Shichang Shu Hypersurfaces with constant k-th mean curvature in a Lorentzian space form

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 46 (2010), No. 2, 87--97

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140305

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2010

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

# HYPERSURFACES WITH CONSTANT *k*-TH MEAN CURVATURE IN A LORENTZIAN SPACE FORM

Shichang Shu

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study  $n(n \geq 3)$ -dimensional complete connected and oriented space-like hypersurfaces  $M^n$  in an (n+1)-dimensional Lorentzian space form  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$  with non-zero constant k-th (k < n) mean curvature and two distinct principal curvatures  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ . We give some characterizations of Riemannian product  $H^m(c_1) \times M^{n-m}(c_2)$  and show that the Riemannian product  $H^m(c_1) \times M^{n-m}(c_2)$  is the only complete connected and oriented space-like hypersurface in  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$  with constant k-th mean curvature and two distinct principal curvatures, if the multiplicities of both principal curvatures are greater than 1, or if the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is n-1,  $\lim_{s \to \pm \infty} \lambda^k \neq H_k$  and the sectional curvature of  $M^n$  is non-negative (or non-positive) when c > 0, non-positive when  $c \leq 0$ , where  $M^{n-m}(c_2)$  denotes  $R^{n-m}$ ,  $S^{n-m}(c_2)$  or  $H^{n-m}(c_2)$ , according to c = 0, c > 0 or c < 0, where s is the arc length of the integral curve of the principal vector field corresponding to the principal curvature  $\mu$ .

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$  be an (n + 1)-dimensional Lorentzian space form with constant sectional curvature c. According to c > 0, c = 0 or c < 0, it is called a de Sitter space, a Minkowski space or an anti-de Sitter space, respectively, and it is denoted by  $S_1^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $R_1^{n+1}$  or  $H_1^{n+1}(c)$ . A hypersurface in a Lorentzian manifold is said to be space-like if the induced metric on the hypersurface is positive definite.

In connection with the negative settlement of the Bernstein problem due to Calabi [4], Cheng-Yau [5] and Chouque-Bruhat et al. [6] proved for  $c \ge 0$  and T. Ishihara [9] proved for c < 0 the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $M^n$  be an n-dimensional  $(n \ge 2)$  complete maximal space-like hypersurface in an (n + 1)-dimensional Lorentzian space form  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$ . Then (i) if  $c \ge 0$ ,  $M^n$  is totally geodesic;

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 53C42; secondary 53A10.

 $K\!ey$  words and phrases: space-like hypersurface, Lorentzian space form,  $k\mbox{-mean curvature},$  principal curvature.

Project supported by NSF of Shaanxi Province(SJ08A31) and NSF of Shaanxi Educational Committee(2008JK484).

Received February 2, 2009, revised November 2009. Editor J. Slovák.

(ii) if c < 0, then  $S \le n$  and S = n if and only if  $M^n = H^m(-\frac{n}{m}) \times H^{n-m}(-\frac{n}{n-m})$ ,  $(1 \le m \le n-1)$ , where S denotes the norm square of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$ .

As a generalization of Theorem 1.1, complete space-like hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature or constant scalar curvature in a Lorentz manifold have been investigated by many mathematicians. For example, let  $M^n$  be an *n*-complete space-like hypersurface with constant mean curvature in a de Sitter space  $S_1^{n+1}(c)$ , Goddard [7] conjectured that every such hypersurface must be totally umbilical. Akutagawa [2] and Ramanthan [13] have proved independently that Goddard's conjecture is true if  $H^2 \leq c$  when n = 2, and  $n^2 H^2 < 4(n-1)c$  when  $n \geq 3$ . Further discussions in this regard have been carried out by many other authors, we can see ([8]–[10] and [14]). Z. Hu et al. [8] studied the complete connected and oriented space-like hypersurfaces in an (n + 1)-dimensional de Sitter space  $S_1^{n+1}(1)$  with constant scalar curvature n(n-1)r and with two distinct principal curvatures and gave some characterizations of Riemannian product  $H^m(c_1) \times S^{n-m}(c_2)$  in terms of the squared norm of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$ . By considering the sectional curvature of  $M^n$ , Zheng [16] proved the following result:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $M^n$  be an n-dimensional compact space-like hypersurface in an (n + 1)-dimensional de Sitter space  $S_1^{n+1}(c)$  with constant scalar curvature n(n-1)r. If r < c and the sectional curvature of  $M^n$  is non-negative, then  $M^n$  is isometric to a sphere.

