

László Simon

On some singular systems of parabolic functional equations

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 135 (2010), No. 2, 123--132

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140689>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2010

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON SOME SINGULAR SYSTEMS OF
PARABOLIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

LÁSZLÓ SIMON, Budapest

(Received October 15, 2009)

Abstract. We will prove existence of weak solutions of a system, containing non-local terms u, w .

Keywords: parabolic functional equation, singular system, monotone type operator

MSC 2010: 35R10

1. INTRODUCTION

We will consider initial-boundary value problems for the system

$$(1.1) \quad D_t u - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i [a_i(t, x, u(t, x), Du(t, x) + g(w(t, x))) Dw(t, x); u, w] \\ + a_0(t, x, u(t, x), Du(t, x) + g(w(t, x))) Dw(t, x); u, w = G,$$

$$(1.2) \quad D_t w = F(t, x; u, w) \quad \text{in } Q_T = (0, T) \times \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad T \in (0, \infty)$$

where the functions

$$a_i: Q_T \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times L^{p_1}(0, T; V_1) \times L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

(with a closed linear subspace V_1 of the Sobolev space $W^{1,p_1}(\Omega)$, $2 \leq p_1 < \infty$) satisfy conditions which are generalizations of the usual conditions for quasilinear parabolic differential equations considered when using the theory of monotone type operators. Further,

$$F: Q_T \times L^{p_1}(0, T; V_1) \times L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

This work was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research under grant OTKA T 049819.

satisfies a Lipschitz condition. In the second part of the paper the case $g = 0$ and in the third part the general case will be considered.

Such problems with $g = 0$ arise, e.g., when considering diffusion and transport in porous media with variable porosity, see [4], [6]. In [6] a nonlinear system was numerically studied which consisted of a parabolic, an elliptic and an ordinary DE, describing the reaction-mineralogy-porosity changes in porous media. System (1.1), (1.2) is the case when the pressure is assumed to be constant. The case of general g was motivated by non-Fickian diffusion in viscoelastic polymers and by spread of morphogens (see [7], [8]). In [2], [5] similar degenerate systems of parabolic differential equations were considered without functional dependence and with more special differential equations, by using other methods.

2. CASE $g = 0$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain having the uniform C^1 regularity property (see [1]) and let $p_1 \geq 2$ be a real number. Denote by $W^{1,p_1}(\Omega)$ the usual Sobolev space of real valued functions with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left[\int_{\Omega} (|Du|^{p_1} + |u|^{p_1}) \right]^{1/p_1}.$$

Let $V_1 \subset W^{1,p_1}(\Omega)$ be a closed linear subspace containing $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$. Denote by $L^{p_1}(0, T; V_1)$ the Banach space of the set of measurable functions $u: (0, T) \rightarrow V_1$ such that $\|u\|_{V_1}^{p_1}$ is integrable, and define the norm by

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_1}(0, T; V_1)}^{p_1} = \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{V_1}^{p_1} dt.$$

For the sake of brevity we denote $L^{p_1}(0, T; V_1)$ by X_1^T . The dual space of X_1^T is $L^{q_1}(0, T; V_1^*)$ where $1/p_1 + 1/q_1 = 1$ and V_1^* is the dual space of V_1 (see, e.g., [10], [11]). Further, let $X^T = X_1^T \times L^2(Q_T)$.

On functions a_i we assume:

(A₁) The functions $a_i: Q_T \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times X^T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the Carathéodory conditions for arbitrary fixed $(u, w) \in X_T$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$).

(A₂) There exist bounded (nonlinear) operators $g_1: X^T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $k_1: X^T \rightarrow L^{q_1}(Q_T)$ such that

$$|a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w)| \leq g_1(u, w)[|\zeta_0|^{p_1-1} + |\zeta|^{p_1-1}] + [k_1(u, w)](t, x), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

for a.e. $(t, x) \in Q_T$, every $(\zeta_0, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $(u, w) \in X^T$.

$$(A_3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n [a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w) - a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta^*; u, w)](\zeta_i - \zeta_i^*) \\ \geq [g_2(u)](t) |\zeta - \zeta^*|^{p_1}, \quad t \in (0, T]$$

where

$$(2.1) \quad [g_2(u)](t) \geq \frac{c_2}{1 + \|u\|_{X_1^t}^\sigma}$$

with some constants $c_2 > 0$, $0 \leq \sigma < p_1 - 1$.

