

Ágnes M. Backhausz; Vilmos Komornik; Tivadar Szilágyi

A simplified multidimensional integral

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 59 (2009), No. 3, 721–739

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140512>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A SIMPLIFIED MULTIDIMENSIONAL INTEGRAL

ÁGNES M. BACKHAUSZ, Budapest, VILMOS KOMORNIK, Strasbourg, and
TIVADAR SZILÁGYI, Budapest

(Received February 19, 2008)

Abstract. We present a simplified integral of functions of several variables. Although less general than the Riemann integral, most functions of practical interest are still integrable. On the other hand, the basic integral theorems can be obtained more quickly. We also give a characterization of the integrable functions and their primitives.

Keywords: integral of several variables, characterization of primitives

MSC 2010: 26B15, 28A10

1. INTRODUCTION

In many undergraduate textbooks (see, e.g., [3]) the one-dimensional Riemann integral is replaced by a simpler theory: a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is integrable if it is the uniform limit of a sequence of step functions, i.e., finite linear combinations of characteristic functions of bounded intervals. Although not all Riemann integrable functions are integrable in this narrower sense, many functions of practical interest are still integrable and the theory can be developed more quickly.

It is straightforward to generalize this integral to functions of several variables by changing the intervals to products of intervals but the resulting theory is less satisfactory because the class of integrable functions is too small. For example, in the two-dimensional case the characteristic functions of triangles and disks are not integrable.

A slight modification of the definition, however, leads to a substantially broader integral concept. The proofs remain short and simple but many functions of practical interest become again integrable, including the characteristic functions of Jordan measurable sets.

In Section 2 we outline briefly the general theory. The subsequent three sections are devoted to the characterization of integrable functions, of their indefinite integrals and to the clarification of the relations between this new integral and the Riemann integral.

2. BKSZ-INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

Given a positive integer n , by a *brick* T we mean a product of n *bounded* intervals: $T = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$. Its *volume* $\lambda(T)$ is by definition the product of the lengths of the intervals.

For the rest of this section we fix a brick T and all bricks are supposed to be subsets of T . All functions in this section are assumed to map T into \mathbb{R} .

A *step function* g is by definition a finite linear combination of characteristic functions of bricks:

$$g = \sum_{j=1}^M c_j \chi_{T_j}$$

where M is a positive integer, c_1, \dots, c_M are real numbers and T_1, \dots, T_M are bricks. The *integral* of a step function is defined by the usual formula:

$$I(g) := \sum_{j=1}^M c_j \lambda(T_j).$$

It is well-known that this integral does not depend on the particular choice of the bricks T_j and that it is a positive linear form on the vector space of step functions, satisfying the estimate

$$|I(g)| \leq \max_T |g| \sum_{j=1}^M \lambda(T_j).$$

In order to extend the integral to more general functions we introduce the following convergence notion:

Definition 2.1. A sequence of functions f_1, f_2, \dots *converges nearly uniformly* to f , if

- (1) the sequence (f_m) is uniformly bounded;
- (2) for every $\delta > 0$ there exist finitely many bricks of total volume less than δ such that f_m converges uniformly to f on the complement of their union.

Now we generalize the integral as follows:

Definition 2.2. A function f is *BKSz-integrable* if there is a sequence (g_m) of step functions converging nearly uniformly to f . Then the BKSz-integral of f is defined by the formula

$$\int_T f := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} I(g_m).$$

Using the above mentioned properties of the integral of step functions, one can readily verify that this limit exists, and its value does not depend on the particular choice of the sequence of step functions (this also implies that for step functions we obtain the same integral as before):

Proposition 2.3.

- (a) *The BKSz-integral is well-defined, it extends the integral of step functions.*
- (b) *The BKSz-integrable functions form a vector lattice on which the integral is a positive linear form.*

Proof. (a) First we show that if a sequence (f_m) of step functions converges nearly uniformly to f , then the integrals $I(f_m)$ form a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} . Fix a number M such that $|f_m| < M$ on T for all m , and then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ fix a finite number of bricks T_1, \dots, T_p , and a large integer K such that the total volume of the bricks T_j is less than $\varepsilon / (4M)$, and $|f_m - f| < \varepsilon / (4\lambda(T))$ outside these bricks for all $m \geq K$. Then for all $m, k \geq K$ we have

$$|I(f_m) - I(f_k)| = |I(f_m - f_k)| \leq 2 \frac{\varepsilon}{4\lambda(T)} \cdot \lambda(T) + 2M \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda(T_j) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

This shows that the sequence of integrals $I(f_m)$ converges to some real number.

If two sequences $(f_m), (g_m)$ of step functions converge nearly uniformly to f , then the sequence $f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \dots$ also converges nearly uniformly to f , so that the sequence $I(f_1), I(g_1), I(f_2), I(g_2), \dots$ converges to some real number α . It follows that the subsequences $I(f_1), I(f_2), \dots$ and $I(g_1), I(g_2), \dots$ converge to the same value α . Hence $\int_T f$ is correctly defined.

If f is a step function, then choosing $f_m = f$ for every m we obtain that $\int_T f = I(f)$.

(b) If two sequences $(f_m), (g_m)$ of step functions converge nearly uniformly to f and g , respectively, and c is a real number, then $(f_m + cg_m)$ is a sequence of step functions converging nearly uniformly to $f + cg$, so that

$$\int_T (f + cg) = \lim I(f_m + cg_m) = \lim I(f_m) + c \lim I(g_m) = \left(\int_T f \right) + c \int_T g,$$

proving the linearity of the integral.

