

Said Bouali; Youssef Bouhafsi

A remark on the range of elementary operators

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 60 (2010), No. 4, 1065–1074

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140805>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2010

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## A REMARK ON THE RANGE OF ELEMENTARY OPERATORS

BOUALI SAID, Rabat, and BOUHAFSI YOUSSEF, Kénitra

(Received June 23, 2009)

*Abstract.* Let  $L(H)$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space  $H$  into itself. Given  $A \in L(H)$ , we define the elementary operator  $\Delta_A: L(H) \rightarrow L(H)$  by  $\Delta_A(X) = AXA - X$ . In this paper we study the class of operators  $A \in L(H)$  which have the following property:  $ATA = T$  implies  $AT^*A = T^*$  for all trace class operators  $T \in C_1(H)$ . Such operators are termed generalized quasi-adjoints. The main result is the equivalence between this character and the fact that the ultraweak closure of the range of  $\Delta_A$  is closed under taking adjoints. We give a characterization and some basic results concerning generalized quasi-adjoints operators.

*Keywords:* elementary operators, ultraweak closure, weak closure, quasi-adjoint operator

*MSC 2010:* 47B47, 47A30, 47B20, 47B10

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H$  be a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space and let  $L(H)$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$  into itself. Given  $A, B \in L(H)$ , we define the elementary operator  $\Delta_{A,B}$  as

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{A,B}: L(H) &\longrightarrow L(H), \\ X &\longmapsto \Delta_{A,B}(X) = AXB - X.\end{aligned}$$

If  $A = B$ , we write simply  $\Delta_A$  for  $\Delta_{A,A}$ . The properties of elementary operators, their spectrum (see [9], [10], [12]), norm ([15], [17] and [18]) and ranges ([1], [2], [3], [4], [6], [12], [13], [14], and [16]) have been studied intensively, but many problems remain open [12].

In particular, L. Fialkow [12] and Z. Genkai [14] studied the problem of characterizing operators  $A, B \in L(H)$  for which  $R(\Delta_{A,B})$ , the range of  $\Delta_{A,B}$ , is dense in  $L(H)$  in the norm topology.

Our aim in this paper is a modest one. In the first section, we provide a characterization of the case when the range  $R(\Delta_{A,B})$  is weakly and ultraweakly dense in  $L(H)$ . Complementary results related to the range of the elementary operator  $\Delta_{A,B}$  are also given.

An operator  $A \in L(H)$  is said to be quasi-adjoint if the norm closure of the range of  $\Delta_A$  is closed under taking adjoint, i.e.  $\overline{R(\Delta_A)} = \overline{R(\Delta_{A^*})} = \overline{R(\Delta_A)}^*$ . In [4] it is proved that if  $A$  is quasi-adjoint, then  $ATA = T$  implies  $AT^*A = T^*$  for every trace class operator  $T \in C_1(H)$ . In order to generalize these results, we initiate the study of a more general class of operators  $A$  that have the following property:  $ATA = T$  implies  $AT^*A = T^*$  for all  $T \in C_1(H)$ . We call such operators generalized quasi-adjoint operators. In the second section, We give a characterization and some basic properties concerning this class of operators. Finally, we pose and mention some open questions suggested by our results.

#### NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

(1) Let  $L(H)$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a complex separable Hilbert space  $H$ , let  $K(H)$  denote the ideal of all compact operators on  $H$ , and let  $B(H)$  be the class of all finite rank operators. Finally, let  $\mathcal{C}(H) = L(H)|K(H)$  denote the Calkin algebra.

(2) Given  $A, B \in L(H)$ ,  $R(\Delta_{A,B})$  will denote the range of the elementary operator  $\Delta_{A,B}$  and  $\ker(\Delta_{A,B})$  the kernel of  $\Delta_{A,B}$ .

Let  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}$  be the norm closure, then  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^w$  will denote the weak closure, and  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^{w*}$  the ultra-weak closure of the range  $R(\Delta_{A,B})$ .

(3) Let  $C_1(H)$  be the ideal of trace class operators. The ideal  $C_1(H)$  admits a complex valued function  $\text{tr}(T)$  which has the characteristic properties of the trace of matrices. The trace function is defined by  $\text{tr}(T) = \sum_n \langle Te_n, e_n \rangle$ , where  $(e_n)$  is any complete orthonormal system in  $H$ .