We denote by h the second fundamental form of  $M^n$  and denote by  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ the principal curvatures at an arbitrary point of  $M^n$ . From [11], we know that the k-th mean curvature  $H_k$  of  $M^n$  is defined by

$$P_n(t) = (1 + t\lambda_1)(1 + t\lambda_2)\dots(1 + t\lambda_n) = 1 + C_n^1 H_1 t + \dots + C_n^n H_n t^n$$

that is, the k-th mean curvature  $H_k$  is the normalized k-th symmetric function of principal curvatures of the hypersurface  $M^n$  defined by

(1.1) 
$$C_n^k H_k = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \le n} \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_k} ,$$

where  $C_n^k = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ .

We should note that if k = 1,  $H_1$  is the mean curvature of  $M^n$  and if k = 2, from (1.1) and (2.11), we have  $H_2 = c - r$ , where r is the normalized scalar curvature of  $M^n$ .

In this paper, we investigate complete hypersurfaces in a Lorentzian space form  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$  with constant k-th mean curvature  $H_k$  and with two distinct principal curvatures. In order to state our theorem clearly, we introduce, see U.-H. Ki et al. [10], the well-known standard models of complete space-like hypersurfaces with constant k-th mean curvature in an (n + 1)-dimensional Lorentzian space form  $R_1^{n+1}$ ,  $S_1^{n+1}(c)$  or  $H_1^{n+1}(c)$ :

$$H^{m}(c_{1}) \times R^{n-m} = \left\{ (x, y) \in R_{1}^{n+1} = R_{1}^{m+1} \times R^{n-m} : |x|^{2} = -\frac{1}{c_{1}} > 0 \right\},$$

where  $c_1 < 0$  and m = 1, ..., n - 1. We note that  $H^m(c_1) \times R^{n-m}$  in  $R_1^{n+1}$  has two distinct principal curvatures  $\sqrt{-c_1}$  with multiplicity m and 0 with multiplicity n - m;

$$H^{m}(c_{1}) \times S^{n-m}(c_{2}) = \left\{ (x,y) \in S_{1}^{n+1}(c) \subset R_{1}^{n+2} = R_{1}^{m+1} \times R^{n-m+1} : |x|^{2} = -\frac{1}{c_{1}}, |y|^{2} = \frac{1}{c_{2}} \right\}$$

where  $\frac{1}{c_1} + \frac{1}{c_2} = \frac{1}{c}$ ,  $c_1 < 0$ ,  $c_2 > 0$  and  $m = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . We note that  $H^m(c_1) \times S^{n-m}(c_2)$  in  $S_1^{n+1}(c)$  has two distinct principal curvatures  $\sqrt{c-c_1}$  with multiplicity m and  $\sqrt{c-c_2}$  with multiplicity n-m;

$$\begin{split} &H^m(c_1) \times H^{n-m}(c_2) \\ &= \left\{ (x,y) \in H_1^{n+1}(c) \subset R_2^{n+2} = R_1^{m+1} \times R_1^{n-m+1} : \ |x|^2 = -\frac{1}{c_1}, |y|^2 = -\frac{1}{c_2} \right\}, \end{split}$$

where  $\frac{1}{c_1} + \frac{1}{c_2} = \frac{1}{c}$ ,  $c_1 < 0$ ,  $c_2 < 0$  and  $m = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . We note that  $H^m(c_1) \times H^{n-m}(c_2)$  in  $H_1^{n+1}(c)$  has two distinct principal curvatures  $\pm \sqrt{c-c_1}$  with multiplicity m and  $\pm \sqrt{c-c_2}$  with multiplicity n-m.

From U.-H. Ki et al. [10],  $H^1(c_1) \times S^{n-1}(c_2)$ ,  $H^1(c_1) \times R^{n-1}$  or  $H^1(c_1) \times H^{n-1}(c_2)$  is, in particular, called a hyperbolic cylinder in  $S_1^{n+1}(c)$ ,  $R_1^{n+1}$  or  $H_1^{n+1}(c)$ ;  $H^{n-1}(c_1) \times S^1(c_2)$  or  $H^{n-1}(c_1) \times R^1$  is also called a spherical cylinder or Euclidean cylinder in  $S_1^{n+1}(c)$  or  $R_1^{n+1}$ .