Analogously, using the notation $\varphi \wedge \psi$ and $\varphi \vee \psi$ for the functions

$$x \mapsto \min\{\varphi(x), \psi(x)\}, \quad x \mapsto \max\{\varphi(x), \psi(x)\},$$

respectively, the sequences of step functions $(f_m \wedge g_m)$ and $(f_m \vee g_m)$ converge nearly uniformly to $f \wedge g$ and $f \vee g$, respectively.

If f is a nonnegative BKSz-integrable function and (f_m) is a sequence of step functions converging nearly uniformly to f , then the *nonnegative* step functions $g_m := \max\{f_m, 0\}$ also converge nearly uniformly to f . Then $I(g_m) \geq 0$ for every m by the definition and therefore

$$\int_T f = \lim I(g_m) \geq 0.$$

□

In order to show that many functions of practical interest are BKSz-integrable, we recall that a set is a *Jordan null set* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ it can be covered by finitely many bricks of total volume less than ε , and that a set is *Jordan measurable* if it is bounded and its boundary is a Jordan null set. We say that a property holds *Jordan almost everywhere* if it holds outside a Jordan null set.

We have the following results:

Proposition 2.4.

- (a) If f is BKSz-integrable and $g = f$ Jordan almost everywhere, then g is also BKSz-integrable and $\int_T g = \int_T f$.
- (b) If f is continuous on a compact Jordan measurable set C and vanishes on $T \setminus C$, then f is BKSz-integrable.
- (c) If $A \subset T$ is Jordan measurable, then χ_A is BKSz-integrable and $\int_T \chi_A$ is equal to the Jordan measure of A .

P r o o f. (a) If a sequence of step functions f_m converges nearly uniformly to f , then it converges nearly uniformly to g , too.

(b) Consider a tiling of T with m^n translates T_i of the brick $m^{-1}T$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, and introduce the step function $g_m := \sum_{i=1}^{m^n} f(x_i)\chi_{T_i}$ where x_i is the center of T_i . Using the uniform continuity of $f|_C$ and the fact that the total volume of the bricks T_i meeting the boundary of C tends to zero as $m \rightarrow \infty$, one can readily show that g_m converges nearly uniformly to f .

(c) This is a special case of (b) and $\int_T g_m$ converges to the Jordan measure of A by the definition of the Jordan measure. □

It is easy to prove that for $n \geq 2$ the BKSz-integral of continuous functions may be computed by successive integration:

Proposition 2.5. *If $f: T = [a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous ($n \geq 2$), then*

$$(2.1) \quad \int f(x) dx = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left(\dots \left(\int_{a_n}^{b_n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \right) \dots \right) dx_1.$$

Proof. Assume for simplicity that $n = 2$. (The proof is easily adapted to the general case.) Using the uniform continuity of f one may readily verify that the function

$$x_1 \mapsto \int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x_1, x_2) dx_2$$

is well-defined and continuous on $[a_1, b_1]$, so that both sides of (2.1) are defined in the sense of BKSz-integrals.

Using the uniform continuity of f again, there exists a sequence of step functions g_m converging uniformly to f on $[a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$. Since the equality (2.1) clearly holds for step functions, it suffices to prove that

$$\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left(\int_{a_2}^{b_2} g_m(x_1, x_2) dx_2 \right) dx_1 \rightarrow \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left(\int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x_1, x_2) dx_2 \right) dx_1.$$

This can be proved easily: for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $|f - g_m| < \varepsilon$ on T for all sufficiently large m . For every such m we then also have

$$\int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x_1, x_2) - \varepsilon dx_2 \leq \int_{a_2}^{b_2} g_m(x_1, x_2) dx_2 \leq \int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x_1, x_2) + \varepsilon dx_2$$

for every $x_1 \in [a_1, b_1]$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left(\int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x_1, x_2) - \varepsilon dx_2 \right) dx_1 &\leq \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left(\int_{a_2}^{b_2} g_m(x_1, x_2) dx_2 \right) dx_1 \\ &\leq \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left(\int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x_1, x_2) + \varepsilon dx_2 \right) dx_1, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\left| \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left(\int_{a_2}^{b_2} g_m(x_1, x_2) dx_2 \right) dx_1 - \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \left(\int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x_1, x_2) dx_2 \right) dx_1 \right| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \lambda(T).$$

□

The nearly uniform convergence is not topological:

Proposition 2.6. *The nearly uniform convergence of BKSz-integrable functions cannot be derived from a topology on the set of BKSz-integrable functions.*

Proof. We enumerate the rational vectors in T : $\mathbb{Q}^n \cap T = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m, \dots\}$, and for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $g_m(q_m) = 1$ and $g_m(x) = 0$ for $x \in T \setminus \{q_m\}$. For a fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the constant sequence of step functions g_m, g_m, g_m, \dots converges nearly uniformly to the constant zero function 0. In a topological space this would imply that each g_m is in the intersection of the neighborhoods of 0, thus the sequence (g_m) converges nearly uniformly to the function 0, too. But this contradicts Definition 2.1. \square

Remarks.

- The above sequence (g_m) and $g \equiv 0$ show that the condition

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left\{ \sup_{x \in T \setminus H} \{|f_m(x) - f(x)|\} : H \subset T \text{ is of Jordan measure zero} \right\} = 0$$

does not imply that the sequence (f_m) converges nearly uniformly to f .

- On the other hand, the sequence $g_m = \chi_{[0,1/m]}$ on $[0, 1]$ converges nearly uniformly to the constant zero function, but

$$\inf \left\{ \sup_{x \in T \setminus H} \{|g_m(x) - f(x)|\} : H \subset T \text{ is of Jordan measure zero} \right\} = 1$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

It follows from the definitions that neither the BKSz-integrability of a function $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, nor the value of the integral changes if we replace f by its restriction to the *open* brick $\text{int}(T)$. We may therefore restrict our investigation without loss of generality to functions defined on a *closed* brick.

