

Akbar Golchin; Parisa Rezaei; Hossein Mohammadzadeh
On strongly (P) -cyclic acts

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 59 (2009), No. 3, 595–611

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140503>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON STRONGLY (P)-CYCLIC ACTS

AKBAR GOLCHIN, PARISA REZAEI, HOSSEIN MOHAMMADZADEH, Zahedan

(Received December 24, 2007)

Abstract. By a regular act we mean an act such that all its cyclic subacts are projective. In this paper we introduce strong (P)-cyclic property of acts over monoids which is an extension of regularity and give a classification of monoids by this property of their right (Rees factor) acts.

Keywords: strongly (P)-cyclic, right PCP , Rees factor act

MSC 2010: 20M30

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper S will denote a monoid. We refer the reader to [1] and [3] for basic results, definitions and terminology relating to semigroups and acts over monoids and to [4], [5] for definitions and results on flatness which are used here.

A monoid S is called *right (left) reversible* if for every $s, s' \in S$ there exist $u, v \in S$ such that $us = vs'$ ($su = s'v$). A monoid S is said to be *left collapsible* if for any $p, q \in S$ there exists $r \in S$ such that $rp = rq$. An element s of a monoid S is called *left e -cancellable* for an idempotent $e \in S$ if $s = se$ and $\ker \lambda_s \leq \ker \lambda_e$. By ([3, III, 10.15]), this is equivalent to saying that $\ker \lambda_s = \ker \lambda_e$.

A right ideal K of a monoid S is called *left stabilizing* if for every $k \in K$, there exists $l \in K$ such that $lk = k$, and it is called *left annihilating* if

$$(\forall t \in S)(\forall x, y \in S \setminus K)(xt, yt \in K \Rightarrow xt = yt).$$

If for all $s, t \in S \setminus K$ and for all homomorphisms $f: {}_S(Ss \cup St) \rightarrow {}_S S$

$$f(s), f(t) \in K \Rightarrow f(s) = f(t),$$

then K is called *strongly left annihilating*.

A right S -act A satisfies Condition (P) if for all $a, a' \in A$, $s, s' \in S$, $as = a's'$ implies that there exist $a'' \in A$, $u, v \in S$ such that $a = a''u$, $a' = a''v$ and $us = vs'$. A monoid S is called right PCP if all principal right ideals of S satisfy Condition (P) .

A right S -act A is called (*strongly*) *faithful* if for $s, t \in S$ the equality $as = at$ for (some) all $a \in A$ implies $s = t$.

A right S -act A is called *simple* if it contains no subacts other than A itself.

We use the following abbreviations:

weak homoflatness = (WP)

principal weak homoflatness = (PWP)

weak flatness = WF

principal weak flatness = PWF

2. CLASSIFICATION BY STRONG (P) -CYCLIC PROPERTY OF RIGHT ACTS

In this section we give a classification of monoids when acts with other properties imply strong (P) -cyclic property and vice versa. We also give a classification of monoids when all their acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.

We recall that an element a of a right S -act A is called *act-regular* if there exists a homomorphism $f: aS \rightarrow S$ such that $af(a) = a$, and A is called a *regular act* if every $a \in A$ is act-regular. It can be seen by ([3, III, 19.3]) that A is a regular act if and only if for every $a \in A$ the cyclic subact aS is projective.

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be a monoid and A a right S -act. Then A is regular if and only if for every $a \in A$ there exists $z \in S$ such that $\ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_z$ and zS is projective.*

Proof. By ([3, III, 19.2]), ([3, III, 19.3]) and ([3, III, 17.8]), it is obvious. \square

Definition 2.1. A right S -act A is called *strongly (P) -cyclic* if for every $a \in A$ there exists $z \in S$ such that $\ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_z$ and zS satisfies Condition (P) .

It can be seen that if a right S -act A is strongly (P) -cyclic, then for every $a \in A$ there exists $z \in S$ such that $aS \cong zS$. Since zS satisfies Condition (P) , aS also satisfies Condition (P) . Thus every cyclic subact of A satisfies Condition (P) . However, note that the converse is not true in general, for if S is a non trivial group and $\Theta_S = \{\theta\}$ is the one element act then, since S is right reversible, by ([3, III, 13.7]) Θ_S satisfies Condition (P) , but since for every $z \in S$, $\ker \lambda_z = \Delta_S \neq S \times S = \ker \lambda_\theta$, then Θ_S is not strongly (P) -cyclic.

It is obvious that every regular right act is strongly (P) -cyclic, but the converse is not true, for if $S = S_1 \cup S_2$, where $S_1 = \{1, e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ is an infinite semigroup with

the multiplication defined by $e_k \cdot e_l = e_{\max\{k,l\}}$, $S_2 = \{x, x^2, x^3, \dots\}$ is an infinite monogenic semigroup and the multiplication in S is defined by $s \cdot x^n = x^n \cdot s = x^n$ for every $s \in S_1$ and every natural number n , then S is a right PCP monoid, but it is not a right PP monoid, that is, S_S is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act which is not regular.

Now we establish some general properties.

Theorem 2.2. *Let S be a monoid. Then:*

- (1) Θ_S is strongly (P) -cyclic if and only if S contains a left zero element.
- (2) S_S is strongly (P) -cyclic if and only if S is right PCP .
- (3) If $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of subacts of A_S , then $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ is strongly (P) -cyclic if and only if for every $i \in I$, A_i is strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (4) Every subact of a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act is strongly (P) -cyclic.

