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# ON COMPLETE SPACELIKE HYPERSURFACES WITH $R=a H+b$ IN LOCALLY SYMMETRIC LORENTZ SPACES 

Yingbo Han ${ }^{\dagger}$, Shuxiang Feng, and Liju Yu ${ }^{\ddagger}$


#### Abstract

In this note, we investigate $n$-dimensional spacelike hypersurfaces $M^{n}$ with $R=a H+b$ in locally symmetric Lorentz space. Two rigidity theorems are obtained for these spacelike hypersurfaces.


## 1. Introduction

Let $M_{1}^{n+1}$ be an $(n+1)$-dimensional Lorentz space, i.e. a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of index 1 . When the Lorentz space $M_{1}^{n+1}$ is of constant curvature $c$, we call it a Lorentz space form, denoted by $M_{1}^{n+1}(c)$. A hypersurface $M^{n}$ of a Lorentz space is said to be spacelike if the induced metric on $M^{n}$ from that of the Lorentz space is positive definite. Since Goddard's conjecture (see [7), several papers about spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}(1)$ have been published. For a more complete study of spacelike hypersurfaces in general Lorentzian space with constant mean curvature, we refer to [2]. For the study of spacelike hypersurface with constant scalar curvature in de Sitter space $S_{1}^{n+1}(1)$, there are also many results such as 4, 9, 14, 15, There are some results about spacelike hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in general Lorentzian space, such as [8 and [13].

It is natural to study complete spacelike hypersurfaces in the more general Lorentz spaces, satisfying the assumptions $R=a H+b$, where $R$ is the normalized scalar curvature at a point of space-like hypersurface, $H$ is the mean curvature and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants. First of all, we recall that Choi et al. 66 [12 introduced the class of $(n+1)$-dimensional Lorentz spaces $M_{1}^{n+1}$ of index 1 which satisfy the following two conditions for some fixed constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ :
(i) for any spacelike vector $u$ and any timelike vector $v$,

$$
K(u, v)=-\frac{c_{1}}{n},
$$

(ii) for any spacelike vectors $u$ and $v$,

$$
K(u, v) \geq c_{2} .
$$

[^0](Here, and in the sequel, $K$ denotes the sectional curvature of $M_{1}^{n+1}$.)
Convention. When $M_{1}^{n+1}$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii), we shall say that $M_{1}^{n+1}$ satisfies condition (*).

We compute the scalar curvature at a point of Lorentz space $M_{1}^{n+1}$,
(1) $\bar{R}=\sum_{A} \epsilon_{A} \bar{R}_{A A}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \bar{R}_{n+1 i i n+1}+\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i}=-2 c_{1}+\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i}$,
where $\bar{R}_{n+1 i i n+1}=-K\left(e_{i}, e_{n+1}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{n}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
It is known that $\bar{R}$ is constant when the Lorentz space $M_{1}^{n+1}$ is locally symmetric, so $\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i}$ is constant. In this note, we shall prove the following main results:

Theorem 1.1. Let $M^{n}$ be a complete spacelike hypersurface with bounded mean curvature in locally symmetric Lorentz space $M_{1}^{n+1}$ satisfying the condition (*). If $R=a H+b,(n-1)^{2} a^{2}+4 \sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i}-4 n(n-1) b \geq 0$, and $a \geq 0$, then the following properties hold.
(1) If sup $H^{2}<\frac{4(n-1)}{n^{2}} c$, where $c=\frac{c_{1}}{n}+2 c_{2}$, then $c>0, S=n H^{2}$ and $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical.
(2) If $\sup H^{2}=\frac{4(n-1)}{n^{2}} c$, then $c \geq 0$ and either $S=n H^{2}$ and $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical, or $\sup S=n c$.
(3-a) If $c<0$, then either $S=n H^{2}$ and $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical, or $n \sup H^{2}<$ $\sup S \leq S^{+}$.
(3-b) If $c \geq 0$ and $\sup H^{2} \geq c>\frac{4(n-1)}{n^{2}} c$, then either $S=n H^{2}$ and $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical, or $n \sup H^{2}<\sup S \leq S^{+}$.
(3-c) If $c \geq 0$ and $c>\sup H^{2}>\frac{4(n-1)}{n^{2}} c$, then either $S=n H^{2}$ and $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical, or $S^{-} \leq \sup S \leq S^{+}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \equiv \frac{n}{2(n-1)}\left[n^{2} \sup H^{2}+(n-2) \sup |H| \sqrt{n^{2} \sup H^{2}-4(n-1) c}\right]-n c \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $M$ is an isoparametric hypersurface with two distinct principal curvatures one of which is simple.