3. CHARACTERIZATION OF BKSZ-INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS: BOUNDS AND DISCONTINUITIES

In this section we fix a closed brick $T = [a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n]$ and all bricks are supposed to be subsets of T . All functions in this section are assumed to map T into \mathbb{R} .

We are going to describe the BKSz-integrable functions f . To this end we need an extension of the notion of the right-hand limit and left-hand limit to the case of functions defined on T with $n > 1$.

Definition 3.1. Given a function f , a point $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \text{int}(T)$ and a nonzero vector $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n$, which we consider as a *direction*, we set

$$I_k = \begin{cases} [a_k, x_k) & \text{if } \alpha_k = -1, \\ \{x_k\} & \text{if } \alpha_k = 0, \\ (x_k, b_k] & \text{if } \alpha_k = 1 \end{cases}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and we define $T_{x,\alpha} := I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_n$.

If the restriction of f to $T_{x,\alpha}$ has a limit L at x , then we say that f has a *limit at x in the direction α* , and that this limit is equal to L . We write

$$\lim_{x,\alpha} f = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f|_{T_{x,\alpha}}(t).$$

Since we assumed that $x \in \text{int}(T)$, x is a limit point of each $T_{x,\alpha}$. Furthermore, $T \setminus \{x\}$ is the disjoint union of the sets $T_{x,\alpha}$.

There are $3^n - 1$ different nonzero vectors in $\{-1, 0, 1\}^n \setminus \{0\}$, so we can consider the limit of f in $3^n - 1$ directions. If f is continuous at $x \in \text{int}(T)$, then f has a finite limit at x in every direction, equal to $f(x)$.

In the one-dimensional case, when $T = [a, b]$, the limits in direction -1 and 1 are the left-hand and right-hand limits of f , respectively. According to this, we introduce another definition:

Definition 3.2. Assume that the function f is not continuous at a point $x \in \text{int}(T)$. We say that x is a *discontinuity point of the first kind* of f if f has a finite limit at x in every direction α . Otherwise we say that x is a *discontinuity point of the second kind* of f . We denote the set of discontinuity points of the first and second kind of f by $\text{dis}_1(f)$ and $\text{dis}_2(f)$, respectively.

The main result of this section is

Theorem 3.3.

- (a) A function f is BKSz-integrable if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:
- (1) f is bounded outside some Jordan null set;
 - (2) its discontinuities of the second kind form a Jordan null set.
- (b) Moreover, the discontinuities of a BKSz-integrable function may be covered by a Jordan null set H and countably many hyperplanes whose normal vectors are parallel to some of the coordinate axes; in particular, a BKSz-integrable function is continuous Lebesgue almost everywhere.

We divide the proof into several parts.

P r o o f of the necessity of condition (1) in (a). Let f be BKSz-integrable and consider a sequence of step functions g_m which converges nearly uniformly to f . By the first part of Definition 2.1 there is a positive number $C > 0$ such that $|g_m(x)| < C$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in T$. Furthermore, it follows from the second part of Definition 2.1 that $g_m(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for all $x \in T$, except perhaps a Jordan null set. We conclude by observing that $|f(x)| \leq C$ at all these points. \square

P r o o f of the necessity of condition (2) in (a). Let f be a BKSz-integrable function and δ a positive number. We will show that the set $\text{dis}_2(f)$ can be covered by finitely many closed bricks of total volume less than δ .

Since f is BKSz-integrable, by definition there is a sequence of step functions g_m which converges nearly uniformly to f . There exist therefore finitely many closed bricks of total volume $< \delta$ such that g_m converges uniformly to f outside the union A_δ of these bricks. It suffices to prove the inclusion $\text{dis}_2(f) \subset A_\delta$. Equivalently, we prove that if $x \in \text{int}(T) \setminus A_\delta$, then f has a finite limit in every direction α .

Since A_δ is closed, $g_m \rightarrow f$ uniformly on an open neighborhood of x . Since g_m is a step function for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, it has a finite limit

$$\lim_{y,\alpha} f_m = \lim_{t \rightarrow y} f_m|_{T_{x,\alpha}}(t)$$

at every point $y \in \text{int}(T)$, in every direction α . The uniform convergence in an open neighborhood of x implies that

$$\lim_{x,\alpha} f = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} f|_{T_{x,\alpha}}(t)$$

also exists and is finite in every direction. \square

Our proof of the sufficiency part of the theorem is based on the so-called Cousin's lemma (see for example [1], [4]):

Lemma 3.4. *For every positive function $\delta: T \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ there exists a δ -fine tagged partition of T , i.e., a finite number of pairs $(T_1, \xi_1), (T_2, \xi_2), \dots, (T_N, \xi_N)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- $T_i \subset T$ is a closed brick for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$;
- $\text{int}(T_i) \cap \text{int}(T_j) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$;
- $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^N T_i$;
- $\xi_i \in T_i \subset B(\xi_i, \delta(\xi_i))$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

P r o o f of the sufficiency part in (a). It follows from the boundedness and discontinuity conditions that for some positive C

$$H_0 = \overline{\partial T \cup \text{dis}_2(f) \cup (T \setminus f^{-1}[-C, C])}$$

is a Jordan null set. There exists therefore for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ an open set B_m , which is the union of finitely many open bricks of total volume $< 1/m$, such that $H_0 \subset B_m$. We may also assume that $B_{m+1} \subset B_m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