Proof. (1) Suppose $\Theta_S = \{\theta\}$ is strongly (P) -cyclic. Then by definition there exists $z \in S$ such that $\ker \lambda_\theta = \ker \lambda_z$. Since $\ker \lambda_\theta = S \times S$, z is a left zero element.

Conversely, suppose that S contains a left zero element z . Then $\ker \lambda_\theta = \ker \lambda_z = S \times S$. Also, S is right reversible, hence by ([3, III, 13.7]), $zS = \{z\}$ satisfies Condition (P) .

The proofs of other parts are straightforward. □

Note that freeness does not imply strong (P) -cyclic property, for if $S = \{0, 1, x\}$ where $x^2 = 0$, then S_S as a right S -act is free, but S_S is not strongly (P) -cyclic, otherwise $xS = \{0, x\}$ as a cyclic subact of S_S would satisfy Condition (P) and so $x \cdot x = x \cdot 0$ would imply that there exist u, v in S such that $x = xu = xv$ and $ux = v0$, which is not true.

Now we characterize monoids over which freeness and projectivity of (finitely generated, cyclic) acts imply strong (P) -cyclic property of acts.

Theorem 2.3. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) All projective right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (2) All projective finitely generated right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (3) All projective cyclic right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (4) All projective generators right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (5) All projective generators finitely generated right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (6) All projective generators cyclic right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (7) All free right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (8) All free finitely generated right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (9) All free cyclic right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.

(10) S is right PCP.

(11) $(\forall s, t, z \in S) (zs = zt \Rightarrow (\exists u, v \in S) (z = zu = zv \wedge us = vt))$.

Proof. Implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3), (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6), (7) \Rightarrow (8) \Rightarrow (9), (3) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (9) and (1) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (7) are obvious.

(9) \Rightarrow (10). By ([3, I, 5.13]), S_S is a free cyclic right S -act and so by assumption it is strongly (P) -cyclic, thus by (2) of Theorem 2.2, S is right PCP.

(10) \Leftrightarrow (11). By ([3, III, 13.10]), it is obvious.

(10) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that A is a projective right S -act. Then by ([3, III, 17.8]), $A = \coprod_{i \in I} A_i$, where $A_i \cong e_i S$ for some $e_i \in E(S)$. Thus for every $i \in I$, A_i is strongly (P) -cyclic. Since by assumption S_S is strongly (P) -cyclic, hence by (4) of Theorem 2.2, $e_i S$ is strongly (P) -cyclic. Thus A_i is strongly (P) -cyclic and so by (3) of Theorem 2.2, A is strongly (P) -cyclic as required. \square

Note that cofreeness does not imply strong (P) -cyclic property, otherwise every act would be strongly (P) -cyclic, as by ([3, II, 4.3]), every act can be embedded into a cofree act and also by (4) of Theorem 2.2, every subact of a strongly (P) -cyclic act is strongly (P) -cyclic. Now if we consider the monoid $S = \{0, 1, x\}$ with $x^2 = 0$, then as we saw before Theorem 2.3, S_S as a right S -act is not strongly (P) -cyclic and so we have a contradiction. Now it is obvious that divisibility does not imply strong (P) -cyclic property, either. Note also that S_S is a cyclic faithful act and so faithfulness of cyclic acts does not imply strong (P) -cyclic property, either.

Theorem 2.4. For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) All right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (2) All finitely generated right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (3) All cyclic right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (4) All monocyclic right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (5) All divisible right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (6) All principally weakly injective right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (7) All fg -weakly injective right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (8) All weakly injective right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (9) All injective right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (10) All cofree right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (11) All faithful right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (12) All faithful finitely generated right S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (13) All faithful right S -acts generated by at most two elements are strongly (P) -cyclic.
- (14) $S = \{1\}$ or $S = \{0, 1\}$.

Proof. Implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4), (5) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (7) \Rightarrow (8) \Rightarrow (9) \Rightarrow (10), (11) \Rightarrow (12) \Rightarrow (13), (1) \Rightarrow (5), and (1) \Rightarrow (11) are obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (14). By assumption all monocyclic right S -acts satisfy condition (P) and so by ([3, IV, 9.9]), $S = G$ or $S = G^0$, where G is a group. Now we show in both cases that $|G| = 1$. If $S = G$ and $|G| > 1$, then for every $s \in G \setminus \{1\}$, $S/\varrho(s, 1)$ is strongly (P)-cyclic and so there exists $z \in G$ such that $\ker \lambda_{[1]_{\varrho(s,1)}} = \ker \lambda_z$. Since $(s, 1) \in \varrho(s, 1)$, we have $[1]_{\varrho(s,1)}1 = [1]_{\varrho(s,1)}s$, that is, $(1, s) \in \ker \lambda_{[1]_{\varrho(s,1)}} = \ker \lambda_z$. Thus $z = zs$ and so $s = 1$, which is a contradiction. If $S = G^0$ and $|G| > 1$, then by assumption for every $s \in G \setminus \{1\}$, $S/\varrho(s, 1)$ is strongly (P)-cyclic and so there exists $z \in G^0$ such that $\ker \lambda_{[1]_{\varrho(s,1)}} = \ker \lambda_z$. If $z \in G$, then $\ker \lambda_z = \ker \lambda_1 = \Delta_S$ and so $(1, s) \in \Delta_S$, that is, $s = 1$, which is a contradiction. If $z = 0$, then $\ker \lambda_{[1]_{\varrho(s,1)}} = \ker \lambda_0 = G^0 \times G^0$ and so $(0, 1) \in \ker \lambda_{[1]_{\varrho(s,1)}}$, that is, $[0]_{\varrho(s,1)} = [1]_{\varrho(s,1)}$. Thus $(0, 1) \in \varrho(s, 1)$ and so by ([3, I, 4.37]), there exist $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in S$ such that for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $\{s_i, t_i\} = \{s, 1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= s_1y_1, & t_2y_2 &= s_3y_3, & \dots, & & t_ny_n &= 1, \\ & & t_1y_1 &= s_2y_2, & t_3y_3 &= s_4y_4, & \dots & \end{aligned}$$