Here $S^{+}=\frac{n}{2(n-1)}\left[n^{2} \sup H^{2}+(n-2) \sup |H| \sqrt{n^{2} \sup H^{2}-4(n-1) c}\right]-n c$, and $S^{-}=\frac{n}{2(n-1)}\left[n^{2} \sup H^{2}-(n-2) \sup |H| \sqrt{n^{2} \sup H^{2}-4(n-1) c}\right]-n c$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $M^{n}(n>1)$ be a complete spacelike hypersurface in locally symmetric Lorentz space $M_{1}^{n+1}$ satisfying the condition (*). If $c=\frac{c_{1}}{n}+c_{2}>0$, $c_{2}>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{2}=\operatorname{tr}(W) W \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is the shape operator with respect to $e_{n+1}$, then $M^{n}$ must be totally geodesic.
Remark 1.3. The Lorentz space form $M_{1}^{n+1}(c)$ satisfies the condition (*), where $-\frac{c_{1}}{n}=c_{2}=$ const.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M^{n}$ be a spacelike hypersurface of Lorentz space $M_{1}^{n+1}$. We choose a local field of semi-Riemannian orthonormal frames $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, e_{n+1}\right\}$ in $M_{1}^{n+1}$ such that, restricted to $M^{n}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M^{n}$ and $e_{n+1}$ is the unit timelike normal vector. Denote by $\left\{\omega_{A}\right\}$ the corresponding dual coframe and by $\left\{\omega_{A B}\right\}$ the connection forms of $M_{1}^{n+1}$. Then the structure equations of $M_{1}^{n+1}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
d \omega_{A} & =-\sum_{B} \epsilon_{B} \omega_{A B} \wedge \omega_{B}, \quad \omega_{A B}+\omega_{B A}=0, \quad \epsilon_{i}=1, \quad \epsilon_{n+1}=-1  \tag{4}\\
d \omega_{A B} & =-\sum_{C} \epsilon_{C} \omega_{A C} \wedge \omega_{C B}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{C D} \epsilon_{C} \epsilon_{D} \bar{R}_{A B C D} \omega_{C} \wedge \omega_{D} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A, B, C, \cdots=1, \ldots, n+1$ and $i, j, l, \cdots=1, \ldots, n$. The components $\bar{R}_{C D}$ of the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature $\bar{R}$ of $M_{1}^{n+1}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{C D}=\sum_{B} \epsilon_{B} \bar{R}_{B C D B}, \quad \bar{R}=\sum_{A} \epsilon_{A} \bar{R}_{A A} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components $\bar{R}_{A B C D ; E}$ of the covariant derivative of the Riemannian curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{E} \epsilon_{E} \bar{R}_{A B C D ; E} \omega_{E}= & d \bar{R}_{A B C D}-\sum_{E} \epsilon_{E}\left(\bar{R}_{E B C D} \omega_{E A}\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{R}_{A E C D} \omega_{E B}+\bar{R}_{A B E D} \omega_{E C}+\bar{R}_{A B C E} \omega_{E D}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