(A₄) There exists a (nonlinear) operator $k_2: X^T \rightarrow L^1(Q_T)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w) \zeta_i \geq [g_2(u)](t) [|\zeta_0|^{p_1} + |\zeta|^{p_1}] - [k_2(u, w)](t, x)$$

for a.e. $(t, x) \in Q_T$, all $(\zeta_0, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $(u, w) \in X^T$ and

$$(2.2) \quad \|k_2(u, w)\|_{L^1(Q_T)} \leq c_3 [\|u\|^\lambda + \|w\|^\mu + 1]$$

with some nonnegative constants $\lambda < p_1 - \sigma$, $\mu < 2$.

(A₅) There exists $\delta \in (0, 1]$ such that if $(u_k) \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p_1}(0, T; W^{1-\delta, p_1}(\Omega))$, a.e. in Q_T , $(\zeta_0^k) \rightarrow \zeta_0$, $(w_k) \rightarrow w$ weakly in $L^2(Q_T)$ then for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, a.e. $(t, x) \in Q_T$, and all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$a_i(t, x, \zeta_0^k, \zeta; u_k, w_k) - a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u_k, w) \rightarrow 0, \quad k_1(u_k, w_k) \rightarrow k_1(u, w) \text{ in } L^1(Q_T).$$

(See (A₁)). Further, if conditions $(\zeta^k) \rightarrow \zeta$, $(w_k) \rightarrow w$ a.e. in Q_T are satisfied, too, then

$$a_i(t, x, \zeta_0^k, \zeta^k; u_k, w_k) \rightarrow a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

for a.e. $(t, x) \in Q_T$ and

$$a_0(t, x, \zeta_0^k, \zeta^k; u_k, w_k) \rightarrow a_0(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w)$$

for a.e. $(t, x) \in Q_T$, in the last case assuming also that $(Du_k) \rightarrow Du$ a.e. in Q_T .

Assumptions on $F: Q_T \times \mathbb{R} \times X^T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

(F₁) For each fixed $(u, w) \in X^T$, $F(\cdot, u; u, w) \in L^2(Q_T)$.

(F₂) F satisfies the following (global) Lipschitz condition: there exists a constant K such that for each $t \in (0, T]$, $(u, \tilde{w}), (u, \tilde{w}^*) \in X^T$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{Q_t} e^{-2c\tau} |F(\tau, x, \tilde{w}(\tau, x)e^{c\tau}; u, \tilde{w}e^{c\tau}) - F(\tau, x, \tilde{w}^*(\tau, x)e^{c\tau}; u, \tilde{w}^*e^{c\tau})|^2 d\tau dx \\ \leq K \int_{Q_t} |\tilde{w}(\tau, x) - \tilde{w}^*(\tau, x)|^2 d\tau dx$$

for each positive number c . Further, there is a constant K_0 such that

$$\int_{Q_T} |F(t, x, 0; u, 0)|^2 dt dx \leq K_0(\|u\|_{L^{p_1}(0, T; W^{1-\delta, p_1}(\Omega))}^\lambda + 1).$$

(F₃) If $(u_k) \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p_1}(0, T; W^{1-\delta, p_1}(\Omega))$, a.e. in Q_T , $(\eta_k) \rightarrow \eta$ and $(w_k) \rightarrow w$ in $L^2(Q_T)$, a.e. in Q_T , then for a.e. $(t, x) \in Q_T$

$$F(t, x, \eta_k; u_k, w_k) \rightarrow F(t, x, \eta; u, w).$$

Remark. A sufficient condition for (2.3) to hold is the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |F(\tau, x, w(\tau, x); u, w) - F(\tau, x, w^*(\tau, x); u, w^*)|^2 dx \\ & \leq K_1 \int_{Q_\tau} |w(s, x) - w^*(s, x)|^2 ds dx \\ & \quad + K_2 \int_{\Omega} |w(\gamma(\tau), x) - w^*(\gamma(\tau), x)|^2 dx, \quad \tau \in (0, T) \end{aligned}$$

with some constants K_1, K_2 and a function $\gamma \in C^1$ satisfying $\gamma' > 0, 0 \leq \gamma(\tau) \leq \tau$.

