

Hiroyuki Tachikawa

Loewy coincident algebra and QF -3 associated graded algebra

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 59 (2009), No. 3, 583–589

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140501>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

LOEWY COINCIDENT ALGEBRA AND QF -3 ASSOCIATED
GRADED ALGEBRA

HIROYUKI TACHIKAWA, Tsukuba

(Received October 16, 2007)

Abstract. We prove that an associated graded algebra R_G of a finite dimensional algebra R is QF (= selfinjective) if and only if R is QF and Loewy coincident. Here R is said to be Loewy coincident if, for every primitive idempotent e , the upper Loewy series and the lower Loewy series of Re and eR coincide.

QF -3 algebras are an important generalization of QF algebras; note that Auslander algebras form a special class of these algebras. We prove that for a Loewy coincident algebra R , the associated graded algebra R_G is QF -3 if and only if R is QF -3.

Keywords: associated graded algebra, QF algebra, QF -3 algebra, upper Loewy series, lower Loewy series

MSC 2010: 13A30, 16D50, 16L60, 16P70

INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field and R a finite dimensional K -algebra; denote its Jacobson radical by J . Given a left R -module X , the chain

$$X \supset JX \supset \dots \supset J^\varrho X$$

of its submodules is called the upper Loewy series of X . On the other hand, the chain of the right annihilators

$$X = r(J^{\varrho+1}: X) \supset r(J^\varrho: X) \supset r(J^{\varrho-1}: X) \supset \dots \supset r(J^1: X),$$

where $r(J^i: X) = \{x \in X; J^i x = 0\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \varrho$, is called the lower Loewy series of X .

If the upper Loewy series and the lower Loewy series of X coincide, we shall say that X satisfies the Loewy coincidence condition. For a right R -module, we shall apply the same definitions.

We shall say that R is a left Loewy coincident algebra if every primitive left ideal satisfies the Loewy coincidence condition. That is, R is left Loewy coincident if and only if for every primitive idempotent e of R , $J^{e+1-i}e = r(J^i)e$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \varrho$, where $J^e e \neq 0$ but $J^{e+1}e = 0$.

A left and right Loewy coincident algebra is called simply a Loewy coincident algebra.

In [5], the author has proved that the associated graded algebra R_G is quasi-Frobenius if and only if R is Loewy coincident and quasi-Frobenius. It is well known that R is quasi-Frobenius (abbreviated to QF) if and only if R is selfinjective.

Let us point out that R and R_G have very different structures even if R is commutative (cf. Example 2.2 in [5]).

In this paper we will extend our consideration to QF -3 associated graded algebras. For the definition of QF -3 algebras, see Thrall [6] and Tachikawa [4]. Note that Auslander algebras are a special class of QF -3 algebras; recall that an Auslander algebra is the endomorphism algebra of the direct sum of all indecomposable modules over an algebra of finite representation type (Auslander [1]).

In §2, we shall prove that if R is a Loewy coincident algebra, then the associated graded algebra R_G is QF -3 if and only if R is QF -3.

1. SOCLE CONDITION AND LOEWY COINCIDENCE CONDITION

Let R be an algebra with Jacobson radical J of nilpotency $n + 1$. Let us denote the associated graded ring of R by $R_G (= R/J \oplus J/J^2 \oplus \dots \oplus J^{n-1}/J^n \oplus J^n)$.

We shall say that a positive integer ϱ is the Loewy length of a left R -module X if $J^e X \neq 0$ but $J^{e+1} X = 0$.

Then for a left R -module X of the Loewy length ϱ the associated graded left R_G -module X_G is defined as a (formal) direct sum

$$X/JX \oplus JX/J^2X \oplus \dots \oplus J^{e-1}X/J^eX \oplus J^eX$$

with the following operation by R_G : $r_G x_G = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^{\varrho} (r_j x_k + J^{j+k+1} X)$, where $r_G = \sum_{j=0}^n (r_j + J^{j+1}) \in R_G$ with $r_j \in J^j$ and $x_G = \sum_{k=0}^{\varrho} (x_k + J^{k+1} X) \in X_G$ with $x_k \in J^k X$.

In this case, since $J^{e+1} X = 0$, $J^e X$ and $\text{Rad}(R_G)^e X_G$ can be identified as additive groups. Furthermore, we can identify $J^e X$ and $\text{Rad}(R_G)^e X_G$ as R_G -modules. In order to indicate this identification, we use the notation $R_G J^e X$.

We know that the socle $\text{Soc}(X)$ of X can be defined by

$$\text{Soc}(X) = r(J: X), \quad \text{where } r(J: X) = \{x \in X; Jx = 0\}.$$

Similarly, we can define the socle of the left R_G -module X_G by $\text{Soc}(X_G) = r(\text{Rad}(R_G): X_G) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\varrho} (x_k + J^{k+1}X); x_k \in J^k X \text{ and } Jx_k \subseteq J^{k+2}X \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq \varrho - 1 \right\}$.