We need to define a sequence of step functions which converges nearly uniformly to f . Let us fix a positive integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$. First we define a function $p = p_m$ as follows. For each $x \in B_m$ we choose $p(x) > 0$ such that the open ball of center x and radius $p(x)$, denoted by $B(x, p(x))$, is a subset of B_m . This is possible because B_m is open. In the other case, if $x \in T \setminus B_m$, then $x \notin H_0$, hence x cannot be a discontinuity of the second kind of f . It follows that for each direction α there is a number $p_\alpha(x) > 0$ such that

$$|f(y) - \lim_{x, \alpha} f| < 1/m \quad \text{for every } y \in T_{x, \alpha} \cap B(x, p_\alpha(x)).$$

We denote by $p(x)$ the minimum of the $3^n - 1$ values $p_\alpha(x)$. Then $p(x)$ is well-defined and positive for $x \in T$, and

$$|f(y) - \lim_{x, \alpha} f| < 1/m \quad \text{for every } x \in T \setminus B_m \text{ and } y \in T_{x, \alpha} \cap B(x, p(x)).$$

Applying Cousin's lemma for $\delta = p$, we obtain a p -fine tagged partition of T . Now we define a step function g_m .

For any fixed point $y \in T$ we choose the smallest i such that $y \in T_i$. If for this index i we have $\xi_i \in B_m$, then we set $g_m(y) = 0$. If $\xi_i \notin B_m$, then ξ_i cannot be a discontinuity point of the second kind of f . If $y = \xi_i$, then we set $g_m(y) = f(y)$. Finally, if $y \neq \xi_i$, then there is a unique direction α such that $y \in T_{\xi_i, \alpha}$. In this case we set $g_m(y) = \lim_{\xi_i, \alpha} f$.

It is clear that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, g_m is a well-defined step function. We complete the proof of the theorem by proving that g_m converges nearly uniformly to f .

Since the m th tagged partition is p_m -fine, using the definition of p_m and g_m we obtain for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$|f(y) - g_m(y)| < 1/m \quad \text{for all } y \in T \setminus B_m.$$

Furthermore, since $B_M \supseteq B_{M+1}$ for every $M \in \mathbb{N}$, this implies that $g_m \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $T \setminus B_M$ as m approaches ∞ . Since B_M is the union of finitely many bricks of total volume $< 1/M$, we conclude that the second condition of Definition 2.1 is satisfied.

If $g_m(y) \neq 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in T$, then $g_m(y)$ is the value or the limit of f at some point $\xi_i \notin B_m$. Since H_0 is closed and $H_0 \subset B_m$, we conclude that ξ_i has an open neighborhood, disjoint from H_0 , so that $|g_m(y)| \leq C$. This means that the first condition of Definition 2.1 is also satisfied. \square

P r o o f of part (b) of the theorem. Let $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a BKSz-integrable function and let (g_m) be a sequence of step functions, nearly uniformly converging to f . The discontinuities of each step function may be covered by finitely many hyperplanes of the form $x_j = c_j$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us denote by H the union of all these, countably many hyperplanes.

By the definition of the nearly uniform convergence, for each positive integer M there exist finitely many closed bricks of total volume $< 1/M$ such that g_m converges uniformly to f outside the union A_M of these bricks. We may assume that each A_M contains the boundary of T . The proof will be completed if we show that f is continuous at every point $x \in T \setminus (H \cup A)$ with $A := \bigcap A_M$.

If x is such a point, then $x \in T \setminus (H \cup A_M)$ for a suitable M . Since $T \setminus A_M$ is an open set, g_m converges uniformly to f in a neighbourhood of x . Since, furthermore, $x \notin H$, each g_m is continuous in x and therefore f is also continuous in x . \square

Using part (b) of Theorem 3.3 we can prove easily the converse of part (c) of Proposition 2.4:

Corollary 3.5. *If $A \subset T$ and χ_A is BKSz-integrable, then A is Jordan measurable.*

P r o o f. If χ_A is BKSz-integrable, then the set of discontinuities of χ_A , which is the boundary of A , is a Lebesgue null set. Being compact it is also a Jordan null set, which implies that A is Jordan measurable. \square

4. RELATION TO RIEMANN-INTEGRABILITY

We fix again a closed brick T and we consider only real-valued functions defined on T . The following proposition clarifies the relations between BKSz-integrable and Riemann-integrable functions.

Proposition 4.1.

- (a) *If f is an unbounded, BKSz-integrable function, then there exists a bounded BKSz-integrable function g which is equal to f Jordan almost everywhere, so that $\int_T f = \int_T g$.*
- (b) *Every bounded BKSz-integrable function f is Riemann integrable, and its BKSz-integral is equal to its Riemann integral.*
- (c) *There exist Riemann integrable functions that are not BKSz-integrable.*

P r o o f. (a) We have shown at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3.3 that there exists a constant C such that $|f| < C$ outside a Jordan null set. Then the function

$$g := \text{med} \{-C, f, C\} = \min\{\max\{-C, f\}, C\}$$

is bounded and $f = g$ outside a Jordan null set. We conclude by using part (a) of Proposition 2.4.

(b) By part (b) of Theorem 3.3 the discontinuities of f form a Lebesgue null set. Since f is bounded, this implies that f is Riemann integrable.

