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Abstract. Let $A$ and $B$ be two Archimedean vector lattices and let $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ be their order continuous order biduals. If $\Psi: A \times A \rightarrow B$ is a positive orthosymmetric bimorphism, then the triadjoint $\Psi^{* * *}:\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ of $\Psi$ is inevitably orthosymmetric. This leads to a new and short proof of the commutativity of almost $f$-algebras.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $A, B, C$ be archimedean vector lattices and let $\Psi: A \times B \rightarrow C$ be an order bounded bilinear map. Denote by $A^{\prime}$ the order dual of $A$ and by $A_{n}^{\prime}$ the order continuous order dual of $A$. In [2], Arens investigated (in a more general abstract setting) the process of forming the adjoint operation

$$
\Psi^{*}: C^{\prime} \times A \rightarrow B^{\prime}
$$

defined, for all $f \in C^{\prime}, a \in A, b \in B$, by

$$
\Psi^{*}(f, a)(b)=f(\Psi(a, b))
$$

This construction can be iterated in the following way:

$$
\Psi^{* *}: B^{\prime \prime} \times C^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}
$$

defined, for all $F \in B^{\prime \prime}, f \in C^{\prime}, a \in A$, by

$$
\Psi^{* *}(F, f)(a)=F\left(\Psi^{*}(f, a)\right)
$$

and

$$
\Psi^{* * *}: A^{\prime \prime} \times B^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime \prime}
$$

defined, for all $F \in A^{\prime \prime}, G \in B^{\prime \prime}, f \in C^{\prime}$, by

$$
\Psi^{* * *}(F, G)(f)=F\left(\Psi^{* *}(G, f)\right),
$$

with $\Psi^{* * *}\left(\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(C^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$. Moreover, certain properties such as associativity, provided $\Psi$ has them, are transmitted to $\Psi^{* * *}$ (this makes sense only when $A=B=$ $C)$. The transmission of commutativity problem was considered by Arens in [2] and answered in the negative. However, it was shown by Grobler [8, Theorem 4] that if $A$ is an abelian lattice ordered algebra, then $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ furnished with the Arens product is abelian. The question arises as to whether the restriction of $\Psi^{* * *}$ to $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ is still a positive and orthosymmetric bimorphism when $\Psi$ is only a positive orthosymmetric bimorphism. The answer is affirmative (Theorem 1). As an application, we prove that the restriction of $\Psi^{* * *}$ to $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ (called also the triadjoint of $\Psi$ ) and, by the way $\Psi$, are inevitably symmetric.

In 1981 Scheffold in [13] proved that any normed almost $f$-algebra is commutative. Basly and Triki [3] make able to do a way with the norm condition. Both the proof of Scheffold and the proof of Basly and Triki make use of the Axiom of Choice by using non constructive representation theorems. Bernau and Huijsmans in [5] gave a constructive proof. It is long and quite involved. In 2000 Buskes and Van Rooij gave another proof (see [7]). The disadvantage of their approach is that the proof is not intrinsic, i.e., does not take place in the almost $f$-algebra itself. In this paper we present a new, short and constructive proof. Interestingly, it deals with positive orthosymmetric maps rather than with algebra multiplications and does not make use of associativity.

We take it for granted that the reader is familiar with the notions of vector lattices (or Riesz spaces) and operators between them. For terminology, notation and concepts that are not explained in the paper we refer to the standard monographs [1], [10], [11], [12] and [15].

## 2. Definitions and notations

We shall assume throughout this paper that all vector lattices (or Riesz spaces) under consideration are Archimedean.

Let $A$ be a (real) vector lattice. A vector subspace $I$ of $A$ is called an order ideal (or o-ideal) whenever $|a| \leqslant|b|$ and $b \in I$ imply $a \in I$. Every o-ideal is a vector sublattice of $A$. The principal $o$-ideal generated by $0 \leqslant e \in A$ is denoted by
$A_{e}$ and it is a sublattice of $A$. A linear mapping $T$ defined on a vector lattice $A$ with values in a vector lattice $B$ is called positive if $T\left(A^{+}\right) \subset B^{+}$. A linear mapping $T \in \mathscr{L}(A, B)$ is called a lattice (or Riesz) homomorphism whenever $a \wedge b=0$ implies $T(a) \wedge T(b)=0$.