Now, let us consider the submodule of X_G consisting of the elements $\sum_{k=0}^{\varrho} (y_k + J^{k+1}X)$, where $y_k \in \text{Soc}(X) \cap J^k X$. We denote this submodule by $\text{soc}(X_G)$. It is clear that ${}_{R_G}J^{\varrho}X \subset \text{soc}(X_G) \subset \text{Soc}(X_G) \subset X_G$.

Let us point out that if ${}_{R_G}J^{\varrho}X = \text{soc}(X_G)$, then $J^{\varrho}X = \text{Soc}(X)$. Indeed, for $x \in \text{Soc}(X)$ denote by j the positive integer such that $x \in J^j X \setminus J^{j+1}X$. Then $x + J^{j+1}X \in \text{soc}(X_G)$ and it follows from ${}_{R_G}J^{\varrho}X = \text{soc}(X_G)$ that there exists $y \in J^{\varrho}X$ such that $y + J^{\varrho+1} = x + J^{j+1}$. But this implies $j = \varrho$ and $x = y$. Hence $\text{Soc}(X) \subseteq J^{\varrho}X$, which means $J^{\varrho}X = \text{Soc}(X)$.

We say that a left R -module X satisfies the socle condition with respect to X_G if ${}_{R_G}J^{\varrho}X = \text{soc}(X_G) = \text{Soc}(X_G)$. Moreover, we say that a left R -module X satisfies the Loewy coincidence condition if $J^i X = \text{Soc}^{\varrho+1-i}(X)$ ($= r(J^{\varrho+1-i}; X) = \{x \in X; J^{\varrho+1-i}x = 0\}$) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \varrho$. Here of course $\text{Soc}^1(X) = \text{Soc}(X)$. Then we can formulate the following statement.

Lemma 1.1. *Let X be a left R -module. Then the following statements (i) and (ii) are equivalent:*

- (i) X satisfies the socle condition with respect to X_G .
- (ii) X satisfies the Loewy coincidence condition.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let ϱ be the Loewy length of X and assume that $\text{Soc}^s(X) = J^{\varrho+1-s}X$ for $s \geq 1$. For $s = 1$, the assumption is satisfied. For, as mentioned earlier, the condition (i), viz. ${}_{R_G}J^{\varrho}X = \text{soc}(X_G)$ implies $J^{\varrho}X = \text{Soc}(X)$.

Suppose now that $\text{Soc}^{s+1}(X) \neq J^{\varrho-s}X$. Since $\text{Soc}^{s+1}(X) \supseteq J^{\varrho-s}X$, there is an element $x \in X$ such that $x \in \text{Soc}^{s+1}(X)$ but $x \notin J^{\varrho-s}X$. Let l be a positive integer such that $x \in J^l X \setminus J^{l+1}X$. Then l is uniquely determined, $l < \varrho - s$ and $Jx \subseteq J^{l+1}X$. In this case we know that $Jx \not\subseteq J^{l+2}X$. Indeed, suppose that $Jx \subseteq J^{l+2}X$. Then $x + J^{l+1}X \in \text{Soc}(X_G) = \text{soc}(X_G) = {}_{R_G}J^{\varrho}X$ by (i). Hence we have $l = \varrho$, which is a contradiction to $l < \varrho - s$.

On the other hand, $x \in \text{Soc}^{s+1}(X)$ implies $J^{s+1}x = J^s(Jx) = 0$ and hence $Jx \subseteq \text{Soc}^s(X) = J^{\varrho+1-s}X$. However, $J^{\varrho+1-s}X \subseteq J^{l+2}X$ because $J^{\varrho+1-s}X \subset J^{l+1}X$

but $J^{\varrho+1-s}X \neq J^{l+1}X$. Hence, we have $Jx \subseteq J^{l+2}X$, which contradicts again $Jx \not\subseteq J^{l+2}X$.

Consequently, we conclude that $\text{Soc}^{s+1}(X) = J^{\varrho-s}X$. Now by induction on s we can complete the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Since ϱ is the Loewy length of X , it follows immediately from (ii) that $J^{\varrho}X = \text{Soc}(X)$, which yields ${}_{R_G}J^{\varrho}X = \text{soc}(X_G)$.

Suppose that there is an element $x \in J^tX \setminus J^{t+1}X$ such that $x + J^{t+1}X \in \text{Soc}(X_G)$ and $x \notin \text{Soc}(X)(= J^{\varrho}X)$. Then $t < \varrho$ and $Jx \in J^{t+2}X$. However, (ii) implies that $J^{t+2}X = \text{Soc}^{\varrho+1-(t+2)}(X)$ and hence $J^{\varrho-t-1}Jx = J^{\varrho-t}x = 0$. Thus, $x \in \text{Soc}^{\varrho-t}(X)$.