From above, we know that the hyperbolic cylinders, spherical cylinder or Euclidean cylinder has two distinct principal curvatures one of which is simple. Without loss of generality, we can denote the two distinct principal curvatures by  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , and say that  $\lambda$  with multiplicity n-1 and  $\mu$  with multiplicity 1. Therefore, from (1.1), we obtain

$$C_n^k H_k = C_{n-1}^k \lambda^k + C_{n-1}^{k-1} \lambda^{k-1} \mu \,,$$

this implies that

(1.2) 
$$\lambda^{k-1}[(n-k)\lambda + k\mu] = nH_k$$

For the hyperbolic cylinder  $H^1(c_1) \times R^{n-1}$ , we know that  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mu \neq 0$ . If  $k \geq 2$ , from (1.2), we have  $H_k \equiv 0$ .

We shall prove the following result:

**Main Theorem.** Let  $M^n$  be an n-dimensional  $(n \ge 3)$  complete connected and oriented space-like hypersurface in an (n+1)-dimensional Lorentzian space form  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$  with non-zero constant k-th (k < n) mean curvature  $H_k$  and with two distinct principal curvatures  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ . Then

(1) if the multiplicities of both principal curvatures are greater than 1, then  $M^n$  is isometric to the Riemannian product  $H^m(c_1) \times M^{n-m}(c_2)$ , where 1 < m < n-1,  $M^{n-m}(c_2)$  denotes  $R^{n-m}$ ,  $S^{n-m}(c_2)$  or  $H^{n-m}(c_2)$ , according as c = 0, c > 0 or c < 0.

#### SHICHANG SHU

(2) if the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is n-1 and  $\lim_{s\to\pm\infty} \lambda^k \neq H_k$ , where s is the arc length of the integral curve of the principal vector field corresponding to the principal curvature  $\mu$ , then

(i) for c > 0,  $M^n$  is isometric to the hyperbolic cylinder  $H^1(c_1) \times S^{n-1}(c_2)$  or spherical cylinder  $H^{n-1}(c_1) \times S^1(c_2)$ ,  $\frac{1}{c_1} + \frac{1}{c_2} = \frac{1}{c}$ ,  $c_1 < 0$ ,  $c_2 > 0$ , if the sectional curvature of  $M^n$  is non-negative or non-positive on  $M^n$ ;

(ii) for c = 0,  $M^n$  is isometric to the Euclidean cylinder  $H^{n-1}(c_1) \times R^1$  or the hyperbolic cylinder  $H^1(c_1) \times R^{n-1}$  and k = 1, where  $c_1 < 0$ , if the sectional curvature of  $M^n$  is non-positive on  $M^n$ ;

(iii) for c < 0,  $M^n$  is isometric to the hyperbolic cylinder  $H^1(c_1) \times H^{n-1}(c_2)$ ,  $\frac{1}{c_1} + \frac{1}{c_2} = \frac{1}{c}$ ,  $c_1 < 0$ ,  $c_2 < 0$ , if the sectional curvature of  $M^n$  is non-positive on  $M^n$ .

**Remark 1.1.** If c = 1, k = 1 and k = 2, the result of (1) in Main Theorem was proved by A. Brasil Jr. et al. [3] and Z. Hu et al. [8], respectively.

**Remark 1.2.** Let  $M^n$  be an *n*-dimensional  $(n \ge 3)$  space-like hypersurface in an (n+1)-dimensional Lorentzian space form  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$   $(c \le 0)$ . We should note that there is no space-like hypersurface in  $H_1^{n+1}(c)$  or  $R_1^{n+1}$  with non-negative sectional curvature. In fact, if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are the principle curvatures of  $M^n$ , then the sectional curvature of the plane section spanned by  $\{e_i, e_j\}$  is  $R_{ijij} = c - \lambda_i \lambda_j, i \ne j$ . For  $c \le 0$ , if the sectional curvature is non-negative, we have  $R_{ijij} = c - \lambda_i \lambda_j \ge 0$ , this is,  $\lambda_i \lambda_j \le c \le 0$ . We infer that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  must have not the same sign each other, this implies that n = 2. Since we assume that  $n \ge 3$ , we have a contradiction.

