

Applications of Mathematics

Mei-Lan Tang; Xin-Ge Liu

Positive periodic solution for ratio-dependent n -species discrete time system

Applications of Mathematics, Vol. 56 (2011), No. 6, 577--589

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/141768>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2011

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

POSITIVE PERIODIC SOLUTION FOR RATIO-DEPENDENT
 n -SPECIES DISCRETE TIME SYSTEM*

MEI-LAN TANG, XIN-GE LIU, Changsha

(Received May 14, 2009)

Abstract. In this paper, sharp a priori estimate of the periodic solutions is obtained for the discrete analogue of the continuous time ratio-dependent predator-prey system, which is governed by nonautonomous difference equations, modelling the dynamics of the $n - 1$ competing preys and one predator having nonoverlapping generations. Based on more precise a priori estimate and the continuation theorem of the coincidence degree, an easily verifiable sufficient criterion of the existence of positive periodic solutions is established. The result obtained in this paper greatly improves the existing results.

Keywords: ratio-dependent, predator-prey system, periodic solution, a priori estimate

MSC 2010: 34C25

1. INTRODUCTION

The traditional Lotka-Volterra type predator-prey model has received great attention from both theoretical and mathematical biologists, and has been well studied [9]. Recently, models with the prey-dependent-only response function have been facing challenges from biology and physiology communities [1]. Basing on growing biological and physiological evidence some biologists have argued that, in many situations, especially when predators have to search for food (and therefore, have to share or compete for food), the functional response in a prey-predator model should be ratio-dependent, which can be roughly stated as that the per capita predator growth rate

*This work is partly supported by the Hunan Science and Technology Plan under Grant No. 2011FJ6037, The Teaching and Research Award Program for Outstanding Young Teachers in Higher Education Institutions, Hunan province, China, The Front Research Foundation of Zhong Nan Da Xue under grant No 2010QZZD015, and the Humanities and Social Science Foundation of the Ministry of Education, China, under Grant No. 06JA790120.

should be a function of the ratio of prey to predator abundance. This has been strongly supported by numerous field and laboratory experiments and observations. Starting from this argument and the traditional prey-dependent-only model, Arditi and Ginzburg [1] first studied the ratio-dependent predator-prey model.

Ratio-dependent models have not been well studied yet in the sense that most results are for models with constant environment [5]. This means that the models have been assumed to be autonomous, that is, all biological or environmental parameters have been assumed to be constant in time. However, this is rarely the case in real life, because many biological and environmental parameters do vary in time (e.g., naturally subject to seasonal fluctuations). When this is taken into account, a model must be nonautonomous, which is, of course, more difficult to analyze in general. But, in doing so, one can and should also take advantage of the properties of those varying parameters. For example, one may assume the parameters are periodic or almost periodic for seasonal reasons [2].

Though much progress has been seen in the ratio-dependent predator-prey theories, such systems are not well studied in the sense that most results concern the continuous time cases (see, for example, [7], [10]–[14]). Many authors have argued that the discrete time models governed by difference equations are more appropriate than the continuous ones when the populations have nonoverlapping generations. Discrete time models can also provide efficient computational models of continuous models for numerical simulations. It is well known that, compared to the continuous time systems, the discrete time ratio-dependent predator-prey systems are more difficult to deal with. It is highly nontrivial to attack the existence of positive periodic solutions of this type systems. However, few works have been done for discrete time ratio-dependent predator-prey systems. With the help of differential equations with piece constant arguments, Fan et al. ([4], [6]) proposed first a discrete analogue of the continuous time ratio-dependent predator-prey system and gave some new sufficient conditions for the existence of a positive periodic solution. In order to improve and extend the results in [4], Ding and Lu [3] proposed the ratio-dependent n -species predator-prey system

