Josef Machek Normal tolerance limits base on mean range

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 5 (1964), No. 2, 21--32

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142169

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1964

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

1964 Acta Universitatis Carolinae—Mathematica et Physica 2 pag. 21-32

NORMAL TOLERANCE LIMITS BASED ON MEAN RANGE JOSEF MACHER

Department of Mathematical Statistics, Charles University, Prague

Summary. Consider a normal population with mean μ and standard deviation σ . Let \overline{R} be the average of ranges of k mutually independent samples of size n from this population. Further let μ be an estimate of μ , independent of \overline{R} and normally distributed about μ with variance σ^4/N . In this paper we present tables of auxiliary quantities for the computation of coefficients T_1 and T_2 which posess the following properties:

(1) the expectation of the proportion of the underlying population covered by the interval $\mu - T_1 \overline{R}$, $\mu + T_1 \overline{R}$ is approximately equal to a predetermined value P, i. e.

$$E\left\{\int_{\mu-T_1\overline{R}}^{\mu+T_1\overline{R}} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x-\mu)^2\right] \mathrm{d}x\right\} = P;$$

(2) the probability that the interval $\mu - T_2 \overline{R}$, $\mu + T_1 \overline{R}$ covers at least the predetermined proportion P of the population is approximately equal to a given confidence coefficient γ , i. e.

$$P\left\{\int_{A-T_{\eta}\overline{R}}^{A+T_{\eta}R} (2\pi\sigma^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} (x-\mu)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d}x \geq P\right\} \doteq \gamma$$

Introduction. The following problem is often encountered in statistics, especially in industrial applications: to estimate on the basis of a random sample an interval which covers a given proportion of the population with some prescribed degree of assurance. This degree of assurance refers to the performance of the estimation procedure in the long run. Most frequently it is expressed either by the requirement that the relative frequency of intervals covering less than the desired proportion of the population shall not exceed a given small quantity, or by the requirement that the proportion of the population covered by the interval be on the average equal to the given value. The intervals possessing some of the above properties are called tolerance intervals. For normal populations there are well known methods of constructing tolerance intervals of either type mentioned. In those methods the end-points of the intervals are linear functions of sample mean and sample standard deviation. However, since in routine work in industry only means or medians and ranges of small samples are frequently recorded, it would be advatageous to have a method for the construction of tolerance intervals from this type of data. In the present paper an approximate solution is proposed and some auxiliary tables given.

Survey of existing results. Let X be a random variable with a normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^3 . In the sequel we shall denote such a distribution briefly by $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Further let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n denote a sample from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, i. e. *n* mutually independent observations of X. By a tolerance interval we mean an interval whose end points are functions of the sample, say L and U, which possesses either the property

(1)
$$E\left\{\int_{L}^{0} (2\pi \sigma^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} (x-\mu)^{2}\right] dx\right\} = P,$$

or the property

(2)
$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\int_{L}^{\infty} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x-\mu)^2\right] \mathrm{d}x \ge P\right\} = \gamma$$

where P and γ are given numbers in the interval (0, 1), E denotes the expectation of the random variable shown in brackets and P {...} is the probability of the event shown in brackets.

Intervals (L, U) computed so as to satisfy (1) will thus in repeated samples have the following property: the long run average of areas under the frequency curve of $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ bounded by the ordinates in the points L and U will be equal to P. Briefly we may say that the intervals satisfying (1) will cover on the average 100 P% of the population. Intervals (L, U) satisfying (2) provide an assurance that in a long series of samples approximately 100 γ % samples will yield values L and U such that the area under the frequency curve between ordinates in L and U will be at least equal to P. Briefly stated, approximately 100γ % of intervals will cover at least 100P% of the population.

To fix terms, we shall call intervals fulfilling condition (1) simply " $100P_{\%}$ tolerance intervals", and intervals fulfilling condition (2) " $100P_{\%}$ tolerance intervals to $100y_{\%}$ confidence level" or "to confidence level γ ".