We restrict these forms to $M^{n}$, then $\omega_{n+1}=0$ and the Riemannian metric of $M^{n}$ is written as $d s^{2}=\sum_{i} \omega_{i}^{2}$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=d \omega_{n+1}=-\sum_{i} \omega_{n+1, i} \wedge \omega_{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Cartan's lemma we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n+1, i}=\sum_{j} h_{i j} \omega_{j}, \quad h_{i j}=h_{j i} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these formulas, we obtain the structure equations of $M^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
d \omega_{i} & =-\sum_{j} \omega_{i j} \wedge \omega_{j}, \quad \omega_{i j}+\omega_{j i}=0 \\
d \omega_{i j} & =-\sum_{k} \omega_{i k} \wedge \omega_{k j}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} R_{i j k l} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l} \\
R_{i j k l} & =\bar{R}_{i j k l}-\left(h_{i l} h_{j k}-h_{i k} h_{j l}\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{i j k l}$ are the components of curvature tensor of $M^{n}$. Components $R_{i j}$ of Ricci tensor and scalar curvature $R$ of $M^{n}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i j} & =\sum_{k} \bar{R}_{k i j k}-\left(\sum_{k} h_{k k}\right) h_{i j}+\sum_{k} h_{i k} h_{j k},  \tag{11}\\
n(n-1) R & =\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i}+S-n^{2} H^{2} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

We call

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\sum_{i, j, \alpha} h_{i j} \omega_{i} \otimes \omega_{j} \otimes e_{n+1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the second fundamental form of $M^{n}$. The mean curvature vector is $h=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} h_{i i} e_{n+1}$. We denote $S=\sum_{i, j}\left(h_{i j}\right)^{2}, H^{2}=|h|^{2}$ and $W=\left(h_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}$. We call that $M^{n}$ is maximal if its mean curvature vector vanishes, i.e. $h=0$.

Let $h_{i j k}$ and $h_{i j k l}$ denote the covariant derivative and the second covariant derivative of $h_{i j}^{\alpha}$. Then we have $h_{i j k}=h_{i k j}+\bar{R}_{(n+1) i j k}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k l}-h_{i j l k}=-\sum_{m} h_{i m} R_{m j k l}-\sum_{m} h_{m j} R_{m i k l} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Restricting the covariant derivative $\bar{R}_{A B C D ; E}$ on $M^{n}$, then $\bar{R}_{(n+1) i j k ; l}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}_{(n+1) i j k ; l}= & \bar{R}_{(n+1) i j k l}+\bar{R}_{(n+1) i(n+1) k} h_{j l} \\
& +\bar{R}_{(n+1) i j(n+1)} h_{k l}+\sum_{m} \bar{R}_{m i j k} h_{m l} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{R}_{(n+1) i j k l}$ denotes the covariant derivative of $\bar{R}_{(n+1) i j k}$ as a tensor on $M^{n}$ so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}_{(n+1) i j k l}= & g \bar{R}_{(n+1) i j k}-\sum_{l} \bar{R}_{(n+1) l j k} \omega_{l i}-\sum_{l} \bar{R}_{(n+1) i l k} \omega_{l j} \\
& -\sum_{l} \bar{R}_{(n+1) i j l} \omega_{l k} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The Laplacian $\triangle h_{i j}$ is defined by $\triangle h_{i j}=\sum_{k} h_{i j k k}$. Using Gauss equation, Codazzi equation Ricci identity and (2), a straightforward calculation will give