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x'_i(t) = x_i(t)[a_i(t) - a_{ii}(t)x_i(t)] - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n-1} a_{ij}(t)x_j(t)x_i(t) \\ \quad - \frac{a_{in}(t)x_i(t)x_n(t)}{m_{in}(t)x_n(t) + x_i(t)}, \\ x'_n(t) = x_n(t) \left\{ -a_n(t) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{nl}(t)x_l(t)}{m_{in}(t)x_n(t) + x_l(t)} \right\}, \end{array} \right.$$

where $x_n(t)$, living on $x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_{n-1}(t)$, represents the predator density at t ;

$x_i(t)$, $a_i(t)$ stand for the densities and intrinsic growth rate of the i th prey, respectively; $a_{ii}(t)$ and $a_{ij}(t)$ denote the intra-specific competition rates of the i th prey and the inter-specific competition rates of i th prey to the j th prey, respectively; $a_{in}(t)$ is the maximal predator per capita consumption rate, i.e., the maximum number of the i th prey that can be eaten by a predator in each time unit and $m_{in}(t)$ is the number of the i th prey necessary to achieve one-half of the maximum rate $a_{in}(t)$; $a_{ni}(t)/a_{in}(t)$ is the measure of the food quality that the i th prey provides for the conversion into the predator birth; $a_n(t)$ is the death rate of the predator; The predator consumes the i th prey according to the functional response $x_i(t)/[m_{in}(t)x_n(t) + x_i(t)]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. Furthermore, Ding and Lu in [3] established some sufficient criteria for the existence of a positive periodic solution of the following discrete time analogue of system (1):

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} x_i(k+1) = x_i(k) \exp \left\{ a_i(k) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}(k)x_j(k) - \frac{a_{in}(k)x_n(k)}{m_{in}(k)x_n(k) + x_i(k)} \right\}, \\ \hspace{15em} i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1, \\ x_n(k+1) = x_n(k) \exp \left\{ -a_n(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{nl}(k)x_l(k)}{m_{ln}(k)x_n(k) + x_l(k)} \right\}, \end{cases}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$; $a_{ii}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$); $a_{nj}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$); $m_{ln}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ($l = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$); $a_{ij}(k) \geq 0$ ($i \neq j$ and $i, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$) and $\bar{a}_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$); $a_{ij}(k)$ and $a_i(k)$ are ω -periodic functions.

Let \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Z}_+ , \mathbb{R}_+ and \mathbb{R}^n denote the sets of all integers, positive integers, positive real numbers and n -dimensional Euclidean vector space, respectively.

For convenience, throughout the paper we will use the notation

$$\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad \bar{g} = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} g(k), \quad \bar{G} = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |g(k)|, \quad I_\omega = \{0, 1, \dots, \omega - 1\},$$

where $g(k)$ is an ω -periodic sequence of real numbers defined for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a given ω -periodic function y_i , denote

$$y_i(\xi_i) = \min_{k \in I_\omega} y_i(k), \quad y_i(\eta_i) = \max_{k \in I_\omega} y_i(k).$$

In this paper, by using some analysis skill, an important inequality is first proved and applied to obtain the improved a priori estimate of the periodic solution. Based on sharp a priori estimate and the related continuation theorem of the coincidence degree, a verifiable sufficient condition is established for the existence of positive periodic solutions of a discrete time nonautonomous ratio-dependent n -species predator-prey system. This sufficient condition improves the main result obtained in [3]. The paper also corrects some mistakes in [3].

2. EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE PERIODIC SOLUTION

Let X, Y be normed vector spaces, $L: \text{Dom } L \subset X \rightarrow Y$ a linear mapping, and $N: X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous mapping. The mapping L will be called a Fredholm mapping of index zero if $\dim \text{Ker } L = \text{codim } \text{Im } L < \infty$ and $\text{Im } L$ is closed in Y . If L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero and there exist continuous projectors $P: X \rightarrow X$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $\text{Im } P = \text{Ker } L$, $\text{Ker } Q = \text{Im } L = \text{Im}(I - Q)$, then $L|_{\text{Dom } L \cap \text{Ker } P}: (I - P)X \rightarrow \text{Im } L$ is invertible, so we denote the inverse of this map by K_P . If Ω is an open bounded subset of X , the mapping N will be called L -compact on Ω if $QN(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_P(I - Q)N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is compact. Since $\text{Im } Q$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ker } L$, there exists an isomorphism $J: \text{Im } Q \rightarrow \text{Ker } L$.