From $0 = s_1y_1$ we have $y_1 = 0$ and so $0 = s_2y_2$, which implies that $y_2 = 0$. By continuing this procedure we obtain contradiction. Thus $|G| = 1$ and so either $S = \{1\}$ or $S = \{0, 1\}$ as required.

(14) \Rightarrow (1). If $S = \{1\}$ or $S = \{0, 1\}$, then by ([3, IV, 14.4]), all right S -acts are regular and so all right S -acts are strongly (P)-cyclic as required.

(10) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that A is a right S -act. By ([3, II, 4.3]), A can be embedded into a cofree right S -act. Since A is a subact of a cofree right S -act, by assumption A is a subact of a strongly (P)-cyclic right S -act and so by (4) of Theorem 2.2, A is strongly (P)-cyclic.

(13) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose that aS is a cyclic right S -act and $B_S = aS \amalg S$. Since S is faithful, B_S is also faithful and so by assumption B_S is strongly (P)-cyclic. Since aS is a subact of B_S , by (4) of Theorem 2.2, aS is also strongly (P)-cyclic. Thus every cyclic right S -act is strongly (P)-cyclic. \square

Now from Theorem 2.4 and ([3, IV, 14.4]) it is easy to show that all right S -acts are regular: it suffices to show that all monocyclic right S -acts are strongly (P)-cyclic or equivalently, if there exists a right S -act which is not regular, then there exists a monocyclic right S -act which is not strongly (P)-cyclic.

Lemma 2.1. *Let S be a monoid, zS a strongly (P)-cyclic right ideal of S and I_S a right ideal of S such that $I_S \subset zS$. Then $A_S = zS \amalg^{I_S} zS$ is strongly (P)-cyclic.*

Proof. We know that $A_S = (z, x)S \dot{\cup} I_S \dot{\cup} (z, \varrho y)S$, where $B_S = (z, x)S \dot{\cup} I_S \cong zS \cong (z, y)S \dot{\cup} I_S = C_S$. Since by assumption zS is strongly (P) -cyclic and $A_S = B_S \cup C_S$, hence by (3) of Theorem 2.2, A_S is also strongly (P) -cyclic as required. \square

Now we show that strong (P) -cyclic property does not imply torsion freeness in general. Let $S = (\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$, where \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers and $A_{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \coprod^{2\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{N}$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $A_{\mathbb{N}}$ is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act, since $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is strongly (P) -cyclic and $2\mathbb{N}$ is an ideal of \mathbb{N} such that $2\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{N}$. But $A_{\mathbb{N}}$ is not torsion free, since $2 = (1, x)2 = (1, y)2$, but $(1, x) \neq (1, y)$.

Now it is obvious that strong (P) -cyclic property does not imply other properties which imply torsion freeness, hence it is natural to ask for monoids S over which strong (P) -cyclic property of acts imply torsion freeness and other properties which implies torsion freeness.

Lemma 2.2. *Let S be a monoid. If there exists a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act, then there exists the greatest strongly (P) -cyclic right ideal T of S .*

Proof. By assumption there exists a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act A . Thus for every $a \in A$ there exists $z \in S$ such that $aS \cong zS$. Since aS as a subact of A is strongly (P) -cyclic, zS is also strongly (P) -cyclic and so we have at least one strongly (P) -cyclic right ideal of S . Now the union of all strongly (P) -cyclic right ideals of S is the greatest right ideal T of S , which by (3) of Theorem 2.2 is strongly (P) -cyclic. \square

In the following theorems we suppose that there exists at least a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act and T is the greatest strongly (P) -cyclic right ideal of S .

Theorem 2.5. *Let S be a monoid. Then all strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are torsion free if and only if for every $z \in T$ and every right cancellable element c of S there exists an element $l \in S$ such that $z = zcl$.*

Proof. Suppose that all strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are torsion free and let $z \in T$, $c \in S$, where c is right cancellable. We claim that $zS = zcS$, otherwise $zcS \subset zS$ and so by Lemma 2.1, $A_S = zS \coprod^{zcS} zS$ is strongly (P) -cyclic, since $zS \subseteq T$ and T is strongly (P) -cyclic. Thus by assumption A_S is torsion free. Since $zc = (z, x)c = (z, y)c$, we have $(z, x) = (z, y)$, which is a contradiction. Thus $zS = zcS$ and so there exists $l \in S$ such that $z = zcl$.

