

Zdenka Kolar-Begović

A short direct characterization of GS-quasigroups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 61 (2011), No. 1, 3–6

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/141514>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2011

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A SHORT DIRECT CHARACTERIZATION OF GS-QUASIGROUPS

ZDENKA KOLAR-BEGOVIĆ, Osijek

(Received April 6, 2005)

Abstract. The theorem about the characterization of a GS-quasigroup by means of a commutative group in which there is an automorphism which satisfies certain conditions, is proved directly.

Keywords: GS-quasigroup, commutative group

MSC 2010: 20N05

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of a GS-quasigroup is defined in [1].

A quasigroup (Q, \cdot) is called a GS-quasigroup if it satisfies the (mutually equivalent) identities

$$(1.1) \quad a(ab \cdot c) \cdot c = b,$$

$$(1.2) \quad a \cdot (a \cdot bc)c = b,$$

and the identity of idempotency

$$(1.3) \quad aa = a.$$

It can be proved that GS-quasigroups are medial quasigroups, i.e. the identity

$$(1.4) \quad ab \cdot cd = ac \cdot bd$$

is valid. Namely, we have successively

$$ac \cdot (ab \cdot cd)d \stackrel{(1.2)}{=} a[ab \cdot (ab \cdot cd)d] \cdot (ab \cdot cd)d \stackrel{(1.1)}{=} b \stackrel{(1.2)}{=} ac \cdot (ac \cdot bd)d,$$

where from (1.4) follows.

As a consequence of the identity of mediality the considered GS-quasigroup (Q, \cdot) satisfies the identities of elasticity and the left and right distributivity, i.e. we have the identities

$$(1.5) \quad a \cdot ba = ab \cdot a,$$

$$(1.6) \quad a \cdot bc = ab \cdot ac,$$

$$(1.7) \quad ab \cdot c = ac \cdot bc.$$

Further, the identities

$$(1.8) \quad a(ab \cdot c) = b \cdot bc,$$

$$(1.9) \quad (a \cdot bc)c = ab \cdot b$$

are also valid in any GS-quasigroup. Namely, we have successively

$$a(ab \cdot c) \cdot c \stackrel{(1.1)}{=} b \stackrel{(1.1)}{=} b(bb \cdot c) \cdot c \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} (b \cdot bc)c$$

wherefrom the identity (1.8) follows. Analogously, by virtue of

$$a \cdot (a \cdot bc)c \stackrel{(1.2)}{=} b \stackrel{(1.2)}{=} a \cdot (a \cdot bb)b \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} a(ab \cdot b)$$

we get the identity (1.9).

Example 1.1. Let $(G, +)$ be a commutative group in which there is an automorphism φ which satisfies the identity

$$(1.10) \quad (\varphi \circ \varphi)(a) - \varphi(a) - a = 0.$$

If the binary operation on the set G is defined by the identity

$$(1.11) \quad ab = a + \varphi(b - a),$$

then it can be proved that (G, \cdot) is a GS-quasigroup ([1]).

2. A DIRECT CHARACTERIZATION OF GS-QUASIGROUPS

We will prove that Example 1.1 is a characteristic example of a GS-quasigroup, namely, any GS-quasigroup can be obtained from a commutative group in the way given in Example 1.1.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (Q, \cdot) be a GS-quasigroup, then there is a commutative group $(Q, +)$ and its automorphism φ which satisfies the identities (1.10) and (1.11).*

Proof. Let 0 be a given point. If we define the addition of points in Q by

$$(2.1) \quad a + b = 0(0a \cdot b0) \cdot 0$$

then $(Q, +)$ is a commutative group with the neutral element 0. Let us prove the above in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} a + b &\stackrel{(2.1)}{=} 0(0a \cdot b0) \cdot 0 \stackrel{(1.4)}{=} 0(0b \cdot a0) \cdot 0 \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} b + a, \\ a + 0 &\stackrel{(2.1)}{=} 0(0a \cdot 00) \cdot 0 \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} 0(0a \cdot 0) \cdot 0 \stackrel{(1.1)}{=} a. \end{aligned}$$

For

$$-a = 0a \cdot 0$$

we get

$$a + (-a) \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} 0[0a \cdot (0a \cdot 0)0] \cdot 0 \stackrel{(1.5)}{=} 0 \cdot [0a \cdot (0a \cdot 0)0]0 \stackrel{(1.1)}{=} 0 \cdot 0 \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} 0.$$

