

Sohrab Sohrabi Laleh; Mir Yousef Sadeghi; Mahdi Hanifi Mostaghim
Some results on the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 62 (2012), No. 1, 105–110

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142043>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2012

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SOME RESULTS ON THE COFINITENESS OF LOCAL
COHOMOLOGY MODULESSOHRAB SOHRABI LALEH, Shabestar, MIR YOUSEF SADEGHI,
MAHDI HANIFI MOSTAGHIM, Tehran

(Received September 4, 2010)

Abstract. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R , M an R -module and t a non-negative integer. In this paper we show that the class of minimax modules includes the class of \mathcal{AF} modules. The main result is that if the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is finite (finitely generated), $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite for all $i < t$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$ is minimax then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite. As a consequence we show that if M and N are finite R -modules and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$ is minimax for all $i < t$ then the set of associated prime ideals of the generalized local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)$ is finite.

Keywords: local cohomology, cofinite modules, mimimax modules, AF modules, associated primes

MSC 2010: 13D45, 14B15, 13E05

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this note we assume that R is a commutative Noetherian ring, \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R , M is an R -module, and t is a non-negative integer. For each $i \geq 0$, the i -th local cohomology module of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} is defined as

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) = \varinjlim_n \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}^n, M).$$

For the basic properties of local cohomology the reader can refer to [2] of Brodmann and Sharp. An important problem in commutative algebra is to determine when the set of the associated primes of the i -th local cohomology module, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ with respect to \mathfrak{a} , is finite.

It is well known that the local cohomology modules $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ are not always finitely generated. Taking this fact, Hartshorne [4] conjectured the following: If R is

a Noetherian ring, then for any ideal \mathfrak{a} of R and any finitely generated R -module M , the module $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^j(M))$ is finitely generated for all $i, j \geq 0$.

In the same paper Hartshorne defined that an R -module M is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite whenever $\text{Supp}_R(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is finitely generated for all $i \geq 0$. Hartshorne also gave a counterexample to his conjecture, which is essentially as follows. Let k be a field, $R = k[[X, Y, Z, U]]$, and $\mathfrak{a} = (X, U)R$. If we take $M = R/(XY - ZU)$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^2(M)$ is not \mathfrak{a} -cofinite. Nonetheless, by using derived category theory, he proved that if R is a complete regular local ring, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite in two cases:

- (i) \mathfrak{a} is a non-zero principal ideal.
- (ii) \mathfrak{a} is a prime ideal with $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) = 1$.

In particular, using spectral sequence Mafi [7] showed that, if for a finite R -module M and an integer t , the local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$ is Artinian and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite for all $i < t$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite. In this paper, in Theorem 4, we obtain this result with the minimax condition on $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$ instead of the Artinian condition without using the spectral sequence theory. At the end, in Theorem 7, we show that if M and N are finite R -modules and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$ is minimax for all $i < t$, then the set of associated prime ideals of the generalized local cohomology $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)$ is finite.

2. THE RESULTS

In [14] H. Zöschinger introduced the interesting class of minimax modules. He also has given many equivalent conditions for a module to be minimax in [14] and [15].

Definition 1. An R -module N is said to be a minimax module, if there is a finite submodule L of N such that N/L is Artinian.

Example 1. It was shown by T. Zink [13] and E. Enochs [3] that a module over a complete local ring is minimax if and only if it is Matlis reflexive.

S. Yassemi [12] introduced the following definition of the class of \mathcal{AF} modules.

Definition 2. The R -module N is said to be an \mathcal{AF} module, if there is an Artinian submodule L of N such that N/L is a finite.

Example 2. All finite modules and all Artinian modules are \mathcal{AF} modules.

In the following Lemma we prove that every \mathcal{AF} module is a minimax module.