By Definition 2.2 there is a sequence of step functions g_m which converges nearly uniformly to f . Since f is bounded, we may assume that for some $C > 0$ the inequalities $|f(x)| < C$ and $|g_m(x)| < C$ hold for all $x \in T$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since the BKSz-integral of a step function is clearly equal to its Riemann integral, it suffices to prove that, considering all the integrals below as Riemann integrals,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_T g_m = \int_T f.$$

For a given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist finitely many open bricks of total volume $\lambda(A) < \varepsilon/C$, where the union of the bricks is denoted by A , such that $g_m \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $T \setminus A$. Because of the uniform convergence, for Riemann integrals we know that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{T \setminus A} g_m = \int_{T \setminus A} f.$$

Hence for large values of m we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_T g_m - \int_T f \right| &= \left| \int_A g_m - \int_A f + \int_{T \setminus A} g_m - \int_{T \setminus A} f \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_A g_m \right| + \left| \int_A f \right| + \left| \int_{T \setminus A} g_m - \int_{T \setminus A} f \right| \\ &\leq C \cdot \lambda(A) + C \cdot \lambda(A) + \left| \int_{T \setminus A} (g_m - f) \right| \\ &< 2C \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{C} + \varepsilon = 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(c) We construct a function $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is Riemann integrable but not BKSz-integrable. We use the construction of the fat Cantor set S , which is of Lebesgue measure $1/2$.

First we remove the open middle one fourth of $[0, 1]$. Then for $k = 2, 3, \dots$ we remove the open subintervals of length 4^{-k} from the middle of each of the 2^{k-1} remaining closed intervals. Let $I_n = (a_n, b_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ be an enumeration of the removed disjoint open intervals, then $S = [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)$ has Lebesgue measure $1/2$.

By means of this construction we define $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We set $f(x) = 0$ if $x \in S$, and

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\sin \frac{1}{x - a_n} + \sin \frac{1}{b_n - x} \right)$$

if $x \in I_n$ for some n . It is easy to see that f is well-defined and the set of its discontinuities is $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{a_n, b_n\}$. This set is countable, f is bounded, hence f is Riemann integrable. But every discontinuity is of the second kind, and the set $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{a_n, b_n\}$ is not of Jordan measure zero, because if we choose finitely many closed intervals covering this set, then they cover S , which is of positive Lebesgue measure. Applying Theorem 3.3 we conclude that f is not BKSz-integrable. \square

We give now three examples which exhibit three unusual properties of the BKSz-integral.

First we show Proposition 2.5 on the successive integration is not true for all BKSz-integrable functions, not even for all bounded BKSz-integrable functions.

Example. There exists a bounded BKSz-integrable function $h: [0, 1] \times [0, 4] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the function $[0, 4] \ni y \mapsto h(x, y)$ is BKSz-integrable for each $x \in [0, 1]$ but the function $[0, 1] \ni x \mapsto \int_0^4 h(x, y) dy$ is not BKSz-integrable.

Indeed, consider the function $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in the proof of part (c) of Proposition 4.1. We proved that f is Riemann integrable but not BKSz-integrable. Now we set $h(x, y) = 1$ if $0 \leq y \leq f(x) + 2$ and $h(x, y) = 0$ otherwise. It follows from the definition of f that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $|f(x)| \leq 1/m$ except finitely many intervals, and that f is continuous on the interior of these intervals. These properties imply that h is continuous Jordan almost everywhere, and thus h is BKSz-integrable on $[0, 1] \times [0, 4]$ by Theorem 3.3. It is obvious that h is bounded and $[0, 1] \ni x \mapsto \int_0^4 h(x, y) dy = f(x) + 2$ is not BKSz-integrable.

In order to investigate the rotations it is convenient to extend slightly the definition of BKSz-integrable functions to functions defined on arbitrary subsets of \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 4.2. A function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, is *BKSz-integrable* if there exists a brick $T \subset D$ such that $f|_T$ is BKSz-integrable and f vanishes in $D \setminus T$. In this case the BKSz-integral of f is defined by

$$\int_D f := \int_T f|_T.$$

The following example shows that the BKSz-integrability is not invariant under rotations in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Example. Let g denote the rotation with center $(0, 0)$ by a fixed angle $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$ in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 . Consider Thomae's function $H: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $H(x) := 0$ if x is irrational or zero, and $H(x) = 1/q$ if $x = p/q$ with relatively prime integers satisfying $p \neq 0$ and $q > 0$. Then the formula $h(x, y) := H(x)$ defines a BKSz-integrable function $h: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by Theorem 3.3 because it is bounded and

has no discontinuity points of the second kind, but $h \circ g$ is not BKSz-integrable because the image of $(\mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1]) \times [0, 1]$ under the rotation g consists of discontinuity points of the second kind and this set is not a Jordan null set.

The last example shows that the set of BKSz-integrable functions is not closed under uniform convergence.

Example. Using the notations introduced in the proof of Proposition 4.1 (c), for each positive integer m , let $f_m: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function for which $f_m(x) = f(x)$ if $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m (a_i, b_i)$ and $f_m(x) = 0$ otherwise. f_m is bounded, and the finite set $\text{dis}_2(f_m) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{a_i, b_i\}$ is a Jordan null set, hence, by Theorem 3.3, f_m is BKSz-integrable. The sequence (f_m) converges uniformly to f , because for each m and x we have $|f_m(x) - f(x)| < 2/m$. This example shows that a function which is not BKSz-integrable, can be the uniform limit of BKSz-integrable functions.