Now, we shall prove the associativity. If we introduce the abbreviation $a + b = d$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b) + c &= d + c \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} 0(0d \cdot c0) \cdot 0 \stackrel{(1.6),(1.7)}{=} (0 \cdot 0d)0 \cdot (0 \cdot c0)0 \\ &\stackrel{(1.5)}{=} 0(0d \cdot 0) \cdot (0 \cdot c0)0 \stackrel{(1.8),(1.9)}{=} (d \cdot d0)(0c \cdot c). \end{aligned}$$

Because of

$$(d \cdot d0)0 \stackrel{(1.9)}{=} dd \cdot d \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} d = a + b \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} 0(0a \cdot b0) \cdot 0$$

we get

$$d \cdot d0 = 0(0a \cdot b0) \stackrel{(1.6)}{=} (0 \cdot 0a)(0 \cdot b0).$$

On the other hand, the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b) + c &= (d \cdot d0)(0c \cdot c) = (0 \cdot 0a)(0 \cdot b0) \cdot (0c \cdot c) \stackrel{(1.4)}{=} (0 \cdot 0a)(0c) \cdot (0 \cdot b0)c \\ &\stackrel{(1.6)}{=} 0(0a \cdot c) \cdot (0 \cdot b0)c \stackrel{(1.4)}{=} 0(0 \cdot b0) \cdot (0a \cdot c)c \end{aligned}$$

are valid. Similarly we have the identity

$$(c + b) + a = 0(0 \cdot b0) \cdot (0c \cdot a)a.$$

However, we have

$$(0c \cdot a)a \stackrel{(1.7)}{=} (0a \cdot ca)a \stackrel{(1.9)}{=} (0a \cdot c)c.$$

So, the previous equality yields

$$a + (b + c) = (c + b) + a = 0(0 \cdot b0) \cdot (0c \cdot a)a = 0(0 \cdot b0) \cdot (0a \cdot c)c = (a + b) + c.$$

The mapping $\varphi: Q \rightarrow Q$ defined by $\varphi(a) = 0a$ is an automorphism of the group $(Q, +)$ so that the identities (1.10) and (1.11) hold. Let us prove it like this:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) &= 0a + 0b \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} [0 \cdot (0 \cdot 0a)(0b \cdot 0)]0 \stackrel{(1.5)}{=} [0 \cdot (0 \cdot 0a)(0 \cdot b0)]0 \\ &\stackrel{(1.6)}{=} [0 \cdot 0(0a \cdot b0)]0 \stackrel{(1.5)}{=} 0[0(0a \cdot b0) \cdot 0] \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} 0(a + b) = \varphi(a + b). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, it can be proved that the mapping $\psi: Q \rightarrow Q$ defined by $\psi(a) = a0$ is also an automorphism of the group $(Q, +)$.

For any points a, b the following identities hold:

$$\psi(a) + \varphi(b) = a0 + 0b \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} [0 \cdot (0 \cdot a0)(0b \cdot 0)]0 \stackrel{(1.6), (1.7)}{=} [0(0 \cdot a0) \cdot 0][0(0b \cdot 0) \cdot 0] \stackrel{(1.5), (1.1)}{=} ab.$$

This equality and (1.3) immediately imply

$$\psi(a) = a - \varphi(a).$$

By virtue of

$$-a = 0a \cdot 0 = \psi(\varphi(a)) = \varphi(a) - \varphi(\varphi(a))$$

the identity (1.10) follows.

Finally, it remains to prove the identity (1.11) which can actually be achieved from the following

$$ab = \psi(a) + \varphi(b) = a - \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) = a + \varphi(b - a).$$

□

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the Editorial Board on the information about the paper from 1993 in which Havel and Sedlářová also considered the characterization of GS-quasigroups. This paper is included in the references under [2].

References

- [1] V. Volenec: GS-quasigroups. Čas. pěst. mat. 115 (1990), 307–318.
- [2] V. J. Havel and M. Sedlářová: On golden section quasigroups. Proceeding of the Czech Meeting 1993 on Incidence Structures, Palacký University, Olomouc, 1993, pp. 18–19.

Author's address: Zdenka Kolar-Begović, Department of Mathematics University of Osijek, Gajev trg 6, HR-31 000 Osijek, Croatia, e-mail: zkolar@mathos.hr.