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \triangle S= & \sum_{i j k} h_{i j k}^{2}+\sum_{i j} h_{i j} \triangle h_{i j} \\
= & \sum_{i j k} h_{i j k}^{2}+\sum_{i j}(n H)_{i j} h_{i j}+\sum_{i j k}\left(\bar{R}_{(n+1) i j k ; k}+\bar{R}_{(n+1) k i k ; j}\right) h_{i j} \\
& -\left(\sum_{i j} n H h_{i j} \bar{R}_{(n+1) i j(n+1)}+S \sum_{k} \bar{R}_{(n+1) k(n+1) k}\right) \\
& -2 \sum_{i j k l}\left(h_{k l} h_{i j} \bar{R}_{l i j k}+h_{i l} h_{i j} \bar{R}_{l k j k}\right)-n H \sum_{i j l} h_{i l} h_{l j} h_{i j}+S^{2} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $\Phi_{i j}=h_{i j}-H \delta_{i j}$, it is easy to check that $\Phi$ is traceless and $|\Phi|^{2}=S-n H^{2}$. In this note we consider the spacelike hypersurface with $R=a H+b$ in locally
symmetric Lorentz space $M_{1}^{n+1}$, where $a, b$ are real constants. Following Cheng-Yau [5], we introduce a modified operator acting on any $C^{2}$-function $f$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(f)=\sum_{i j}\left(n H \delta_{i j}-h_{i j}\right) f_{i j}+\frac{n-1}{2} a \Delta f . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need the following algebraic Lemmas.
Lemma 2.1 ([11]). Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold whose sectional curvature is bounded from below and $F: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function which is bounded above on $M^{n}$. Then there exists a sequence of points $x_{k} \in M^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} F\left(x_{k}\right)=\sup (F) \\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|\nabla F\left(x_{k}\right)\right|=0 \\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \max \left\{\left(\nabla^{2}(F)\left(x_{k}\right)\right)(X, X):|X|=1\right\} \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.2 (11, 10]). Let $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}$ be real numbers such that $\sum_{i} \mu_{i}=0$ and $\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{2}=\beta^{2}$, where $\beta \geq 0$ is constant. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{3}\right| \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \beta^{3} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equality holds if and only if at least $n-1$ of $\mu_{i}$ 's are equal.

## 3. Proof of the theorems

First, we give the following lemma.
Lemma 3.1. Let $M^{n}$ be a complete spacelike hypersurface in locally symmetric Lorentz space $M_{1}^{n+1}$ satisfying the condition (*). If $R=a H+b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(n-1)^{2} a^{2}+4 \sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i}-4 n(n-1) b \geq 0$.
(1) We have the following inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(n H) \geq|\Phi|^{2}\left(|\Phi|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|H||\Phi|+n c-n H^{2}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=2 c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}}{n}$.
(2) If the mean curvature $H$ is bounded, then there is a sequence of points $\left\{x_{k}\right\} \in M$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n H\left(x_{k}\right)=\sup (n H), \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|\nabla n H\left(x_{k}\right)\right|=0 \\
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(L(n H)\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \leq 0 \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. (1) Choose a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ such that $h_{i j}=$ $\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j}$ and $\Phi_{i j}=\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j}-H \delta_{i j}$. Let $\mu_{i}=\lambda_{i}-H$ and denote $\Phi^{2}=\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{2}$. From 12),
(18) and the relation $R=a H+b$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(n H) & =\sum_{i j}\left(n H \delta_{i j}-h_{i j}\right)(n H)_{i j}+\frac{(n-1) a}{2} \triangle(n H) \\
& =n H \triangle(n H)-\sum_{i j} h_{i j}(n H)_{i j}+\frac{1}{2} \triangle(n(n-1) R-n(n-1) b) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \triangle\left[(n H)^{2}+n(n-1) R\right]-n^{2}|\nabla H|^{2}-\sum_{i j} h_{i j}(n H)_{i j} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \triangle\left[\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i}+S\right]-n^{2}|\nabla H|^{2}-\sum_{i j} h_{i j}(n H)_{i j} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \triangle S-n^{2}|\nabla H|^{2}-\sum_{i j} h_{i j}(n H)_{i j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (17) and $M_{1}^{n}$ is locally symmetric, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
L(n H)=\underbrace{\sum_{i j k} h_{i j k}^{2}-n^{2}|\nabla H|^{2}}_{\text {I }} \underbrace{-n H \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{3}+S^{2}}_{\text {II }} \\
\underbrace{-\left(\sum_{i j} n H \lambda_{i} \bar{R}_{(n+1) i i(n+1)}+S \sum_{k} \bar{R}_{(n+1) k(n+1) k}\right)-2 \sum_{i j k l}\left(\lambda_{k} \lambda_{i} \bar{R}_{k i i k}+\lambda_{i}^{2} \bar{R}_{i k i k}\right)}_{\text {III }} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Firstly, we estimate (I):
From Gauss equation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i j j i} \bar{R}_{i j j i}+S-n^{2} H^{2}=n(n-1) R=n(n-1)(a H+b), \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the covariant derivative of the above equation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sum_{i j k} h_{i j} h_{i j k}=2 n^{2} H H_{k}+n(n-1) a H_{k} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 S \sum_{i j k} h_{i j k}^{2} \geq 4 \sum_{k}\left(\sum_{i j} h_{i j} h_{i j k}\right)^{2}=\left[2 n^{2} H+n(n-1) a\right]^{2}|\nabla H|^{2} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we know