Conversely, suppose that A is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act, $ac = bc$ for $a, b \in A$ and a right cancellable element c of S . Then there exist $z_1, z_2 \in S$ such that $\ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_{z_1}$ and $\ker \lambda_b = \ker \lambda_{z_2}$ and so $aS \cong z_1S$ and $bS \cong z_2S$. Since A is

strongly (P)-cyclic, hence by (4) of Theorem 2.2, aS and bS are strongly (P)-cyclic. Thus z_1S and z_2S are also strongly (P)-cyclic. Since $z_1S \cup z_2S \subseteq T$, by assumption there exists $l \in S$ such that $z_1 = z_1cl$. Thus

$$z_1 = z_1cl \Rightarrow (1, cl) \in \ker \lambda_{z_1} = \ker \lambda_a \Rightarrow a = acl.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} ac = aclc \Rightarrow bc = bclc \Rightarrow (c, clc) \in \ker \lambda_b = \ker \lambda_{z_2} \Rightarrow z_2c = z_2clc \\ \Rightarrow z_2 = z_2cl \Rightarrow (1, cl) \in \ker \lambda_{z_2} = \ker \lambda_b \Rightarrow b = bcl = acl = a. \end{aligned}$$

Thus A is torsion free as required. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let S be a monoid and A a right S -act. If all cyclic subacts of A are simple, then for every $a, a' \in A$, either $aS \cap a'S = \emptyset$ or $aS = a'S$.*

Proof. Suppose $a, a' \in A$ and let $x \in aS \cap a'S$. Then $xS \subseteq aS$ and $xS \subseteq a'S$. Since aS and $a'S$ are simple, we have $aS = xS = a'S$. \square

Theorem 2.6. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All strongly (P)-cyclic right S -acts satisfy Condition (P).*
- (2) *All strongly (P)-cyclic right S -acts satisfy Condition (WP).*
- (3) *All strongly (P)-cyclic right S -acts satisfy Condition (PWP).*
- (4) *For every $z \in T$, zS is a minimal right ideal of S .*

Proof. Implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) are obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let $z \in T$. We claim that zS is a minimal right ideal of S , otherwise there exists a right ideal I of S such that $I \subset zS$. Then by Lemma 2.1, $A_S = zS \coprod^{I_S} zS$ is strongly (P)-cyclic and so A_S satisfies Condition (PWP). Now let $zu \in I$. Then by the definition of A_S , $zu = (z, x)u = (z, y)u$ and so there exist $a \in A_S$, $w_1, w_2 \in S$ such that $(z, x) = aw_1$, $(z, y) = aw_2$ and $w_1u = w_2u$. Now $(z, x) = aw_1$ implies that $a = (t, x)$ for some $t \in zS \setminus I$, similarly $a = (t', y)$ for some $t' \in zS \setminus I$ and so we have a contradiction.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that A is a strongly (P)-cyclic right S -act and let $a \in A$. Then by definition there exists $z \in S$ such that $aS \cong zS$. Since by (4) of Theorem 2.2, aS is strongly (P)-cyclic, zS is strongly (P)-cyclic. Since T is the greatest strongly (P)-cyclic right ideal of S , we have $zS \subseteq T$ and so $z \in T$. Thus by assumption zS is a minimal right ideal of S and so aS is simple. Now suppose that $as = a't$, for $a, a' \in A$ and $s, t \in S$. Since $as = a't$, hence $aS \cap a'S \neq \emptyset$ and so by Lemma 2.3, $aS = a'S$. Thus $a' = as_1$ for some $s_1 \in S$ and so $as = as_1t$. Since A is strongly (P)-cyclic, aS satisfies Condition (P) and so there exist $s_2, u, v \in S$ such that $a = as_2u$, $as_1 = as_2v$ and $us = vt$. Now if $a'' = as_2$, then $a = a''u$, $a' = as_1 = as_2v = a''v$ and $us = vt$. Thus A satisfies condition (P) as required. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let S be a monoid. Then all strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are strongly flat if and only if for every $z \in T$, zS is a strongly flat minimal right ideal of S .*

Proof. Suppose all strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are strongly flat and let $z \in T$. Then by Theorem 2.6, zS is a minimal right ideal of S . Since T is strongly (P) -cyclic and zS is a subact of T , by (4) of Theorem 2.2, zS is also strongly (P) -cyclic and so by assumption it is strongly flat.

Conversely, suppose that A is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act. Since zS is a minimal right ideal of S for $z \in T$, zS is simple and so for every $a \in A$, aS is simple, as by definition $aS \cong zS$, for some $z \in T$. Thus by Lemma 2.3 for every $a, a' \in A$ either $aS \cap a'S = \emptyset$ or $aS = a'S$. Hence there exists $A' \subseteq A$ such that $A = \bigcup_{a \in A'} aS$. On the other hand, aS is strongly flat for every $a \in A'$, as $aS \cong zS$ and by assumption zS is strongly flat. Thus by ([3, III, 9.3]), A is strongly flat as required. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let S be a monoid. Then all strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are projective if and only if for every $z \in T$, zS is a projective minimal right ideal of S .*

Proof. Suppose that all strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are projective and let $z \in T$. Then by Theorem 2.6, zS is a minimal right ideal of S . Since T is strongly (P) -cyclic and zS is a subact of T , by (4) of Theorem 2.2, zS is also strongly (P) -cyclic and so by assumption it is projective.

