

Yonghua Guo

FC-modules with an application to cotorsion pairs

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 50 (2009), No. 4, 513--519

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/137442>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## FC-modules with an application to cotorsion pairs

YONGHUA GUO

*Abstract.* Let  $R$  be a ring. A left  $R$ -module  $M$  is called an FC-module if  $M^+ = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is a flat right  $R$ -module. In this paper, some homological properties of FC-modules are given. Let  $n$  be a nonnegative integer and  $\mathcal{FC}_n$  the class of all left  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that the flat dimension of  $M^+$  is less than or equal to  $n$ . It is shown that  $({}^\perp(\mathcal{FC}_n^\perp), \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$  is a complete cotorsion pair and if  $R$  is a ring such that  $\text{fd}({}_R R)^+ \leq n$  and  $\mathcal{FC}_n$  is closed under direct sums, then  $(\mathcal{FC}_n, \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$  is a perfect cotorsion pair. In particular, some known results are obtained as corollaries.

*Keywords:* character modules, flat modules, cotorsion pairs

*Classification:* 16D40, 16D80, 16E99

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this note,  $R$  is an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary. For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , the character module  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is denoted by  $M^+$ . The left  $R$ -module category is denoted by  ${}_R\mathcal{M}$ . The projective (resp., injective, flat) dimension of  $M$  is denoted by  $\text{pd}(M)$  (resp.,  $\text{id}(M)$ ,  $\text{fd}(M)$ ). The symbol  $\mathcal{P}_n$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{I}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_n$ ) stands for the class of all left  $R$ -modules with projective (resp., injective, flat) dimension less than or equal to a fixed nonnegative integer  $n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of  $R$ -modules and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. A homomorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow F$  with  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  is called a  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope of  $M$  [9] if for any homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow F'$  where  $F' \in \mathcal{C}$ , there is a homomorphism  $g : F \rightarrow F'$  such that  $g\phi = f$ . A  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope  $\phi : M \rightarrow F$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope if every endomorphism  $g : F \rightarrow F$  such that  $g\phi = \phi$  is an isomorphism. Following [9, Definition 7.1.6], a monomorphism  $\alpha : M \rightarrow C$  with  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  is said to be a *special  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope* of  $M$  if  $\text{coker}(\alpha) \in {}^\perp\mathcal{C}$ . Dually we have the definitions of a (*special*)  $\mathcal{C}$ -precover and a  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover. Special  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelopes (resp. special  $\mathcal{C}$ -precovers) are obviously  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelopes (resp.,  $\mathcal{C}$ -precovers). If every  $R$ -module has a  $\mathcal{C}$ -(pre)envelope (resp.,  $\mathcal{C}$ -(pre)cover), we say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is (*pre*)*enveloping* (resp., (*pre*)*covering*).

A pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$  of classes of  $R$ -modules is called a *cotorsion pair* (or *cotorsion theory*) [9, 12] if  $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \mathcal{C}$  and  ${}^\perp\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \{C : \text{Ext}_R^1(F, C) = 0 \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ , and  ${}^\perp\mathcal{C} = \{F : \text{Ext}_R^1(F, C) = 0 \text{ for all } C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ . A cotorsion pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$

---

This research was partially supported by NSFC (No. 10771096) and National Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China (No. 2008365).

is called *complete* (resp., *perfect*) provided that every  $R$ -module has a special  $\mathcal{C}$ -preenvelope and a special  $\mathcal{F}$ -precover (resp., a  $\mathcal{C}$ -envelope and an  $\mathcal{F}$ -cover).

In what follows, we write  $wD(R)$  for the weak dimension of the ring  $R$ . Recall that a left  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *FP-injective* (or *absolutely pure*) [18] if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0$  for all finitely presented left  $R$ -modules  $N$ . A ring  $R$  is called *right IF-ring* [14] if every injective right  $R$ -module is flat.

For unexplained concepts and notations, we refer the reader to [1], [9].

## 2. Some results on FC-modules

Following Ramamurthi [16] we call an  $R$ -module  $M$  an FC-module if  $M^+$  is a flat  $R$ -module on the opposite side.