Let $A$ be a vector lattice and let $0 \leqslant v \in A$. The sequence $\left\{a_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ in $A$ is called ( $v$ ) relatively uniformly convergent to $a \in A$ if for every real number $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a natural number $n_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\left|a_{n}-a\right| \leqslant \varepsilon v$ for all $n \geqslant n_{\varepsilon}$. This will be denoted by $a_{n} \rightarrow a(v)$. If $a_{n} \rightarrow a(v)$ for some $0 \leqslant v \in A$, then the sequence $\left\{a_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is called (relatively) uniformly convergent to $a$, which is denoted by $a_{n} \rightarrow a(r \cdot u)$. The notion of a $(v)$ relatively uniformly Cauchy sequence is defined in the obvious way. A vector lattice $A$ is called relatively uniformly complete if every relatively uniformly Cauchy sequence in $A$ has a relatively uniform limit. Relatively uniform limits are uniquely determined in archimedean vector lattices, see [10, Theorem 63.2]. Furthermore, If $A^{\mathfrak{D}}$ is the Dedekind completion of a vector lattice $A$, then the closure $A^{r u}$ of $A$ in $A^{\mathfrak{d}}$ with respect to the relatively uniform topology, is the relatively uniform completion of $A$.

Recall that if $A$ is a real vector lattice, we denote by $A^{*}$ the algebraic dual of $A$, that is, the vector space of all linear forms on $A$. A linear form $f \in A^{*}$ is called order bounded if for each order interval $[x, y] \subset A$, the set $f([x, y])$ is order bounded in $\mathbb{R}$. An order bounded linear form $f$ on $A$ is called order continuous if $f$ converges to 0 along each filter that converges to 0 . The vector space of all order bounded linear forms on $A$ is called the order dual of $A$ and is denoted by $A^{\prime}$. Its order dual $\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is denoted by $A^{\prime \prime}$. The vector space of all order continuous linear forms on $A^{\prime}$ is called the order continuous order bidual of $A$ and is denoted by $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$. We define for every $x \in A$ an element $x^{\prime \prime} \in A^{\prime \prime}$ by putting $x^{\prime \prime}(f)=f(x)$ for all $f \in A^{\prime}$. The map $\sigma: A \rightarrow A^{\prime \prime}$ defined by $\sigma(x)=x^{\prime \prime}$ for all $x \in A$ is a lattice homomorphism from $A$ into $A^{\prime \prime}$. Recall that $\sigma(A) \subset\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ and the order ideal $I_{\sigma(A)}$ generated by $\sigma(A)$ in $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ is order dense in $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$, that is, $\{\sigma(A)\}^{d d}=\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$. Hence for each $0 \leqslant F \in\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ there exists an upwards directed net $F_{\alpha}$ in $I_{\sigma(A)}$ with $F_{\alpha} \nearrow F$. For more explanation, see [4], [8], [9].

In the next paragraphs, we recall definitions and some basic facts about almost $f$-algebras. For more information about this field, we refer the reader to [1], [5], [6], [7]. A vector lattice $A$ which is simultaneously an associative algebra such that $a b \geqslant 0$ for each $0 \leqslant a, b \in A$ is called a lattice ordered algebra ( $\ell$-algebra). An $\ell$-algebra $A$ is called an almost f-algebra whenever it follows from $a \wedge b=0$ that $a b=0$.

We end this section with the following definition. Let $A$ and $B$ be vector lattices. A bilinear map $\Psi$ from $A \times A$ into $B$ is said to be orthosymmetric if $a \wedge b=0$ implies $\Psi(a, b)=0$, see [7].

## 3. The main results

The following proposition is important in the context of our problem. We are indebted to Bernau and Huijsmans [4] for some steps.