Conversely, suppose that A is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act. Then by definition for every $a \in A$ there exists $z \in S$ such that $aS \cong zS$. Since by assumption zS is projective, by ([3, III, 17.16]), there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that $\ker \lambda_z = \ker \lambda_e$ and so $zS \cong eS$. Thus for every $a \in A$ there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that $aS \cong eS$. As we saw in the proof of Theorem 2.7, there exists a subset A' of A such that $A = \bigcup_{a \in A'} aS$. Thus by ([3, III, 17.8]), A is projective. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let S be a monoid. Then all cyclic strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are projective generators if and only if for every $z \in T$ there exists $e \in E(T)$ such that $\ker \lambda_z = \ker \lambda_e$ and $e\mathcal{J}1$.*

Proof. Suppose that all cyclic strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are projective generators and let $z \in T$. Then zS as a subact of T is strongly (P) -cyclic and so by assumption it is a projective generator. Thus by ([3, III, 18.8]) there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that $\ker \lambda_z = \ker \lambda_e$ and $e\mathcal{J}1$. Since zS is strongly (P) -cyclic and $zS \cong eS$, eS is strongly (P) -cyclic and so $e \in E(T)$.

Conversely, suppose that aS is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act. By definition there exists $z \in S$ such that $\ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_z$ and so $aS \cong zS$. Thus zS is strongly (P) -cyclic and so $z \in T$. Hence by assumption there exists $e \in E(T)$ such that $\ker \lambda_z = \ker \lambda_e$ and $e\mathcal{J}1$. Thus by ([3, III, 18.8]), zS and hence aS are projective generators. \square

Theorem 2.10. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are free.*
- (2) *All strongly (P) -cyclic finitely generated right S -acts are free.*
- (3) *All strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are projective generators.*
- (4) *S is a group.*

Proof. Implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (1) \Rightarrow (3) are obvious.

(2) \Rightarrow (4). Suppose that A is a strongly (P) -cyclic finitely generated right S -act. Then for every $a \in A$, aS is strongly (P) -cyclic and so by assumption aS is free. Thus $aS \cong S$ and so for every $t \in S$ there exists $u \in S$ such that $tS \cong auS$. Since aS is strongly (P) -cyclic, auS is strongly (P) -cyclic and so tS is strongly (P) -cyclic. Thus by assumption tS is free and so tS satisfies Condition (P) , that is, S is strongly (P) -cyclic. Now if there exists $t \in S$ such that $tS \neq S$, then by Lemma 2.1, $B_S = S \coprod^{tS} S$ is strongly (P) -cyclic. Since also $B_S = (1, x)S \cup tS \cup (1, y)S$ is generated by $(1, x)$ and $(1, y)$, by assumption B_S is free and so B_S satisfies Condition (P) . Since $t = (1, x)t = (1, y)t$, there exist $b \in B_S$ and $u, v \in S$ such that $(1, x) = bu$, $(1, y) = bv$ and $ut = vt$. Now $(1, x) = bu$ implies that there exists $s \in S \setminus tS$ such that $b = (s, x)$, similarly, there exists $s' \in S \setminus tS$ such that $b = (s', y)$, which is a contradiction. Hence for every $t \in S$, $tS = S$ and so S is a group.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). By assumption all strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts satisfy Condition (P) and so by Theorem 2.6, for every $z \in T$, zS is a minimal right ideal of S . Also zS as a subact of T is strongly (P) -cyclic and so by assumption zS is a projective generator. Thus by ([3, II, 3.16]), there exists an epimorphism $f: zS \rightarrow S_S$ and so there exists $x \in S$ such that $f(zx) = 1$. Now we show that f is a monomorphism. To this end we suppose that $f(zl) = f(zk)$, where $l, k \in S$. Since zS is simple, we have $zxS = zS$ and so $zl = zx l'$ and $zk = zx k'$ for some $l', k' \in S$. Thus $f(zl) = f(zx l') = f(zk) = f(zx k')$ and hence $f(zx) l' = f(zx) k'$. But $f(zx) = 1$ and so $l' = k'$. Consequently, $zl = zk$, that is, f is one to one and so it is an isomorphism. Thus $zS \cong S$ and so S is simple, as zS is simple. Thus S is a group.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that A is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act. Then by assumption for every $a \in A$ there exists $g \in S$ such that $\ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_g$. On the other hand $\ker \lambda_g = \ker \lambda_1$, since S is a group. Thus $\ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_1$ and so $aS \cong S$, that is, every cyclic subact of A is free. Now we suppose $a, a' \in A$ and $aS \cap a'S \neq \emptyset$.

Then there exist $t, t' \in S$ such that $at = a't'$. Since S is a group, $a = a't't^{-1}$ and so $aS \subseteq a'S$. Similarly, $a'S \subseteq aS$ and so $aS = a'S$. Thus there exists $A' \subseteq A$ such that $A = \bigcup_{a \in A'} aS$ and $aS \cong S$ for every $a \in A'$. Hence by ([3, I, 5.13]), A is free as required. \square

Theorem 2.11. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a cyclic strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act and all cyclic strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are free.*
- (2) *All principal right ideals of S are free.*
- (3) *For every $z \in S$ there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that $\ker \lambda_z = \ker \lambda_e$ and $e\mathcal{D}1$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that aS is a cyclic strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act. By assumption aS is free and so $aS \cong S$. Thus for every $t \in S$ there exists $u \in S$ such that $tS \cong auS$, since every cyclic subact of aS is isomorphic to a cyclic subact of S . Since aS is strongly (P) -cyclic, auS is strongly (P) -cyclic. Thus by assumption auS is free and since $tS \cong auS$, we conclude that tS is also free.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). By ([3, I, 5.20]), it is obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). By assumption and ([3, I, 5.20]), all principal right ideals of S are free and so all principal right ideals satisfy Condition (P) . Thus S_S is a cyclic strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act and so there exists a cyclic strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act. Now we suppose aS is strongly (P) -cyclic. Then by definition there exists $z \in S$ such that $\ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_z$ and so $aS \cong zS$. On the other hand, by assumption there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that $e\mathcal{D}1$ and $\ker \lambda_z = \ker \lambda_e$. Thus $zS \cong eS$ and also by ([3, III, 17.17]), eS is free. Since $aS \cong zS$, then aS is free as required. \square