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $M^n$  be an *n*-dimensional space-like hypersurface in an (n + 1)-dimensional Lorentzian space form  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$ . We choose a local field of semi-Riemannian orthonormal frames  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{n+1}\}$  in  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$  such that at each point of  $M^n$ ,  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  span the tangent space of  $M^n$  and form an orthonormal frame there. We use the following convention on the range of indices:

$$1 \le A, B, C, \ldots \le n+1; \quad 1 \le i, j, k, \ldots \le n.$$

Let  $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n+1}\}$  be the dual frame field so that the semi-Riemannian metric of  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$  is given by  $d\bar{s}^2 = \sum_i \omega_i^2 - \omega_{n+1}^2 = \sum_A \epsilon_A \omega_A^2$ , where  $\epsilon_i = 1$  and  $\epsilon_{n+1} = -1$ .

The structure equations of  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$  are given by

(2.1) 
$$d\omega_A = \sum_B \epsilon_B \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B, \quad \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0,$$

(2.2) 
$$d\omega_{AB} = \sum_{C} \epsilon_{C} \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} + \Omega_{AB} ,$$

where

(2.3) 
$$\Omega_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{C,D} K_{ABCD} \omega_C \wedge \omega_D \,,$$

(2.4) 
$$K_{ABCD} = \epsilon_A \epsilon_B c (\delta_{AC} \delta_{BD} - \delta_{AD} \delta_{BC}) \,.$$

Restricting these forms to  $M^n$ , we have

(2.5) 
$$\omega_{n+1} = 0.$$

Cartan's Lemma implies that

(2.6) 
$$\omega_{n+1i} = \sum_{j} h_{ij} \omega_j, \quad h_{ij} = h_{ji}$$

The structure equations of  $M^n$  are

(2.7) 
$$d\omega_i = \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j, \quad \omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0,$$

(2.8) 
$$d\omega_{ij} = \sum_{k} \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} R_{ijkl} \omega_k \wedge \omega_l ,$$

(2.9) 
$$R_{ijkl} = c(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) - (h_{ik}h_{jl} - h_{il}h_{jk}),$$

where  $R_{ijkl}$  are the components of the curvature tensor of  $M^n$  and

(2.10) 
$$h = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j$$

is the second fundamental form of  $M^n$ . From the above equation, we have

(2.11) 
$$n(n-1)(r-c) = S - n^2 H^2,$$

where n(n-1)r is the scalar curvature of  $M^n$ , H is the mean curvature, and  $S = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2$  is the norm square of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$ .

We choose  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  such that  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ . From (2.6) we have

(2.12) 
$$\omega_{n+1i} = \lambda_i \omega_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Hence, we have from the structure equations of  $M^n$ 

(2.13) 
$$d\omega_{n+1i} = d\lambda_i \wedge \omega_i + \lambda_i d\omega_i = d\lambda_i \wedge \omega_i + \lambda_i \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j .$$

On the other hand, we have on the curvature forms of  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$ ,

(2.14)  

$$\Omega_{n+1i} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{C,D} K_{n+1iCD} \omega_C \wedge \omega_D$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{C,D} c(\delta_{n+1C} \delta_{iD} - \delta_{n+1D} \delta_{iC}) \omega_C \wedge \omega_D$$

$$= c \omega_{n+1} \wedge \omega_i = 0.$$

Therefore, from the structure equations of  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$ , we have

(2.15) 
$$d\omega_{n+1i} = \sum_{j} \omega_{n+1j} \wedge \omega_{ji} - \omega_{n+1n+1} \wedge \omega_{n+1i} + \Omega_{n+1i}$$
$$= \sum_{j} \lambda_{j} \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_{j}.$$

From (2.13) and (2.15), we obtain

(2.16) 
$$d\lambda_i \wedge \omega_i + \sum_j (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j = 0.$$

Putting

(2.17) 
$$\psi_{ij} = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\omega_{ij},$$

we have  $\psi_{ij} = \psi_{ji}$ . (2.16) can be rewritten as

(2.18) 
$$\sum_{j} (\psi_{ij} + \delta_{ij} d\lambda_j) \wedge \omega_j = 0.$$

By E. Cartan's Lemma, we get

(2.19) 
$$\psi_{ij} + \delta_{ij} d\lambda_j = \sum_k Q_{ijk} \omega_k \, ,$$

where  $Q_{ijk}$  are uniquely determined functions such that for all index i, j, k

### 3. Proof of Main Theorem

We firstly state a Proposition which is well-known due to Otsuki [12] for Riemannian space forms (and for Lorentzian space forms see [8] or [3]).