(4) As a Banach space,  $C_1(H)$  may be identified with the conjugate space of the ideal  $K(H)$  of compact operators by means of the linear isometry  $T \mapsto \Phi_T$ , where  $\Phi_T(X) = \text{tr}(XT)$ . Moreover,  $L(H)$  is the dual of  $C_1(H)$ . The ultra-weak continuous linear functionals on  $L(H)$  are those of the form  $\Phi_T$  for some  $T \in C_1(H)$ , and the weak continuous linear functionals on  $L(H)$  are those of the form  $\Phi_T$  where  $T \in B(H)$ .

(5) If  $\varphi$  is a linear functional on  $L(H)$ , then  $\varphi^*$ , the adjoint of  $\varphi$ , is defined by  $\varphi^*(X) = \overline{\varphi(X^*)}$  for all  $X \in L(H)$ .

(6) Recall that for  $x, y \in H$ , the operator  $x \otimes y \in L(H)$  is defined by  $(x \otimes y)z = \langle z, y \rangle x$  for all  $z \in H$ .

(7) For any subset  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $L(H)$ , we denote the polar of  $\mathcal{S}$  by

$$\mathcal{S}^\circ = \{\Phi \in L'(H) : \Phi(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{S}\}.$$

## 2. THE RANGE OF THE ELEMENTARY OPERATOR $\Delta_{A,B}$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  be two subspaces of  $L(H)$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}_1^\circ \subset \mathcal{S}_2^\circ$  if and only if  $\mathcal{S}_2 \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}_1}$ .*

*Proof.* This is an easy consequence of the bipolar theorem. □

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ , then*

$$R(\Delta_{A,B})^\circ \simeq R(\Delta_{A,B})^\circ \cap K(H)^\circ \oplus \ker(\Delta_{B,A}) \cap C_1(H).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi = \Phi_T + \Phi_\circ$  be the canonical decomposition of a continuous linear functional  $\Phi \in L'(H)$  into a trace form part and a functional vanishing on  $K(H)$  [5]. Then we have  $\Phi \in R(\Delta_{A,B})^\circ$  if and only if  $\Phi_\circ, \Phi_T \in R(\Delta_{A,B})^\circ$  and we have  $\Phi_T \in R(\Delta_{A,B})^\circ$  if and only if  $T \in \ker(\Delta_{B,A}) \cap C_1(H)$ .

Indeed, let  $x, y \in H$ , then we have

$$\Phi(A(x \otimes y)B) = \Phi_T(A(x \otimes y)B) = \text{tr}(TAx \otimes B^*y) = \langle TAx, B^*y \rangle$$

and

$$\Phi(x \otimes y) = \Phi_T(x \otimes y) = \text{tr}(T(x \otimes y)) = \langle Tx, y \rangle.$$

It follows that

$$\langle TAx, B^*y \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle,$$

for all  $x, y \in H$  and hence

$$\Phi_T(AXB) = \Phi_T(X)$$

for all finite rank operators  $X$ . Since the class of finite rank operators is dense in  $L(H)$  relative to the ultra-weak operator topology, it follows that  $\Phi_T \in R(\Delta_{A,B})^\circ$ . This implies that

$$\Phi_\circ = \Phi - \Phi_T \in R(\Delta_{A,B})^\circ.$$

Conversely, the preceding computation shows that if  $BTA = T$  and  $T \in C_1(H)$ , then  $\Phi_T \in R(\Delta_{A,B})^\circ$ . The proof is complete. □

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^{w*} = L(H)$ .
- (2)  $K(H) \subset \overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}$ .
- (3)  $\ker(\Delta_{B,A}) \cap C_1(H) = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* The negation of (1) and (3) is equivalent to the fact that there exists a nonzero ultraweakly continuous linear form  $\Phi_T$  such that  $\Phi_T \in R(\Delta_{A,B})^\circ$ . By Theorem 2.2 this occurs if and only if  $R(\Delta_{A,B})^\circ \not\subset K(H)^\circ$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that the last condition is equivalent to  $K(H) \not\subset \overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ , then*

$$\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})} \cap K(H) = \overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^{w*} \cap K(H).$$