Theorem 2.12. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are divisible.*
- (2) *All strongly (P) -cyclic finitely generated right S -acts are divisible.*
- (3) *All cyclic strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are divisible.*
- (4) *For every $z \in T$, zS is a divisible right ideal of S .*

Proof. Implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) are obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let $z \in T$. Then zS as a subact of T is strongly (P) -cyclic and so by assumption it is divisible.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that A is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act. Then by definition, for every $a \in A$ there exists $z \in S$ such that $\ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_z$ and so $aS \cong zS$. Since aS as a subact of A is strongly (P) -cyclic, zS is strongly (P) -cyclic and so $z \in T$. Thus by assumption zS is divisible and so aS is divisible, that is, for every left

cancellable element $c \in S$, $aSc = aS$. But

$$Ac = \left(\bigcup_{a \in A} aS \right) c = \bigcup_{a \in A} aSc = \bigcup_{a \in A} aS = A$$

and so A is divisible as required. \square

Theorem 2.13. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are principally weakly injective.*
- (2) *All strongly (P) -cyclic finitely generated right S -acts are principally weakly injective.*
- (3) *All cyclic strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are principally weakly injective.*
- (4) *For every $z \in T$, zS is a principally weakly injective right ideal of S .*

Proof. Implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) are obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Suppose $z \in T$. Then zS as a subact of T is strongly (P) -cyclic and so by assumption it is principally weakly injective.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that A is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act. Then by definition, for every $a \in A$ there exists $z \in S$ such that $\ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_z$ and so $aS \cong zS$. Since aS as a subact of A is strongly (P) -cyclic, zS is strongly (P) -cyclic and so $z \in T$. Thus by assumption zS is principally weakly injective and so aS is principally weakly injective, hence by ([3, III, 3.4]), $A = \bigcup_{a \in A} aS$ is principally weakly injective as required. \square

Theorem 2.14. *Let S be a monoid. Then all strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are strongly faithful if and only if S is left cancellative.*

Proof. Suppose that A is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act and that for every $s, t, z \in S$, $zs = zt$. Let $a \in A$. Then $(az)s = (az)t$. Since A is strongly faithful, $s = t$ and so S is left cancellative.

Conversely, suppose that A is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act and that for $a \in A$, $s, t \in S$, $as = at$. By definition there exists $z \in S$ such that $\ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_z$. Then $as = at$ implies that $(s, t) \in \ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_z$ and so $zs = zt$. Since S is left cancellative, hence $s = t$ and so A is strongly faithful as required. \square

Theorem 2.15. *Let S be a monoid. Then all strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are faithful if and only if for every $z \in T$, zS is a faithful right ideal of S .*

Proof. Let $z \in T$. Then zS as a subact of T is strongly (P) -cyclic and so by assumption it is faithful.

Conversely, suppose that A is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act and let $s, t \in S$, $s \neq t$, $a \in A$. Then there exists $z \in S$ such that $\ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_z$ and so $aS \cong zS$.

Since A is strongly (P) -cyclic, by (4) of Theorem 2.2, aS is also strongly (P) -cyclic. Thus zS is strongly (P) -cyclic and hence $z \in T$. But by assumption zS is faithful and so there exists $u \in S$ such that $zus \neq zut$. Since $\ker \lambda_a = \ker \lambda_z$, hence $(au)s \neq (au)t$ and so A is faithful as required. \square

As we mentioned after Definition 2.1, every regular right act is strongly (P) -cyclic, but the converse is not true. Now it is natural to look for monoids over which strong (P) -cyclic property of acts implies regularity.

Theorem 2.16. *Let S be a monoid. Then all strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are regular if and only if for all $z \in T$ there exists $e \in E(T)$ such that $\ker \lambda_z = \ker \lambda_e$.*

Proof. Suppose that all strongly (P) -cyclic right S -acts are regular and let $z \in T$. Since T is strongly (P) -cyclic and zS is a subact of T , by (4) of Theorem 2.2, zS is also strongly (P) -cyclic and so by assumption zS is regular. Thus by ([3, III, 19.3]), zS is projective and so by ([3, III, 17.16]), z is left e -cancellable for some idempotent $e \in S$, that is, there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that $\ker \lambda_z = \ker \lambda_e$. Thus $zS \cong eS$ and so eS is strongly (P) -cyclic. Hence $eS \subseteq T$ and so $e \in E(T)$.

Conversely, suppose that A is a strongly (P) -cyclic right S -act and let $a \in A$. Then there exists $z \in S$ such that $\ker \lambda_z = \ker \lambda_a$ and so $aS \cong zS$. But by (4) of Theorem 2.2, aS is strongly (P) -cyclic and so zS is also strongly (P) -cyclic. Thus $zS \subseteq T$. Since $z \in T$, by assumption there exists $e \in E(T)$ such that $\ker \lambda_z = \ker \lambda_e$. But by ([3, III, 17.16]), zS is projective and so aS is also projective. Thus by ([3, III, 19.3]), A is regular. \square

3. CLASSIFICATION BY STRONG (P) -CYCLIC PROPERTY OF RIGHT REES FACTOR ACTS

In this section we give a classification of monoids such that flatness properties of Rees factor acts imply strong (P) -cyclic property and vice versa.