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $M^n$  be a space-like hypersurface in an (n + 1)-dimensional Lorentzian space form  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$  such that the multiplicities of the principal curvatures are constant. Then the distribution of the space of the principal vectors corresponding to each principal curvature is completely integrable. In particular, if the multiplicity of a principal curvature is greater than 1, then this principal curvature is constant on each integral submanifold of the corresponding distribution of the space of the principal vectors.

**Proof of Main Theorem.** (1) Let  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be the two distinct principal curvatures of multiplicities m and n - m respectively, where 1 < m < n - 1. From (1.1), we have

$$C_n^k H_k = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \le n} \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_k} ,$$

where the principal curvatures  $\lambda_i = \lambda$  or  $\mu$  (i = 1, ..., n). This is always a equality of  $H_k$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , we can denote it by

(3.1) 
$$C_n^k H_k = \mathcal{F}(\lambda, \mu).$$

Denote by  $D_{\lambda}$  and  $D_{\mu}$  the integral submanifolds of the corresponding distribution of the space of principal vectors corresponding to the principal curvature  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , respectively. From Proposition 3.1, we know that  $\lambda$  is constant on  $D_{\lambda}$ . Since the k-th mean curvature  $H_k$  is constant, (3.1) implies that  $\mu$  is constant on  $D_{\lambda}$ . By making use of Proposition 3.1 again, we have  $\mu$  is constant on  $D_{\mu}$ . Therefore, we know that  $\mu$  is constant on  $M^n$ . By the same assertion we know that  $\lambda$  is constant on  $M^n$ . Therefore  $M^n$  is isoparametric. By the congruence Theorem of Abe, Koike and Yamaguchi [1], we know that  $M^n$  is isometric to the Riemannian product  $H^m(c_1) \times M^{n-m}(c_2)$ , where  $M^{n-m}(c_2)$  denotes  $R^{n-m}$ ,  $S^{n-m}(c_2)$  or  $H^{n-m}(c_2)$ , according as c = 0, c > 0 or c < 0.

(2) From now on, we consider  $n(n \ge 3)$ -dimensional complete connected and oriented space-like hypersurface with non-zero constant k-th mean curvature  $H_k$  and with two distinct principal curvatures, one of which is simple. Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = \lambda, \quad \lambda_n = \mu$$

where  $\lambda_i$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n are the principal curvatures of  $M^n$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$C_{n}^{k}H_{k} = C_{n-1}^{k}\lambda^{k} + C_{n-1}^{k-1}\lambda^{k-1}\mu,$$

this implies that

(3.2) 
$$\lambda^{k-1}[(n-k)\lambda + k\mu] = nH_k$$

For  $k \ge 2$ , if  $\lambda = 0$  at some point, from (3.2), we have  $H_k = 0$  at this point, this is a contraction. Therefore, we have for all k

(3.3) 
$$\mu = \frac{n}{k} H_k \lambda^{1-k} - \frac{n-k}{k} \lambda$$

Since

$$\lambda - \mu = n \frac{\lambda^k - H_k}{k\lambda^{k-1}} \neq 0 \,,$$

we know that  $\lambda^k - H_k \neq 0$ .

Let  $\varpi = |\lambda^k - H_k|^{-\frac{1}{n}}$ . We denote the integral submanifold through  $x \in M^n$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  by  $M_1^{n-1}(x)$ . Since  $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n\}$  is the dual frame field of  $M^n$ , putting

(3.4) 
$$d\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} \,\omega_{k} \,, \quad d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} \,\omega_{k} \,,$$

from Proposition 3.1, we have

(3.5) 
$$\lambda_{1} = \lambda_{2} = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad M_{1}^{n-1}(x) \,.$$

From (3.3), we have

(3.6) 
$$d\mu = \left[\frac{n(1-k)}{k}H_k\lambda^{-k} - \frac{n-k}{k}\right]d\lambda.$$

Thus, we also have

(3.7) 
$$\mu_{,1} = \mu_{,2} = \dots = \mu_{,n-1} = 0 \text{ on } M_1^{n-1}(x).$$

In this case, we may consider locally  $\lambda$  is a function of the arc length s of the integral curve of the principal vector field  $e_n$  corresponding to the principal curvature  $\mu$ . From (2.19) and (3.5), we have for  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ ,