*Proof.* Setting  $S := R(\Delta_{A,B})$ , we have trivially  $\overline{S}^{w*} \cap K(H) \supset \overline{S} \cap K(H)$  where

$$\overline{S} \cap K(H) = \bigcap \{ \ker(\psi) \cap K(H) : \psi \in L'(H), \psi(S) = 0 \},$$

and

$$\overline{S}^{w*} \cap K(H) = \bigcap \{ \ker(\varphi_T) \cap K(H) : T \in C_1(H), \varphi_T(S) = 0 \}.$$

To establish the converse inclusion, we consider any  $K \in \overline{S}^{w*} \cap K(H)$  and  $\varphi \in L'(H)$  such that  $\varphi(S) = 0$  and prove that  $\varphi(K) = 0$ . By Theorem 2.2, the canonical decomposition  $\varphi = \varphi_T + \varphi_\circ$  satisfies  $\varphi_T(S) = \varphi_\circ(S) = 0$ . Since  $K \in K(H)$ , we have  $\varphi_\circ(K) = 0$ . On the other hand,

$$K \in \overline{S}^{w*} \cap K(H) = \bigcap \{ \ker(\varphi_T) \cap K(H) : T \in C_1(H), \varphi_T(S) = 0 \},$$

which entails  $\varphi_T(K) = 0$ . Thus indeed  $\varphi(K) = \varphi_T(K) + \varphi_\circ(K) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . Then*

- (1) *every finite rank operator in  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^w \cap \ker(\Delta_{A^*,B^*})$  vanishes,*
- (2) *every trace class operator in  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^{w*} \cap \ker(\Delta_{A^*,B^*})$  vanishes.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $T$  be a finite rank operator in  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^w \cap \ker(\Delta_{A^*,B^*})$ , then  $T^* \in \ker(\Delta_{B,A}) \cap B(H)$ . It follows that  $\Phi_{T^*}$  vanishes on the range of  $\Delta_{B,A}$ . In particular,  $\Phi_{T^*}(T) = \text{tr}(T^*T) = 0$ , that is  $T^*T = 0$ , thus  $T = 0$ .

(2) It suffices to replace  $B(H)$  with  $C_1(H)$  in the above proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . Then*

- (1)  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^w = L(H)$  if and only if  $\ker(\Delta_{B,A}) \cap B(H) = \{0\}$ ;
- (2)  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^{w*} = L(H)$  if and only if  $\ker(\Delta_{B,A}) \cap C_1(H) = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^w = L(H)$  and  $T \in \ker(\Delta_{B,A}) \cap B(H)$ . It follows that  $T^* \in \overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^w \cap \ker(\Delta_{A^*,B^*})$ , hence  $T = 0$  by Theorem 2.5.

Conversely, assume that there exists  $T \in L(H) \setminus \overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^w$ . It follows that there is an operator  $S \in B(H)$  such that  $\text{tr}(ST) \neq 0$  and  $\text{tr}(SX) = 0$  for each  $X \in R(\Delta_{A,B})$ . Hence, we obtain that  $S \in \ker(\Delta_{B,A}) \cap B(H)$  and  $S \neq 0$ .

(2) It suffices to replace  $B(H)$  with  $C_1(H)$  in the preceding proof.

**Remark 2.7.** If  $A, B \in L(H)$  are such that  $\|A\|\|B\| < 1$ , then Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 2.6 show that  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^w = \overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})}^{w*} = L(H)$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . Then*

- 1)  $\overline{R(\Delta_B)}^w \subset \overline{R(\Delta_A)}^w$  if and only if  $\ker(\Delta_A) \cap B(H) \subset \ker(\Delta_B) \cap B(H)$ ;
- 2)  $\overline{R(\Delta_B)}^{w*} \subset \overline{R(\Delta_A)}^{w*}$  if and only if  $\ker(\Delta_A) \cap C_1(H) \subset \ker(\Delta_B) \cap C_1(H)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  $\ker(\Delta_A) \cap B(H) \subset \ker(\Delta_B) \cap B(H)$ . Let  $\Phi_T$  be a weakly continuous linear form that vanishes on  $R(\Delta_A)$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$\Phi_T(AXA - X) = \text{tr}[T(AXA - X)] = \text{tr}[(ATA - T)X] = 0$$

for all  $X \in L(H)$ , hence  $ATA = T$  and  $T \in \ker(\Delta_A) \cap B(H) \subset \ker(\Delta_B) \cap B(H)$ . Observe that

$$\Phi_T(BXB - X) = \text{tr}[T(BXB - X)] = 0,$$

thus  $\Phi_T$  annihilates  $R(\Delta_B)$ . It follows that  $\overline{R(\Delta_B)}^w \subset \overline{R(\Delta_A)}^w$ . For the converse implication we reverse the above argument.