Lemma 3. *Every \mathcal{AF} module is minimax.*

Proof. Let N be an \mathcal{AF} module, then there exists an Artinian submodule L of N such that N/L is finite. Since N/L is finite, there exists a finite submodule K of N such that $N = K + L$. Since $N/K \cong L/K \cap L$ and $L/K \cap L$ is Artinian, so N/K is Artinian as required. \square

Example 3. By Lemma 3, the class of minimax modules includes the class of \mathcal{AF} modules.

Now we prove the main theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a Noetherian ring R . Let t be a non-negative integer, and M an R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is a finite R -module. If $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite for all $i < t$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$ is minimax, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite.*

Proof. In view of [9, Proposition 4.3], it is enough to show that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite. To prove this, we use induction on t . If $t = 0$, since $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ is equal to the finite R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ the assertion is obvious. Now let $t > 0$ and suppose the result has been proved for smaller values of t . Since $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite, $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ is finite for all i . Now from the long exact sequence induced by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \rightarrow 0,$$

we can get that $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/\mathfrak{a}, M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ is finite. Since $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M))$ for all $i > 0$, we can assume that M is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion-free R -module. Let E be an injective envelope of M and put $L := E/M$. Then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E) = 0$ and so $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, E) = 0$. Now, by using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0,$$

we get that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, L) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(L) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+1}(M)$ for all $i \geq 0$. Consequently, by the inductive hypothesis $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-1}(L))$ is finite and hence $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M))$ is finite too. \square

Melkersson in [10, Example 1.3] showed that in a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) a module M is \mathfrak{m} -cofinite if and only if it is Artinian. So we conclude the following result.

Corollary 5. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring. Assume that the assumptions of Theorem 4 hold. Then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$ is an Artinian R -module.*

Proof. By [12, Theorem 1.2.v], $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$ is \mathfrak{m} -cofinite, so that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$ is an Artinian R -module. \square

Corollary 6. *Let the situation be as in Theorem 4. Moreover, assume that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is minimax for all $i \geq t$. Then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite for all i . In this case if R is local with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is an Artinian R -module for all i .*

Proof. The claim follows by Theorem 4 and the second part follows by Corollary 5. \square

Now, we are ready to prove our final result about finiteness of the set of associated prime ideals of generalized local cohomology modules. Let M and N be R -modules, and let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R . Then the generalized local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ which was introduced by Herzog in [5], is defined as

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = \varinjlim_n \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, N).$$

If $M = R$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ is equal to $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$, the usual local cohomology module.

In [8] Mafi shows that if \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of R , and M is a finite R -module, then for every R -module N and any positive integer t we have

$$\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N)) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^t \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(M, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-i}(N))).$$

By virtue of this result we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 7. *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of a Noetherian ring R , t a non-negative integer, and M and N finite R -modules. If $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$ is a minimax R -module for all $i < t$ and $\text{supp}(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$, then the set $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M, N))$ is finite.*

In order to prove Theorem 7, we need to generalize [6, Lemma 4.2] as follows.

Lemma 8. *Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R and N an \mathfrak{a} -cofinite R -module. Then for any finite R -module M with $\text{supp}(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is finite for all i .*

Proof. Since $\text{supp}(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$, according to Gruson's Theorem [11, Theorem 4.1], there exists a chain of submodules of M ,

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_k = M$$

such that the factors M_j/M_{j-1} are homomorphic images of a direct sum of finitely many R/\mathfrak{a} ($1 \leq j \leq k$). Now consider the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{a})^n \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_2/M_1 \rightarrow 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \rightarrow M_{k-1} \rightarrow M_k \rightarrow M_k/M_{k-1} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive integer n . From the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(M_{j-1}, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M_j/M_{j-1}, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M_j, N) \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M_{j-1}, N) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

and by an easy induction on k , the assertion follows. So, it suffices to prove the case $k = 1$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{a})^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and K is a finite R -module, and the induced long exact sequence, by using induction on i we show that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is finite for all i . For $i = 0$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R((R/\mathfrak{a})^n, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K, N) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $\text{Hom}_R((R/\mathfrak{a})^n, N) \cong \bigoplus^n \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, N)$ and N is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite, $\text{Hom}_R((R/\mathfrak{a})^n, N)$ is finite and then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is finite. Now let $i > 0$. For any R -module M with $\text{supp}(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ we have that the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(M, N)$ is finite, in particular for K . Then from the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(K, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i((R/\mathfrak{a})^n, N) \rightarrow \dots$$

we can conclude that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is finite. \square

Now we can prove Theorem 7 by using Lemma 8.