(3.8)  
$$d\lambda = d\lambda_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{jjk}\omega_k$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{jjk}\omega_k + Q_{jjn}\omega_n = \lambda_{,n}\,\omega_n$$

Therefore, we have

(3.9)  $Q_{jjk} = 0, \quad 1 \le k \le n-1, \text{ and } Q_{jjn} = \lambda_{,n}.$ 

By (2.19) and (3.7), we have

(3.10)  
$$d\mu = d\lambda_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Q_{nnk}\omega_k$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{nnk}\omega_k + Q_{nnn}\omega_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{,i}\,\omega_i = \mu_{,n}\,\omega_n$$

Hence, we obtain

(3.11)  $Q_{nnk} = 0, \quad 1 \le k \le n-1, \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{nnn} = \mu_{n}.$ 

From (3.6), we get

(3.12) 
$$Q_{nnn} = \mu_{,n} = \left[\frac{n(1-k)}{k}H_k\lambda^{-k} - \frac{n-k}{k}\right]\lambda_{,n} .$$

From the definition of  $\psi_{ij}$ , if  $i \neq j$ , we have  $\psi_{ij} = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ . Therefore, from (2.19), if  $i \neq j$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  we have

$$(3.13) Q_{ijk} = 0, ext{ for any } k.$$

Since for all index i, j, k (2.20) holds, we have from (3.11) that  $Q_{jnn} = 0$ . By (2.19), (3.9), (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), we get

(3.14) 
$$\psi_{jn} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} Q_{jnk} \omega_k = Q_{jjn} \omega_j + Q_{jnn} \omega_n = \lambda_{,n} \omega_j \,.$$

From (2.19), (3.3) and (3.14) we have

(3.15) 
$$\omega_{jn} = \frac{\psi_{jn}}{\lambda - \mu} = \frac{\lambda_{,n}}{\lambda - \mu} \omega_j = \frac{k\lambda^{k-1}\lambda_{,n}}{n(\lambda^k - H_k)} \omega_j.$$

Therefore, from the structure equations of  $M^n$  we have

$$d\omega_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_k \wedge \omega_{kn} + \omega_{nn} \wedge \omega_n = 0.$$

Therefore, we may put  $\omega_n = ds$ . By (3.6) and (3.10), we get

$$d\lambda = \lambda_{,n} \, ds \,, \qquad \lambda_{,n} = \frac{d\lambda}{ds} \,,$$

and

$$d\mu = \mu_{,n} \, ds \,, \qquad \mu_{,n} = \frac{d\mu}{ds}$$

Then we have

(3.16) 
$$\omega_{jn} = \frac{k\lambda^{k-1}\lambda_{,n}}{n(\lambda^k - H_k)}\omega_j = \frac{k\lambda^{k-1}\frac{d\lambda}{ds}}{n(\lambda^k - H_k)}\omega_j$$
$$= \frac{d\{\log|\lambda^k - H_k|^{\frac{1}{n}}\}}{ds}\omega_j.$$

From (3.16) and the structure equations of  $M_1^{n+1}(c)$ , we have

$$d\omega_{jn} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_{jk} \wedge \omega_{kn} + \omega_{jn} \wedge \omega_{nn} - \omega_{jn+1} \wedge \omega_{n+1n} + \Omega_{jn}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_{jk} \wedge \omega_{kn} - \omega_{jn+1} \wedge \omega_{n+1n} - c\omega_j \wedge \omega_n$$
$$= \frac{d\{\log|\lambda^k - H_k|^{\frac{1}{n}}\}}{ds} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_{jk} \wedge \omega_k - (c - \lambda\mu)\omega_j \wedge ds \,.$$

From (3.16), we have

$$\begin{split} d\omega_{jn} &= \frac{d^2 \{ \log |\lambda^k - H_k|^{\frac{1}{n}} \}}{ds^2} \, ds \wedge \omega_j + \frac{d \{ \log |\lambda^k - H_k|^{\frac{1}{n}} \}}{ds} \, d\omega_j \\ &= \frac{d^2 \{ \log |\lambda^k - H_k|^{\frac{1}{n}} \}}{ds^2} \, ds \wedge \omega_j + \frac{d \{ \log |\lambda^k - H_k|^{\frac{1}{n}} \}}{ds} \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_{jk} \wedge \omega_k \\ &= \left\{ -\frac{d^2 \{ \log |\lambda^k - H_k|^{\frac{1}{n}} \}}{ds^2} + \left[ \frac{d \{ \log |\lambda^k - H_k|^{\frac{1}{n}} \}}{ds} \right]^2 \right\} \omega_j \wedge ds \\ &+ \frac{d \{ \log |\lambda^k - H_k|^{\frac{1}{n}} \}}{ds} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \omega_{jk} \wedge \omega_k \, . \end{split}$$