Let  $\mathcal{FI} = \{M \mid M \text{ is an FP-injective left } R\text{-module}\}$  and  $\mathcal{FC}_n = \{M \mid M \text{ is a left } R\text{-module with } \text{fd}(M^+) \leq n\}$ , thus  $\mathcal{FC}_0 = \{M \in {}_R\mathcal{M} \mid M \text{ is an FC-module}\}$ .

We note that if  $M$  is an FC-module then  $M$  is FP-injective (Proposition 2.1).

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module. Consider the following statements:*

- (1)  $M$  is an FC-module;
- (2)  $M^+ \rightarrow S^+$  is a flat precover for every submodule  $S$  of  $M$ ;
- (3) there exists a pure exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  with  $N \in \mathcal{FC}_0$ ;
- (4)  $M$  is FP-injective.

Then (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). And (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) holds in case  $R$  is a left coherent ring.

PROOF: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) are trivial.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) For a flat right  $R$ -module  $F$ ,  $(F \otimes_R M)^+ \rightarrow (F \otimes_R S)^+ \rightarrow 0$  is exact, equivalently,  $\text{Hom}_R(F, M^+) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, S^+) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. So  $M^+ \rightarrow S^+$  is a flat precover.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$  be a pure exact sequence with  $N \in \mathcal{FC}_0$ .  $0 \rightarrow L^+ \rightarrow N^+ \rightarrow M^+ \rightarrow 0$  is split by [11, Theorem 3.1]. Thus  $M^+$  is flat since  $N^+$  is flat.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Since  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^{++}$  is a pure embedding and  $M^{++}$  is injective,  $M$  is FP-injective by [18, Proposition 2.6].

If  $R$  is left coherent, then (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) follows from [4, Theorem 1]. □

*Remark 2.2.* Given an exact sequence  $F \xrightarrow{f} N \rightarrow 0$  with  $F$  flat, in general,  $f : F \rightarrow N$  need not be a flat precover. For example,  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 0$  is exact, and  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}_2$  is not a flat precover.

It is not true in general that a submodule of an  $\text{FC}_n$ -module is an  $\text{FC}_n$ -module. However, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. If  $S$  is a pure submodule of a right  $\text{FC}_n$ -module  $M$ , then  $S$  and  $M/S$  are  $\text{FC}_n$ -modules.*

PROOF: Since  $S$  is a pure submodule of  $M$ ,  $0 \rightarrow (M/S)^+ \rightarrow M^+ \rightarrow S^+ \rightarrow 0$  is a split exact sequence by [11, Theorem 3.1]. Hence  $\text{fd}(S^+) \leq n$  and  $\text{fd}((M/S)^+) \leq n$ . □

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of modules.  $\mathcal{C}$  is called *coresolving* [12, Definition 2.2.8(ii)], provided that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under extensions,  $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  whenever  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence such that  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is left coherent;
- (2)  $\mathcal{FI}$  is coresolving;
- (3)  $\mathcal{FC}_0$  is coresolving;
- (4)  $\mathcal{I}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{FC}_0$ .

PROOF: Since  $\mathcal{FI}$  is closed under extensions and  $\mathcal{I}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{FI}$ , (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) follows from [6, Theorem 1.5].

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) By [4, Theorem 1],  $\mathcal{FC}_0 = \mathcal{FI}$  since  $R$  is left coherent. Therefore  $\mathcal{FC}_0$  is coresolving by (2).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) is clear.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) It is enough to prove  $\mathcal{FC}_0 = \mathcal{FI}$  by [4, Theorem 1]. By Proposition 2.1, we have  $\mathcal{FC}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{FI}$ . For any  $F \in \mathcal{FI}$ , there is a pure short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  with  $E$  injective. Hence  $F \in \mathcal{FC}_0$  by Proposition 2.1. It follows that  $\mathcal{FC}_0 = \mathcal{FI}$ , as desired.  $\square$

*Remark 2.5.* If  $R$  is not a left coherent ring, then there exists an injective right  $R$ -module  $M$  such that  $M$  is not an FC-module by Theorem 2.4.