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[2 n^{2} H+n(n-1) a\right]^{2}-4 n^{2} S=} & 4 n^{4} H^{2}+n^{2}(n-1)^{2} a^{2}+4 n^{3}(n-1) a H \\
& -4 n^{2}\left[n^{2} H^{2}+n(n-1) R-\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i}\right] \\
= & n^{2}\left[(n-1)^{2} a^{2}+4 \sum_{i j j i} \bar{R}_{i j j i}-4 n(n-1) b\right] \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

if follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i j k} h_{i j k}^{2} \geq n^{2}|\nabla H|^{2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly, we estimate (II):
It is easy to know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{3}=n H^{3}+3 H \sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{3} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying Lemma 2.2 to real numbers $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{2}-n H \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{3} & =\left(|\Phi|^{2}+n H^{2}\right)^{2}-n^{2} H^{4}-3 n H^{2}|\Phi|^{2}-n H \sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{3} \\
& \geq|\Phi|^{4}-n H^{2}|\Phi|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|H||\Phi|^{3} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we estimate (III):
Using curvature condition (*), we get

$$
\text { (28) }-\left(\sum_{i j} n H \lambda_{i} \bar{R}_{(n+1) i i(n+1)}+S \sum_{k} \bar{R}_{(n+1) k(n+1) k}\right)=c_{1}\left(S-n H^{2}\right) \text {. }
$$

Notice that $S-n H^{2}=\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{i j}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}$, we also have

$$
\begin{align*}
-2 \sum_{i k}\left(\lambda_{k} \lambda_{i} \bar{R}_{k i i k}+\lambda_{i}^{2} \bar{R}_{i k i k}\right) & =-2 \sum_{i k}\left(\lambda_{i} \lambda_{k}-\lambda_{i}^{2}\right) R_{i k k i} \\
& \geq c_{2} \sum_{i k}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{k}\right)^{2}=2 n c_{2}\left(S-n H^{2}\right) . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

From (25), ??, 28, 29) and set $c=2 c_{2}+\frac{c_{1}}{n}$, we have

$$
L(n H) \geq|\Phi|^{2}\left(|\Phi|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|H||\Phi|+n c-n H^{2}\right)
$$

(2) Choose a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ such that $h_{i j}=\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j}$. By definition, $L(n H)=\sum_{i}\left(n H-\lambda_{i}\right)(n H)_{i i}+\frac{(n-1) a}{2} \sum_{i}(n H)_{i i}$. If $H \equiv 0$ the result is obvious. Let suppose that $H$ is not identically zero. By changing the orientation of $M^{n}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\sup H>0$. From

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\lambda_{i}\right)^{2} \leq S & =n^{2} H^{2}+n(n-1) R-\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i} \\
& =n^{2} H^{2}+n(n-1)(a H+b)-\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i} \\
& =\left(n H+\frac{(n-1) a}{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}(n-1)^{2} a^{2}-\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i}+n(n-1) b \\
& \leq\left(n H+\frac{(n-1) a}{2}\right)^{2} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \leq\left|n H+\frac{(n-1) a}{2}\right| \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H$ is bounded and Eq. 30, we know that $S$ is also bounded. From the Eq. (10),

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i j j i} & =\bar{R}_{i j j i}-h_{i i} h_{j j}+\left(h_{i j}\right)^{2} \geq c_{2}-h_{i i} h_{j j} \\
& =c_{2}-\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \geq c_{2}-S \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that the sectional curvatures of $M^{n}$ are bounded from below because $S$ is bounded. Therefore we may apply Lemma 2.1 to the function $n H$, and obtain a sequence of points $\left\{x_{k}\right\} \in M^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n H\left(x_{k}\right)=\sup (n H), \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} & \left|\nabla(n H)\left(x_{k}\right)\right|=0 \\
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(n H_{i i}\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \leq 0 \tag{33}
\end{array}
$$

