Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Abbas Najati; Themistocles M. Rassias

Pexider type operators and their norms in X_λ spaces

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 59 (2009), No. 4, 1087–1094

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140538

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 59 (134) (2009), 1087–1094

PEXIDER TYPE OPERATORS AND THEIR NORMS IN X_λ SPACES

Abbas Najati, Ardabil, and Themistocles M. Rassias, Athens

(Received June 4, 2008)

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce Pexiderized generalized operators on certain special spaces introduced by Bielecki-Czerwik and investigate their norms.

Keywords: Pexiderized generalized operator, Pexiderized generalized Jensen operator

MSC 2010: 39B52, 46L05, 47B48

1. Introduction

Let X and Y be complex normed spaces. After Czerwik [1], for a fixed non-negative real number λ, we denote by X_λ the linear space of all functions $f\colon X \to Y$ (with pointwise operations) for which there exists a constant $M_f \geqslant 0$ with

$$\|f(x)\| \leqslant M_f \mathrm{e}^{\lambda\|x\|}$$

for all $x \in X$. It is easy to show that the space X_λ with the norm

$$\|f\| := \sup_{x\in X}\{\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\|x\|}\|f(x)\|\}$$

is a normed space. Let us we denote by X_λ^n the linear space of all functions $\varphi\colon \underbrace{X\times\ldots\times X}_{n \text{ times}} \to Y$ (with pointwise operations) for which there exists a constant $M_\varphi \geqslant 0$ with

$$\|\varphi(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\| \leqslant M_\varphi \mathrm{e}^{\lambda\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\|x_i\|}$$

for all $x_1,\ldots,x_n \in X$. It is not difficult to show that the space X_λ^n with the norm

$$\|\varphi\| := \sup_{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in X}\Big\{\|\varphi(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\|\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}\|x_i\|}\Big\}$$

is a normed space.

We denote by Z_λ^m the normed space $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m X_\lambda = \{(f_1,\ldots,f_m)\colon\ f_1,\ldots,f_m \in X_\lambda\}$ (with pointwise operations) together with the norm

$$\|(f_1,\ldots,f_m)\| := \max\{\|f_1\|,\ldots,\|f_m\|\}.$$

The norms of the Pexiderized Cauchy, quadratic and Jensen operators on function spaces X_λ have been investigated by Czerwik and Dlutek [1], [2]. In [4] Moslehian *et al.* have extended the results of [2] to the Pexiderized generalized Jensen and Pexiderized generalized quadratic operators on function spaces X_λ and provided more general results regarding their norms. In the proofs of our results we apply the ideas contained in the paper [2] (see also [1]).

In [3] S.-M. Jung investigated the norm of the cubic operator on the function spaces X_λ. In this paper, we introduce Pexiderized generalized operators and investigate their norms. The results extend the results of [2], [3], [4].

2. Main results

Definition 2.1. The operator $E_P^G\colon\ Z_\lambda^m \to X_\lambda^n$ defined by

$$E_P^G(f_1,\ldots,f_m)(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i f_i\biggl(\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}x_j\biggr)$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}, \beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{B}^1 := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C}\colon\ |\mu| \leqslant 1\}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ and all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, is called Pexiderized generalized operator.

The next theorem gives us the norm of E_P^G.

Theorem 2.2. *The operator E_P^G is a bounded linear operator with*

$$\|E_P^G\| = \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i|.$$

P r o o f. First, we show that $\|E_P^G\| \leqslant \sum\limits_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i|$. By the assumption we have

$$\max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m}\biggl\{\biggl\|\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}x_j\biggr\|\biggr\} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E_P^G(f_1, \ldots, f_m)\| &= \sup_{x_1,\ldots,x_n \in X} \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i f_i\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij} x_j\Big) \right\| \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \sum\limits_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|} \right\} \\
&\leqslant \sup_{x_1,\ldots,x_n \in X} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i| \left\| f_i\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij} x_j\Big) \right\| \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \left\| \sum\limits_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij} x_j \right\|} \right\} \\
&\leqslant \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i| \|f_i\| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i| \max\{\|f_1\|, \ldots, \|f_m\|\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i| \|(f_1, \ldots, f_m)\|
\end{aligned}$$