Definition. We define an operator $A = (A_1, A_2): X^T \rightarrow (X^T)^*$ by

$$\begin{aligned} [A(u, w), (v, z)] &= [A_1(u, w), v] + [A_2(u, w), z], \\ [A_1(u, w), v] &= \int_{Q_T} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t, x, u(t, x), Du(t, x); u, w) D_i v dt dx \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_T} a_0(t, x, u(t, x), Du(t, x); u, w) v dt dx, \\ [A_2(u, w), z] &= \int_{Q_T} F(t, x, w(t, x); u, w) z dt dx, \end{aligned}$$

$(u, w), (v, z) \in X^T$, where the brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]$ mean the dualities in spaces $(X^T)^*, X^T, (X_1^T)^*, X_1^T, [L^2(Q_T)]^*, [L^2(Q_T)]$, respectively.

Theorem 2.1. Assume (A₁)–(A₅) and (F₁)–(F₃). Then for any $G \in (X_1^T)^*, H \in L^2(Q_T)$ there exists $(u, w) \in X^T$ such that $D_t u \in (X_1^T)^*, D_t w \in L^2(Q_T)$,

$$(2.4) \quad D_t u + A_1(u, w) = G, \quad u(0) = 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad D_t w + A_2(u, w) = H, \quad w(0) = 0.$$

Sketch of the proof. Define a new unknown function \tilde{w} (instead of w) by

$$\tilde{w}(t, x) = w(t, x)e^{-ct}, \quad \text{i.e. } w(t, x) = \tilde{w}(t, x)e^{ct}$$

with constant $c > 0$. Further, define a function \tilde{F} and operators \tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2 by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{F}(t, x, \eta; u, \tilde{w}) &= e^{-ct} F(t, x, \eta e^{ct}; u, \tilde{w} e^{ct}) + c\eta, \\ [\tilde{A}_1(u, \tilde{w}), v] &= [A_1(u, w), v] = \int_{Q_T} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t, x, u(t, x), Du(t, x); u, w) D_i v \, dt \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_{Q_T} a_0(t, x, u(t, x), Du(t, x); u, w) v \, dt \, dx, \\ [\tilde{A}_2(u, \tilde{w}), z] &= \int_{Q_T} \tilde{F}(t, x, \tilde{w}(t, x); u, \tilde{w}) z \, dt \, dx \\ &= \int_{Q_T} e^{-ct} F(t, x, \tilde{w}(t, x) e^{ct}; u, \tilde{w} e^{ct}) z \, dt \, dx + c \int_{Q_T} \tilde{w} z \, dt \, dx.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, (u, w) is a solution of (2.4), (2.5) if and only if (u, \tilde{w}) satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad D_t u + \tilde{A}_1(u, \tilde{w}) = G, \quad u(0) = 0,$$

$$(2.7) \quad D_t \tilde{w} + \tilde{A}_2(u, \tilde{w}) = e^{-ct} H = \tilde{H}, \quad \tilde{w}(0) = 0.$$

By (A₁)–(A₅), (F₁), (F₂) the operator $\tilde{A}: X^T \rightarrow (X^T)^*$ is bounded and demicontinuous (see [10], [11]).

By (F₂), \tilde{A}_2 is monotone for sufficiently large $c > 0$), thus, by using (A₁)–(A₅), one can show that \tilde{A} is pseudomonotone with respect to the domain of $L = D_t$:

$$D(L) = \{(u, \tilde{w}) \in X^T : (D_t u, D_t \tilde{w}) \in (X^T)^*, \quad u(0) = 0, \quad \tilde{w}(0) = 0\},$$

i.e.

$$(2.8) \quad (u_k, \tilde{w}_k) \rightarrow (u, \tilde{w}) \text{ weakly in } X^T,$$

$$(Lu_k, L\tilde{w}_k) \rightarrow (Lu, L\tilde{w}) \text{ weakly in } (X^T)^*,$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\tilde{A}(u_k, \tilde{w}_k), (u_k, \tilde{w}_k) - (u, \tilde{w})] \leq 0$$

imply

$$(2.10) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\tilde{A}(u_k, \tilde{w}_k), (u_k, \tilde{w}_k) - (u, \tilde{w})] = 0$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \tilde{A}(u_k, \tilde{w}_k) \rightarrow \tilde{A}(u, \tilde{w}) \text{ weakly in } (X^T)^*.$$