Since $H$ is bounded, taking subsequences if necessary, we can arrive to a sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\} \in M^{n}$ which satisfies (33) and such that $H\left(x_{k}\right) \geq 0$ (by changing the orientation of $M^{n}$ if necessary). Thus from (31) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq n H\left(x_{k}\right)+\frac{(n-1) a}{2}-\left|\lambda_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \leq n H\left(x_{k}\right)+\frac{(n-1) a}{2}-\lambda_{i}\left(x_{k}\right) \\
& \leq n H\left(x_{k}\right)+\frac{(n-1) a}{2}+\left|\lambda_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \leq 2\left(n H\left(x_{k}\right)+\frac{(n-1) a}{2}\right) . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Using once the fact that $H$ is bounded, from (34) we infer that $\left\{n H\left(x_{k}\right)-\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{i}^{n+1}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\}$ is non-negative and bounded. By applying $L(n H)$ at $x_{k}$, taking the limit and using (33) and (34) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup (L(n H))\left(x_{k}\right)  \tag{35}\\
& \quad \leq \sum_{i} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(n H+\frac{(n-1) a}{2}-\lambda_{i}\right)\left(x_{k}\right) n H_{i i}\left(x_{k}\right) \leq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.2. When $a=0$, then $R=b$ is constant, the inequality 20) appeared in [3, 8, 13].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. According to Lemma 3.1 (2), there exists a sequence of points $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ in $M^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} n H\left(x_{k}\right)=\sup (n H), \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(L(n H)\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \leq 0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Gauss equation, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Phi|^{2}=S-n H^{2}=n(n-1) H^{2}+n(n-1)(a H+b)-\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(n H)\left(x_{k}\right)=\sup (n H), a \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i}$ is constant, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}|\Phi|^{2}\left(x_{k}\right)=\sup |\Phi|^{2} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating at the points $x_{k}$ of the sequence, taking the limit and using (36), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(L(n H)\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \sup |\Phi|^{2}\left(\sup |\Phi|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \sup |H| \sup |\Phi|+n c-n \sup H^{2}\right) \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider the following polynomial given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\sup H}(x)=x^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \sup |H| x+n c-n \sup H^{2} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) If $\sup H^{2}<\frac{4(n-1)}{n^{2}} c$ holds, then we have $c>0$ and $P(\sup |\Phi|)>0$. From (39), we know that sup $|\Phi|=0$, that is $|\Phi|=0$. Thus, we infer that $S=n H^{2}$ and $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical.
(2) If $\sup H^{2}=\frac{4(n-1)}{n^{2}} c$ holds, then we have $c \geq 0$ and $P(|\Phi|)=(|\Phi|-$ $\left.\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{c}\right)^{2} \geq 0$. If $\left(|\Phi|-\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{c}\right)^{2}>0$, from (39) we have, $\sup |\Phi|=0$, that is $|\Phi|=0$. Thus, we infer that $S=n H^{2}$ and $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical. If $\sup |\Phi|=\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{c}$, we have that $\sup S=n c$.
(3) If $\sup H^{2}>\frac{4(n-1)}{n^{2}} c$, we know that $P(x)$ has two real roots $x_{\sup H}^{-}$and $x_{\sup H}^{+}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{\sup H}^{-}=\sqrt{\frac{n}{4(n-1)}}\left\{(n-2) \sup |H|-\sqrt{n^{2} \sup H^{2}-4(n-1) c}\right\} \\
& x_{\sup H}^{+}=\sqrt{\frac{n}{4(n-1)}}\left\{(n-2) \sup |H|+\sqrt{n^{2} \sup H^{2}-4(n-1) c}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to know that $x_{\sup H}^{+}$is always positive. In this case, we also have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\sup H}(x)=\left(\sup |\Phi|-x_{\sup H}^{-}\right)\left(\sup |\Phi|-x_{\sup H}^{+}\right) . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (39) and (41), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq \sup |\Phi|^{2}\left(\sup |\Phi|-x_{\sup H}^{-}\right)\left(\sup |\Phi|-x_{\sup H}^{+}\right) . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3-a) If $c<0$, we know that $x_{\text {sup } H}^{-}<0$. Therefore, from (42), we have, $\sup |\Phi|=$ 0 , in this case $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical, or $0<\sup |\Phi| \leq x_{\sup H}^{+}$, i.e.