Proposition 1. Let $A, B$ be vector lattices, let $\Psi: A \times A \rightarrow B$ be a positive orthosymmetric bimorphism and let $\Psi^{* * *}:\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ be the adjoint of $\Psi^{* *}$. If $0 \leqslant G, H \in\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ with $G \wedge H=0$ and $G, H \leqslant x^{\prime \prime}$ for some $x \in A_{+}$, then

$$
\Psi^{* * *}(H, G)=\Psi^{* * *}(G, H)=0
$$

Proof. Let $0 \leqslant f \in B^{\prime}$ and let $k=\Psi^{*}(f, x) \vee \Psi^{* *}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, f\right) \in A^{\prime}$. It follows from [4, Corollary 1.2] that there exist $g, h \in A^{\prime}$ with $g \wedge h=0$ and $G(g)=0=H(h)$ such that $k=g+h$.

Hence

$$
0=(g \wedge h)(x)=\inf \left\{g(y)+h(z), x=y+z, y, z \in A_{+}\right\}
$$

which implies that for $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $y, z \in A_{+}$such that $x=y+z$ and $g(y)<\varepsilon$, $h(z)<\varepsilon$. We now define linear functionals $G_{1}$ and $H_{1}$ on $A^{\prime}$ by $G_{1}=G \wedge(y-y \wedge$ $z)^{\prime \prime}$ and $H_{1}=H \wedge(z-y \wedge z)^{\prime \prime}$.

It is obvious that $0 \leqslant G_{1}, H_{1} \in\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$. However, the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leqslant G-G_{1} & =G-G \wedge(y-y \wedge z)^{\prime \prime} \\
& =0 \vee\left[G-(y-y \wedge z)^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& =\left[G-(y-y \wedge z)^{\prime \prime}\right]^{+} \\
& \leqslant\left[x^{\prime \prime}-(y-y \wedge z)^{\prime \prime}\right]^{+} \\
& =\left[(y+z)^{\prime \prime}-(y-y \wedge z)^{\prime \prime}\right]^{+} \\
& =\left[(y+z-(y-y \wedge z))^{\prime \prime}\right]^{+} \\
& =\left[(z+y \wedge z)^{\prime \prime}\right]^{+} \\
& \leqslant\left((2 z)^{+}\right)^{\prime \prime}=2 z^{\prime \prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
0 \leqslant G-G_{1} \leqslant 2 z^{\prime \prime}
$$

Using the same argument, we have

$$
0 \leqslant H-H_{1} \leqslant 2 y^{\prime \prime}
$$

Since $(y-y \wedge z) \wedge(z-y \wedge z)=0$, then

$$
\Psi^{* * *}\left((y-y \wedge z)^{\prime \prime},(z-y \wedge z)^{\prime \prime}\right)=[\Psi((y-y \wedge z),(z-y \wedge z))]^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

Moreover,

$$
\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{1}, H_{1}\right) \leqslant \Psi^{* * *}\left((y-y \wedge z)^{\prime \prime},(z-y \wedge z)^{\prime \prime}\right)=0
$$

Since $0 \leqslant H, G \leqslant x^{\prime \prime}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{* * *}\left(G-G_{1}, H\right)(f) & \leqslant \Psi^{* * *}\left(G-G_{1}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)(f) \\
& =\left(G-G_{1}\right)\left(\Psi^{* *}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, f\right)\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(G-G_{1}\right)(k) \\
& =\left(G-G_{1}\right)(g)+\left(G-G_{1}\right)(h) \\
& =0+\left(G-G_{1}\right)(h) \\
& \leqslant 0+2 h(z) \\
& <2 \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{* * *}\left(G, H-H_{1}\right)(f) & \leqslant \Psi^{* * *}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, H-H_{1}\right)(f) \\
& =x^{\prime \prime}\left(\Psi^{* *}\left(H-H_{1}, f\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\Psi^{* *}\left(H-H_{1}, f\right)\right)(x) \\
& =\left(H-H_{1}\right)\left(\Psi^{*}(f, x)\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(H-H_{1}\right)(k) \\
& =\left(H-H_{1}\right)(g+h) \\
& =0+\left(H-H_{1}\right)(g) \\
& \leqslant 2 g(y) \\
& <2 \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{* * *}(G, H)(f) & =\Psi^{* * *}\left(G-G_{1}+G_{1}, H\right)(f) \\
& =\Psi^{* * *}\left(G-G_{1}, H\right)(f)+\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{1}, H\right)(f) \\
& \leqslant 2 \varepsilon+\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{1}, H\right)(f) \\
& =2 \varepsilon+\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{1}, H-H_{1}+H_{1}\right)(f) \\
& \leqslant 2 \varepsilon+\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{1}, H-H_{1}\right)(f)+\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{1}, H_{1}\right)(f) \\
& \leqslant 2 \varepsilon+\Psi^{* * *}\left(G, H-H_{1}\right)(f)+\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{1}, H_{1}\right)(f) \\
& \leqslant 4 \varepsilon+\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{1}, H_{1}\right)(f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{1}, H_{1}\right)(f)=0$, we have