Again by (ii), we have $\text{Soc}^{\varrho-t}(X) = J^{\varrho+1-(\varrho-t)}X = J^{t+1}X$ and hence $x \in J^{t+1}X$. However, this contradicts $x \in J^tX \setminus J^{t+1}X$. Consequently, $\text{Soc}(X_G) \subseteq \text{soc}(X_G)$. \square

In view of Morita equivalence [2], we can assume, without loss of generality, that all algebras are basic. Let e be a primitive idempotent of the ring R . Then $e + J \in R/J$ is a primitive idempotent of R_G that will be briefly denoted by e_G .

We have a ring isomorphism $R/J \simeq R_G/\text{Rad}(R_G)$ and by this isomorphism e corresponds to e_G . Therefore we can identify the simple left R -module Re/Je and the simple left R_G -module $R_Ge_G/\text{Rad}(R_G)e_G$. We note that this identification can be extended to semisimple R -modules and semisimple R_G -modules.

Now, if we apply Lemma 1.1 for $X = Re$ then we obtain immediately the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let ϱ be the Loewy length of Re . Then $\text{Soc}(R_Ge_G) = \text{Soc}(Re) = N^{\varrho}e$ if and only if Re satisfies the Loewy coincidence condition.*

An algebra R is said to be left (or right) QF -2 if $\text{Soc}(Re)$ (or $\text{Soc}(eR)$) is simple for every primitive idempotent e (cf. [6]).

As $J^{\varrho}e = \text{Soc}(Re)$ if $\text{Soc}(Re)$ is simple, we have immediately

Corollary 1.3. *R_G is left QF -2 if and only if R is left QF -2 and left Loewy coincident.*

Proof. If R_G is QF -2, then for every primitive idempotent e_G , $\text{Soc}(R_Ge_G)$ is simple. Hence $\text{Rad}(R_G)^{\varrho}e_G = J^{\varrho}e = \text{Soc}(Re) = \text{Soc}(R_Ge_G)$. Therefore by Lemma 1.1, the Loewy coincidence condition holds for every primitive ideal Re . It follows that R is QF -2.

If R is QF -2 and if the coincidence condition holds for every primitive ideal Re , then by Lemma 1.1 the socle condition for R_Ge_G holds and R_Ge_G has a simple socle. Hence R_G is QF -2. \square

By T. Nakayama [3], an algebra R is QF (=quasi-Frobenius) if and only if the following conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied:

(i) For all primitive idempotents e_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have $r(J)e_i = l(J)e_i$ and $e_i l(J) = e_i r(J)$, and they are simple left and right R -modules, where $r(J)$ and $l(J)$ denote the right and left annihilators of J , respectively.

(ii) There is a permutation π on $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that $r(J)e_i \simeq Re_{\pi(i)}/Je_{\pi(i)}$.

Therefore R is QF if and only if R is a left and right QF -2 algebra with $r(J) = l(J)$ having the above permutation π . Hence, Corollary 1.3 yields immediately the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. R_G is QF if and only if R is QF and Loewy coincident.

(Cf. Theorem 1.7 of [5].)

2. QF -3 ASSOCIATED GRADED ALGEBRAS

In what follows we assume that R is an algebra over a field K and $D(R)$ (= $\text{Hom}_K(R, K)$) is the dual module of R . For a left R -module X , $D(X) = \text{Hom}_K(X, K)$ is the right R -module defined by $(\varphi r)(x) = \varphi(rx)$ for φ from $\text{Hom}_K(X, K)$, $r \in R$ and $x \in X$. Similarly for a right R -module the dual module is defined to be a left R -module.

$D(R)$ is an R -bimodule and it is well known that

$${}_R\text{Hom}_K({}_K X_R, {}_K K) \simeq {}_R\text{Hom}_R(X_R, {}_R D(R)_R)$$

for a right R -module X and

$$\text{Hom}_K({}_R Y_K, {}_K K)_R \simeq \text{Hom}_R({}_R Y, {}_R D(R)_R)_R$$

for a left R -module Y .

Furthermore, ${}_R D(R)$ (or $D(R)_R$) is an injective cogenerator in $R\text{-mod}$ (or $\text{mod-}R$), where $R\text{-mod}$ (or $\text{mod-}R$) denotes the category of finitely generated left (or right) R -modules. It is important that $\text{Hom}_R(-, {}_R D(R)_R)$ induces the Morita duality between $R\text{-mod}$ and $\text{mod-}R$ (cf. [2]).

For a primitive idempotent e , we have $D(Re/Je) \simeq eR/eJ$ and $D(Re) \simeq E(eR/eJ)$, which is the injective hull of simple module eR/eJ . Moreover, by the duality, the upper (or lower) Loewy series of a left R -module ${}_R X$ is transformed to the lower (or upper) Loewy series of the right R -module $D(X)_R$.