$$
n \sup H^{2}<\sup S \leq S^{+}
$$

(3-b) If $c \geq 0$ and $\sup (H)^{2} \geq c>\frac{4(n-1)}{n^{2}} c$, we know that $x_{\sup H}^{-}<0$. Therefore, from 42, we have, $\sup |\Phi|=0$, in this case $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical, or $0<$ $\sup |\Phi| \leq x_{\sup H}^{+}$, i.e.

$$
n \sup H^{2}<\sup S \leq S^{+}
$$

(3-c) If $c \geq 0$ and $c>\sup (H)^{2}>\frac{4(n-1)}{n^{2}} c$, then we have $x_{\sup H}^{-}>0$. Therefore, from (39), we have that $\sup |\Phi|=0$, in this case $M^{n}$ is totally umbilical or $x_{\text {sup } H}^{-} \leq \sup |\Phi| \leq x_{\text {sup } H}^{+}$, i.e.

$$
S^{-} \leq \sup S \leq S^{+}
$$

(4) If $S \equiv \frac{n}{2(n-1)}\left[n^{2} \sup H^{2}+(n-2) \sup |H| \sqrt{n^{2} \sup H^{2}-4(n-1) c}\right]-n c$ holds, from Gauss equation, we have $S=n H^{2}+n(n-1)(a H+b)-\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i}$. Since $S$ is constant, then $H$ is also constant. We know that these inequalities in the proof of Lemma 2.2, and 27) are equalities and $S>n H^{2}$. Hence, we have $H^{2} \geq \frac{4(n-1)}{n^{2}} c$ from (1) in Theorem 1.1. Thus, we can infer that $n-1$ of the principal curvatures $\lambda_{i}$ are equal. Since $S$ and $H$ is constant, we know that principal curvatures are constant on $M^{n}$. Thus, $M^{n}$ is an isoparametric hypersurface with two distinct principal curvatures one of which is simple. This proves Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.2, From (3), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k} h_{i k} h_{j k}=n H h_{i j}, \quad \text { for } \quad i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i j} h_{i j}^{2}=n^{2} H^{2}, \quad \text { i.e. } \quad S=n^{2} H^{2} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ such that $R_{i j}=v_{i} \delta_{i j}$. From (11) and (43), we have $R_{i i}=\sum_{k} \bar{R}_{k i i k} \geq(n-1) c_{2}>0$, that is, $v_{i} \geq(n-1) c_{2}>0$, so we know that Ric $=\left(R_{i j}\right) \geq(n-1) c_{2} I$, we see by the Bonnet-Myers theorem that $M^{n}$ is bounded and hence compact.

From (12) and (44), we have that $n(n-1) R=\sum_{i j} \bar{R}_{i j j i}$ is constant, then from Lemma 3.1 for $a=0$, we have the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(n H) \geq|\Phi|^{2}\left(|\Phi|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H|\Phi|+n c-n H^{2}\right) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $L$ is self-adjoint and $M^{n}$ is compact, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq \int_{M^{n}}|\Phi|^{2}\left(|\Phi|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|H||\Phi|+n c-n H^{2}\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n^{2}|H|^{2}=S$ and $|\Phi|^{2}=S-n H^{2}=n(n-1) H^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n c & -n H^{2}+|\Phi|^{2}-\frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}|H||\Phi| \\
& =n c-n H^{2}+n(n-1) H^{2}-n(n-2) H^{2}=n c>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

so we know that $|\Phi|^{2}=0$, that is, $S=n H^{2}$. From Eq. (44), we know that $n^{2} H^{2}=n H^{2}$, so we have $H=0$, i.e. $S=n H^{2}=0$, so $M^{n}$ is totally geodesic. This proves Theorem 1.2
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