Lemma 1 ([8]). *Let L be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and let N be L -compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. Suppose*

- (a) *for each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, every solution x of $Lx = \lambda Nx$ is such that $x \notin \partial\Omega$,*
- (b) *$QNx \neq 0$ for each $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L$ and $\deg\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} \neq 0$.*

Then the equation $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution lying in $\text{Dom } L \cap \bar{\Omega}$.

Ding and Lu in [3] proved the following important lemmas.

Lemma 2 ([3]). $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^\top : x_i > 0, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ is positive invariant with respect to equation (2).

Lemma 3 ([3]). *If $\bar{a}_{n1} > \bar{a}_n$, then the system of algebraic equations*

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{a}_i - \bar{a}_{ii}v_i = 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ \bar{a}_n - \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{nj}(k)v_j}{m_{jn}(k)v_n + v_j} = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $(v_1^, v_2^*, \dots, v_n^*)$ with $v_l^* > 0, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Considering the biological significance of system (2), we specify $(x_1(0), x_2(0), \dots, x_n(0))^\top \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$.

Lemma 4. *Suppose $g: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g(k + \omega) = g(k), \omega \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then for any fixed $k_1 \in I_\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega - 1\}$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$(4) \quad g(k_1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |g(s+1) - g(s)| \leq g(k) \leq g(k_1) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |g(s+1) - g(s)|.$$

Proof. Since g is ω -periodic, we only need to show that inequality (4) is valid for any $k \in I_\omega$.

If $k = k_1$, then inequality (4) is clearly true.

If $k > k_1$, then

$$(5) \quad g(k) - g(k_1) = \sum_{s=k_1}^{k-1} [g(s+1) - g(s)].$$

So

$$(6) \quad |g(k) - g(k_1)| = \left| \sum_{s=k_1}^{k-1} [g(s+1) - g(s)] \right| \leq \sum_{s=k_1}^{k-1} |g(s+1) - g(s)|.$$

Furthermore,

$$(7) \quad g(k) - g(k_1) = g(k) - g(k_1 + \omega) = -[g(k_1 + \omega) - g(k)] = - \sum_{s=k}^{k_1 + \omega - 1} [g(s+1) - g(s)].$$

Thus,

$$(8) \quad |g(k) - g(k_1)| = \left| - \sum_{s=k}^{k_1 + \omega - 1} [g(s+1) - g(s)] \right| \leq \sum_{s=k}^{k_1 + \omega - 1} |g(s+1) - g(s)|.$$

Combining inequality (6) with inequality (8) gives that

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} 2|g(k) - g(k_1)| &\leq \sum_{s=k_1}^{k-1} |g(s+1) - g(s)| + \sum_{s=k}^{k_1 + \omega - 1} |g(s+1) - g(s)| \\ &= \sum_{s=k_1}^{k_1 + \omega - 1} |g(s+1) - g(s)| = \sum_{s=0}^{\omega - 1} |g(s+1) - g(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(10) \quad |g(k) - g(k_1)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega - 1} |g(s+1) - g(s)|.$$

Similarly, we can prove that inequality (10) holds if $k < k_1$.

Obviously, inequality (10) implies inequality (4). This completes the proof. \square

Fan et al. [4] proved the following lemma.

Lemma. Let $g: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be ω -periodic, i.e., $g(k + \omega) = g(k)$. Then for any fixed $k_1, k_2 \in I_\omega$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$g(k) \leq g(k_1) + \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |g(s+1) - g(s)|, \quad g(k) \geq g(k_2) - \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |g(s+1) - g(s)|.$$

Basing on the above lemma, Fan et al. [4] and Ding et al. [3] studied the existence of a positive periodic solution for the predator-prey system. Obviously, Lemma 4 in our paper greatly improves Lemma 2 in [3] and Lemma 3.2 [4]. We can obtain more precise a priori estimate of the periodic solution by using Lemma 4.