Proposition 4.3. *If the sequence (f_m) of BKSz-integrable functions converges nearly uniformly to the BKSz-integrable function f , then the sequence $(\int_T f_m)$ converges to $\int_T f$.*

Proof. We may assume that f is the constant 0 function (first, using Proposition 4.1 (a), f can be substituted by a bounded BKSz-integrable function g , second, using Proposition 2.3 (b), the sequence (f_m) can be substituted by $(f_m - g)$). If $K > 0$ is a uniform bound for the sequence $(|f_m|)$ and ε is a given positive number, then choose the finite set of bricks J_1, \dots, J_p for $\delta = \varepsilon/(2K)$ according to Definition 2.1. We may and do suppose that the system of bricks J_1, \dots, J_p is part of a partition $\{J_1, \dots, J_{p+q}\}$ of T . By Proposition 2.3 (b), $|f_m|$ is BKSz-integrable. If m is large enough then $|f_m|$ can be majorized by the step function $h = \sum_{i=1}^{p+q} c_i \chi_{J_i}$, where $c_i = K$ for $i \leq p$ and $c_i = \varepsilon/(2\lambda(T))$ for $p < i \leq p + q$. By Proposition 2.3 (b), we have $|\int_T f_m| \leq \int_T |f_m| \leq \int_T h$, and the latter is not greater than ε . \square

5. INDEFINITE INTEGRALS OF BKSZ-INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

We fix again a closed brick $T = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n]$ and we denote by Σ the family of all closed bricks contained in T :

$$\Sigma = \{[\alpha_1, \beta_1] \times [\alpha_2, \beta_2] \times \dots \times [\alpha_n, \beta_n] : a_i \leq \alpha_i \leq \beta_i \leq b_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

If $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a BKSz-integrable function, then $f|_S$ is BKSz-integrable for all $S \in \Sigma$. Therefore the following definition is meaningful:

Definition 5.1. If $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a BKSz-integrable function, then the *indefinite integral* of f is the set function $\Psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by the formula

$$\Psi(S) := \int_S f, \quad S \in \Sigma.$$

In order to characterize the indefinite integrals we need two more definitions.

Definition 5.2. A function $\Phi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *strongly differentiable* at a point $u \in \text{int}(T)$ with strong derivative $t \in \mathbb{R}$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(S)}{\lambda(S)} - t \right| < \varepsilon$$

for every $S \in \Sigma$ satisfying $\lambda(S) > 0$ and $S \subset B(u, \delta)$. The strong derivative of Φ at u is denoted by $\Phi'(u)$.

Definition 5.3. A function $\Phi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *strongly differentiable at a point $u \in \text{int}(T)$ in direction $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}^n$* with strong derivative $t \in \mathbb{R}$, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(S)}{\lambda(S)} - t \right| < \varepsilon$$

for every $S \in \Sigma$ satisfying $\lambda(S) > 0$ and $S \subset B(u, \delta) \cap \overline{T_{u,\alpha}}$. The strong derivative of Φ at u in direction α is denoted by $\Phi'_\alpha(u)$.

We need the condition $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ because for other directions α the brick $T_{u,\alpha}$ has volume zero.

The main result of this section is

Theorem 5.4. A function $\Psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the indefinite integral of a suitable BKSz-integrable function $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if and only if Ψ has the following properties:

- (1) Ψ is a Lipschitz function, i.e., there exists $L > 0$ such that $|\Psi(S)| \leq L \cdot \lambda(S)$ for every $S \in \Sigma$;
- (2) Ψ is finitely additive;
- (3) Ψ is strongly differentiable Lebesgue almost everywhere;
- (4) Ψ is strongly differentiable in every direction $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ Jordan almost everywhere.

Remark. Omitting the last one from the four conditions we get a characterization of the indefinite integral of Riemann integrable functions.

For the proof we need the following easy corollary of Definitions 3.2, 5.2 and 5.3.

Lemma 5.5. *Let Ψ be the indefinite integral of a BKSz-integrable function $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

If f is continuous at $u \in \text{int}(T)$ and $f(u) = t$, then Ψ is strongly differentiable at u and $\Psi'(u) = t$.

If f has a limit t at $u \in \text{int}(T)$ in direction $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, then Ψ is strongly differentiable at u in direction α and $\Psi'_\alpha(u) = t$.

P r o o f of the necessity part of Theorem 5.4. If $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is BKSz-integrable, then by Theorem 3.3 there exists $C > 0$ such that $\{x \in T: |f(x)| > C\}$ is a Jordan null set. Hence $|\int_S f| < C \cdot \lambda(S)$ for every $S \in \Sigma$, because this is well known for Riemann integrals, and parts (a) and (b) of Proposition 4.1 show that this implies the inequality for BKSz-integrals. We conclude that Ψ satisfies the Lipschitz condition with the constant C :

$$|\Psi(S)| \leq C \cdot \lambda(S) \quad \text{for every } S \in \Sigma.$$

Similarly, we deduce from the relation between the BKSz-integral and the Riemann integral that Ψ is finitely additive:

$$\Psi(S) = \sum_{i=1}^m \Psi(S_i)$$

if $S \in \Sigma$, $S_i \in \Sigma$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^m S_i$ and $\text{int}(S_i) \cap \text{int}(S_j) = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$. By part (b) of Theorem 3.3 the set of discontinuities of f is a Lebesgue null set, and by Lemma 5.5 this implies that $\{u \in T: \Psi'(u) \text{ does not exist}\}$ is also a Lebesgue null set. Similarly, by Theorem 3.3 the set of discontinuities of the second kind of f is a Jordan null set, and by Lemma 5.5 this implies that

$$\{u \in T: \exists \alpha \in \{-1, 1\}^n \text{ such that } \Psi'_\alpha(u) \text{ does not exist}\}$$

is a Jordan null set, too. □

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of the sufficiency part of Theorem 5.4. Given a function $\Psi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the four conditions of the theorem, we define a function $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial T$ and

$$f(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sup \left\{ \frac{\Psi(S)}{\lambda(S)} : S \in \Sigma, \lambda(S) > 0, S \subset B(x, \delta) \right\} \quad \text{for } x \in \text{int}(T).$$

We are going to prove in several steps that f is BKSz-integrable and that Ψ is its indefinite integral.