**Corollary 2.6.**  *$R$  is left coherent if and only if every left  $R$ -module has a monomorphic  $\mathcal{FC}_0$ -preenvelope.*

PROOF: If  $R$  is left coherent, then  $\mathcal{FI} = \mathcal{FC}_0$ . By [10, Corollary 1.4], every left  $R$ -module has a monomorphic  $\mathcal{FC}_0$ -preenvelope. On the other hand, if every left  $R$ -module has a monomorphic  $\mathcal{FC}_0$ -preenvelope, then every injective left  $R$ -module is an FC-module. Hence,  $R$  is left coherent by Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is a right IF-ring;
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{FC}_0$ ;
- (3)  $\mathcal{P}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{FC}_0$ .

PROOF: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $F$  be a flat left  $R$ -module. Since  $F^+$  is injective as a right  $R$ -module,  $F^+$  is flat and hence  $F$  is an FC-module.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is trivial.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) follows from [5, Theorem 1(4)].  $\square$

*Remark 2.8.* The conditions in Proposition 2.7 are equivalent to  $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{FC}_0$  by [7, Theorem 3.5] for every positive integer  $n$ .

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. If  $R$  is a two-sided IF-ring, then  $R$  is two-sided coherent. Moreover, commutative IF-rings are coherent.*

A coherent ring need not be an IF-ring.  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not an IF-ring since  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is injective (divisible) but not flat ( $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = 0$ ). It is an open question whether a right IF-ring is left coherent [14, P442]. The next theorem gives a partial answer to this question.

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $R$  is a right IF-ring. If  $\text{fd}(E^{++}) < \infty$  for every injective left  $R$ -module  $E$ , then  $R$  is left coherent.*

PROOF: Let  $E$  be an injective left  $R$ -module. Note that  $\text{id}(E^{+++}) = \text{fd}(E^{++}) < \infty$  by hypothesis, and so  $E^{+++}$  is flat by [5, Proposition 4]. Since  $E^+$  is a pure submodule of  $E^{+++}$ ,  $E^+$  is flat. Thus  $R$  is left coherent by Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.11.** *The following are equivalent for a commutative ring  $R$ :*

- (1)  $R$  is an IF-ring;
- (2)  $M$  is flat if and only if  $M$  is an FC-module;
- (3)  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{FC}_n$  for any integer  $n \geq 0$ .

PROOF: It follows from Proposition 2.7 and the proof of Theorem 2.10.  $\square$

*Remark 2.12.* If  $R$  is a coherent and self-injective commutative ring, then  $R$  is an IF-ring by Proposition 2.7. According to above propositions, in this ring, an  $R$ -module is flat if and only if it is FP-injective. Hence [3, Theorem 12] allows us to get examples of rings over which every finitely presented module has an FP-injective envelope but not every module has an FP-injective envelope.

**Proposition 2.13.** *The following are equivalent for a ring  $R$ :*

- (1)  $R$  is von Neumann regular;
- (2) every left  $R$ -module is an FC-module;
- (3)  $M^+$  is an FC-module for every pure injective right  $R$ -module  $M$ .

PROOF: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) are trivial.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) For any left  $R$ -module  $N$ ,  $N^+$  is pure injective right  $R$ -module. Therefore  $N^{++}$  is an FC-module. Since  $N$  is a pure submodule of  $N^{++}$ ,  $N$  is an FC-module by Proposition 2.1.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) For any left  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $f : F \rightarrow M$  be a flat cover of  $M$ . Then  $F^+$  is injective and the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M^+ \rightarrow F^+ \rightarrow (\text{Ker}(f))^+ \rightarrow 0$  is split since  $(\text{Ker}(f))^+$  is flat by assumption. Thus  $M^+$  is injective, and hence  $M$  is flat.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.14.** *Let  $R$  a commutative ring such that  $wD(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \infty$  for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is von Neumann regular;
- (2) every  $R$ -module has a monomorphic flat envelope;
- (3)  $R$  is an IF-ring such that every  $R$ -module has an  $\mathcal{FC}_0$ -envelope.

PROOF: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is trivial.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) If every  $R$ -module has a monomorphic flat envelope, then  $R$  is an IF-ring. Now by using [2, Theorem 9], we get that  $wD(R) \leq 2$ . Hence  $R$  is von Neumann regular by [5, Proposition 5].