(2) It suffices to replace  $B(H)$  with  $C_1(H)$  in the preceding proof. □

**Remark 2.9.** Let  $a = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$  and  $b = (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n)$  be  $n$ -tuples of operators in  $L(H)$ , let  $R_{a,b}$  denote the generalized elementary operator on  $L(H)$  defined by  $R_{a,b}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X B_i$ . Notice that the above results still hold for the elementary operator  $R_{a,b}$ .

### 3. GENERALIZED QUASI-ADJOINT OPERATORS

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $A \in L(H)$ . We say that the operator  $A$  is quasi-adjoint if

$$\overline{R(\Delta_A)} = \overline{R(\Delta_{A^*})}.$$

**Remark 3.2.** Let  $A \in L(H)$ , then  $A$  is quasi-adjoint if and only if  $\overline{R(\Delta_A)}$  is a self adjoint subspace of  $L(H)$ . Equivalently,  $R(\Delta_A)^\circ$ , the annihilator of  $R(\Delta_A)$ , is a self adjoint subspace of  $L'(H)$  in the sense that  $\Phi \in R(\Delta_A)^\circ$  implies  $\Phi^* \in R(\Delta_A)^\circ$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $A \in L(H)$  the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A$  is quasi-adjoint.
- (2) (i) The element  $[A]$  of the Calkin algebra is quasi-adjoint, and  
(ii) for  $T \in C_1(H)$ ,  $ATA = T$  implies  $A^*TA^* = T$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2). Suppose that  $A$  is quasi-adjoint. (i) Let  $\psi \in R(\Delta_{[A]})^\circ$ . We define a bounded linear functional  $\Phi$  on  $L(H)$  by  $\Phi(X) = \psi([X])$ . It is clear that  $\Phi \in R(\Delta_A)^\circ$  if and only if  $\psi \in R(\Delta_{[A]})^\circ$ . Since  $A$  is quasi-adjoint, it follows from the above Remark that  $\Phi^* \in R(\Delta_A)^\circ$  and consequently  $\psi^* \in R(\Delta_{[A]})^\circ$ . Then  $[A]$  is quasi-adjoint.

(ii) If  $ATA = T$  and  $T \in C_1(H)$ , then Theorem 2.2 implies that  $\Phi_T \in R(\Delta_A)^\circ$ . Since  $A$  is quasi-adjoint, it follows that  $(\Phi_T)^* = \Phi_{T^*} \in R(\Delta_A)^\circ$ , from which we get  $A^*TA^* = T$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Let  $\Phi \in R(\Delta_A)^\circ$ . We can write  $\Phi = \Phi_\circ + \Phi_T$ , where  $\Phi_\circ \in R(\Delta_A)^\circ \cap K(H)^\circ$  and  $T \in \ker(\Delta_A) \cap C_1(H)$ . By using (ii) one obtains  $A^*TA^* = T$ , that is  $\Phi_{T^*} \in R(\Delta_A)^\circ$ . It remains to show that  $\Phi_\circ^* \in R(\Delta_A)^\circ$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the linear functional on the Calkin algebra defined by  $\varphi([X]) = \Phi_\circ(X)$ . Since  $\Phi_\circ$  vanishes on  $K(H)$ , it follows that  $\varphi$  is well defined. From (i),  $[A]$  is quasi-adjoint, hence  $\varphi \in R(\Delta_{[A]})^\circ$  implies that  $\varphi^* \in R(\Delta_{[A]})^\circ$ , that is  $\Phi_\circ^* \in R(\Delta_A)^\circ$ . Thus we have shown that  $\Phi^* = \Phi_\circ^* + \Phi_{T^*} \in R(\Delta_A)^\circ$ , consequently  $A$  is quasi-adjoint.  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** An operator  $A \in L(H)$  is called generalized quasi-adjoint if  $ATA = T$  and  $T \in C_1(H)$  implies  $AT^*A = T^*$ . The set of generalized quasi-adjoint operators is denoted by  $Q_\circ(H)$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $A \in L(H)$ . Then*

- (i)  $A$  is generalized quasi-adjoint if and only if  $\overline{R(\Delta_A)}^{w^*}$  is self-adjoint;
- (ii)  $Q_\circ(H)$ , the set of generalized quasi-adjoint operators, is self-adjoint.

*Proof.* (i)  $\overline{R(\Delta_A)}^{w^*}$  is self-adjoint if and only if  $R(\Delta_A)^\circ \cap L'(H)^{w^*}$  is self-adjoint. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that

$$R(\Delta_A)^\circ \simeq R(\Delta_A)^\circ \cap K(H)^\circ \oplus \ker(\Delta_A) \cap C_1(H).$$

Consequently, we get

$$R(\Delta_A)^\circ \cap L'(H)^{w*} \cong \ker(\Delta_A) \cap C_1(H).$$

(ii) It follows immediately from the definition.  $\square$

**Example 3.6.**

- (i) If  $V$  is an isometry, in particular if  $\|V^{-1}\|\|V\| = 1$ , then  $V$  is a generalized quasi-adjoint operator.
- (ii) Every normal operator is generalized quasi-adjoint.
- (iii) Every cyclic subnormal operator is generalized quasi-adjoint.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $A \in L(H)$  be a contraction. Then  $A$  is generalized quasi-adjoint.*

*Proof.* The result of [7] guarantees that for every  $T \in C_1(H)$  we get that  $\overline{R(T)}$  reduces  $A$ , and  $(\ker T)^\perp$  reduces  $A$  and the restrictions  $A|_{\overline{R(T)}}$  and  $A|_{(\ker T)^\perp}$  are unitarily equivalent to unitary operators. Put  $H_1 = H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus \overline{R(T)}^\perp$  and  $H_2 = H = (\ker T)^\perp \oplus \ker T$ . Then for  $A: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  and  $T: H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ , we get the decompositions

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} A'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A'_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition  $ATA = T$  implies that  $A_1T_1A'_1 = T_1$ . Since  $A_1$  and  $A'_1$  are unitary operators, it follows that  $A_1^*T_1A_1'^* = T_1$ , or equivalently  $A^*TA^* = T$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $A \in Q_\circ(H)$ . If  $H_\circ$  reduces  $A$ , then  $A|_{H_\circ}$  is a generalized quasi-adjoint operator.*

*Proof.* By virtue of the decomposition  $H = H_\circ \oplus H_\circ^\perp$ , we have  $A = A_\circ \oplus A_1$ . Suppose that  $A_\circ T_\circ A_\circ = T_\circ$  and  $T_\circ \in C_1(H_\circ)$ . Define an operator  $T$  on  $H = H_\circ \oplus H_\circ^\perp$  by  $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_\circ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , then  $ATA = T$  and  $T \in C_1(H)$ . Since  $A$  is generalized quasi-adjoint, it follows that  $AT^*A = T^*$ . Hence one obtains  $A_\circ T_\circ^* A_\circ = T_\circ^*$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $A \in L(H)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A$  is generalized quasi-adjoint.
- (2) If  $ATA = T$  and  $T \in C_1(H)$ , then  $\overline{R(T)}$  and  $(\ker T)^\perp$  reduce  $A$  and  $A|_{\overline{R(T)}}$  and  $A|_{(\ker T)^\perp}$  are normal operators.

*Proof.* We omit the proof which may be based entirely on the proof of the well known Lemma [8].  $\square$



**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $A \in L(H)$ . If  $T \in C_1(H)$  is such that  $T = U|T|$  is the polar decomposition of  $T$ , then the operator  $A$  is generalized quasi-adjoint if and only if  $A|T| = |T|A$ ,  $A|T^*| = |T^*|A$  and  $\Delta_A(U) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $A$  is generalized quasi-adjoint. Let  $T \in C_1(H)$  have the polar decomposition  $T = U|T|$ . If  $ATA = T$ , it follows that  $AT^*A = T^*$ .

Then we have

$$A|T|^2 = AT^*T = AT^*ATA = T^*TA = |T|^2A.$$

Analogously,

$$A|T^*|^2 = ATT^* = ATAT^*A = TT^*A = |T^*|^2A,$$

and by the functional calculus both operators  $|T|$  and  $|T^*|$  commute with  $A$ . Hence, we get  $A|T| = |T|A$  and  $A|T^*| = |T^*|A$ .

Moreover,  $ATA = T$  implies that  $(AUA - U)|T| = 0$ . Consequently,  $(AUA - U)\overline{R(T)} = 0$ , that is  $\Delta_A(U)\overline{R(T)} = 0$ . Since  $A: \ker T \rightarrow \ker T$ , we obtain that  $\Delta_A(U) = 0$ .

Conversely, the conditions  $A|T| = |T|A$  and  $\Delta_A(U) = 0$  imply that  $ATA = T$ . Since  $A$  commutes with  $|T|$  and  $|T^*|$ , it follows from the Fuglede-Putnam Theorem that  $\overline{R(T)}$  and  $(\ker T)^\perp$  reduce  $A$ , and the restrictions  $A_1 = A|_{\overline{R(T)}}$  and  $A'_1 = A|_{(\ker T)^\perp}$  are normal operators. Take the following two decompositions of  $H$ :

$$H_1 = H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus \overline{R(T)}^\perp, \text{ and } H_2 = H = \ker T^\perp \oplus \ker T.$$

In terms of these decompositions of  $H$ , for  $A: H_2 \rightarrow H_1$  we can write

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^* = \begin{pmatrix} A_1'^* & 0 \\ 0 & A_2'^* \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From  $ATA = T$  it follows that  $A_1T_1A_1' = T_1$ . Since  $A_1$  and  $A_1'$  are normal operators, we get  $A_1T_1^*A_1' = T_1^*$ , or equivalently  $AT^*A = T^*$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be generalized quasi-adjoint operators. If  $1 \notin \sigma(A)\sigma(B)$ , then  $A \oplus B$  is a generalized quasi-adjoint operator.*

*Proof.* Let  $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_0 & T_1 \\ T_2 & T_3 \end{pmatrix}$  be a trace class operator on  $H \oplus H$ . It is easily seen that  $(A \oplus B)T(A \oplus B) = T$  implies that

$$AT_0A = T_0, \quad AT_1B = T_1, \quad BT_2A = T_2 \text{ and } BT_3B = T_3.$$

Since  $1 \notin \sigma(A)\sigma(B)$ , it follows from Rosenblum's Theorem [9] that the operators  $\Delta_{A,B}$  and  $\Delta_{B,A}$  are invertible. Consequently, we get  $T_1 = T_2 = 0$ .

Moreover,  $A$  and  $B$  are generalized quasi-adjoint operators, hence  $AT_0A = T_0$  implies  $AT_0^*A = T_0^*$  and  $BT_3B = T_3$  implies  $BT_3^*B = T_3^*$ . Thus  $(A \oplus B)T^*(A \oplus B) = T^*$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $A \in L(H)$ . If there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\alpha\beta = 1$  and nonzero vectors  $f, g \in H$  such that*

- (i)  $Af = \alpha f$  and  $\|A^*f\| \neq \|\alpha f\|$ ,
- (ii)  $A^*g = \bar{\beta}g$ .

*Then  $A$  is not a generalized quasi-adjoint operator.*

*Proof.*  $A$  is generalized quasi-adjoint if and only if  $\overline{R(\Delta_A)}^{w^*}$  is self adjoint. Under the preceding hypothesis, we will show that  $\overline{R(\Delta_A)}^{w^*} \neq \overline{R(\Delta_{A^*})}^{w^*}$ . Suppose first that  $A^*f \neq 0$ . We consider the operator  $T = g \otimes A^*f$ . It is easily seen that

$$\langle (AYA - Y)f, g \rangle = 0$$

for all  $Y \in L(H)$ . On the other hand, one obtains that

$$\langle (A^*TA^* - T)f, g \rangle = \bar{\beta}(\|A^*f\|^2 - \|\alpha f\|^2)\|g\|^2.$$

If  $A^*TA^* - T \in \overline{R(\Delta_A)}^{w^*}$ , then there exists a generalized sequence  $(X_\alpha)_\alpha$  in  $L(H)$  such that

$$AX_\alpha A - X_\alpha \longrightarrow A^*TA^* - T.$$

This implies that

$$0 = \langle (AX_\alpha A - X_\alpha)f, g \rangle \longrightarrow \langle (A^*TA^* - T)f, g \rangle = \bar{\beta}(\|A^*f\|^2 - \|\alpha f\|^2)\|g\|^2.$$

It follows that  $\bar{\beta}(\|A^*f\|^2 - \|\alpha f\|^2)\|g\|^2 = 0$  which is absurd. If  $A^*f = 0$  we consider the operator  $T = g \otimes f$ . By repeating the same argument we get the result.  $\square$

#### SOME OPEN PROBLEMS

(1) Let  $(e_n)_{n=-\infty}^{n=+\infty}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $H$  and let  $S$  be the bilateral weighted shift  $Se_n = \omega_n e_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with nonzero weights  $\omega_n$ . We ask if there exist necessary and sufficient conditions on the weights of  $S$  in order that  $S$  be a quasi-adjoint operator.

(2) Which weighted shifts are generalized quasi-adjoint operators?

(3) Is the set  $Q_\circ(H)$  of generalized quasi-adjoint operators norm closed?

(4) What characterizes compact generalized quasi-adjoint operators?

**Acknowledgement.** It is our great pleasure to thank the referee for his careful reading of the paper and for several helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] *J. H. Anderson, J. W. Bunce, J. A. Deddens and J. P. Williams*:  $C^*$ -algebras and derivation ranges. *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 40 (1978), 211–227.
- [2] *C. Apostol and L. Fialkow*: Structural properties of elementary operators. *Canad. J. Math.* 38 (1986), 1485–1524.
- [3] *H. Berens and M. Finzel*: A problem in linear matrix approximation. *Math. Nachr.* 175 (1995), 33–46.
- [4] *S. Bouali and Y. Bouhafsi*: On the range of the elementary operator  $X \mapsto AXA - X$ . *Math. Proc. Roy. Irish Acad.* 108 (2008), 1–6.
- [5] *J. Dixmier*: *Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations*. Gauthier Villars, Paris, 1964.
- [6] *R. G. Douglas*: On the operator equation  $S^*XT = X$  and related topics. *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 30 (1969), 19–32.
- [7] *B. P. Duggal*: On intertwining operators. *Monatsh. Math.* 106 (1988), 139–148.
- [8] *B. P. Duggal*: A remark on generalised Putnam-Fuglede theorems. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2001), 83–87.
- [9] *M. R. Embry and M. Rosenblum*: Spectra, tensor product, and linear operator equations. *Pacific J. Math.* 53 (1974), 95–107.
- [10] *L. Fialkow*: Essential spectra of elementary operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 267 (1981), 157–174.
- [11] *A. Fialkow and R. Lobel*: Elementary mapping into ideals of operators. *Illinois J. Math.* 28 (1984), 555–578.
- [12] *L. Fialkow*: Elementary operators and applications. (Editor: Martin Mathieu), *Proceeding of the International Workshop*, World Scientific (1992), 55–113.
- [13] *C. K. Fong and A. R. Sourour*: On the operator identity  $\sum A_k X B_k = 0$ . *Canad. J. Math.* 31 (1979), 845–857.
- [14] *Z. Genkai*: On the operators  $X \mapsto AX - XB$  and  $X \mapsto AXB - X$ . *J. Fudan Univ. Nat. Sci.* 28 (1989), 148–154. (In Chinese.)
- [15] *B. Magajna*: The norm of a symmetric elementary operator. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004), 1747–1754.
- [16] *M. Mathieu*: Rings of quotients of ultraprime Banach algebras with applications to elementary operators. *Proc. Centre Math. Anal., Austral. Nat. Univ. Canberra* 21 (1989), 297–317.
- [17] *M. Mathieu*: The norm problem for elementary operators. *Recent progress in functional analysis (Valencia 2000)*. North-Holland Math. Stud. 189, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001, pp. 363–368.
- [18] *L. L. Stachò and B. Zalar*: On the norm of Jordan elementary operators in standard operator algebra. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 49 (1996), 127–134.

*Authors' addresses:* Youssef Bouhafsi, Dept. of Math., Faculty of Science B.P. 1014, Mohammed V Agdal University, Rabat, Morocco, e-mail: [ybouhafsi@yahoo.fr](mailto:ybouhafsi@yahoo.fr); Said Bouali, Dept. of Math., Faculty of Science B.P. 133 Ibn Tofail University, Kénitra, Morocco, e-mail: [said.bouali@yahoo.fr](mailto:said.bouali@yahoo.fr).