Next, we will investigate the existence of a positive periodic solution for the predator-prey system (2).

Define

$$l_n = \{y = \{y(k)\} : y(k) \in \mathbb{R}^n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Take the usual norm

$$\|y\| = (|y_1|_0^2 + |y_2|_0^2 + \dots + |y_n|_0^2)^{1/2},$$

where $|y_i|_0 = \max_{k \in I_\omega} |y_i(k)|$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $l^\omega \subset l_n$ denote the subspace of all ω -periodic sequences equipped with the usual norm, then it is easy to prove that l^ω is a finite-dimensional Banach space.

Let

$$l_0^\omega = \left\{ y = \{y(k)\} \in l^\omega : \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} y(k) = 0 \right\},$$

$$l_c^\omega = \{y = \{y(k)\} \in l^\omega : y(k) = h, h \in \mathbb{R}^n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then it follows that both l_0^ω and l_c^ω are closed linear subspaces of l^ω and

$$l^\omega = l_0^\omega \oplus l_c^\omega, \quad \dim l_c^\omega = n.$$

Theorem 1. System (2) has at least one ω -periodic solution with strictly positive components if

$$(11) \quad \bar{a}_i - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{ij} e^{L_j} - \overline{\left(\frac{a_{in}}{m_{in}} \right)} > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$(12) \quad \bar{a}_{n1} e^{d_1} - \bar{a}_n e^{L_1} > 0,$$

Furthermore, the generalized inverse (to L) $K_P: \text{Im } L \rightarrow \text{Ker } P \cap \text{Dom } L$ is given by

$$(15) \quad K_P(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} z(s) - \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} (\omega - s)z(s).$$

Clearly, QN and $K_P(I - Q)N$ are continuous. Since X is a finite-dimensional Banach space, it is not difficult to show that $\overline{K_P(I - Q)N(\overline{\Omega})}$ is compact for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$. Moreover, $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded. Thus N is L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$. Since $\text{Im } Q = \text{Ker } L$, the isomorphic mapping J from $\text{Im } Q$ to $\text{Ker } L$ is I . Corresponding to the operator equation $Ly = \lambda Ny$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} y_i(k+1) - y_i(k) = \lambda \left(a_i(k) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}(k) \exp\{y_j(k)\} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{a_{in}(k) \exp\{y_n(k)\}}{m_{in}(k) \exp\{y_n(k)\} + \exp\{y_i(k)\}} \right), & i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ y_n(k+1) - y_n(k) = \lambda \left(-a_n(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{nl}(k) \exp\{y_l(k)\}}{m_{ln}(k) \exp\{y_n(k)\} + \exp\{y_l(k)\}} \right), \end{cases}$$

for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Assume that $y = \{y(k)\} = \{(y_1(k), y_2(k), \dots, y_n(k))^\top\} \in X$ is an arbitrary solution of (16) for a certain $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Summing both sides of (16) from 0 to $\omega - 1$ with respect to k gives

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{a}_i \omega = \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}(k) \exp\{y_j(k)\} + \frac{a_{in}(k) \exp\{y_n(k)\}}{m_{in}(k) \exp\{y_n(k)\} + \exp\{y_i(k)\}} \right), \\ \bar{a}_n \omega = \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{nl}(k) \exp\{y_l(k)\}}{m_{ln}(k) \exp\{y_n(k)\} + \exp\{y_l(k)\}}. \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

Equation (16) and (17) imply

$$(18) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |y_i(k+1) - y_i(k)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |a_i(k)| + \bar{a}_i \omega = (\bar{A}_i + \bar{a}_i) \omega, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

From (17) we have

$$(19) \quad \bar{a}_i \omega \geq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} a_{ii}(k) \exp\{y_i(k)\} \geq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} a_{ii}(k) e^{y_i(\xi_i)}.$$

So

$$(20) \quad y_i(\xi_i) \leq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_i}{\bar{a}_{ii}}.$$