$$
\Psi^{* * *}(G, H)(f) \leqslant 4 \varepsilon
$$

Since this holds for an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$, we have $\Psi^{* * *}(G, H)(f)=0$. Using the same argument, we deduce that $\Psi^{* * *}(H, G)(f)=0$. The result holds for all $f \in B^{\prime}$ since $f=f^{+}-f^{-}$for any $f \in B^{\prime}$ as required.

We have collected all prerequisites for the first main result of this paper.
Theorem 1. Let $A, B$ be vector lattices and let $\Psi: A \times A \rightarrow B$ be a positive orthosymmetric bimorphism. Then $\Psi^{* * *}:\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(B^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ the adjoint of $\Psi^{* *}$, is inevitably a positive orthosymmetric bimorphism.

Proof. Let $0 \leqslant G, H \in\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ with $G \wedge H=0$. We have to show that $\Psi^{* * *}(G, H)=0$.

Consider the order ideal $I_{\sigma(A)}$ generated by $\sigma(A)=\left\{x^{\prime \prime}, \forall x \in A\right\}$ in $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$, that is $I_{\sigma(A)}=\left\{F \in\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime},|F| \leqslant x^{\prime \prime}\right.$ for some $\left.x \in A\right\}$. We recall that $I_{\sigma(A)}$ is order dense in $\left(A^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ and hence there exist $G_{\alpha}, H_{\beta} \in I_{\sigma(A)}$ such that $0 \leqslant G_{\alpha} \nearrow G$ and $0 \leqslant H_{\beta} \nearrow H$ with $0 \leqslant G_{\alpha} \leqslant x_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}, 0 \leqslant H_{\beta} \leqslant y_{\beta}^{\prime \prime}$ for some $0 \leqslant x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta} \in A$. It follows from $G \wedge H=0$ that $G_{\alpha} \wedge H_{\beta}=0$ for all $\alpha, \beta$. Furthermore, $0 \leqslant G_{\alpha}, H_{\beta} \leqslant\left(x_{\alpha}+y_{\beta}\right)^{\prime \prime}$. Then Proposition 1 yields that $\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{\alpha}, H_{\beta}\right)=0$ for all $\alpha, \beta$.

Now let $0 \leqslant f \in B^{\prime}$ and let $a \in A_{+}$. It follows from $0 \leqslant H_{\beta} \nearrow H$ that

$$
\Psi^{* *}\left(H_{\beta}, f\right)(a)=H_{\beta}\left(\Psi^{*}(f, a)\right) \nearrow H\left(\Psi^{*}(f, a)\right)=\Psi^{* *}(H, f)(a) .
$$

It follows that $\Psi^{* *}\left(H_{\beta}, f\right) \nearrow \Psi^{* *}(H, f)$. Hence by the order continuity of $G_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha$ we obtain that

$$
\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{\alpha}, H_{\beta}\right)(f)=G_{\alpha}\left(\Psi^{* *}\left(H_{\beta}, f\right)\right) \nearrow G_{\alpha}\left(\Psi^{* *}(H, f)\right)=\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{\alpha}, H\right)(f)
$$

Moreover,

$$
\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{\alpha}, H\right)(f)=G_{\alpha}\left(\Psi^{* *}(H, f)\right) \nearrow G\left(\Psi^{* *}(H, f)\right)=\Psi^{* * *}(G, H)(f)
$$

for all $0 \leqslant f \in B^{\prime}$. It follows that

$$
\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{\alpha}, H_{\beta}\right)(f) \nearrow \Psi^{* * *}(G, H)(f)
$$

for all $0 \leqslant f \in B^{\prime}$. Consequently,

$$
\Psi^{* * *}\left(G_{\alpha}, H_{\beta}\right) \nearrow \Psi^{* * *}(G, H) .
$$

Hence

$$
\Psi^{* * *}(G, H)=0
$$

and we are done.