for any $(f_1, \ldots, f_m) \in Z_\lambda^m$. This implies that

$$\|E_P^G\| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i|.$$

Now, let $\nu \in Y$ be such that $\|\nu\| = 1$ and let $\{\xi_k\}_k$ be a sequence of positive real numbers decreasing to 0. For each positive integer k, and each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, we define

$$f_{ik}(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\overline{\alpha}_i}{|\alpha_i|} \mathrm{e}^{n\lambda\xi_k} \nu & \text{if } \|x\| = \xi_k \Big| \sum\limits_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij} \Big|, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\|x\|} \|f_{ik}(x)\| = \begin{cases} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda\xi_k \left(n - \left| \sum\limits_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij} \right| \right)} & \text{if } \|x\| = \xi_k \Big| \sum\limits_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij} \Big|, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$, all positive integers k, and all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Thus $f_{ik} \in X_\lambda$ for all positive integers k and all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ with

$$\|f_{ik}\| = \mathrm{e}^{\lambda\xi_k \left(n - \left| \sum\limits_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij} \right| \right)}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $u \in X$ be such that $\|u\| = 1$ and take $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ as $x_1 = \ldots = x_n = \xi_k u$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E_P^G(f_{1k}, \ldots, f_{mk})\| &= \sup_{x_1,\ldots,x_n \in X} \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i f_{ik}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij} x_j\Big) \right\| \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \sum\limits_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|} \right\} \\
&\geqslant \mathrm{e}^{-n\lambda\xi_k} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i| \mathrm{e}^{n\lambda\xi_k} \nu \right\| = \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_i|.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

If on the contrary $\|E_P^G\| < \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_i|$, then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|E_P^G(f_{1k}, \dots, f_{mk})\| \leqslant \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_i| - \delta\Big) \|(f_{1k}, \dots, f_{mk})\| \tag{2.3}$$

for all positive integers k. So it follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_i| \leqslant \|E_P^G(f_{1k}, \dots, f_{mk})\| \leqslant \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_i| - \delta\Big) \max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m} \|f_{ik}\| \tag{2.4}$$

for all positive integers k. Since $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|f_{ik}\| = 1$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, the right hand side of (2.4) tends to $\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_i| - \delta$ as $k \to \infty$, whence $\sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_i| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_i| - \delta$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\|E_P^G\| = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_i|$. □

Theorem 2.6 of [4] is an alternative result for the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *The Pexiderized generalized Jensen operator* $J_P^{r,s,t}\colon Z_\lambda^3 \to X_\lambda^2$ *given by*

$$J_P^{r,s,t}(f,g,h)(x,y) := f\Big(\frac{sx+ty}{r}\Big) - \frac{s}{r}g(x) - \frac{t}{r}h(y)$$

where $r, s, t \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $r \neq 0$ *and* $\max\{|s|, |t|\} \leqslant |r|$, *is a bounded linear operator such that*

$$\|J_P^{r,s,t}\| = \frac{|r| + |s| + |t|}{|r|}.$$

Corollary 2.4 [4]**.** *The Pexiderized quadratic operator* $Q_P^G\colon Z_\lambda^4 \to X_\lambda^2$ *given by*

$$Q_P^G(f,g,h,k)(x,y) := f(x+y) + g(x) - 2h(x) - 2k(y)$$

is a bounded linear operator with $\|Q_P^G\| = 6$.

Corollary 2.5 [3]**.** *The Pexiderized cubic operator* $C_P^G\colon Z_\lambda^5 \to X_\lambda^2$ *given by*

$$C_P^G(f,g,h,k,l)(x,y) := f(x+y) + g(x-y) - 2h\Big(\frac{1}{2}x + y\Big) - 2k\Big(\frac{1}{2}x - y\Big) - 12l\Big(\frac{1}{2}x\Big)$$

is a bounded linear operator with $\|C_P^G\| = 18$.