P r o o f of Theorem 7. It is enough to show that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite for all $i < t$ and $\text{Hom}_R(M, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(N))$ is finite. To show that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite, we use induction on i . The case $i = 0$ is obvious as $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(N)$ is finite. So, let $i > 0$ and suppose the result has been proved for smaller values of i . By the inductive hypothesis, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^j(N)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite for $j = 0, 1, \dots, i-1$ and since $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^j(N)$ is minimax, hence by [1, Lemma 2.2] we can conclude that $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N))$ is finite. Therefore by [9, Proposition 4.3], $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N)$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite. If we use again [1, Lemma 2.2] then $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(N))$ is finite. So by Lemma 8, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{t-i}(N))$ is finite and so $\text{Ass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N))$ is finite. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Professor Khadijeh Ahmadi-Amoli for her careful reading of the first draft and many helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] *K. Bahmanpour, R. Naghipour*: On the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* *136* (2008), 2359–2363.
- [2] *M. P. Brodmann, R. Y. Sharp*: *Local Cohomology. An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [3] *E. Enochs*: Flat covers and flat cotorsion modules. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* *92* (1984), 179–184.
- [4] *R. Hartshorne*: Affine duality and cofiniteness. *Invent. Math.* *9* (1970), 145–164.
- [5] *J. Herzog*: *Komplexe Auflösungen und Dualität in der lokalen Algebra*. Habilitationsschrift Universität Regensburg, Regensburg, 1970. (In German.)
- [6] *C. Huneke, J. Koh*: Cofiniteness and vanishing of local cohomology modules. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* *110* (1991), 421–429.
- [7] *A. Mafi*: Some results on local cohomology modules. *Arch. Math.* *87* (2006), 211–216.
- [8] *A. Mafi*: On the associated primes of generalized local cohomology modules. *Commun. Algebra.* *34* (2006), 2489–2494.
- [9] *L. Melkersson*: Modules cofinite with respect to an ideal. *J. Algebra* *285* (2005), 649–668.
- [10] *L. Melkersson*: *Problems and Results on Cofiniteness: A Survey*. IPM Proceedings Series No. II, IPM, 2004.
- [11] *W. V. Vasconcelos*: *Divisor Theory in Module Categories*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies. 14. Notas de Matematica, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1974.
- [12] *S. Yassemi*: Cofinite modules. *Commun. Algebra* *29* (2001), 2333–2340.
- [13] *T. Zink*: Endlichkeitsbedingungen für Moduln über einem Noetherschen Ring. *Math. Nachr.* *64* (1974), 239–252. (In German.)
- [14] *H. Zöschinger*: Minimax-moduln. *J. Algebra* *102* (1986), 1–32. (In German.)
- [15] *H. Zöschinger*: Über die Maximalbedingung für radikalvolle Untermoduln. *Hokkaido Math. J.* *17* (1988), 101–116. (In German.)

Authors' addresses: Sohrab Sohrabi Laleh, Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Shabestar Branch, Shabestar, Iran, e-mail: sohrabil6@yahoo.com; Mir Yousef Sadeghi, Payam-e-Noor University, Tehran Graduate Center, Tehran, Iran, e-mail: sadeghi@phd.pnu.ac.ir; Mahdi Hanifi Mostaghim, Payam-e-Noor University, Tehran Graduate Center, Tehran, Iran, e-mail: hanifi@phd.pnu.ac.ir.