Lemma 5.6. *If the strong derivative $\Psi'_\alpha(u) = t$ exists at a point $u \in \text{int}(T)$ in some direction $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, then $\lim_{u, \alpha} f = t$.*

P r o o f. Given an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, by definition there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$t - \varepsilon < \frac{\Psi(S)}{\lambda(S)} < t + \varepsilon$$

for all bricks $S \in \Sigma$ satisfying $\lambda(S) > 0$ and $S \subset B(u, \delta_0) \cap \overline{T_{u, \alpha}}$. We may assume that $B(u, \delta_0) \subset T$. It suffices to show that $|f(x) - t| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $x \in B(u, \delta_0) \cap T_{u, \alpha}$.

Since $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, $T_{u, \alpha} \cap B(u, \delta_0)$ is an open set, for every $x \in B(u, \delta_0) \cap T_{u, \alpha}$ there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $B(x, \delta_1) \cap T \subset B(u, \delta_0) \cap T_{u, \alpha}$. This implies that for every positive number $0 < \delta < \delta_1$ we have

$$t - \varepsilon \leq \sup \left\{ \frac{\Psi(S)}{\lambda(S)} : S \in \Sigma, \lambda(S) > 0, S \subset B(x, \delta) \right\} \leq t + \varepsilon.$$

It follows that

$$t - \varepsilon \leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sup \left\{ \frac{\Psi(S)}{\lambda(S)} : S \in \Sigma, \lambda(S) > 0, S \subset B(x, \delta) \right\} \leq t + \varepsilon,$$

i.e., $|f(x) - t| \leq \varepsilon$. □

Lemma 5.7. *If the strong derivative $\Psi'_\alpha(u) = t$ exists at a point $u \in \text{int}(T)$ in every direction $\alpha \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, then u cannot be a discontinuity point of the second kind of f .*

P r o o f. By the previous lemma, it is sufficient to prove that f has a finite limit $\lim_{u, \alpha} f$ in each nonzero direction $\alpha \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^n \setminus \{-1, 1\}^n$. We claim that this limit is equal to $t := \max\{\Psi'_\beta(u) : \beta \in B\}$ where

$$B = \{\beta \in \{-1, 1\}^n : \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } \alpha_k \neq 0, \text{ then } \beta_k = \alpha_k\}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. According to Definition 5.3 there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\Psi(\sigma)}{\lambda(\sigma)} - \Psi'_\beta(u) \right| < \varepsilon$$

for every $\beta \in B$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$ satisfying $\lambda(\sigma) > 0$ and $\sigma \subset B(u, \delta_0) \cap \overline{T_{u, \beta}}$.

If $x \in T_{u, \alpha} \cap B(u, \delta_0)$, then there exists $0 < \delta_x < \delta_0$ such that $B(x, \delta_x) \subset B(u, \delta_0)$ and

$$\delta_x < \min\{|x_k - u_k| : x_k \neq u_k\}.$$

Let us consider a brick $S \in \Sigma$ such that $\lambda(S) > 0$ and $S \subset B(x, \delta_x) \subset B(u, \delta_0)$.

The definition of δ_x implies that $\text{sgn}(y_k - u_k) = \text{sgn}(x_k - u_k)$ for every $y \in S$ and for each positive integer $k \leq n$ satisfying $x_k \neq u_k$. Therefore S is covered by the pairwise nonoverlapping bricks $\overline{T_{u,\beta}}$ ($\beta \in B$). Using the finite additivity of Ψ and setting $S_\beta = S \cap \overline{T_{u,\beta}}$ for $\beta \in B$ we get

$$\Psi(S) = \sum_{\beta \in B} \Psi(S_\beta).$$

Since the volume function $\lambda: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is finitely additive, too, we have also

$$\lambda(S) = \sum_{\beta \in B} \lambda(S_\beta)$$

for the same sets S and S_β .

It follows from our choice of δ_0 that

$$\Psi(S_\beta) < (\Psi'_\beta(u) + \varepsilon) \cdot \lambda(S_\beta) \leq (t + \varepsilon)\lambda(S_\beta).$$

Summing them for all $\beta \in B$ we obtain that

$$\Psi(S) = \sum_{\beta \in B} \Psi(S_\beta) < (t + \varepsilon) \sum_{\beta \in B} \lambda(S_\beta) = (t + \varepsilon)\lambda(S).$$

Since this holds for every $S \in \Sigma$ satisfying $\lambda(S) > 0$ and $S \subset B(x, \delta_x)$, it follows that

$$f(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sup \left\{ \frac{\Psi(S)}{\lambda(S)} : S \in \Sigma, \lambda(S) > 0, S \subset B(x, \delta) \right\} \leq t + \varepsilon.$$

In order to obtain a lower bound of $f(x)$ we choose a direction $\beta_{\max} \in B$ such that $\Psi'_{\beta_{\max}}(u) = t$. Since $x \in T_{u,\alpha} \cap B(u, \delta_0)$ and $\beta_{\max} \in B \subset \{-1, 1\}^n$, for every $\delta > 0$ there is a brick $S_\delta \in \Sigma$ such that $S_\delta \subset B(x, \delta) \cap T_{u,\beta_{\max}}$. If $\delta < \delta_x < \delta_0$, then $S_\delta \subset B(x, \delta_x) \subset B(u, \delta_0)$. Thanks to the choice of δ_0 , we conclude that

$$\frac{\Psi(S_\delta)}{\lambda(S_\delta)} > t - \varepsilon.$$

Since there exists such a brick S_δ for every $0 < \delta < \delta_x$, this implies that

$$f(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \sup \left\{ \frac{\Psi(S)}{\lambda(S)} : S \in \Sigma, \lambda(S) > 0, S \subset B(x, \delta) \right\} \geq t - \varepsilon.$$