From the above two equalities, we have

(3.17) 
$$\frac{d^2 \{ \log |\lambda^k - H_k|^{\frac{1}{n}} \}}{ds^2} - \left\{ \frac{d \{ \log |\lambda^k - H_k|^{\frac{1}{n}} \}}{ds} \right\}^2 - (c - \lambda \mu) = 0.$$

Since we define  $\varpi = |\lambda^k - H_k|^{-\frac{1}{n}}$ , we obtain from the above equation

(3.18) 
$$\frac{d^2\varpi}{ds^2} + \varpi(c - \lambda\mu) = 0.$$

Now we prove the second part of Main Theorem.

(i) For c > 0, if the sectional curvature of  $M^n$  is non-negative, that is, for  $i \neq j$ ,  $R_{ijij} = c - \lambda_i \lambda_j \ge 0$ , we have  $c - \lambda \mu \ge 0$ . From (3.18), we have  $\frac{d^2 \varpi}{ds^2} \le 0$ . Thus,  $\frac{d \varpi}{ds}$  is a monotonic function of  $s \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ . Therefore, by the similar assertion in Wei [15], we have  $\varpi(s)$  must be monotonic when s tends to infinity. Since  $\lambda^k \neq H_k$  and  $\lambda$  is continuous, we know that there is no  $s_0 \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ , such that  $\lim_{s \to s_0} \lambda^k = H_k$ . From the definition of  $\varpi(s)$  and  $\lim_{s \to \pm\infty} \lambda^k \neq H_k$ , we infer that the positive function  $\varpi(s)$  is bounded. Since  $\varpi(s)$  is bounded and monotonic when s tends to infinity, we know that both  $\lim_{s \to -\infty} \varpi(s)$  and  $\lim_{s \to +\infty} \varpi(s)$  exist and then we get

(3.19) 
$$\lim_{s \to -\infty} \frac{d\varpi(s)}{ds} = \lim_{s \to +\infty} \frac{d\varpi(s)}{ds} = 0.$$

From the monotonicity of  $\frac{d\varpi(s)}{ds}$ , we have  $\frac{d\varpi(s)}{ds} \equiv 0$  and  $\varpi(s) = \text{constant}$ . From  $\varpi = |\lambda^k - H_k|^{-\frac{1}{n}}$  and (3.2), we have  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are constant, that is,  $M^n$  is isoparametric. Therefore, by the congruence Theorem of Abe, Koike and Yamaguchi [1], we know that  $M^n$  is isometric to the hyperbolic cylinder  $H^1(c_1) \times S^{n-1}(c_2)$  or spherical cylinder  $H^{n-1}(c_1) \times S^1(c_2)$ ,  $\frac{1}{c_1} + \frac{1}{c_2} = \frac{1}{c}$ ,  $c_1 < 0$ ,  $c_2 > 0$ . If the sectional curvature of  $M^n$  is non-positive, that is, for  $i \neq j$ ,  $R_{ijij} =$ 

If the sectional curvature of  $M^n$  is non-positive, that is, for  $i \neq j$ ,  $R_{ijij} = c - \lambda_i \lambda_j \leq 0$ , we have  $c - \lambda \mu \leq 0$ . From (3.18), we have  $\frac{d^2 \omega}{ds^2} \geq 0$ . Similar to the assertion of the proof above, we know that Main Theorem is true.