Theorem 2.6. *The generalized operator* $E^G\colon X_\lambda \to X_\lambda^n$ *given by*

$$E^G(f)(x_1,\dots,x_n)=\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i f\biggl(\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}x_j\biggr)$$

where $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$, $\beta_{ij}\in\mathbb{B}^1:=\{\mu\in\mathbb{C}\colon |\mu|\leqslant 1\}$ *for all* $1\leqslant i\leqslant m$ *and all* $1\leqslant j\leqslant n$, *is a bounded linear operator. Moreover, if* $(\beta_{i1},\dots,\beta_{in})\neq(\beta_{k1},\dots,\beta_{kn})$ *and there exist* $x_1^*,\dots,x_n^*\in X$ *such that* $\sum\limits_{j=1}^n\beta_{ij}x_j^*\neq\sum\limits_{j=1}^n\beta_{kj}x_j^*$ *for all* $1\leqslant i,k\leqslant m$ *with* $i\neq k$, *then*

$$\|E^G\|=\sum_{i=1}^m|\alpha_i|.$$

P r o o f. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|E^G(f)\|&=\sup_{x_1,\dots,x_n\in X}\biggl\{\biggl\|\sum_{i=1}^m\alpha_i f\biggl(\sum_{j=1}^n\beta_{ij}x_j\biggr)\biggr\|\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\sum\limits_{j=1}^n\|x_j\|}\biggr\}\\&\leqslant\sup_{x_1,\dots,x_n\in X}\biggl\{\sum_{i=1}^m|\alpha_i|\biggl\|f\biggl(\sum_{j=1}^n\beta_{ij}x_j\biggr)\biggr\|\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\|\sum\limits_{j=1}^n\beta_{ij}x_j\|}\biggr\}\\&\leqslant\sum_{i=1}^m|\alpha_i|\|f\|\end{aligned}$$

for any $f\in X_\lambda$. So we have

$$\|E^G\|\leqslant\sum_{i=1}^m|\alpha_i|.$$

Now, suppose that $\sum\limits_{j=1}^n\beta_{ij}x_j^*\neq\sum\limits_{j=1}^n\beta_{kj}x_j^*$ for all $1\leqslant i,k\leqslant m$ with $i\neq k$. Let $\nu\in Y$ be such that $\|\nu\|=1$ and let $\{\xi_k\}_k$ be a sequence of positive real numbers decreasing to 0. For each positive integer k, we define

$$f_k(x)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{\overline{\alpha}_i}{|\alpha_i|}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\theta\xi_k}\nu & \text{if } x=\xi_k\sum\limits_{j=1}^n\beta_{ij}x_j^* \quad \text{for } \ 1\leqslant i\leqslant m,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

for all $x\in X$, where $\theta:=\sum\limits_{j=1}^n\|x_j^*\|$. Hence we have

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\|x\|}\|f_k(x)\|=\begin{cases}\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\xi_k\left(\theta-\left\|\sum\limits_{j=1}^n\beta_{ij}x_j^*\right\|\right)} & \text{if } x=\xi_k\sum\limits_{j=1}^n\beta_{ij}x_j^* \quad \text{for } \ 1\leqslant i\leqslant m,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all positive integers k. So that $f_k \in X_\lambda$ for all positive integers k with

$$\|f_k\| = \max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda\xi_k\left(\theta - \left\|\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n}\beta_{ij}x_j^*\right\|\right)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.2, take $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ as $x_j = \xi_k x_j^*$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|E^G(f_k)\| &= \sup_{x_1,\ldots,x_n\in X}\left\{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m}\alpha_i f_k\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\beta_{ij}x_j\right)\right\|\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n}\|x_j\|}\right\}\\ &\geqslant \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\theta\xi_k}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{m}|\alpha_i|\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\theta\xi_k}\nu\right\| = \sum_{i=1}^{m}|\alpha_i|.\end{aligned}$$

If on the contrary $\|E^G\| < \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m}|\alpha_i|$, then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|E^G(f_k)\| \leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}|\alpha_i| - \delta\right)\|f_k\|$$

for all positive integers k. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}|\alpha_i| \leqslant \|E^G(f_k)\| \leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}|\alpha_i| - \delta\right)\|f_k\| \tag{2.6}$$

for all positive integers k. Since $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\xi_k = 0$, it follows from (2.5) that $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\|f_k\| = 1$. Then the right hand side of (2.6) tends to $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m}|\alpha_i| - \delta$ as $k \to \infty$, whence $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m}|\alpha_i| \leqslant \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m}|\alpha_i| - \delta$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\|E^G\| = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m}|\alpha_i|$. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let $E^G\colon X_\lambda \to X_\lambda^n$ be an operator given by*

$$E^G(f)(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{m}\alpha_i f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\beta_{ij}x_j\right)$$