We have proved that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$t - \varepsilon \leq f(x) \leq t + \varepsilon$$

for every $x \in B(u, \delta_0) \cap T_{u,\alpha}$. In other words, $\lim_{u,\alpha} f = t$. □

Now we are ready to prove that f is BKSz-integrable. By Theorem 3.3 it suffices to show that f is bounded and that its discontinuities of the second kind form a Jordan null set. The boundedness of f follows from the Lipschitz property of Ψ . The second property follows from Lemma 5.7 and from the fourth condition on Ψ .

According to Hypothesis (3) and Lemma 5.6 Ψ is strongly differentiable and $\Psi'(u) = f(u)$ Lebesgue almost everywhere. Since f is BKSz-integrable, it follows from the already established necessity part of Theorem 5.4 that its indefinite integral Ψ_0 is also strongly differentiable and $\Psi'_0(u) = f(u)$ Lebesgue almost everywhere. It remains to prove that $\Psi = \Psi_0$. This follows from the following lemma applied for $\Phi = \Psi - \Psi_0$.

Lemma 5.8. *Assume that $\Phi: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is finitely additive and satisfies the Lipschitz condition. If Φ is strongly differentiable and $\Phi'(u) = 0$ Lebesgue almost everywhere, then $\Phi(S) = 0$ for every $S \in \Sigma$.*

Proof. We adapt a method of M. W. Botsko [2]. We fix a Lebesgue null set $H \subset \text{int}(T)$ such that $\Phi'(u) = 0$ for every $u \in \text{int}(T) \setminus H$ and choose $L > 0$ such that $|\Phi(\sigma)| \leq L \cdot |\lambda(\sigma)|$ for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Assume on the contrary that $|\Phi(S)| = c > 0$ for some brick $S \in \Sigma$. We may assume that $S \subset \text{int}(T)$. Since H is a Lebesgue null set, there exist open bricks S_1, S_2, \dots such that

$$H \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda(S_i) < \frac{c}{2L}.$$

We define a function $p: S \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ as follows. If $x \in H \cap S$, then we choose the first brick S_i which contains x , and we define $p(x)$ such that $B(x, p(x)) \subset S_i$. If $x \in S \setminus H$, then Φ is strongly differentiable at x with strong derivative 0. According to Definition 5.2 we may fix $p(x) > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(\sigma)}{\lambda(\sigma)} \right| < \frac{c}{2\lambda(S)} \quad \text{for every } \sigma \in \Sigma \text{ satisfying } \sigma \subset B(x, p(x)) \text{ and } \lambda(\sigma) > 0.$$

Applying Cousin's lemma 3.4 we find a p -fine tagged partition of S :

$$S = \bigcup_{j=1}^J T_j \quad \text{with} \quad \xi_j \in T_j \subset B(\xi_j, p(\xi_j)), \quad j = 1, \dots, J,$$

$$\text{and} \quad \text{int}(T_j) \cap \text{int}(T_k) = \emptyset \quad \text{whenever} \quad j \neq k.$$

Since the bricks T_j are pairwise nonoverlapping and Φ is additive, we get

$$c = |\Phi(S)| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^J \Phi(T_j) \right| \leq \sum_{\xi_j \in H} |\Phi(T_j)| + \sum_{\xi_j \notin H} |\Phi(T_j)|.$$

In view of the definition of L , p and S_i we obtain that

$$\sum_{\xi_j \in H} |\Phi(T_j)| \leq L \cdot \sum_{\xi_j \in H} \lambda(T_j) \leq L \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda(S_i) < L \cdot \frac{c}{2L} = \frac{c}{2}.$$

On the other hand, using the definition of p for $x \notin H$ we get

$$\sum_{\xi_j \notin H} |\Phi(T_j)| < \sum_{\xi_j \notin H} \lambda(T_j) \cdot \frac{c}{2\lambda(S)} \leq \frac{c}{2}$$

because the union of the bricks T_j is S .

It follows from these inequalities that

$$c \leq \sum_{\xi_j \in H} |\Phi(T_j)| + \sum_{\xi_j \notin H} |\Phi(T_j)| < \frac{c}{2} + \frac{c}{2} = c,$$

a contradiction. □

References

- [1] *R. G. Bartle*: A Modern Theory of Integration. Amer. Math. Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2001.
- [2] *M. W. Botsko*: The use of full covers in real analysis. Amer. Math. Monthly 96 (1989), 328–333.
- [3] *J. Dieudonné*: Foundations of Modern Analysis. Academic Press, New York and London, 1969.
- [4] *Washek F. Pfeffer*: The Riemann Approach to Integration: Local Geometric Theory Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 1993.

Authors' addresses: Ágnes M. Backhausz, Institute of Mathematics, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány P. sétány 1/C, 1117 Budapest, Hungary, e-mail: agnes@cs.elte.hu; Vilmos Komornik, Département de mathématique, Université Louis Pasteur, 7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France, e-mail: komornik@math.u-strasbg.fr; Tivadar Szilágyi, Department of Applied Analysis and Computational Mathematics, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány P. sétány 1/C, 1117 Budapest, Hungary, e-mail: sztiv@cs.elte.hu.