Because, by (2.8)

$$(2.12) \quad (u_k) \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^p(0, T; W^{1-\delta, p}(\Omega)) \text{ and a.e. in } Q_T$$

for a subsequence (again denoted by (u_k) , for simplicity), see, e.g., [10]. We may choose the number $c > 0$ such that $c > K$ (see (F₂)). We have

$$(2.13) \quad [\tilde{A}_2(u_k, \tilde{w}_k), \tilde{w}_k - \tilde{w}] = [\tilde{A}_2(u_k, \tilde{w}_k) - \tilde{A}_2(u_k, \tilde{w}), \tilde{w}_k - \tilde{w}] \\ + [\tilde{A}_2(u_k, \tilde{w}) - \tilde{A}_2(u, \tilde{w}), \tilde{w}_k - \tilde{w}] + [\tilde{A}_2(u, \tilde{w}), \tilde{w}_k - \tilde{w}]$$

where by $c > K$, (F₂) the first term on the right hand side is nonnegative, the second term tends to 0 by (2.12), (F₂), (F₃), Vitali's theorem, and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, while, finally, the third term converges to 0 by (2.8). Thus (2.9), (2.13) imply (for a subsequence)

$$(2.14) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\tilde{A}_1(u_k, \tilde{w}_k), u_k - u] \leq 0.$$

By using (A₂), (A₃), (A₅), Vitali's theorem and Hölder's inequality, one obtains from (2.8), (2.14)

$$(2.15) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\tilde{A}_1(u_k, \tilde{w}_k), u_k - u] = 0,$$

hence by (A₃) one obtains for a subsequence

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_T} |Du_k - Du|^{p_1} dt dx = 0, \text{ thus } (Du_k) \rightarrow Du \text{ a.e. in } Q_T.$$

Further, by (2.15), (2.9), (2.13)

$$(2.17) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\tilde{A}_2(u_k, \tilde{w}_k), \tilde{w}_k - \tilde{w}] = 0,$$

thus by assumption (F₂) and due to $c > K$ (for a subsequence)

$$(2.18) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_T} |\tilde{w}_k - \tilde{w}|^2 dt dx \text{ and so } (\tilde{w}_k) \rightarrow \tilde{w} \text{ a.e. in } Q_T.$$

Consequently, from (A₅), (2.12), (2.16) one obtains (by using Vitali's theorem)

$$(2.19) \quad \tilde{A}_1(u_k, \tilde{w}_k) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_1(u, \tilde{w}) \text{ weakly in } L^q(0, T; V_1^*).$$

Similarly, (2.18), (F₂), (F₃) imply

$$(2.20) \quad \tilde{A}_2(u_k, \tilde{w}_k) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_2(u, \tilde{w}) \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(Q_T).$$

Thus (2.19), (2.20) imply (2.11) for a subsequence. Finally, (2.15), (2.17) imply (2.10) (for a subsequence). One can prove in the standard way that the last facts imply (2.10), (2.11) for the original sequence.

Finally, by (A₄), (F₂) (for sufficiently large $c > 0$), \tilde{A} is coercive:

$$\lim_{\|(u, \tilde{w})\|_{X^T} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[\tilde{A}(u, \tilde{w}), (u, \tilde{w})]}{\|u\| + \|\tilde{w}\|} = +\infty.$$

Since $\tilde{A}: X^T \rightarrow (X^T)^*$ is bounded, demicontinuous, pseudomonotone with respect to $D(L)$ and coercive, we obtain the existence of a solution (u, \tilde{w}) of (2.6), (2.7) and thus the existence of a solution (u, w) of (2.4), (2.5). (See, e.g. [3], [10].)

Example. Conditions (A₁)–(A₅) are satisfied if e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(t, x, \zeta_i, \zeta; u, w) &= b(H(u))\zeta_i|\zeta|^{p_1-2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ a_0(t, x, \zeta_i, \zeta; u, w) &= b(H(u))\zeta_0|\zeta_0|^{p_1-2} + b_0(F_0(u)) + b_1(F_1(w)) \end{aligned}$$

