i are given by $\lambda_0 = \lambda$ and $\lambda_{i+1} = 2^{\lambda_i}$ for every $i = 0, 1, \dots$.

The purpose of this note is to solve this problem for modules over left noetherian rings, which are torsionfree with respect to a given hereditary torsion theory for the category $R\text{-mod}$. As a consequence we obtain that in the abelian groups category the estimation $(2^\lambda)^+$ valid for the p -primary case is good enough for the general case. Moreover, we shall see that the submodule L of K can be found "large" in the sense that $|F/L| \leq 2^\lambda$. As a by-product we shall also prove that for any module M over a left noetherian ring R of the size $|M| \geq \max(|R|, \aleph_0)$ the injective envelope $E(M)$ of M is of the same size as M .

THEOREM 1. *Let R be a left noetherian ring, $\mu = \max(|R|, \aleph_0)$. If M is an arbitrary module then*

- (i) $|E(M)| = |M|$ whenever $|M| \geq \mu$;
- (ii) $|E(M)| \leq \mu$ whenever $|M| < \mu$.

Proof.

(i) Proving indirectly, let us suppose that $|E(M)| > |M|$ for some $M \in R\text{-mod}$ with $|M| \geq \mu$. For each finite subset $L = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ we fix an order on L and consequently we shall consider L as a finite sequence. Now for each left ideal I of R we fix a finite set G_I of generators of I and to each element $x \in \overline{M}_0 = E(M) \setminus M$ we associate the finite sequence $S_x = \{a_1x, \dots, a_mx\} \subseteq M$ in such a way that $I_x = (M : x)$ and $G_{I_x} = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ (as a sequence). Further, we define the equivalence relation \sim_0 on the set \overline{M}_0 by setting $x \sim_0 y$ if and only if $(M : x) = I_x = I_y = (M : y)$ and $S_x = S_y$ (as sequences, again). Obviously, there is at most $|M|$ different sequences of the form S_x and consequently there exists an equivalence class M_0 under \sim_0 having $|E(M)|$ elements. Finally, we put $x_0 = 0$, we select an element $x_1 \in M_0$ arbitrarily and we shall continue by the induction. Assume that for some $n < \omega$ we have constructed the subsets M_0, M_1, \dots, M_n of $E(M)$ and the elements x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} in such a way that $M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq M_n$, $x_0 = 0$, $x_{i+1} \in M_i$, $|M_i| = |E(M)|$ for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and $I_{x-x_i} = I_{y-x_i}$, $S_{x-x_i} = S_{y-x_i}$ for all $x, y \in M_i$ and all $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Setting $\overline{M}_{n+1} = \{x \in M_n : x - x_{n+1} \notin M\}$ we obviously get the set of the size $|E(M)|$ and we define the equivalence relation \sim_{n+1} on the set \overline{M}_{n+1} by setting $x \sim_{n+1} y$ if and only if $I_{x-x_{n+1}} = I_{y-x_{n+1}}$ and $S_{x-x_{n+1}} = S_{y-x_{n+1}}$. By the same argument as in the case $n = 0$ we obtain the existence of an equivalence class M_{n+1} under \sim_{n+1} having $|E(M)|$ elements and we finally select an element $x_{n+2} \in M_{n+1}$ arbitrarily. To finish the proof, let $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $x \in M_n \subseteq M_{n-1}$ be arbitrary. Then $x \sim_n x_{n+1}$ yields $I_{x-x_n} = I_{x_{n+1}-x_n} = I$ and for $G_I = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ it is $a_i(x - x_n) = a_i(x_{n+1} - x_n)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Thus, for each $r \in I$, $r = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i a_i$, we have $r(x - x_{n+1}) = r(x - x_n) - r(x_{n+1} - x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i a_i(x - x_n) - \sum_{i=1}^m r_i a_i(x_{n+1} - x_n) = 0$. On the other hand, $x - x_{n+1} \notin M$ and so there is an element $s \in I_{x-x_{n+1}} = (M : (x - x_{n+1}))$ with $0 \neq s(x - x_{n+1}) \in M$, M being essential in $E(M)$. We have thus proved that $I_{x-x_n} \not\subseteq I_{x-x_{n+1}}$ for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, which contradicts the hypothesis that the ring R is left noetherian.