Remark 1. We note that in all the above proofs, we have not used the fact that $\Psi$ is symmetric.

Next, we will present an alternative proof of the commutativity of almost $f$ algebras.

Recall that a topological space $X$ is called Stonian (or extremally disconnected) if $X$ possesses the property that each open subset of $X$ has an open closure.

To reach our aim, we need first the following result, which is a simple combination of a theorem of Kakutani [12, Chap. II, Theorem 7.4] with [12, Chap. II, Proposition 7.7]. For this reason its proof has been omitted.

Proposition 2. Let $X$ be a compact Hausdorff space. Then the Dedekind completion of $C(X)$ is a vector lattice of the form $C(Y)$ for some Stonian compact Hausdorff space $Y$.

We are in position to present a new and short proof of the commutativity of almost $f$-algebras.

Theorem 2. Let $A, B$ be vector lattices and let $\Psi_{0}: A \times A \rightarrow B$ be a positive orthosymmetric bimorphism. Then $\Psi_{0}$ is symmetric, that is

$$
\Psi_{0}(f, g)=\Psi_{0}(g, f) \quad \text { for all } f, g \in A
$$

Proof. First, we note that Triki advocated in [14, Theorem 4] that any positive orthosymmetric $\Psi: A \times A \rightarrow B$ can be extended in a unique way to $A^{r u} \times A^{r u} \rightarrow B^{r u}$ (where $A^{r u}$ (resp. $B^{r u}$ ) is the closure of $A$ (resp. of $B$ ) with respect to the relatively uniform topology) in such a manner that the new extension (denoted also by $\Psi_{0}$ ) is also a positive orthosymmetric bimorphism. Then without loss of generality we can assume that $A$ is a uniformly complete vector lattice. Let $f, g \in A, h=|f|+|g|$ and put $e=\Psi_{0}(h, h)$. Hence the order ideals $I_{h}$ and $I_{e}$ generated by $h$ and $e$ in $A$ and $B$ can be identified respectively with $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ for some compact Hausdorff space $X$ and $Y$, respectively (use the Kakutani Representation Theorem). We claim that the restriction of $\Psi_{0}$ to $C(X) \times C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$, denoted by $\Psi$, is symmetric.

Recall that $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ are Banach vector lattices and hence $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ are naturally embedded in $\left(C(X)^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\left(C(Y)^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ respectively. Then the triadjoint $\Psi^{* * *}:\left(C(X)^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \times\left(C(X)^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(C(Y)^{\prime}\right)_{n}^{\prime}$ of $\Psi$ is an extension of $\Psi$. Then without loss of generality we can assume that $C(X)$ is a Dedekind complete vector lattice. By the previous proposition, $X$ is a Stonian compact Hausdorff space.

Let $L=\{k \in C(X) ; k(X)$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}\}$. The fact that $X$ is a Stonian compact Hausdorff space coupled with the M. H. Stone Theorem [12, Chap. II, Theorem 7.3] imply that $L$ is a dense vector sublattice of $C(X)$.

We claim that the restriction of $\Psi$ to $L \times L$, denoted also by $\Psi$, is symmetric. To this end, let $k \in L$, let $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}=k(X)$ and let $X_{i}=k^{-1}\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Then $X_{i}$ is a closed subset of $X$. Since $x_{i} \neq x_{j}$ for all $i \neq j$, there exists a real number $\varepsilon>0$ such that $] x_{i}-\varepsilon, x_{i}+\varepsilon[\cap] x_{j}-\varepsilon, x_{j}+\varepsilon[=\emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$. Then $X_{i}=k^{-1}\{ ] x_{i}-\varepsilon, x_{i}+\varepsilon[ \}$. It follows that $X_{i}$ is an open and closed subset of $X$. Then

$$
k=\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \mathbf{1}_{X_{i}}
$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{X_{i}}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \text { if } t \in X_{i} \\ 0 \text { if } t \in X_{i}^{c}\end{array}\right.$ (here $X_{i}^{c}$ is the complement of $X_{i}$ in $X$ ). Let $k^{\prime} \in L$, then there exist $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ disjoint open and closed subsets of $X$ such that $k^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j} \mathbf{1}_{Y_{j}}$. It follows that,

$$
\Psi_{0}\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)=\Psi_{0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \mathbf{1}_{X_{i}}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j} \mathbf{1}_{Y_{j}}\right)=\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m \\ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n}} x_{i} y_{j} \Psi\left(\mathbf{1}_{X_{i}}, \mathbf{1}_{Y_{j}}\right) .
$$