where b, b_0, b_1 are continuous functions satisfying with some positive constants c_3, c_4, c_5 the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} b(\theta) &\geq \frac{c_3}{1 + |\theta|^\sigma} \quad (0 \leq \sigma < p_1 - 1), \\ |b_0(\theta)| &\leq c_4(|\theta|^{\lambda-1} + 1) \quad \text{where } 1 \leq \lambda < p_1 - \sigma, \\ |b_1(\theta)| &\leq c_5(|\theta|^{\mu_1-1} + 1) \quad \text{where } 0 \leq \mu_1 < 2 - 2/p_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H: L^{p_1}(0, T; W^{1-\delta, p_1}(\Omega)) &\rightarrow C(\overline{Q_T}), \\ F_0: L^{p_1}(0, T; W^{1-\delta, p_1}(\Omega)) &\rightarrow L^{p_1}(Q_T), \quad F_1: L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

are linear continuous operators. If b is between two positive constants, H may be the same as F_0 .

Conditions (F₁)–(F₃) are satisfied if e.g.

$$F(t, x, \eta; u, w) = \beta(\eta)\gamma_1(H_1(u)) + \gamma_2(H_2(u))\delta(G(w)) + \gamma_3(H_3(u))$$

where β, δ are globally Lipschitz functions, γ_1, γ_2 are continuous and bounded, γ_3 is continuous and satisfies

$$|\gamma_3(\theta)| \leq c_5 |\theta|^{\lambda/2} + c_6$$

with some constants c_5, c_6 , and

$$\begin{aligned} G: L^2(Q_T) &\rightarrow L^2(Q_T), & H_1, H_2: L^{p_1}(0, T; W^{1-\delta, p_1}(\Omega)) &\rightarrow L^{p_1}(Q_T), \\ H_3: L^{p_1}(0, T; W^{1-\delta, p_1}(\Omega)) &\rightarrow L^2(Q_T) \end{aligned}$$

are continuous linear operators such that G satisfies for all $w \in L^2(Q_T)$

$$\int_{\Omega} |[G(w)](\tau, x)|^2 dx \leq K_1 \int_{Q_\tau} |w(s, x)|^2 ds dx + K_2 \int_{\Omega} |w(\gamma(s), x)|^2 dx$$

where $\gamma \in C^1$, $\gamma' > 0$, $\gamma(s) \leq s$.

3. CASE $g \neq 0$

Now we shall consider equations (1.1), (1.2) with a bounded, continuous function g . This problem will be transformed to the case $g = 0$, considered in Theorem 2.1. Let $f = \int g$, $f(0) = 0$, $p_1 = p > 2$.

Define

$$\tilde{X}^T = L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega)) \times L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$$

and an operator $A_1: \tilde{X}_T \rightarrow (X_1^T)^*$ for $(u, w) \in \tilde{X}^T$, $v \in X_1^T$ by

$$\begin{aligned} [A_1(u, w), v] &= \int_{Q_T} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t, x, u, Du + g(w)Dw; u, w) D_i v \right\} dt dx \\ &+ \int_{Q_T} a_0(t, x, u(t, x), Du(t, x) + g(w(t, x))Dw(t, x); u, w) v dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

Further, assume

(F₄) F has the form $F(t, x; u, w) = F_1(t, x, [h(u)](t, x), w(t, x))$ where F_1 is continuously differentiable with respect to the last three variables, the partial derivatives are bounded and either $h(u) = u$ or $h: L^p(Q_T) \rightarrow L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$ is a continuous linear operator such that $h(u) \in L^p(0, T; C^1(\bar{\Omega}))$ for all $u \in L^p(Q_T)$ and the following estimate holds for any $\tau \in [0, T]$ with a suitable constant:

$$\int_{\Omega} |[h(u)](\tau, x)|^2 dx \leq \text{const} \int_{Q_\tau} |u(s, x)|^2 ds dx.$$

Further, there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$F_1(t, x, \zeta_0, \eta) \eta < 0 \quad \text{if } |\eta| \geq c_0.$$

Theorem 3.1. Assume that (A₁)–(A₅) and (F₁)–(F₄) are satisfied with $p_1 = p > 2$, $\delta = 1$, $\sigma < p - 2$ such that for the operators g_1, k_1, g_2, k_2 in (A₂)–(A₄) we have

$$g_1(u, w)^q \leq \text{const } g_2(u, w), \quad k_1(u, w)^q \leq \text{const } k_2(u, w) \text{ if } w(t, x) \leq c_0 \text{ a.e.}$$