(ii) If the ring R is infinite, then $E(R) \oplus E(M) \cong E(R \oplus M)$ is of the size μ by (i), while for R finite $E(R^{(\omega)} \oplus M)$ is of the size μ again, and the assertion follows easily. \square

For the sake of completeness we sketch the proof of the following technical lemma, the proof of which can be found in [5]. Recall, that two homomorphisms $f: F \rightarrow M$ and $g: G \rightarrow M$ are called M -equivalent, if there is an isomorphism $\pi: F \rightarrow G$ such that $g\pi = f$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $F = \bigoplus_{\delta \in D} F_\delta$ be a direct sum of modules and let $f: F \rightarrow M$ be an arbitrary homomorphism. Then there is a subset $D' \subseteq D$ such that $F = U \oplus V$, where $U = \bigoplus_{\delta \in D'} F_\delta$, $V \subseteq \text{Ker } f$ and for $\delta, \varepsilon \in D'$, $\delta \neq \varepsilon$, the restrictions $f|_{F_\delta}$ and $f|_{F_\varepsilon}$ are not M -equivalent.*

Proof. Denoting $f_\delta = f|_{F_\delta}$ for each $\delta \in D$, we can define the equivalence relation \sim on the set D in such a way that we put $\delta \sim \varepsilon$ if and only if f_δ and f_ε are M -equivalent. Let D' be any representative set of equivalence classes under \sim . If $\delta \sim \varepsilon$, then there is an isomorphism $\pi_{\varepsilon\delta}: F_\delta \rightarrow F_\varepsilon$ such that $f_\varepsilon \pi_{\varepsilon\delta} = f_\delta$. Setting $G_{\varepsilon\delta} = \{x - \pi_{\varepsilon\delta}(x) : x \in F_\delta\}$ and $D'_\delta = \{\varepsilon \in D : \varepsilon \sim \delta, \varepsilon \neq \delta\}$, it is a routine to check that $F = \bigoplus_{\delta \in D'} \left(F_\delta \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\varepsilon \in D'_\delta} G_{\varepsilon\delta} \right) \right)$, the inclusion $V =$

$\bigoplus_{\delta \in D'} \left(\bigoplus_{\varepsilon \in D'_\delta} G_{\varepsilon\delta} \right) \subseteq \text{Ker } f$ is obvious and the proof is complete. □

THEOREM 3. *Let R be a left noetherian ring and let $\lambda \geq \mu = \max(|R|, \aleph_0)$ be an arbitrary cardinal number. If M is an injective module with $|M| \geq (2^\lambda)^+$ and if K is its submodule such that $|M/K| \leq \lambda$, then there exists a submodule $L \subseteq K$ of M such that L is injective and $|M/L| \leq 2^\lambda$.*

Proof. Since R is left noetherian, we can decompose the module M into a direct sum $M = \bigoplus_{\delta \in D} M_\delta$ of injective hulls of cyclic modules. By Lemma 2 there is a subset $D' \subseteq D$ such that $M = U \oplus V$, where $V \subseteq \text{Ker } \pi$, $\pi: M \rightarrow M/K$ being the canonical projection, and $U = \bigoplus_{\delta \in D'} M_\delta$, where $\pi|_{M_\delta}$ and $\pi|_{M_\varepsilon}$ are not M/K -equivalent whenever $\delta, \varepsilon \in D'$, $\delta \neq \varepsilon$. There is at most μ left ideals of R , the ring R being left noetherian, hence at most μ different cyclic modules and consequently, by Theorem 1, at most $(\lambda^\mu)^\mu \leq \lambda^\lambda = 2^\lambda$ homomorphisms from injective hulls of cyclic modules into M/K . So, it suffices to put $L = V$, since in this case we have $L \subseteq K$ and $|M/L| = |U| \leq 2^\lambda$. □