From Lemma 4 and (18) it follows that

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} y_i(k) &\leq y_i(\xi_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |y_i(s+1) - y_i(s)| \\ &\leq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_i}{\bar{a}_{ii}} + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{A}_i + \bar{a}_i) \omega =: L_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{a}_i \omega &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}(k) \exp\{y_j(k)\} + \frac{a_{in}(k)}{m_{in}(k)} \right) \\ &\leq \omega \left(\bar{a}_{ii} e^{y_i(\eta_i)} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{ij} e^{L_j} + \overline{\left(\frac{a_{in}}{m_{in}} \right)} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(23) \quad y_i(\eta_i) \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_i - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{ij} e^{L_j} - \overline{\left(\frac{a_{in}}{m_{in}} \right)}}{\bar{a}_{ii}}.$$

Therefore, Lemma 4 and (18) imply

$$(24) \quad y_i(k) \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_i - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{ij} e^{L_j} - \overline{\left(\frac{a_{in}}{m_{in}} \right)}}{\bar{a}_{ii}} - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{A}_i + \bar{a}_i) \omega =: d_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

From the n th equation of (17) we infer

$$(25) \quad \bar{a}_n \omega \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{nl}(k) \exp\{y_l(k)\}}{m_{ln}(k) \exp\{y_n(k)\}} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{nl}(k) \exp\{L_l\}}{m_{ln}(k) \exp\{y_n(\xi_n)\}}.$$

So

$$(26) \quad y_n(\xi_n) \leq \ln \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \overline{\left(\frac{a_{nl}}{m_{ln}} \right)} e^{L_l}}{\bar{a}_n}.$$

Using Lemma 4 again yields

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} y_n(k) &\leq y_n(\xi_n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |y_n(s+1) - y_n(s)| \\ &\leq \ln \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{a_{nl}}{m_{ln}} \right) e^{L_l}}{\bar{a}_n} + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{A}_n + \bar{a}_n) \omega =: L_n. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $m_{1n}^u = \max\{m_{1n}(k), k \in I_\omega\}$.

From (17) we have

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{a}_n \omega &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{a_{n1}(k) \exp\{y_1(k)\}}{m_{1n}(k) \exp\{y_n(k)\} + \exp\{y_1(k)\}} \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \frac{a_{n1}(k) e^{d_1}}{m_{1n}^u e^{y_n(\eta_n)} + e^{L_1}} \geq \frac{\omega \bar{a}_{n1} e^{d_1}}{m_{1n}^u e^{y_n(\eta_n)} + e^{L_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(29) \quad y_n(\eta_n) \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{n1} e^{d_1} - \bar{a}_n e^{L_1}}{\bar{a}_n m_{1n}^u}.$$

Hence,

$$(30) \quad y_n(k) \geq \ln \frac{\bar{a}_{n1} e^{d_1} - \bar{a}_n e^{L_1}}{\bar{a}_n m_{1n}^u} - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{A}_n + \bar{a}_n) \omega =: d_n.$$

Let $H_i = \max\{|L_i|, |d_i|, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. From inequalities (21), (24), (27), and (30), we have

$$(31) \quad |y_i(k)| \leq H_i, \quad \|y\| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n H_i^2 \right)^{1/2} =: M_0.$$

Obviously, M_0 is independent of λ .

Now consider the algebraic equations

$$(32) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left(a_i(k) - a_{ii}(k) \exp\{y_i\} - \mu \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n-1} a_{ij}(k) \exp\{y_j\} \right. \\ \quad \left. - \mu \frac{a_{in}(k) \exp\{y_n\}}{m_{in}(k) \exp\{y_n\} + \exp\{y_i\}} \right) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left(-a_n(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{nl}(k) \exp\{y_l\}}{m_{ln}(k) \exp\{y_n\} + \exp\{y_l\}} \right) = 0, \end{cases}$$