Theorem 3.1. *Let S be a monoid and K_S a right ideal of S . Then S/K_S is strongly (P) -cyclic if and only if $|K_S| = 1$ and S is right PCP, or $K_S = S$ and S contains a left zero.*

Proof. Suppose that S/K_S is strongly (P) -cyclic for the right ideal K_S of S . Then there are two cases as follows:

Case 1. $K_S = S$. Then $S/K_S \cong \Theta_S$ is strongly (P) -cyclic and so by (1) of Theorem 2.2, S contains a left zero element.

Case 2. K_S is a proper right ideal of S . Since by assumption S/K_S is strongly (P) -cyclic, S/K_S satisfies Condition (P) . Thus by ([3, III, 13.9]), $|K_S| = 1$ and so $S/K_S \cong S_S$. Since S/K_S is strongly (P) -cyclic, S_S is strongly (P) -cyclic and so by (2) of Theorem 2.2, S is right PCP as required.

Conversely, suppose $|K_S| = 1$ and S is right PCP . Then $S/K_S \cong S_S$ and so by (2) of Theorem 2.2, S/K_S is strongly (P) -cyclic.

If $K_S = S$ and S contains a left zero, then $S/K_S \cong \Theta_S$ and so by (1) of Theorem 2.2, S/K_S is strongly (P) -cyclic. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let S be a monoid and let U be a property of S -acts implied by freeness. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All right Rees factor S -acts having property U are strongly (P) -cyclic.*
- (2) *All right Rees factor S -acts having property U satisfy Condition (P) and if S contains a left zero, then S is right PCP , and if Θ_S has property U , then S contains a left zero.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). If all right Rees factor S -acts having property U are strongly (P) -cyclic, then all right Rees factor S -acts having property U satisfy Condition (P) .

Now suppose that S contains a left zero element z . If $K_S = zS = \{z\}$, then $S/K_S \cong S_S$ and so S/K_S is free, since S_S is free. Thus S/K_S has property U and so by assumption S/K_S is strongly (P) -cyclic. Thus S_S is strongly (P) -cyclic and so by (2) of Theorem 2.2, S is right PCP .

If $\Theta_S \cong S/S_S$ has property U , then by assumption Θ_S is strongly (P) -cyclic and so by (1) of Theorem 2.2, S contains a left zero element.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose that S/K_S has property U for the right ideal K_S of S . Then there are two cases as follows:

Case 1. $K_S = S$. Then $S/K_S \cong \Theta_S$ and so by assumption S contains a left zero. Thus by (1) of Theorem 2.2, S/K_S is strongly (P) -cyclic.

Case 2. K_S is a proper right ideal of S . Since by assumption S/K_S satisfies Condition (P) , by ([3, III, 13.9]), $|K_S| = 1$ and so $K_S = zS = \{z\}$ for some $z \in S$. Thus z is a left zero and so by assumption S is right PCP . Hence by (2) of Theorem 2.2, $S/K_S \cong S_S$ is strongly (P) -cyclic. \square

Corollary 3.1. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All projective right Rees factor S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.*
- (2) *All projective generators right Rees factor S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.*
- (3) *All free right Rees factor S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.*
- (4) *S has no left zero, or S is right PCP .*

Proof. Implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) are obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Suppose that S contains a left zero element. Then by Theorem 3.2, S is right PCP .

(4) \Rightarrow (1). By Theorem 3.2, it suffices to show that if Θ_S is projective, then S contains a left zero and this is true by ([3, III, 17.2]). \square

Corollary 3.2. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All strongly flat right Rees factor S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.*
- (2) *S is not left collapsible or S contains a left zero and S is right PCP .*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). If S is left collapsible, then by ([3, III, 14.3]), Θ_S satisfies Condition (E) and so it is strongly flat. Thus by (2) of Theorem 3.2, S contains a left zero and also S is right PCP .

The converse is true by Theorem 3.2 and ([3, III, 14.3]). \square

Corollary 3.3. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All right Rees factor S -acts satisfying Condition (P) are strongly (P) -cyclic.*
- (2) *S is not right reversible or S is right PCP and contains a left zero.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). If S is right reversible, then by ([3, III, 13.7]), Θ_S satisfies Condition (P) and so by (2) of Theorem 3.2, S is right PCP and contains a left zero.

The converse is true by Theorem 3.2 and ([3, III, 13.7]). \square

Corollary 3.4. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All right Rees factor S -acts satisfying Condition (WP) are strongly (P) -cyclic.*
- (2) *S is not right reversible or S is right PCP , contains a left zero and no nontrivial right ideal of S is left stabilizing and strongly left annihilating.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). If S is right reversible, then by ([4, Theorem 2.14]), Θ_S satisfies Condition (WP) and so by Theorem 3.2, S contains a left zero. Again by Theorem 3.2, S is right PCP . On the other hand, by Theorem 3.2, all right Rees factor S -acts satisfying Condition (WP) satisfy Condition (P) and so by ([4, Proposition 3.26]), no nontrivial right ideal of S is left stabilizing and strongly left annihilating.