Recall, that a *hereditary torsion theory* $\sigma = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ for the category $R\text{-mod}$ consists of two abstract classes \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{F} , the σ -torsion class and the σ -torsionfree class, respectively, such that $\text{Hom}(T, F) = 0$ whenever $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$, the class \mathcal{T} is closed under submodules, factor-modules, extensions and arbitrary

direct sums, the class \mathcal{F} is closed under submodules, extensions and arbitrary direct products and for each module M there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow M \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ such that $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

THEOREM 4. *Let $\sigma = (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a hereditary torsion theory for the category $R\text{-mod}$ over a left noetherian ring R . If $\lambda \geq \mu = \max(|R|, \aleph_0)$ is an arbitrary cardinal number and $F \in \mathcal{F}$ is an arbitrary module such that $|F| \geq (2^\lambda)^+$, then every submodule $K \leq F$ such that $|F/K| \leq \lambda$ contains a submodule L with $F/L \in \mathcal{F}$ and $|F/L| \leq 2^\lambda$.*

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{j} & E(F) \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ F/K & \xrightarrow{\iota} & E(F/K) \end{array}$$

where j, ι are inclusions, π is the canonical projection and the existence of a homomorphism g making the square commutative follows from the injectivity of $E(F/K)$. Since $|E(F)| \geq (2^\lambda)^+$ and $|E(F/K)| \leq \lambda$ by Theorem 1, it follows from Theorem 3 that there exists an injective submodule $V \subseteq \text{Ker } g$ such that $|E(F)/V| \leq 2^\lambda$. Setting $L = V \cap F$, we obviously have $L \subseteq K$, $F/L \cong (F + V)/V \subseteq E(F)/V$ yields $|F/L| \leq 2^\lambda$ and $F/L \in \mathcal{F}$, V being a direct summand of $E(F)$. □

As a special case we obtain the following generalization of our previous results proved in [2] and [3].

COROLLARY 5. *Let λ be an infinite cardinal and let F be a torsionfree abelian group such that $|F| \geq (2^\lambda)^+$. If $K \leq F$ is any subgroup with $|F/K| \leq \lambda$, then there exists a pure subgroup L of F contained in K and such that $|F/L| \leq 2^\lambda$.*

Proof. Obvious. □

REFERENCES

[1] BASS, H.: *Finitistic dimension and a homological characterization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 466–488.
 [2] BICAN, L.: *A note on pure subgroups*, Contributions to General Algebra 12, Proceedings of the Vienna Conference, June 3–6, 1999, Verlag Johannes Heyn, Klagenfurt 2000, pp. 105–107.
 [3] BICAN, L.: *Pure subgroups*, Math. Bohem. **126** (2001), 649–652.
 [4] BICAN, L.—EL BASHIR, R.—ENOCHS, E.: *All modules have flat covers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), 649–652.

LADISLAV BICAN

- [5] BICAN, L.—TORRECILLAS, B.: *Precovers*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **53(128)** (2003), 191–203.
- [6] BICAN, L.—TORRECILLAS, B.: *On covers*, J. Algebra **236** (2001), 645–650.
- [7] ECKMANN, B.—SCHOPF, A.: *Über injektive Moduln*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **4(2)** (1953), 75–78.
- [8] ENOCHS, E.: *Injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents*, Israel J. Math. **39** (1981), 189–209.
- [9] FUCHS, L.: *Infinite Abelian Groups, Vol. I; II*, Academic Press, New York, 1973; 1977.
- [10] TEPLY, M. L.: *Torsion-free covers II*, Israel J. Math. **23** (1976), 132–136.
- [11] XU, J.: *Flat Covers of Modules*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1634, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1996.

Received 11. 11. 2004

KA MFF UK
Sokolovská 83
CZ-186 00 Praha 8 – Karlín
CZECH REPUBLIK
E-mail: bican@karlin.mff.cuni.cz