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) follows from Proposition 2.13.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) By Proposition 2.11, every  $R$ -module has a flat envelope. Since every injective module is flat, the flat envelope must be monomorphic.  $\square$

### 3. An application to cotorsion pairs

We begin with the following

**Proposition 3.1.** *For a family  $\{F_i\}$  of right  $R$ -modules, if  $\Pi F_i$  is a right  $FC_n$ -module, then  $\oplus F_i$  is a right  $FC_n$ -module.*

PROOF: The result follows since  $\oplus F_i$  is a pure submodule of  $\Pi F_i$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* By [17, Corollary 3.5(c)], if a class  $\mathcal{G}$  of modules over a ring is closed under pure submodules, then  $\mathcal{G}$  is preenveloping if and only if it is closed under direct products. If a class  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under pure quotient modules, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is precovering if and only if it is covering if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under direct sums by [13, Theorem 2.5]. From Proposition 3.1, we know that if  $\mathcal{FC}_n$  is preenveloping, then  $\mathcal{FC}_n$  is covering. Moreover,  $\mathcal{FC}_n$  is a Kaplansky class by [13, Proposition 3.2].

**Lemma 3.3.**  *$\mathcal{FC}_n$  is covering if and only if  $\mathcal{FC}_n$  is closed under direct sums.*

PROOF: This follows from Proposition 2.3 and [13, Theorem 2.5].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *For a left coherent ring  $R$ , every left  $R$ -module has an FP-injective cover.*

**Theorem 3.5.**  *$({}^\perp(\mathcal{FC}_n^\perp), \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$  is a complete cotorsion pair. Moreover, if  $R$  is a ring such that  $\text{fd}(({}_R R)^+) \leq n$  and  $\mathcal{FC}_n$  is closed under direct sums, then  $(\mathcal{FC}_n, \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$  is a perfect cotorsion pair.*

PROOF: Let  $E$  be a right  $R$ -module with  $\text{fd}(E^+) \leq n$ . By [9, Lemma 5.3.12], if  $\text{Card } R \leq \aleph_\beta$ , then, for each  $x \in E$ , there is a pure submodule  $S \subseteq E$  with  $x \in S$  such that  $\text{Card } S \leq \aleph_\beta$  (simply let  $N = Rx$  and  $f = \text{id}_N$  in the lemma). By Proposition 2.3,  $S \in \mathcal{FC}_n$  and  $E/S \in \mathcal{FC}_n$ . So we can write  $E$  as a union of a continuous chain  $(E_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$  of pure submodules of  $E$  such that  $\text{Card } E_0 \leq \aleph_\beta$  and  $\text{Card}(E_{\alpha+1}/E_\alpha) \leq \aleph_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha + 1 < \lambda$ . Moreover  $E_0 \in \mathcal{FC}_n$  and  $E_{\alpha+1}/E_\alpha \in \mathcal{FC}_n$ . By [9, Theorem 7.3.4], we see that if  $C$  is a right  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}^1(E_0, C) = 0$  and  $\text{Ext}^1(E_{\alpha+1}/E_\alpha, C) = 0$  whenever  $\alpha + 1 < \lambda$ , then  $\text{Ext}^1(E, C) = 0$ . So if  $Y$  is a set of representatives of all right  $R$ -modules  $G \in \mathcal{FC}_n$  with  $\text{Card } G \leq \aleph_\beta$ , then  $C \in \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp$  if and only if  $\text{Ext}^1(G, C) = 0$  for all  $G \in Y$ . But then this just says that the given cotorsion pair  $({}^\perp(\mathcal{FC}_n^\perp), \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$  is cogenerated by the set  $Y$ . Hence  $({}^\perp(\mathcal{FC}_n^\perp), \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$  is a complete cotorsion pair by [8, Theorem 10].

By Proposition 2.3 and hypothesis,  $\mathcal{FC}_n$  is closed under direct limits. Since  $R \in \mathcal{FC}_n$ , we may assume  $R \in Y$ . So the class  ${}^\perp(\mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$  consists of direct summands of  $Y$ -filtered modules by [12, Corollary 3.2.4]. By an induction on the length of the  $Y$ -filtration, we get that  ${}^\perp(\mathcal{FC}_n^\perp) = \mathcal{FC}_n$ . Therefore,  $(\mathcal{FC}_n, \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$  is perfect by [12, Corollary 2.3.7].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6** ([15, Theorem 3.4(1)]). *For a left coherent ring  $R$  with  $\text{FP-id}({}_R R) \leq n$ ,  $(\mathcal{FI}_n, \mathcal{FI}_n^\perp)$  is a perfect cotorsion pair.*