From now on we assume that R is a Loewy coincident algebra. Then the injective indecomposable module $D(Re)_R$ (or ${}_R D(eR)$) for any primitive idempotent e satisfies the Loewy coincidence condition.

Let us consider the associated graded algebra R_G of R . Then

$$D(R_G e_G)_{R_G} \simeq E(e_G R_G / e_G \text{rad}(R_G))$$

and by an earlier remark and Lemma 1.1 it satisfies the socle condition for $[_G D(Re)]_{R_G}$, i.e.

$$D(Re)J_{R_G}^\varrho = \text{soc}({}_G D(Re))_{R_G} = \text{Soc}({}_G D(Re))_{R_G},$$

where ϱ is the Loewy length of $D(Re)$. As $\text{Soc}({}_G D(Re))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Soc}(D(R_G e_G))$, ${}_G D(Re)$ can be imbedded into $D(R_G e_G)$ as a right R_G -module. But the composition lengths of ${}_G D(Re)$ and $D(R_G e_G)$ are the same as the composition length of $D(Re)_R$ (= the composition length of ${}_R Re$). Therefore we get that ${}_G D(Re)_{R_G} \simeq D(R_G e_G)_{R_G}$.

Proposition 2.1. *If the algebra R is Loewy coincident, then ${}_G D(Re)_{R_G}$ and ${}_{R_G} D(eR)_G$ are indecomposable injective for every primitive idempotent e .*

Following Thrall [6] an algebra R is said to be *QF-3* if R has a unique minimal faithful left R -module Q . It is well-known that Q is a direct sum of indecomposable projective and injective left ideals (i.e., injective primitive left ideals).

Let us assume that R is *QF-3*. Then using mutually non-isomorphic primitive idempotents e_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$ we have a direct sum decomposition ${}_R R \simeq \bigoplus_{i=l+1}^m Re_i \oplus Q$, where $Q \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^l Re_i$ and $l \leq m$.

Since, for $i \leq l$, Re_i is injective, there exists a primitive right ideal $e_k R$ such that $Re_i \simeq D(e_k R)$. By Proposition 2.1, ${}_{R_G} e_{i_G} (\simeq D(e_k R)_G)$ is an injective ideal of R_G . Hence every indecomposable direct summand of Q_G is a projective and injective left R_G -module.

Since ${}_R Q$ is faithful, $\text{Soc}(Re_j)$, $j > l$, is imbedded into a direct sum of copies of $\text{Soc}(Q)$. On the other hand, since R is Loewy coincident, the socle condition holds for ${}_{R_G} e_{j_G}$, $j > l$ and every indecomposable direct summand of Q_G , which is an injective left R_G -module, and hence ${}_{R_G} e_{j_G}$, $j > l$, is imbedded into a direct sum of copies of ${}_{R_G} Q_G$. It follows that ${}_{R_G} Q_G$ is faithful.

Conversely, assume R_G is *QF-3*. By Proposition 2.1, ${}_{R_G} e_{i_G}$ is injective if and only if ${}_R Re_i$ is injective and thus, by the socle condition, $\text{Soc}({}_{R_G} e_{i_G}) \simeq \text{Soc}({}_{R_G} e_{j_G})$ if and only if $\text{Soc}(Re_i) \simeq \text{Soc}(Re_j)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Therefore R is *QF-3*.

Consequently, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.2. *Let the algebra R be Loewy coincident. Then the associated graded algebra R_G of R is QF-3 if and only if R is QF-3.*

We like to point out that all results in this paper hold for Artin algebras in the sense of Auslander [1], i.e. for algebras that are finitely generated over artinian commutative rings.

References

- [1] *M. Auslander*: Representation dimension of Artin algebras. Queen Mary College Lecture Notes, 1971.
- [2] *K. Morita*: Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum condition. Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Sect. A. No. 150 (1958), 1–60.
- [3] *T. Nakayama*: On Frobeniusean algebras. II, Ann. Math. 42 (1941), 1–21.
- [4] *H. Tachikawa*: Quasi-Frobenius rings and generalizations. LNM 351, 1973.
- [5] *H. Tachikawa*: QF rings and QF associated graded rings. Proc. 38th Symposium on Ring Theory and Representation Theory, Japan, pp. 45–51.
<http://fuji.cec.yamanash.ac.jp/~ring/oldmeeting/2005/reprint2005/abst-3-2.pdf>.
- [6] *R. M. Thrall*: Some generalizations of quasi-Frobenius algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1948), 173–183.

Author's address: Hiroyuki Tachikawa, Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennoudai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8571, Japan, e-mail: pytha345@mail2.accsnet.ne.jp.