Further, let g be a bounded, continuous function. Then for any $G \in (X_1^T)^*$ there exists $(u, w) \in \tilde{X}^T$ such that $u + f(w) \in L^p(0, T; V_1)$,

$$(3.1) \quad D_t u + D_t[f(w)] \in (X_1^T)^*, \quad D_t w \in L^2(Q_T),$$

$$D_t u + A_1(u, w) = G, \quad u(0) = 0,$$

$$(3.2) \quad D_t w = F(t, x; u, w) \quad \text{for a.e. } (t, x) \in Q_T, \quad w(0) = w.$$

Sketch of the proof. Instead of u introduce a new unknown function \tilde{u} by

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{u}(t, x) = u(t, x) + f(w(t, x)) \quad (\text{where } f = \int g, \quad f(0) = 0).$$

By using the formulas

$$(3.4) \quad D_t \tilde{u} = D_t u + f'(w) D_t w, \quad D \tilde{u} = D u + f'(w) D w$$

we obtain that $(u, w) \in \tilde{X}^T$ is a solution of (3.1), (3.2) if and only if $(\tilde{u}, w) \in \tilde{X}^T$ satisfies

$$(3.5) \quad D_t \tilde{u} + \tilde{A}_1(\tilde{u}, w) = G, \quad \tilde{u}(0) = 0,$$

$$(3.6) \quad D_t w = F(t, x; \tilde{u} - f(w), w), \quad w(0) = 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & [\tilde{A}_1(\tilde{u}, w), v] \\ &= \int_{Q_T} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t, x, \tilde{u}(t, x) - f(w(t, x)), D \tilde{u}(t, x); \tilde{u} - f(w), w) D_i v \right\} dt dx \\ &+ \int_{Q_T} \{ a_0(t, x, \tilde{u} - f(w), D \tilde{u}; \tilde{u} - f(w), w) - f'(w) F(t, x; \tilde{u} - f(w), w) \} v dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

One can show that by Theorem 2.1 there is a solution $(\tilde{u}, w) \in X^T$ of (3.5), (3.6) (such that $D_t w \in L^2(Q_T)$). Then one proves that $w \in L^p(0, T; W^{1,p}(\Omega))$, hence $(\tilde{u}, w) \in \tilde{X}^T$ and thus with $u = \tilde{u} - f(w)$, (u, w) satisfies (3.1), (3.2).

References

- [1] *R. A. Adams*: Sobolev Spaces. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] *H. Amann*: Highly degenerate quasilinear parabolic systems. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci., IV. Ser. 18 (1991), 136–166.
- [3] *J. Berkovits, V. Mustonen*: Topological degree for perturbations of linear maximal monotone mappings and applications to a class of parabolic problems. Rend. Mat. Appl. VII. 12 (1992), 597–621.
- [4] *S. Cinca*: Diffusion und Transport in porösen Medien bei veränderlichen Porosität. Diplomawork, Univ. Heidelberg, 2000.
- [5] *Bei Hu, Jianhua Zhang*: Global existence for a class of non-Fickian polymer-penetrant systems. J. Part. Diff. Eq. 9 (1996), 193–208.
- [6] *J. D. Logan, M. R. Petersen, T. S. Shores*: Numerical study of reaction-mineralogy-porosity changes in porous media. Appl. Math. Comput. 127 (2002), 149–164.
- [7] *J. H. Merkin, D. J. Needham, B. D. Sleeman*: A mathematical model for the spread of morphogens with density dependent chemosensitivity. Nonlinearity 18 (2005), 2745–2773.
- [8] *B. Rivière, S. Shaw*: Discontinuous Galerkin finite element approximation of nonlinear non-Fickian diffusion in viscoelastic polymers. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 44 (2006), 2650–2670.
- [9] *L. Simon, W. Jäger*: On non-uniformly parabolic functional differential equations. Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. 45 (2008), 285–300.
- [10] *L. Simon*: Application of monotone type operators to parabolic and functional parabolic PDE's. Handbook of Differential Equations. Evolutionary Equations, Vol. 4, Elsevier, 2008, pp. 267–321.
- [11] *E. Zeidler*: Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications II A and II B. Springer, 1990.

Author's address: László Simon, L. Eötvös University, Budapest, Hungary, 1111 Pázmány P. sétány 1/C, e-mail: simonl@ludens.elte.hu.