The converse is true by Theorem 3.2 and ([4, Proposition 3.26]). \square

Corollary 3.5. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All right Rees factor S -acts satisfying Condition (PWP) are strongly (P)-cyclic.*
- (2) *S is right PCP, contains a left zero and no nontrivial right ideal of S is left stabilizing and left annihilating.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since Θ_S satisfies Condition (PWP), then by Theorem 3.2, S contains a left zero. Again by Theorem 3.2, all principal right ideals of S satisfy Condition (P). On the other hand, by Theorem 3.2, all right Rees factor S -acts satisfying Condition (PWP) satisfy Condition (P) and so by ([4, Corollary 3.27]), no nontrivial right ideal of S is left stabilizing and left annihilating.

The converse is true by Theorem 3.2 and ([4, Corollary 3.27]). □

Corollary 3.6. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All flat right Rees factor S -acts are strongly (P)-cyclic.*
- (2) *S is not right reversible or S is right PCP, contains a left zero and no proper right ideal K_S of S with $|K_S| \geq 2$ is left stabilizing.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). If S is right reversible, then by ([3, III, 12.2]), Θ_S is flat and so by Theorem 3.2, S contains a left zero. Again by Theorem 3.2, S is right PCP. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.2, all flat right Rees factor S -acts satisfy Condition (P) and so by ([3, IV, 9.2]), no proper right ideal K_S of S with $|K_S| \geq 2$ is left stabilizing.

The converse is true by Theorem 3.2 and ([3, IV, 9.2]). □

Note that Corollary 3.6 is also true if we substitute *WF* for flat, since by ([3, III, 12.17]), for Rees factor acts flatness and weak flatness coincide.

Corollary 3.7. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All PWF right Rees factor S -acts are strongly (P)-cyclic.*
- (2) *S is right PCP, contains a left zero, and no proper right ideal K_S of S with $|K_S| \geq 2$ is left stabilizing.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since Θ_S is principally weakly flat, by Theorem 3.2, S contains a left zero. Again by Theorem 3.2, S is right PCP. On the other hand, by Theorem 3.2, all PWF right Rees factor S -acts satisfy Condition (P) and so by ([3, IV, 9.7]), no proper right ideal K_S of S with $|K_S| \geq 2$ is left stabilizing.

The converse is true by Theorem 3.2 and ([3, IV, 9.7]). □

Corollary 3.8. *For any monoid S the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *All torsion free right Rees factor S -acts are strongly (P) -cyclic.*
- (2) *S is right PCP, contains a left zero and S is either right cancellative, or right cancellative with a zero adjoined.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since Θ_S is torsion free, hence by Theorem 3.2, S is right PCP and contains a left zero. Also by Theorem 3.2, all torsion free right Rees factor S -acts satisfy Condition (P) . Since S contains a left zero, S is right reversible and so by ([3, IV, 9.8]), S is right cancellative or right cancellative with a zero adjoined.

The converse is true by Theorem 3.2 and ([3, IV, 9.8]). □

Theorem 3.3. *Let S be a monoid and let U be a property of S -acts implied by freeness. Then all strongly (P) -cyclic right Rees factor S -acts have property U if and only if S has no left zero or Θ_S has property U .*

Proof. Suppose that S contains a left zero. Then by (1) of Theorem 2.2, $\Theta_S \cong S/S_S$ is strongly (P) -cyclic and so by assumption Θ_S has property U .

Conversely, Suppose that S/K_S is strongly (P) -cyclic for the right ideal K_S of S . Then there are two cases as follows:

Case 1. $K_S = S$. Then $S/K_S \cong \Theta_S$ and so by (1) of Theorem 2.2, S contains a left zero. Hence by assumption $S/K_S \cong \Theta_S$ has property U .

Case 2. K_S is a proper right ideal of S . Since by assumption S/K_S satisfies Condition (P) , by ([3, III, 13.9]) we have $|K_S| = 1$. Thus $S/K_S \cong S_S$ has property U , since S_S is free. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let S be a monoid. Then all strongly (P) -cyclic right Rees factor S -acts are free if and only if S has no left zero or $S = \{1\}$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.3 and ([3, I, 5.23]). □

Corollary 3.10. *Let S be a monoid. Then all strongly (P) -cyclic right Rees factor S -acts are projective.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.3 and ([3, III, 17.2]). □

References

- [1] *J. M. Howie*: Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory. London Mathematical Society Monographs, OUP, 1995.
- [2] *M. Kilp*: Characterization of monoids by properties of their left Rees factors. Tartu ÜL Toimetised 640 (1983), 29–37.
- [3] *M. Kilp, U. Knauer and A. Mikhaev*: Monoids, Acts and Categories: With Applications to Wreath Products and Graphs: A Handbook for Students and Researchers. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- [4] *V. Laan*: Pullbacks and flatness properties of acts. PhD Thesis, Tartu, 1999.
- [5] *V. Laan*: Pullbacks and flatness properties of acts I. Comm. Algebra 29 (2001), 829–850.
- [6] *P. Normak*: Analogies of QF-ring for monoids. I. Tartu ÜL Toimetised 556 (1981), 38–46.
- [7] *L. H. Tran*: Characterizations of monoids by regular acts. Period. Math. Hung. 16 (1985), 273–279.

Authors' addresses: Akbar Golchin, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran, e-mail: agdm@math.usb.ac.ir; Parisa Rezaei, Hossein Mohammadzadeh, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran.