

Xiao Yan Yang; Zhong Kui Liu

C -Gorenstein projective, injective and flat modules

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 60 (2010), No. 4, 1109–1129

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140810>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2010

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

C-GORENSTEIN PROJECTIVE, INJECTIVE AND FLAT MODULES

XIAO YAN YANG, and ZHONG KUI LIU, Lanzhou

(Received July 15, 2009)

Abstract. By analogy with the projective, injective and flat modules, in this paper we study some properties of C -Gorenstein projective, injective and flat modules and discuss some connections between C -Gorenstein injective and C -Gorenstein flat modules. We also investigate some connections between C -Gorenstein projective, injective and flat modules of change of rings.

Keywords: C -Gorenstein projective module, C -Gorenstein injective module, C -Gorenstein flat module

MSC 2010: 13D07, 16E65

1. INTRODUCTION

Unless stated otherwise, throughout this paper R is a commutative and noetherian ring with unit and C is a semi-dualizing R -module. By $\mathcal{P}(R)$ and $\mathcal{I}(R)$ we denote the class of all projective and injective R -modules, respectively. For any R -module M , $\text{pd}_R M$, $\text{id}_R M$ and $\text{fd}_R M$ denote the projective, injective and flat dimension, respectively. The character module $\text{Hom}_Z(M, Q/Z)$ is denoted by M^+ .

For any semi-dualizing module (in fact, complex) C over R and any complex Z with bounded and finitely generated homology, Christensen introduced the dimension $\text{G-dim}_C Z$ and developed a satisfactory theory for this new invariant. If C is a semi-dualizing R -module and M is any R -complex, then Holm and Jørgensen suggested in [5] the viewpoint that one should change rings from R to $R \otimes C$ (the trivial extension of R by C) and then consider the three changed “ring” Gorenstein dimensions: $\text{Gid}_{R \otimes C} M$, $\text{Gpd}_{R \otimes C} M$, $\text{Gfd}_{R \otimes C} M$. The usefulness of this viewpoint

Research supported by nwnu-kjcxgc-03-68 and by National Natural Science Foundation of China (10961021).

was demonstrated as it enabled them to introduce three new Cohen-Macaulay dimensions, which characterize Cohen-Macaulay rings in a way one could hope for. For every semi-dualizing R -module C Holm and Jørgensen in [6] defined, three new Gorenstein dimensions: C -Gid $_R M$, C -Gpd $_R M$, C -Gfd $_R M$, which are called the C -Gorenstein injective, C -Gorenstein projective and C -Gorenstein flat dimension respectively, and proved how they are related to the “changed ring” Gorenstein dimensions over $R \rtimes C$. They compared C -Gpd $_R(-)$ with $\text{G-dim}_C(-)$ and interpreted the C -Gorenstein dimensions in terms of Auslander and Bass categories.

In Section 2, we study some properties of C -Gorenstein projective and injective modules. We prove that the union of a continuous chain of C -Gorenstein projective modules is C -Gorenstein projective and the well-ordered continuous inverse system of C -Gorenstein injective R -modules is C -Gorenstein injective. In Section 3, we discuss some connections between C -Gorenstein injective and C -Gorenstein flat modules. We prove that if R is artinian, then M is C -Gorenstein injective if and only if M^+ is C -Gorenstein flat. In Section 4, we show that some studies of homological properties of change of rings can be generalized to C -Gorenstein homological properties. The two structural operations addressed later are the information of m -adic completion and polynomial rings.

We first recall some concepts. Let \mathcal{X} be a class of R -modules. We call \mathcal{X} projectively resolving if $\mathcal{P}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ and for every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X' \rightarrow X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow 0$ with $X'' \in \mathcal{X}$ the conditions $X' \in \mathcal{X}$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}$ are equivalent. Injectively resolving is defined dually. A semi-dualizing module C is finitely generated so that $\text{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is canonically isomorphic to R and $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

An R -module M is said to be C -Gorenstein injective if

- (I1) $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), M) = 0$ for all injective R -modules I and all $i \geq 1$;
- (I2) there exist injective R -modules I_0, I_1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_0) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

and also, this sequence stays exact when we apply to it the functor

$$\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J), -)$$

for any injective R -module J .

An R -module M is said to be C -Gorenstein projective if

- (P1) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C \otimes_R P) = 0$ for all projective R -modules P and all $i \geq 1$;
- (P2) there exist projective R -modules P^0, P^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P^1 \longrightarrow \dots,$$

and furthermore, this sequence stays exact when we apply to it the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, C \otimes_R Q)$ for any projective R -module Q .

An R -module M is said to be C -Gorenstein flat if

(F1) $\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), M) = 0$ for all injective R -modules I and all $i \geq 1$;

(F2) there exist flat R -modules F^0, F^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^1 \longrightarrow \dots,$$

and furthermore, this sequence stays exact when we apply to it the functor $\text{Hom}_R(C, I) \otimes_R -$ for any injective R -module I .

Remark. (a) If I is an injective R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(C, I)$ and I are C -Gorenstein injective. If P is a projective R -module, then $C \otimes_R P$ and P are C -Gorenstein projective. If F is a flat R -module, then $C \otimes_R F$ and F are C -Gorenstein flat.

(b) Note that when $C = R$ in the above definition, we recover the categories of ordinary Gorenstein injective, Gorenstein projective and Gorenstein flat R -modules.

If C is any R -module, then the direct sum $R \oplus C$ can be equipped with the product $(r, c)(r', c') = (rr', rc' + r'c)$. This turns $R \oplus C$ into a ring, which is called the trivial extension of R by C and denoted $R \rtimes C$. There are canonical ring homomorphisms $R \rightleftarrows R \rtimes C$, which enables us to view R -modules as $R \rtimes C$ -modules, and vice versa.

2. C -GORENSTEIN PROJECTIVE AND INJECTIVE MODULES

In this section we study some properties of C -Gorenstein projective modules and C -Gorenstein injective modules.

Proposition 2.1. *The class $C\text{-}\mathcal{GP}(R)$ of all C -Gorenstein projective R -modules is projectively resolving. Furthermore, $C\text{-}\mathcal{GP}(R)$ is closed under arbitrary direct sums and arbitrary direct summands.*

Proof. By [4, Theorem 2.5] and [6, Proposition 2.13]. □

Proposition 2.2. *The class $C\text{-}\mathcal{GI}(R)$ of all C -Gorenstein injective R -modules is injectively resolving. Furthermore, $C\text{-}\mathcal{GI}(R)$ is closed under arbitrary direct products and arbitrary direct summands.*

Proof. By [4, Theorem 2.6] and [6, Proposition 2.13]. □

Given an ordinal number λ and a family $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ of submodules of a module M , we say that the family is a continuous (well ordered) chain of submodules if $M_\alpha \subseteq M_\beta$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta < \lambda$ and if $M_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} M_\alpha$ whenever $\beta < \lambda$ is a limit

ordinal. A family $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \lambda}$ is called a continuous chain if $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda+1}$ is such (see [2, Definition 7.3.3]). A continuous chain of projective R -modules is projective by [2, p. 162, Exercise 2].

Theorem 2.3. *Let L be an R -module and suppose L is the union of a continuous chain of submodules $(L_\alpha)_{\alpha \leq \lambda}$. If L_0 and $L_{\alpha+1}/L_\alpha$ are C -Gorenstein projective R -modules whenever $\alpha + 1 \leq \lambda$, then L is C -Gorenstein projective.*

Proof. Let $\alpha + 1 \leq \lambda$. If α is not a limit ordinal, then L_α and $L_{\alpha+1}/L_\alpha$ are C -Gorenstein projective, and so there exist projective R -modules $P_\alpha^0, P_\alpha^1, \dots$ and Q^0, Q^1, \dots together with exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow L_\alpha \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P_\alpha^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P_\alpha^1 \longrightarrow \dots, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow L_{\alpha+1}/L_\alpha \longrightarrow C \otimes_R Q^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R Q^1 \longrightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

such that those sequences stay exact when we apply the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, C \otimes_R Q)$ to them for any projective R -module Q . Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & L_\alpha & \longrightarrow & L_{\alpha+1} & \longrightarrow & L_{\alpha+1}/L_\alpha \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C \otimes_R P_\alpha^0 & \longrightarrow & C \otimes_R (P_\alpha^0 \oplus Q^0) & \longrightarrow & C \otimes_R Q^0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C \otimes_R P_\alpha^1 & \longrightarrow & C \otimes_R (P_\alpha^1 \oplus Q^1) & \longrightarrow & C \otimes_R Q^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \end{array}$$

Then $0 \rightarrow L_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow C \otimes_R (P_\alpha^0 \oplus Q^0) \rightarrow C \otimes_R (P_\alpha^1 \oplus Q^1) \rightarrow \dots$ is exact such that this sequence stays exact when we apply to it the functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, C \otimes_R Q)$ for any projective R -module Q . If α is a limit ordinal, set $P_\alpha^i = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} P_\beta^i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$

Then $0 \rightarrow L_\alpha \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_\alpha^0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_\alpha^1 \rightarrow \dots$ is exact. So $(P_\alpha^i)_{\alpha \leq \lambda}$ is a continuous chain for all $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Set $P^0 = \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \lambda} P_\alpha^0, P^1 = \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \lambda} P_\alpha^1, \dots$. Then

$$\mathbb{W}: 0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

is exact and each P^i is projective. Let Q be any projective R -module. Then

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(L_0, C \otimes_R Q) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(L_{\alpha+1}/L_\alpha, C \otimes_R Q) \quad \forall i \geq 1, \text{ whenever } \alpha + 1 \leq \lambda.$$

Hence $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, C \otimes_R Q) = 0$ by [2, Theorem 7.3.4] for all $i \geq 1$, and so $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{W}, C \otimes_R Q)$ is exact by analogy with the proof of [10, Theorem 2.1]. Thus L is C -Gorenstein projective. \square

Let μ be an ordinal and $\mathcal{A} = (A_\alpha : \alpha \leq \mu)$ a sequence of modules. Let $(f_{\beta\alpha} : \alpha \leq \beta \leq \mu)$ be a sequence of monomorphisms (with $f_{\beta\alpha} \in \text{Hom}_R(A_\alpha, A_\beta)$) such that $\mathcal{I} = \{(A_\alpha, f_{\beta\alpha}) : \alpha \leq \beta \leq \mu\}$ is a direct system of modules. \mathcal{I} is called continuous provided that $A_0 = 0$ and $A_\alpha = \varinjlim_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$ for all limit ordinals. Let $(g_{\alpha\beta} : \alpha \leq \beta \leq \mu)$ be a sequence of epimorphisms (with $g_{\alpha\beta} \in \text{Hom}_R(A_\beta, A_\alpha)$) such that $\mathcal{I} = \{(A_\alpha, g_{\alpha\beta}) : \alpha \leq \beta \leq \mu\}$ is an inverse system of modules. \mathcal{I} is called continuous provided that $A_0 = 0$ and $A_\alpha = \varprojlim_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$ for all limit ordinals (see [15, Definition 2.1]). It is well known that the class \mathcal{L} of Gorenstein projective (injective) objects in a Grothendieck category \mathcal{A} is closed under direct (inverse) transfinite extensions by [3, Theorem 3.2].

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{I} = \{(L_\alpha, f_{\beta\alpha}) : \alpha \leq \beta \leq \mu\}$ be a well-ordered continuous direct system of modules. If $C_\alpha = \text{Coker}(L_\alpha \rightarrow L_{\alpha+1})$ is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module whenever $\alpha + 1 \leq \mu$, then $L = \varinjlim_{\alpha \leq \mu} L_\alpha$ is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let $L_0 \leftarrow L_1 \leftarrow L_2 \leftarrow \dots$ be a continuous inverse system of modules. If $K_n = \text{Ker}(L_{n+1} \rightarrow L_n)$ is a C -Gorenstein injective R -module for each n , then $L = \varprojlim L_n$ is a C -Gorenstein injective R -module.*

Proof. For each n there exist injective R -modules I_n^0, I_n^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_n^1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_n^0) \longrightarrow L_n \longrightarrow 0,$$

such that the sequence stays exact when we apply the functor $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J), -)$ to it for all injective R -modules J . Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, I_2^1) & \xrightarrow{f_{1,2}^1} & \text{Hom}_R(C, I_1^1) & \xrightarrow{f_{0,1}^1} & \text{Hom}_R(C, I_0^1) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, I_2^0) & \xrightarrow{f_{1,2}^0} & \text{Hom}_R(C, I_1^0) & \xrightarrow{f_{0,1}^0} & \text{Hom}_R(C, I_0^0) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & L_2 & \xrightarrow{f_{1,2}} & L_1 & \xrightarrow{f_{0,1}} & L_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Then $g_{n,n+1}^i = C \otimes_R f_{n,n+1}^i: I_{n+1}^i \rightarrow I_n^i$ is an epimorphism. So $(\text{Hom}_R(C, I_n^i))$ and (I_n^i) are continuous inverse systems for all $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Set $I^0 = \varprojlim I_n^0$, $I^1 = \varprojlim I_n^1, \dots$. Then

$$\mathbb{V}: \dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I^1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I^0) \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact by [2, Theorem 1.5.14] and [15, Lemma 2.2] and I^0, I^1, \dots are injective R -modules by [15, Lemma 2.3]. Let I be any injective R -module. Then

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), L_0) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), K_n) \quad \forall i \geq 1 \text{ and each } n,$$

and so $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), L) = 0$ by [15, Lemma 2.3] for all $i \geq 1$, which gives that $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), \mathbb{V})$ is exact by analogy with the proof of [10, Theorem 2.1]. Thus L is C -Gorenstein injective. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let Q be a projective R -module. If M is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module, then $M \otimes_R Q$ is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module.*

Proof. There exist projective R -modules P^0, P^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{W}: 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then $\mathbb{W} \otimes_R Q: 0 \rightarrow M \otimes_R Q \rightarrow C \otimes_R (P^0 \otimes_R Q) \rightarrow C \otimes_R (P^1 \otimes_R Q) \rightarrow \dots$ is exact and each $P^i \otimes_R Q$ is projective. Let P be any projective R -module. By [13, p. 258, 9.20],

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^i(M \otimes_R Q, C \otimes_R P) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(Q, \text{Ext}_R^i(M, C \otimes_R P)) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{W} \otimes_R Q, C \otimes_R P) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(Q, \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{W}, C \otimes_R P)) \end{aligned}$$

is exact. So $M \otimes_R Q$ is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module. \square

Proposition 2.7. *Let P be a finitely generated projective R -module. If M is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(P, M)$ is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module.*

Proof. Let Q be a projective R -module and let $B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ be exact. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(P, Q), B) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(P, Q), C) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\ P \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(Q, B) & \longrightarrow & P \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(Q, C) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with the lower row exact. Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, Q), B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, Q), C) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, and hence $\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is projective. Since M is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module, there exist projective R -modules P^0, P^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{W}: 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, \mathbb{W}): 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(P, M) \rightarrow C \otimes_R \mathrm{Hom}_R(P, P^0) \rightarrow C \otimes_R \mathrm{Hom}_R(P, P^1) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact and each $\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, P^i)$ is a projective R -module. Let Q be any projective R -module and let E_\bullet be an injective resolution of $C \otimes_R Q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}_R^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, M), C \otimes_R Q) &= \mathrm{H}^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, M), E_\bullet)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^i(P \otimes_R \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, E_\bullet)) \\ &\cong P \otimes_R \mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, C \otimes_R Q) = 0, \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, \mathbb{W}), C \otimes_R Q) &\cong P \otimes_R \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathbb{W}, C \otimes_R Q) \end{aligned}$$

is exact. So $\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, M)$ is C -Gorenstein projective. \square

Let M be an R -module of finite Gorenstein projective dimension. Then there exists a short exact sequence of R -modules $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$, where A is Gorenstein projective and $\mathrm{pd}_R H = \mathrm{Gpd}_R M$ by [1, Lemma 2.17]. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let M be an R -module of finite C -Gorenstein projective dimension. Then there exists an exact sequence of R -modules $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ such that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$, where A is C -Gorenstein projective, $n = C\text{-Gpd}_R M$ and each P_i is projective.*

Proof. If M is C -Gorenstein projective, we take $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ to be the first short exact sequence. We may now assume that $C\text{-Gpd}_R M = n > 0$. Then there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A' \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where A' is Gorenstein projective over $R \rtimes C$ and $\mathrm{pd}_{R \rtimes C} K = n - 1$ by [6, Proposition 2.13] and [4, Theorem 2.10]. Let $0 \rightarrow Q_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ be a projective resolution of K over $R \rtimes C$. We successively pick projective $R \rtimes C$ -modules Q'_0, \dots, Q'_{n-1} such that

$$Q_0 \oplus Q'_0 \cong (R \rtimes C) \otimes_R P_0, \quad Q_i \oplus Q'_{i-1} \oplus Q'_i \cong (R \rtimes C) \otimes_R P_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

by [6, Lemma 1.5]. Then $0 \rightarrow Q_{n-1} \oplus Q'_{n-2} \rightarrow (R \times C) \otimes_R P_{n-2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (R \times C) \otimes_R P_0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ is exact. By adding $0 \rightarrow (Q'_{n-1} \oplus Q_{n-1} \oplus Q'_{n-2})^{(\mathbb{N})} \rightarrow (Q'_{n-1} \oplus Q_{n-1} \oplus Q'_{n-2})^{(\mathbb{N})} \rightarrow 0$ to the above sequence in degree $n-1$ and $n-2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow (R \times C) \otimes_R P_{n-1}^{(\mathbb{N})} &\longrightarrow (R \times C) \otimes_R (P_{n-1}^{(\mathbb{N})} \oplus P_{n-2}) \\ &\longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow (R \times C) \otimes_R P_0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact. Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(R, C \otimes_R P) = 0$, hence $\text{Ext}_{R \times C}^i(R, (R \times C) \otimes_R P) = 0$ by [6, Corollary 2.3] and [6, Lemma 1.5] for any projective R -module P . So $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R \times C}(R, (R \times C) \otimes_R P_{n-1}^{(\mathbb{N})}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R \times C}(R, (R \times C) \otimes_R (P_{n-1}^{(\mathbb{N})} \oplus P_{n-2})) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R \times C}(R, (R \times C) \otimes_R P_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R \times C}(R, K) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, and hence

$$0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P_{n-1}^{(\mathbb{N})} \longrightarrow C \otimes_R (P_{n-1}^{(\mathbb{N})} \oplus P_{n-2}) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P_0 \longrightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

is exact by [6, Lemma 2.2]. Since A' is a Gorenstein projective $R \times C$ -module, hence A' is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module by [6, Proposition 2.13]. So there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow C \otimes_R Q \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$, where A is C -Gorenstein projective. Consider the pushout of $A' \rightarrow M$ and $A' \rightarrow C \otimes_R Q$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & C \otimes_R Q & \longrightarrow & H \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & A & \xlongequal{\quad} & A \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

If $H \cong C \otimes_R Q'$ for some projective R -module Q' , then M is C -Gorenstein projective by Proposition 2.1, which is a contradiction. So $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ is the desired sequence such that $0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_{n-1}^{(\mathbb{N})} \rightarrow C \otimes_R (P_{n-1}^{(\mathbb{N})} \oplus P_{n-2}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R Q \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ is exact. \square

By analogy with the proof of Theorem 2.8, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.9. *Let M be an R -module of finite C -Gorenstein injective dimension. Then there exists an exact sequence of R -modules $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow H \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, E^0) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, E^n) \rightarrow 0$, where B is C -Gorenstein injective, $n = C\text{-Gid}_R M$ and each E^i is injective.*

It is well known that R is a noetherian ring if and only if any direct limit of injective R -modules is injective by [2, Theorem 3.1.17]. Let R be a local Cohen-Macaulay ring with residue field k and Ω a dualizing module (see [2, Definition 9.5.14]). If $\dim R = 0$, then $\Omega = E(k)$ is a semi-dualizing module of R and R is an artinian ring.

Theorem 2.10. *Let R be artinian. If $M_0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow \dots$ is a sequence of C -Gorenstein injective R -modules, then the direct limit $\varinjlim M_n$ is again C -Gorenstein injective.*

Proof. For each n there exist injective R -modules I_n^0, I_n^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{V}_n: \dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_n^1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_n^0) \longrightarrow M_n \longrightarrow 0$$

such that the sequence stays exact when we apply the functor $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J), -)$ to it for all injective R -modules J . Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, I_0^1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, I_0^0) & \longrightarrow & M_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \varphi_{10}^1 \downarrow & & \varphi_{10}^0 \downarrow & & \varphi_{10} \downarrow \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, I_1^1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, I_1^0) & \longrightarrow & M_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \end{array}$$

Then $\varphi_{n+1,n}^k = \text{Hom}_R(C, \psi_{n+1,n}^k)$ for some homomorphism; namely $\psi_{n+1,n}^k = C \otimes_R \varphi_{n+1,n}^k$ since $C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, I_n^k) \cong I_n^k$ by [2, Theorem 3.2.11]. So (I_n^k) is a direct system for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, which gives that

$$\varinjlim \mathbb{V}_n: \dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, \varinjlim I_n^1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, \varinjlim I_n^0) \longrightarrow \varinjlim M_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and each $\varinjlim I_n^k$ is an injective R -module. Let J be any injective R -module. Then $J = \bigoplus_{\Lambda} J_{\alpha}$, where J_{α} is an injective envelope of some simple R -module for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$ by [8, Theorem 6.6.4]. So

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, J), \varinjlim M_n) &\cong \varinjlim \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}), M_n) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J), \varinjlim \mathbb{V}_n) &\cong \varinjlim \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}), \mathbb{V}_n) \end{aligned}$$

is exact since C and $\text{Hom}_R(C, J_\alpha)$ are finitely generated by [9, Theorem 3.64]. Therefore $\varinjlim M_n$ is C -Gorenstein injective. \square

3. C -GORENSTEIN FLAT MODULES

In this section we discuss some connections between C -Gorenstein flat modules and C -Gorenstein injective modules. Holm in [4, Theorem 3.6] proved that if R is right coherent, then M is a Gorenstein flat left R -module if and only if M^+ is a Gorenstein injective right R -module.

Theorem 3.1. *M is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module if and only if M^+ is a C -Gorenstein injective R -module.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ” There exist flat R -modules F^0, F^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{X}: 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then $\mathbb{X}^+: \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, F^{1+}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, F^{0+}) \rightarrow M^+ \rightarrow 0$ is exact and each F^{i+} is an injective R -module. Let J be any injective R -module. Then

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, J), M^+) \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J), M)^+ = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1,$$

$$\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J), \mathbb{X}^+) \cong (\text{Hom}_R(C, J) \otimes_R \mathbb{X})^+$$

is exact. Hence M^+ is a C -Gorenstein injective R -module.

“ \Leftarrow ” There are injective R -modules I_0, I_1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{V}: \dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_0) \longrightarrow M^+ \longrightarrow 0.$$

We successively pick injective R -modules I'_0, I'_1, \dots such that

$$I_0 \oplus I'_0 \cong I_0^{++}, \quad I'_i \oplus I_{i+1} \oplus I'_{i+1} \cong (I'_i \oplus I_{i+1})^{++} \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots$$

By adding $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I'_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_i) \rightarrow 0$ to the sequence \mathbb{V} in degree $i + 2$ and $i + 1$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{V}': \dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, (I'_0 \oplus I_1)^{++}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_0^{++}) \longrightarrow M^+ \longrightarrow 0,$$

and so $\mathbb{X}: 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C \otimes_R I_0^+ \rightarrow C \otimes_R (I'_0 \oplus I_1)^+ \rightarrow \dots$ is exact. Let I be any injective R -module. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), M)^+ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), M^+) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ (\text{Hom}_R(C, I) \otimes_R \mathbb{X})^+ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), \mathbb{V}') \end{aligned}$$

is exact. Thus M is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module. \square

Corollary 3.2. *The following conditions are equivalent for an R -module M :*

- (1) M is C -Gorenstein flat;
- (2) $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is C -Gorenstein injective for all injective R -modules E ;
- (3) $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is C -Gorenstein injective for any injective cogenerator E for R -Mod.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let E be any injective R -module. Then E is isomorphic to a summand of R^{+X} for some set X . Thus $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is isomorphic to a summand of $\text{Hom}_R(M, R^{+X}) \cong M^{+X}$; it follows that $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is C -Gorenstein injective by Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 2.2.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Since R^+ is an injective cogenerator, we see that $M^+ \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, R^+)$ is C -Gorenstein injective, and so M is C -Gorenstein flat by Theorem 3.1. \square

Proposition 3.3. *The class $C\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$ of all C -Gorenstein flat R -modules is projectively resolving. Furthermore, $C\text{-}\mathcal{GF}(R)$ is closed under arbitrary direct sums and arbitrary direct summands.*

Proof. Using Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let R be artinian. Then M is a C -Gorenstein injective R -module if and only if M^+ is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ” There exist injective R -modules I_0, I_1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{V}: \dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_0) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then $\mathbb{V}^+: 0 \rightarrow M^+ \rightarrow C \otimes_R I_0^+ \rightarrow C \otimes_R I_1^+ \rightarrow \dots$ is exact by [2, Theorem 3.2.11] and I_i^+ is flat for all $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Let J be any injective R -module. Then $J = \bigoplus_{\Lambda} J_{\alpha}$, where J_{α} is an injective envelope of some simple R -module for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$ by [8, Theorem 6.6.4]. Since C and $\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha})$ are finitely generated by [9, Theorem 3.64], we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J), M^+) &\cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}), M^+) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}), M)^+ = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ \text{Hom}_R(C, J) \otimes_R \mathbb{V}^+ &\cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}) \otimes_R \mathbb{V}^+ \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}), \mathbb{V})^+ \end{aligned}$$

is exact by [2, Theorem 3.2.11] and [2, Theorem 3.2.13]. So M^+ is C -Gorenstein flat.

“ \Leftarrow ” There exist flat R -modules F^0, F^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{X}: 0 \longrightarrow M^+ \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then $\mathbb{X}^+: \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, F^{1+}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, F^{0+}) \rightarrow M^{++} \rightarrow 0$ is exact. We successively pick injective R -modules E^0, E^1, \dots such that

$$F^{0+} \oplus E^0 \cong F^{0+++}, \quad F^{i+} \oplus E^{i-1} \oplus E^i \cong (F^{i+} \oplus E^{i-1})^{++} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

By adding $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, E^i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, E^i) \rightarrow 0$ to the sequence \mathbb{X}^+ in degree $i+2$ and $i+1$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, (F^{1+} \oplus E^0)^{++}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, F^{0+++}) \longrightarrow M^{++} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\mathbb{V}: \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, F^{1+} \oplus E^0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, F^{0+}) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact and $F^{0+}, F^{i+} \oplus E^{i-1}$ are injective for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Let J be any injective R -module. Then $J = \bigoplus_{\Lambda} J_{\alpha}$, where J_{α} is an injective envelope of some simple R -module for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$ by [8, Theorem 6.6.4]. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}), \mathbb{X}^+) \cong (\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}) \otimes_R \mathbb{X})^+$ is exact, which implies that

$$\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}), \mathbb{V})^{++} \cong (\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}) \otimes_R \mathbb{V}^+)^+ \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}), \mathbb{V}^{++})$$

is exact by [2, Theorem 3.2.11] since $\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha})$ is finitely generated for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, J), M) &\cong \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}), M) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J), \mathbb{V}) &\cong \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J_{\alpha}), \mathbb{V}) \end{aligned}$$

is exact since C is finitely generated. Thus M^+ is C -Gorenstein flat. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let R be artinian. The following conditions are equivalent for an R -module M :*

- (1) M is C -Gorenstein injective;
- (2) $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is C -Gorenstein flat for all injective R -modules E ;
- (3) $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is C -Gorenstein flat for any injective cogenerator E for $R\text{-Mod}$;
- (4) $M \otimes_R F$ is C -Gorenstein injective for all flat R -modules F ;
- (5) $M \otimes_R F$ is C -Gorenstein injective for any faithfully flat R -module F .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let I be any injective R -module. Then $I = \bigoplus_{\Lambda} I_{\alpha}$, where I_{α} is an injective envelope of some simple R -module for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$ by [8, Theorem 6.6.4], and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), \text{Hom}_R(M, E)) \\ \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, I_{\alpha}), M), E) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

by [2, Theorem 3.2.13] for any injective R -module E since $\text{Hom}_R(C, I_{\alpha})$ is finitely generated. Since M is C -Gorenstein injective, there exist injective R -modules I_0, I_1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{V}: \dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_0) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then

$\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{V}, E): 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, E) \rightarrow C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(I_0, E) \rightarrow C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(I_1, E) \rightarrow \dots$ is exact by [2, Theorem 3.2.11] and each $\text{Hom}_R(I_i, E)$ is flat. By [2, Theorem 3.2.11], $\forall i, \alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(C, I_{\alpha}) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(M, E) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, I_{\alpha}), M), E), \\ \text{Hom}_R(C, I_{\alpha}) \otimes_R C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(I_i, E) &\cong C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, I_{\alpha}), I_i), E) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, I_{\alpha}), I_i)), E) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, I_{\alpha}), \text{Hom}_R(C, I_i)), E). \end{aligned}$$

Denoting $H = \text{Hom}_R(C, I_{\alpha})$, consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(H, M), E) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(H, \text{Hom}_R(C, I_0)), E) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(M, E) & \longrightarrow & H \otimes_R C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(I_0, E) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

with the upper row exact. Then $\text{Hom}_R(C, I) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{V}, E) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (\text{Hom}_R(C, I_{\alpha}) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{V}, E))$ is exact, and so $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is C -Gorenstein flat.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Since $M^+ \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, R^+)$ is C -Gorenstein flat, we have that M is C -Gorenstein injective by Theorem 3.4.

(2) \Rightarrow (4) Let F be any flat R -module. Then $(M \otimes_R F)^+ \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, F^+)$ is C -Gorenstein flat, and so $M \otimes_R F$ is C -Gorenstein injective by Theorem 3.4.

(2) \Rightarrow (3), (4) \Rightarrow (5) and (5) \Rightarrow (1) are obvious. \square

If T is a Gorenstein flat R module, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, K) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and all cotorsion R -modules K with finite flat dimension by [4, Proposition 3.22].

Proposition 3.6. *If M is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C \otimes_R K) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and all cotorsion R -modules K with finite flat dimension.*

Proof. We use induction on the finite number $\text{fd}_R K = n$. Assume $n = 0$. Then K is flat, and hence K is a summand of an R -module $\text{Hom}_R(E, E')$, where E, E' are injective by [1, Lemma 2.3] and $\text{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R K) \cong K$. By [2, Theorem 3.2.11] and [2, Theorem 3.2.1],

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^i(M, C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(E, E')) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, E), E')) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, E), M), E') = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

So $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C \otimes_R K) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Now assume that $\text{fd}_R K = n > 0$. Let $F \rightarrow K$ be a flat cover of K with kernel L . Then L is cotorsion and $\text{fd}_R L = n - 1$. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & L & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & F & & \\ & & \downarrow \mu_L & & \downarrow \mu_F & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Tor}_1^R(C, K)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R L) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R F) \end{array}$$

Then μ_L is an isomorphism by the induction hypothesis, and so we get $\text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Tor}_1^R(C, K)) = 0$, which means that $\text{Tor}_1^R(C, K) = 0$ since C is faithfully semi-dualizing by [7, Proposition 3.6]. Thus $0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R L \rightarrow C \otimes_R F \rightarrow C \otimes_R K \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Applying the induction hypothesis and the long exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(M, C \otimes_R F) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, C \otimes_R K) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M, C \otimes_R L) = 0,$$

we have the desired conclusion. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let Q be a flat R -module. If M is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module, then $M \otimes_R Q$ is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module.*

Proof. There exist flat R -modules F^0, F^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{X}: 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then $\mathbb{X} \otimes_R Q: 0 \rightarrow M \otimes_R Q \rightarrow C \otimes_R (F^0 \otimes_R Q) \rightarrow C \otimes_R (F^1 \otimes_R Q) \rightarrow \dots$ is exact and each $F^i \otimes_R Q$ is flat by [2, p. 43, Exercise 9]. Let I be any injective R -module and let F_\bullet be a flat resolution of M . Since $I \otimes_R Q$ is an injective R -module, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), M \otimes_R Q) &= \text{H}_i(\text{Hom}_R(C, I) \otimes_R F_\bullet \otimes_R Q) \\ &\cong \text{H}_i(\text{Hom}_R(C, I \otimes_R Q) \otimes_R F_\bullet) \\ &= \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, I \otimes_R Q), M) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hom}_R(C, I) \otimes_R (\mathbb{X} \otimes_R Q) \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, I \otimes_R Q) \otimes_R \mathbb{X}$$

is exact. Hence $M \otimes_R Q$ is C -Gorenstein flat. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let P be a finitely generated projective R -module. If M is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(P, M)$ is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module.*

Proof. Let Q be any flat R -module. Then $\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)$ is flat by analogy with the proof of Proposition 2.7. Since M is C -Gorenstein flat, there exist flat R -modules F^0, F^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{X}: 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then

$$\text{Hom}_R(P, \mathbb{X}): 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P, M) \rightarrow C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(P, F^0) \rightarrow C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(P, F^1) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact and each $\text{Hom}_R(P, F^i)$ is flat. Let I be an injective R -module and F_\bullet a flat resolution of $\text{Hom}_R(C, I)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(P, M), \text{Hom}_R(C, I)) &= \text{H}_i(\text{Hom}_R(P, M) \otimes_R F_\bullet) \\ &\cong \text{H}_i(\text{Hom}_R(P, M \otimes_R F_\bullet)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(P, \text{Tor}_i^R(M, \text{Hom}_R(C, I))) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hom}_R(P, \mathbb{X}) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, I) \cong \text{Hom}_R(P, \mathbb{X} \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, I))$$

is exact, hence $\text{Hom}_R(P, M)$ is C -Gorenstein flat. □

4. C -GORENSTEIN MODULES AND CHANGE OF RINGS

In this section we investigate some connections between C -Gorenstein projective, injective and flat modules of change of rings. We shall now be concerned with what happens when certain modifications are made to a ring. The two structural operations addressed later are the information of m -adic completion and polynomial rings.

Let (R, m) be a commutative local noetherian ring with residue field k and let $E(k)$ be the injective envelope of k . \hat{R} , \hat{M} will denote the m -adic completion of a ring R and an R -module M and M^v will denote the Matlis dual $\text{Hom}_R(M, E(k))$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (R, m) be a local ring. Then \hat{C} is a semi-dualizing module of \hat{R} .*

Proof. Since $\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, \hat{C}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, C) \otimes_R \hat{R} \cong \hat{R}$, hence \hat{C} is a semi-dualizing module of \hat{R} . □

Proposition 4.2. *Let (R, m) be a local ring and M an R -module. If \hat{R} is a projective R -module and M is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module, then $M \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is a \hat{C} -Gorenstein projective \hat{R} -module.*

Proof. There exist projective R -modules P^0, P^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{W}: 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then $\mathbb{W} \otimes_R \hat{R}: 0 \rightarrow M \otimes_R \hat{R} \rightarrow \hat{C} \otimes_{\hat{R}} (P^0 \otimes_R \hat{R}) \rightarrow \hat{C} \otimes_{\hat{R}} (P^1 \otimes_R \hat{R}) \rightarrow \dots$ is exact and each $P^i \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is a projective \hat{R} -module since $\text{Ext}_{\hat{R}}^1(P^i \otimes_R \hat{R}, -) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(P^i, -) = 0$ by [13, p. 258, 9.21]. Let \bar{P} be any projective \hat{R} -module. Then \bar{P} is a projective R -module, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\hat{R}}^i(M \otimes_R \hat{R}, \hat{C} \otimes_{\hat{R}} \bar{P}) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, C \otimes_R \bar{P}) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\mathbb{W} \otimes_R \hat{R}, \hat{C} \otimes_{\hat{R}} \bar{P}) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{W}, C \otimes_R \bar{P}) \end{aligned}$$

is exact, which gives that $M \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is a \hat{C} -Gorenstein projective \hat{R} -module. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let (R, m) be a local ring and M an R -module. If \hat{R} is a projective R -module, then*

- (1) *if M is a C -Gorenstein injective R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M)$ is a \hat{C} -Gorenstein injective \hat{R} -module;*
- (2) *$\text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M)$ is a \hat{C} -Gorenstein injective \hat{R} -module if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M)$ is a C -Gorenstein injective R -module.*

Proof. (1) There exist injective R -modules I_0, I_1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{V}: \dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_0) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then $\text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, \mathbb{V}): \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, I_1)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, I_0)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact and every $\text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, I_i)$ is an injective \hat{R} -module since $\text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, \text{Hom}_R(C, I_i)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, I_i))$. Let \bar{T} be any injective \hat{R} -module. Then \bar{T} is an injective R -module. By [13, p. 258, 9.21], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\hat{R}}^i(\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, \bar{T}), \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M)) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, \bar{T}), M) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, \bar{T}), \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, \mathbb{V})) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, \bar{T}), \mathbb{V}) \end{aligned}$$

is exact. Hence $\text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M)$ is a \hat{C} -Gorenstein injective \hat{R} -module.

- (2) “ \Rightarrow ” There exist injective \hat{R} -modules $\bar{T}_0, \bar{T}_1, \dots$ together with an exact sequence

$$\bar{\mathbb{V}}: \dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, \bar{T}_1) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, \bar{T}_0) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then $\overline{\mathbb{V}}' : \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, \overline{I}_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, \overline{I}_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact and each \overline{I}_i is an injective R -module. Let I be any injective R -module. Then I is isomorphic to a summand of $E(k)^X$ for some set X , and so $I \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is isomorphic to a summand of $E(k)^X \otimes_R \hat{R} \cong E_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}/\hat{m})^X \otimes_R \hat{R}$ by [2, Theorem 3.4.1]. Thus $I \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is an injective \hat{R} -module by [2, Theorem 3.2.16]. Now by [13, p. 258, 9.21] and [2, Theorem 3.2.4], we see that $\forall i \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M)) &\cong \text{Ext}_{\hat{R}}^i(\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, I \otimes_R \hat{R}), \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M)) = 0, \\ \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, I), \overline{\mathbb{V}}') &\cong \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, I \otimes_R \hat{R}), \overline{\mathbb{V}}) \end{aligned}$$

is exact, which implies that $\text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M)$ is a C -Gorenstein injective R -module.

“ \Leftarrow ” Since $\text{Hom}_R(\hat{R} \otimes_R \hat{R}, E(k)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, E(k))) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, E(k))$ by the proof of [14, Corollary 2.5], hence $\hat{R} \otimes_R \hat{R} \cong \hat{R}$, and so $\text{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M)$ is a \hat{C} -Gorenstein injective \hat{R} -module by (1). \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let (R, m) be a local ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent for a finitely generated R -module M :*

- (1) M is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module;
- (2) \hat{M} is a \hat{C} -Gorenstein flat \hat{R} -module;
- (3) \hat{M} is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module.

Proof. Since $\text{Tor}_i^{\hat{R}}(\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, E(k)), \hat{M}) \cong \text{Tor}_i^{\hat{R}}(\text{Hom}_R(C, E(k)) \otimes_R \hat{R}, \hat{M}) \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, E(k)), M) \otimes_R \hat{R}$ by [2, Theorem 2.1.11], hence $\text{Tor}_i^{\hat{R}}(\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, E(k)), \hat{M}) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, E(k)), M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) There exist flat R -modules F^0, F^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{X}: 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then $\mathbb{X} \otimes_R \hat{R}: 0 \rightarrow \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{C} \otimes_{\hat{R}} (F^0 \otimes_R \hat{R}) \rightarrow \hat{C} \otimes_{\hat{R}} (F^1 \otimes_R \hat{R}) \rightarrow \dots$ is exact and every $F^i \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is a flat \hat{R} -module by [2, p. 43, Exercise 9]. Let \overline{I} be any injective \hat{R} -module. Then \overline{I} is an injective R -module, and so $\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, \overline{I}) \otimes_{\hat{R}} \hat{R} \otimes_R \mathbb{X} \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, \overline{I}) \otimes_R \mathbb{X}$ is exact. Since \overline{I} is isomorphic to a summand of $E(k)^X$ for some set X and $\text{Tor}_i^{\hat{R}}(\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, E(k)^X), \hat{M}) \cong \text{Tor}_i^{\hat{R}}(\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, E(k)), \hat{M})^X = 0$ by [2, Theorem 3.2.26] we have $\text{Tor}_i^{\hat{R}}(\text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, \overline{I}), \hat{M}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Therefore \hat{M} is a \hat{C} -Gorenstein flat \hat{R} -module.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) There exist flat \hat{R} -modules $\overline{F}^0, \overline{F}^1, \dots$ together with an exact sequence

$$\overline{\mathbb{X}}: 0 \longrightarrow \hat{M} \longrightarrow \hat{C} \otimes_{\hat{R}} \overline{F}^0 \longrightarrow \hat{C} \otimes_{\hat{R}} \overline{F}^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then $\mathbb{X}: 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C \otimes_R \overline{F}^0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R \overline{F}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ is exact since \hat{R} is a faithfully flat R -module and each $\overline{F}^i \cong \overline{F}^i \otimes_{\hat{R}} \hat{R} \cong \overline{F}^i \otimes_{\hat{R}} (\hat{R} \otimes_R \hat{R}) \cong \overline{F}^i \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is a flat R -module.

Let J be any injective R -module. Then $J \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is an injective \hat{R} -module. Thus $\text{Hom}_R(C, J) \otimes_R \times \otimes_R \hat{R} \cong \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{C}, J \otimes_R \hat{R}) \otimes_{\hat{R}} \overline{\times}$ is exact by [2, Theorem 3.2.4], and hence $\text{Hom}_R(C, J) \otimes_R \times$ is exact. Since J is isomorphic to a summand of $E(k)^X$ for some set X and $\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, E(k)^X), M) \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, E(k)), M)^X = 0$ by [2, Theorem 3.2.26] we have $\text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, J), M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Thus M is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3) By $\hat{R} \otimes_R \hat{R} \cong \hat{R}$.

If R is a ring, then $R[x]$ is the polynomial ring. If M is an R -module, write $M[x] = R[x] \otimes_R M$. Since $R[x]$ is a free R -module and since the tensor product commutes with sums, we may regard the elements of $M[x]$ as ‘vectors’ $(x^i \otimes_R m_i)$, $i \geq 0$, $m_i \in M$ with almost all $m_i = 0$. $M[[x^{-1}]]$ is the $R[x]$ -module such that $x(m_0 + m_1x^{-1} + \dots) = m_1 + m_2x^{-1} + \dots$ and $r(m_0 + m_1x^{-1} + \dots) = rm_0 + rm_1x^{-1} + \dots$, where $r \in R$. \square

Lemma 4.5. $C[x]$ is a semi-dualizing module of $R[x]$.

Proof. By analogy with the proof of Lemma 4.1 \square

Proposition 4.6. M is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module if and only if $M[x]$ is a $C[x]$ -Gorenstein projective $R[x]$ -module.

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ” There exist projective R -modules P^0, P^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{W}: 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R P^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then $\mathbb{W} \otimes_R R[x]: 0 \rightarrow M[x] \rightarrow C[x] \otimes_{R[x]} P^0[x] \rightarrow C[x] \otimes_{R[x]} P^1[x] \rightarrow \dots$ is exact and each $P^i[x]$ is a projective $R[x]$ -module by [11, Proposition 5.11]. Let \bar{Q} be any projective $R[x]$ -module. Then \bar{Q} is a projective R -module, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^i(M[x], C[x] \otimes_{R[x]} \bar{Q}) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, C \otimes_R \bar{Q}) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ \text{Hom}_{R[x]}(\mathbb{W} \otimes_R R[x], C[x] \otimes_{R[x]} \bar{Q}) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{W}, C \otimes_R \bar{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

is exact. Therefore $M[x]$ is a $C[x]$ -Gorenstein projective $R[x]$ -module.

“ \Leftarrow ” There exist projective $R[x]$ -modules $\bar{P}^0, \bar{P}^1, \dots$ together with an exact sequence

$$\overline{\mathbb{W}}: 0 \longrightarrow M[x] \longrightarrow C[x] \otimes_{R[x]} \bar{P}^0 \longrightarrow C[x] \otimes_{R[x]} \bar{P}^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then $\overline{W}' : 0 \rightarrow M[x] \rightarrow C \otimes_R \overline{P}^0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R \overline{P}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ is exact and every \overline{P}^i is a projective R -module. Let Q be any projective R -module. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^i(M[x], C[x] \otimes_{R[x]} Q[x]) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M[x], C \otimes_R Q[x]) \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ &\text{Hom}_R(\overline{W}', C \otimes_R Q[x]) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\overline{W}, \text{Hom}_{R[x]}(R[x], C \otimes_R Q[x])) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{R[x]}(\overline{W}, C[x] \otimes_{R[x]} Q[x]) \end{aligned}$$

is exact, and hence $\text{Hom}_R(\overline{W}', C \otimes_R Q)$ is exact and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M[x], C \otimes_R Q) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ since Q is isomorphic to a summand of $Q[x]$. Thus $M[x]$ is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module, and it follows that M is a C -Gorenstein projective R -module by Proposition 2.1. \square

Proposition 4.7. *M is a C -Gorenstein injective R -module if and only if $M[[x^{-1}]]$ is a $C[x]$ -Gorenstein injective $R[x]$ -module.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ” There exist injective R -modules I_0, I_1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{V} : \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I_0) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $\text{Hom}_R(R[x], \mathbb{V}) : \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R[x]}(C[x], \text{Hom}_R(R[x], I_0)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R[x], M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact and each $\text{Hom}_R(R[x], I_i)$ is an injective $R[x]$ -module. Let \overline{E} be any injective $R[x]$ -module. Then \overline{E} is an injective R -module. By [13, p. 258, 9.21] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^i(\text{Hom}_{R[x]}(C[x], \overline{E}), \text{Hom}_R(R[x], M)) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, \overline{E}), M) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ \text{Hom}_{R[x]}(\text{Hom}_{R[x]}(C[x], \overline{E}), \text{Hom}_R(R[x], \mathbb{V})) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, \overline{E}), \mathbb{V}) \end{aligned}$$

is exact, and so $M[[x^{-1}]] \cong \text{Hom}_R(R[x], M)$ is a $C[x]$ -Gorenstein injective $R[x]$ -module.

“ \Leftarrow ” There exist injective $R[x]$ -modules $\overline{I}_0, \overline{I}_1, \dots$ together with an exact sequence

$$\overline{\mathbb{V}} : \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R[x]}(C[x], \overline{I}_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R[x]}(C[x], \overline{I}_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R[x], M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $\overline{\mathbb{V}}' : \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, \overline{I}_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, \overline{I}_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R[x], M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact and every \overline{I}_i is an injective R -module. Let E be any injective R -module. Then $\text{Hom}_R(R[x], E)$ is an injective $R[x]$ -module, and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Hom}_R(R[x], E)), M[[x^{-1}]]) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^i(\text{Hom}_{R[x]}(C[x], \text{Hom}_R(R[x], E)), M[[x^{-1}]]) = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ &\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Hom}_R(R[x], E)), \overline{\mathbb{V}}') \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{R[x]}(\text{Hom}_{R[x]}(C[x], \text{Hom}_R(R[x], E)), \overline{\mathbb{V}}) \end{aligned}$$

is exact, which gives that $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, E), \overline{V}')$ is exact and $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(C, E), \text{Hom}_R(R[x], M)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ since E is isomorphic to a summand of $\text{Hom}_R(R[x], E)$. Thus $M[[x^{-1}]]$ is a C -Gorenstein injective R -module, and hence M is a C -Gorenstein injective R -module by Proposition 2.2. \square

Proposition 4.8. *M is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module if and only if $M[x]$ is a $C[x]$ -Gorenstein flat $R[x]$ -module.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ” There exist flat R -modules F^0, F^1, \dots together with an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{X}: 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^0 \longrightarrow C \otimes_R F^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then $\mathbb{X} \otimes_R R[x]: 0 \rightarrow M[x] \rightarrow C[x] \otimes_{R[x]} F^0[x] \rightarrow C[x] \otimes_{R[x]} F^1[x] \rightarrow \dots$ is exact and every $F^i[x]$ is a flat $R[x]$ -module. Let \overline{E} be any injective $R[x]$ -module. Then \overline{E} is an injective R -module, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i^{R[x]}(\text{Hom}_{R[x]}(C[x], \overline{E}), M[x])^+ &\cong \text{Ext}_{R[x]}^i(M[x], \text{Hom}_R(C, \overline{E})^+) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \text{Hom}_R(C, \overline{E})^+) \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_i^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, \overline{E}), M)^+ = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ \text{Hom}_{R[x]}(C[x], \overline{E}) \otimes_{R[x]} \mathbb{X} \otimes_R R[x] &\cong \text{Hom}_R(C, \overline{E}) \otimes_R \mathbb{X} \end{aligned}$$

is exact. Thus $M[x]$ is a $C[x]$ -Gorenstein flat $R[x]$ -module.

“ \Leftarrow ” There exist flat $R[x]$ -modules $\overline{F}^0, \overline{F}^1, \dots$ together with an exact sequence

$$\overline{\mathbb{X}}: 0 \longrightarrow M[x] \longrightarrow C[x] \otimes_{R[x]} \overline{F}^0 \longrightarrow C[x] \otimes_{R[x]} \overline{F}^1 \longrightarrow \dots$$

Then $\overline{\mathbb{X}}': 0 \rightarrow M[x] \rightarrow C \otimes_R \overline{F}^0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R \overline{F}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ is exact and each \overline{F}^i is a flat R -module. Let E be any injective R -module. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{Tor}_i^{R[x]}(M[x], \text{Hom}_{R[x]}(C[x], \text{Hom}_R(R[x], E)))^+ \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M[x], \text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Hom}_R(R[x], E)))^+ \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_i^R(M[x], \text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Hom}_R(R[x], E)))^+ \quad \forall i \geq 1, \\ \overline{\mathbb{X}}' \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Hom}_R(R[x], E)) &\cong \overline{\mathbb{X}} \otimes_{R[x]} \text{Hom}_{R[x]}(C[x], \text{Hom}_R(R[x], E)) \end{aligned}$$

is exact, which implies that $\overline{\mathbb{X}}' \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, E)$ is exact and moreover $\text{Ext}_R^i(M[x], \text{Hom}_R(C, E)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Thus $M[x]$ is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module, and so M is a C -Gorenstein flat R -module by Proposition 3.3. \square

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the referee for helpful suggestions and corrections.

References

- [1] *L. W. Christensen, A. Frankild and H. Holm*: On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions—a functorial description with applications. *J. Algebra* 302 (2006), 231–279.
- [2] *E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda*: *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Exp. Math. Walter de Gruyter and Co., Berlin. 2000.
- [3] *E. E. Enochs, A. Iacos and O. M. G. Jenda*: Closure under transfinite extensions. *Illinois J. Math.* 51 (2007), 561–569.
- [4] *H. Holm*: Gorenstein homological dimensions. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 189 (2004), 167–193.
- [5] *H. Holm and P. Jørgensen*: Cohen-Macaulay homological dimensions. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova* 117 (2007), 87–112.
- [6] *H. Holm and P. Jørgensen*: Semi-dualizing modules and related Gorenstein homological dimensions. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 205 (2006), 423–445.
- [7] *H. Holm and D. White*: Foxby equivalence over associative rings. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 47 (2007), 781–808.
- [8] *F. Kasch*: *Modules and Rings*. London Math. Soc. Monogr. Academic press, London, 1981.
- [9] *T. Y. Lam*: *Lecture on Modules and Rings*. Springer Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [10] *X. Yang and Z. Liu*: Gorenstein projective, injective and flat modules. *J. Aust. Math. Soc* 87 (2009), 395–407.
- [11] *M. S. Osborne*: *Basic Homological Algebra*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, Berlin, 2000.
- [12] *S. Park and E. C. Pusan*: Injective and projective properties of $R[x]$ -modules. *Czech. Math. J.* 54 (2004), 573–578.
- [13] *J. J. Rotman*: *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*. Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [14] *R. Sazeedeh*: Strongly torsion free, copure flat and Matlis reflexive modules. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 192 (2004), 265–274.
- [15] *J. Trlifaj*: Ext and inverse limits. *Illinois J. Math.* 47 (2003), 529–538.

Authors' address: Xiao Yan Yang, Zhong Kui Liu, Dept. of Math., Northwest Normal University, China, Lanzhou 730070, e-mail: yangxy@nwnu.edu.cn, liuzk@nwnu.edu.cn.