where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}, \beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{B}^1 := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C}\colon |\mu| \leqslant 1\}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ and all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. If $(\beta_{i1},\ldots,\beta_{in}) \neq (\beta_{k1},\ldots,\beta_{kn})$ and $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n}\beta_{ij} \neq \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n}\beta_{kj}$ for all $1 \leqslant i,k \leqslant m$ with $i \neq k$, then

$$\|E^G\| = \sum_{i=1}^{m}|\alpha_i|.$$

Corollary 2.8. *The cubic operator* $C^G\colon X_\lambda \to X_\lambda^2$ *given by*

$$C^G(f)(x,y) := f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f\Big(\frac{1}{2}x + y\Big) - 2f\Big(\frac{1}{2}x - y\Big) - 12f\Big(\frac{1}{2}x\Big)$$

is a bounded linear operator with $\|C_P^G\| = 18$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let* $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{B}^1 := \{\mu \in \mathbb{C}\colon |\mu| \leqslant 1\}$ *for all* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4$ *such that* $\alpha_4 = \beta_3 = 0$ *and* $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) \neq (\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ *for all* $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 4$. *Let* $\Lambda\colon X_\lambda \to X_\lambda^2$ *be an operator given by*

$$\Lambda(f)(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \gamma_i f\big(\alpha_i x + \beta_i y\big)$$

where $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ *for all* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4$. *Then*

$$\|\Lambda\| = \sum_{i=1}^{4} |\gamma_i|.$$

P r o o f. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.6, we have

$$\|\Lambda\| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{4} |\gamma_i|.$$

Let $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\eta(\beta_i - \beta_j) \neq \alpha_j - \alpha_i$ for all $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 4$ with $i \neq j$. Let $u \in X, \nu \in Y$ be such that $\|u\| = \|\nu\| = 1$ and let $\{\xi_k\}_k$ be a sequence of positive real numbers decreasing to 0. For each positive integer k, we define

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\overline{\gamma_i}}{|\gamma_i|} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda(1+|\eta|)\xi_k} \nu & \text{if } x = \xi_k(\alpha_i + \eta\beta_i)u \text{ for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$. Therefore we have

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\|x\|}\|f_k(x)\| = \begin{cases} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda\xi_k(1+|\eta|-|\alpha_i+\eta\beta_i|)} & \text{if } x = \xi_k(\alpha_i + \eta\beta_i)u \text{ for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all positive integers k. Thus $f_k \in X_\lambda$ for all positive integers k with

$$\|f_k\| = \max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant 4} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda\xi_k(1+|\eta|-|\alpha_i+\eta\beta_i|)}. \tag{2.7}$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.6, take $x, y \in X$ as $x = \xi_k u$ and $y = \xi_k \eta u$. Then it is clear that

$$\|\Lambda(f_k)\| \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{4} |\gamma_i|$$

for all positive integers k. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.6. □

Corollary 2.10. *The generalized Jensen operator* $J^{r,s,t}\colon X_\lambda \to X_\lambda^2$ *given by*

$$J^{r,s,t}(f)(x,y) := f\Big(\frac{sx+ty}{r}\Big) - \frac{s}{r}f(x) - \frac{t}{r}f(y)$$

where $r,s,t \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $r \neq 0$ *and* $\max\{|s|,|t|\} \leqslant |r|$, *is a bounded linear operator such that*

$$\|J^{r,s,t}\| = \frac{|r|+|s|+|t|}{|r|}.$$

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the referee for a number of valuable suggestions regarding a previous version of this paper.

References

[1] *S. Czerwik*: Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2002.

[2] *S. Czerwik and K. Dlutek*: Cauchy and Pexider operators in some function spaces. Functional equations, inequalities and applications, 11–19, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.

[3] *S.-M. Jung*: Cubic operator norm on X_λ space. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. *44* (2007), 309–313.

[4] *M. S. Moslehian, T. Riedel and A. Saadatpour*: Norms of operators in X_λ spaces. Appl. Math. Lett. *20* (2007), 1082–1087.

Authors' addresses: Abbas Najati, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran, e-mail: a.nejati@yahoo.com; Themistocles M. Rassias, Department of Mathematics, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou Campus, 15780 Athens, Greece, e-mail: trassias@math.ntua.gr.