Let $Z_{i j}=X_{i} \cap Y_{j}$, for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. Then $\mathbf{1}_{X_{i}}=\mathbf{1}_{Z_{i j}}+\mathbf{1}_{X_{i} \backslash Z_{i j}}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{Y_{j}}=\mathbf{1}_{Z_{i j}}+\mathbf{1}_{Y_{j} \backslash Z_{i j}}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{1}_{X_{i}}, \mathbf{1}_{Y_{j}}\right)= & \Psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{1}_{Z_{i j}}, \mathbf{1}_{Z_{i j}}\right)+\Psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{1}_{Z_{i j}}, \mathbf{1}_{Y_{j} \backslash Z_{i j}}\right) \\
& +\Psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{1}_{X_{i} \backslash Z_{i j}}, \mathbf{1}_{Z_{i j}}\right)+\Psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{1}_{X_{i} \backslash Z_{i j}}, \mathbf{1}_{Y_{j} \backslash Z_{i j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
X_{i} \backslash Z_{i j} \cap Y_{j} \backslash Z_{i j}=Z_{i j} \cap Y_{j} \backslash Z_{i j}=X_{i} \backslash Z_{i j} \cap Z_{i j}=\emptyset,
$$

we deduce

$$
\mathbf{1}_{X_{i} \backslash Z_{i j}} \wedge \mathbf{1}_{Y_{j} \backslash Z_{i j}}=\mathbf{1}_{Z_{i j}} \wedge \mathbf{1}_{Y_{j} \backslash Z_{i j}}=\mathbf{1}_{X_{i} \backslash Z_{i j}} \wedge \mathbf{1}_{Z_{i j}}=0 .
$$

Then

$$
\Psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{1}_{X_{i} \backslash Z_{i j}}, \mathbf{1}_{Y_{j} \backslash Z_{i j}}\right)=\Psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{1}_{Z_{i j}}, \mathbf{1}_{Y_{j} \backslash Z_{i j}}\right)=\Psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{1}_{X_{i} \backslash Z_{i j}}, \mathbf{1}_{Z_{i j}}\right)=0
$$

which leads to

$$
\Psi_{0}\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m \\ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n}} x_{i} y_{j} \Psi_{0}\left(\mathbf{1}_{Z_{i j}}, \mathbf{1}_{Z_{i j}}\right)=\Psi_{0}\left(k^{\prime}, k\right) .
$$

Hence the restriction of $\Psi_{0}$ to $L \times L$ is symmetric. Now since $f, g \in C(X)$ and since $L$ is dense in $C(X)$, there exists $f_{n}, g_{n} \in L$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ and $g_{n} \rightarrow g$. By the positivity of $\Psi_{0}$ we have

$$
\Psi_{0}\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Psi_{0}(f, g)(r \cdot u)
$$

and

$$
\Psi_{0}\left(g_{n}, f_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Psi_{0}(g, f)(r \cdot u)
$$

and since $\Psi_{0}\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right)=\Psi_{0}\left(g_{n}, f_{n}\right)$, it follows that $\Psi_{0}(f, g)=\Psi_{0}(g, f)$, which gives the desired result.

The above theorem yields the following corollary.

Corollary 1. Every almost $f$-algebra is commutative.
Proof. Let $A$ be an almost $f$-algebra and let $\Psi: A \times A \rightarrow A$ be the bilinear map defined by $\Psi(x, y))=x y$. It is an easy task to show that $\Psi$ is a positive orthosymmetric bimorphism. In view of Theorem 2, we deduce that $\Psi$ is symmetric, that is $x y=y x$ for all $x, y \in A$, which gives the desired result.
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