**Corollary 3.7** ([12, Theorem 4.1.13]). *Let  $R$  be a left noetherian ring. Then  $\mathfrak{C}_n = ({}^\perp(\mathcal{I}_n^\perp), \mathcal{I}_n^\perp)$  is a complete cotorsion pair. Moreover, if  $\text{id}({}_R R) \leq n$ , then  $\mathfrak{C}_n = (\mathcal{I}_n, \mathcal{I}_n^\perp)$  is a perfect cotorsion pair.*

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of modules. Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is *definable* [12, Definition 3.1.9] provided that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under direct limits, direct products and pure submodules.

**Theorem 3.8.** *If  $R$  is a right IF-ring such that  $\mathcal{FC}_n$  is closed under direct products, then  $\mathcal{FC}_n$  is definable and  $(\mathcal{FC}_n, \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$  is a perfect cotorsion pair.*

PROOF: By hypothesis and Proposition 3.1,  $\mathcal{FC}_n$  is closed under direct sums. Thus  $\mathcal{FC}_n$  is definable by Proposition 2.3 and  $(\mathcal{FC}_n, \mathcal{FC}_n^\perp)$  is a perfect cotorsion pair by Theorem 3.5.  $\square$

*Remark 3.9.* If  $R$  is a ring such that  $(\mathcal{FC}_0, \mathcal{FC}_0^\perp)$  is a cotorsion pair, then  $R$  is a right IF-ring.

**Acknowledgments.** The author wishes to express his gratitude to the referee for his/her careful reading and comments which improve the presentation of this article. Also the author would like to thank Professor Nanqing Ding for his constant encouragement.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson F.W., Fuller K.R., *Rings and Categories of Modules*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 13, Springer, New York, 1992.
- [2] Asensio Mayor J., Martinez Hernandez J., *Flat envelopes in commutative rings*, Israel J. Math. **62** (1988), no. 1, 123–128.
- [3] Asensio Mayor J., Martinez Hernandez J., *Monomorphic flat envelopes in commutative rings*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **54** (1990), no. 5, 430–435.
- [4] Cheatham T.J., Stone D.R., *Flat and projective character modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), no. 2, 175–177.
- [5] Colby R.R., *Rings which have flat injective modules*, J. Algebra **35** (1975), 239–252.
- [6] Couchot F., *Exemples d'anneaux auto-fp-injectifs*, Comm. Algebra **10** (1982), no. 4, 339–360.
- [7] Ding N.Q., Chen J.L., *The flat dimensions of injective modules*, Manuscripta Math. **78** (1993), 165–177.
- [8] Eklof P.C., Trlifaj J., *How to make Ext vanish*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), no. 1, 41–51.
- [9] Enochs E.E., Jenda O.M.G., *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 30, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.

- [10] Enochs E.E., Jenda O.M.G., Xu J., *The existence of envelopes*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **90** (1990), 45–51.
- [11] Fieldhouse D.J., *Character modules*, Comment. Math. Helv. **46** (1971), 274–276.
- [12] Göbel R., Trlifaj J., *Approximations and Endomorphism Algebras of Modules*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 41, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2006.
- [13] Holm H., Jørgensen P., *Covers, preenvelopes, and purity*, Illinois J. Math. **52** (2008), 691–703.
- [14] Jain S., *Flat and FP-injectivity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1973), no. 2, 437–442.
- [15] Mao L.X., Ding N.Q., *Envelopes and covers by modules of finite FP-injective and flat dimensions*, Comm. Algebra **35** (2007), 835–849.
- [16] Ramamurthi V.S., *On modules with projective character modules*, Math. Japon. **23** (1978), 181–184.
- [17] Rada J., Saorín M., *Rings characterized by (pre)envelopes and (pre)covers of their modules*, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), 899–912.
- [18] Stenström B., *Coherent rings and FP-injective modules*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 323–329.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GUANGXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUILIN, GUANGXI  
541004, CHINA

and

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, CHINA

*Email:* gyonghua@yahoo.com

(Received June 10, 2009, revised October 30, 2009)