Now let us consider homotopic $h_\mu(y) = \mu QNy + (1 - \mu)Gy$, $\mu \in [0, 1]$, where

$$(35) \quad Gy = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_1(k) - a_{11}(k) \exp\{y_1\} \\ a_2(k) - a_{22}(k) \exp\{y_2\} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1}(k) - a_{n-1,n-1}(k) \exp\{y_{n-1}\} \\ -a_n(k) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{nl}(k) \exp\{y_l\}}{m_{ln}(k) \exp\{y_n\} + \exp\{y_l\}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (32)–(35) we have $0 \notin h_\mu(\partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L)$ and $\deg\{QN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} = \deg\{G, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\}$. Direct calculation shows that

$$(36) \quad \deg\left\{G, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\right\} = \text{sgn}\left\{(-1)^n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\bar{a}_{ii} v_i^*}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{nl}(k) m_{ln}(k) v_l^* v_n^*}{m_{ln}(k) v_n^* + v_l^*}\right\} \neq 0.$$

Note that $J = I$, $\deg\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} \neq 0$. By Lemma 1, equation (16) has at least one ω -periodic solution. Therefore, equation (2) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution. \square

3. CONCLUSION

In this paper, based on improved a priori estimate of the periodic solution, a new sufficient condition is established for the existence of positive periodic solutions of a class of nonautonomous discrete time food web model of $n - 1$ competing preys and one predator. The result obtained in this paper greatly improves the existing results. This paper also corrects some mistakes in [3].

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable comments and constructive suggestions.

References

- [1] *R. Arditi, L.R. Ginzburg*: Coupling in predator-prey dynamics: Ratio-dependence. *J. Theor. Biol.* 139 (1989), 311–326.
- [2] *X. Ding, C. Lu, M. Z. Liu*: Periodic solutions for a semi-ratio-dependent predator-prey system with nonmonotonic functional response and time delay. *Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl.* 9 (2008), 762–775.
- [3] *X. Ding, C. Lu*: Existence of positive periodic solution for ratio-dependent N -species difference system. *Appl. Math. Modelling* 33 (2009), 2748–2756.
- [4] *M. Fan, K. Wang*: Periodic solutions of a discrete time nonautonomous ratio-dependent predator-prey system. *Math. Comput. Modelling* 35 (2002), 951–961.

- [5] *M. Fan, Q. Wang, X. Zhou*: Dynamics of a non-autonomous ratio-dependent predator-prey system. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A* *133* (2003), 97–118.
- [6] *M. Fan, Q. Wang*: Periodic solutions of a class of nonautonomous discrete time semi-ratio-dependent predator-prey system. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. B* *4* (2004), 563–574.
- [7] *H. I. Freedman, R. M. Mathsen*: Persistence in predator-prey systems with ratio-dependent predator influence. *Bull. Math. Biol.* *55* (1993), 817–827.
- [8] *R. E. Gaines, J. L. Mawhin*: Coincidence Degree, and Nonlinear Differential Equations. *Lect. Notes Math.*, Vol. 568. Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [9] *S.-B. Hsu, T.-W. Hwang*: Global stability for a class of predator-prey systems. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* *55* (1995), 763–783.
- [10] *S.-B. Hsu, T.-W. Hwang, Y. Kuang*: Global analysis of Michaelis-Menten type ratio-dependent predator-prey system. *J. Math. Biol.* *42* (2001), 489–506.
- [11] *C. Jost, O. Arino, R. Arditi*: About deterministic extinction in ratio-dependent predator-prey models. *Bull. Math. Biol.* *61* (1999), 19–32.
- [12] *Y. Kuang*: Rich dynamics of Gause-type ratio-dependent predator-prey systems. *Fields Inst. Commun.* *21* (1999), 325–337.
- [13] *Y. Kuang, E. Beretta*: Global qualitative analysis of a ratio-dependent predator-prey systems. *J. Math. Biol.* *36* (1998), 389–406.
- [14] *D. Xiao, S. Ruan*: Global dynamics of a ratio-dependent predator-prey system. *J. Math. Biol.* *43* (2001), 268–290.

Authors' address: Mei-Lan Tang, Xin-Ge Liu (corresponding author), School of Mathematical Science and Computing Technology, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410083, P. R. China, e-mail: liuxgliuhua@163.com.