(ii) For c = 0, if the sectional curvature of  $M^n$  is non-positive, that is, for  $i \neq j$ ,  $R_{ijij} = -\lambda_i \lambda_j \leq 0$ , we have  $-\lambda \mu \leq 0$ . From (3.18), we have  $\frac{d^2 \varpi}{ds^2} \geq 0$ . Thus,  $\frac{d \varpi}{ds}$  is a monotonic function of  $s \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ . Combining  $\frac{d^2 \varpi}{ds^2} \geq 0$  with the boundedness of  $\varpi(s)$ , similar to the assertion of the proof in (i), we know that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are constant, that is,  $M^n$  is isoparametric. Therefore, by the congruence Theorem of Abe, Koike and Yamaguchi [1] and the discussion of Section 1, we know that  $M^n$  is isometric to the Euclidean cylinder  $H^{n-1}(c_1) \times R^1$  or the hyperbolic cylinder  $H^1(c_1) \times R^{n-1}$ , in this case k = 1, where  $c_1 < 0$ .

(iii) For c < 0, if the sectional curvature of  $M^n$  is non-positive, that is, for  $i \neq j$ ,  $R_{ijij} = c - \lambda_i \lambda_j \leq 0$ , we have  $c - \lambda \mu \leq 0$ . From (3.18), we have  $\frac{d^2 \varpi}{ds^2} \geq 0$ . Thus,  $\frac{d \varpi}{ds}$  is a monotonic function of  $s \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ . Combining  $\frac{d^2 \varpi}{ds^2} \geq 0$  with the boundedness of  $\varpi(s)$ , similar to the assertion of the proof in (i), we know that  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are constant, that is,  $M^n$  is isoparametric. Therefore, by the congruence Theorem of Abe, Koike and Yamaguchi [1], we know that  $M^n$  is isometric to the hyperbolic cylinder  $H^1(c_1) \times H^{n-1}(c_2)$ , where  $\frac{1}{c_1} + \frac{1}{c_2} = \frac{1}{c}$ ,  $c_1 < 0$ ,  $c_2 < 0$ . This completes the proof of Main Theorem.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referee for his / her many valuable suggestions that really improve the paper.

#### References

- Abe, N., Koike, N., Yamaguchi, S., Congruence theorems for proper semi-Riemannian hypersurfaces in a real space form, Yokohama Math. J. 35 (2) (1987), 123–136.
- [2] Akutagawa, K., On spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a de Sitter space, Math. Z. 196 (1987), 13–19.
- [3] Brasil, A., Jr., Colares, A. G., Palmas, O., Complete spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in the de Sitter space: A gap Theorem, Illinois J. Math. 47 (2003), 847–866.
- [4] Calabi, E., Examples of Bernstein problems for some nonlinear equations, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 15 (1970), 223–230.
- [5] Cheng, S. Y., Yau, S. T., Maximal space-like hypersurfaces in the Lorentz- Minkowski spaces, Ann. of Math. (2) 104 (3) (1976), 407–419.
- [6] Chouque-Bruhat, Y., Fisher, A. E., Marsden, J. E., Maximal hypersurfaces and positivity mass, Proc. of the E. Fermi Summer School of the Italian Physical Society (Ehlers, J., ed.), North-Holland, 1979.
- [7] Goddard, A. J., Some remarks on the existence of spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 82 (1977), 489–495.
- [8] Hu, Z., Scherfner, M., Zhai, S., On spacelike hypersurfaces with constant salar curvature in the de Sitter space, Differential Geom. Appl. 25 (2007), 594–611.
- [9] Ishihara, T., Maximal space-like submanifolds of a pseudo-Riemannian space form of constant curvature, Michigan Math. J. 35 (1988), 345–352.
- [10] Ki, U.-H., Kim, H.-J., Nakagawa, H., On space-like hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature of a Lorentz space form, Tokyo J. Math. 14 (1) (1991), 205–215.
- [11] Li, H., Chen, W., Integral formulas for compact spacelike hypersurfaces in de Sitter space and their applications to Goddard's conjecture, Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.) 14 (2) (1998), 285–288.
- [12] Otsuki, T., Minimal hypersurfaces in a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature, Amer. J. Math. 92 (1970), 145–173.
- [13] Ramanathan, J., Complete spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in the de Sitter space, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 36 (1987), 349–359.
- [14] Shu, S., Complete space-like hypersurfaces in a de Sitter space, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 73 (2006), 9–16.
- [15] Wei, G., Complete hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a unit sphere, Monatsh. Math. 149 (2006), 251–258.
- [16] Zheng, Y., Space-like hypersurfaces with constant salar curvature in the de Sitter space, Differential Geom. Appl. 6 (1996), 51–54.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XIANYANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY XIANYANG 712000 SHAANXI, P. R. CHINA *E-mail*: shushichang@126.com