Formulas for $100P_{0}^{\prime\prime}$ tolerance intervals have been given by Wilks [1]. His intervals have the form

$$\bar{x} - k_1 s, \quad \bar{x} + k_1 s$$

where \bar{x} denotes the sample mean,

s the sample standard deviation,

(4)
$$s = \left\{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{x})^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and the coefficient k_1 is given by

(5)
$$k_1 = t_{1-P} (n-1) \sqrt{\frac{(n+1)}{n}}$$

22

In equation (5), $t_{1-P}(n-1)$ is the 100 (1-P)% critical point of Student's distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom, defined by

(6)
$$2\int_{0}^{t_{1-P}(n-1)} \left(1 + \frac{z^{2}}{n-1}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} dz = P \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \frac{\sqrt{(n-1)\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}$$

Details of the derivation of (5) have been described by Proschan, [2].

Wald and Wolfowitz, [3], have suggested an approximate method for the construction of tolerance intervals to a given confidence level γ . Their intervals have the same form as those of Wilks, viz.

$$(7) \qquad \qquad \bar{x} - k_2 s, \quad \bar{x} + k_2 s$$

where

(8)
$$k_2 = r \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)}{\chi_{\gamma}^2 (n-1)}}$$

r is the root of the equation

(9) -
$$\Phi(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}+r) - \Phi(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}-r) = P.$$

In (8) and (9) $\Phi(u)$ denotes the distribution function of N(0,1), and $\chi^2_{\gamma}(n-1)$ is defined by the relation

1

(10)
$$\int_{x_{\gamma}^{2}(n-1)}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{2}} x^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} dx = \gamma \cdot 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot \Gamma_{\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{2}}}.$$

The approximation involved in the method is based essentially on following considerations. It is easily seen that the following relation holds for μ known

(11)
$$P\left\{\Phi\left(\frac{ts}{\sigma}\right) - \Phi\left(-\frac{ts}{\sigma}\right) \ge P\right\} = \gamma$$

if

(12)
$$t = u_{\frac{1+P}{2}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)}{\chi_{\gamma}^2(n-1)}}$$

where $u_{\frac{1+P}{2}}$ is the 100 $\frac{1+P}{2}$ % fractile of N (0,1) and $\chi^2_{\nu}(n-1)$ is defined

by (10). If the mean of the underlying normal population were μ' instead of μ and the tolerance interval were nevertheless constructed symmetrically about μ , it would be necessary to increase the coefficient t at s, viz., we would have to put t equal to

(13)
$$t' = u' \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)}{\chi_{\gamma}^2 (n-1)}}$$

where u' is the root of

(14)
$$\Phi\left(\frac{|\mu-\mu'|}{\sigma}+u'\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{|\mu-\mu'|}{\sigma}-u'\right)=P.$$

Q	2
4	Ο

The intervals (7) are computed symmetrically about \bar{x} , the true value of μ being unknown. The approximation consists in replacing $\frac{|\mu - \mu'|}{\sigma}$ in (14) by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. Wald and Wolfowitz have investigated the accuracy of the approximation and have found that it worked very well for samples of size ten and more. The values of the coefficients k_2 given by (8) have been tabled by Bowker, [4], for some selected values of P and γ and a large scale of sample sizes. Later,

Weissberg and Beatty, [5], tabled the factors r and $\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)}{\chi_{\gamma}^2(n-1)}}$ of (8) separately to enable the computation of tolerance intervals to a given confidence level also for more complicated experiments than a simple random sample. In such cases the intervals have the form

(15)
$$\hat{\mu} - k_3 \hat{\sigma}, \quad \hat{\mu} = k_3 \hat{\sigma},$$

where $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ are mutually independent estimates of μ and σ resp., $\hat{\mu}$ is supposed to be distributed normally according to $N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{N}\right)$, $\hat{\sigma}$ is assumed to distributed as $\sigma \sqrt{\frac{\chi_f^2}{f}}$, i. e. as σ -times second square root of a chi-square variate divided by the corresponding number of degrees of freedom. The coefficient k_3 is then computed from the formula

(16)
$$k_3 = r_{N,P} \sqrt{\frac{f}{\chi_{\gamma}^2(f)}}$$

where $r_{N,P}$ is the root of

(17)
$$\Phi(N^{-\frac{1}{2}} + r_{N,P}) - \Phi(N^{-\frac{1}{2}} - r_{N,P}) = P$$

and $\chi_{\gamma}^2(f)$ is defined as in (10). In the paper quoted Weissberg and Beatty give extensive tables of $r_{N,P}$ and $\sqrt{\frac{f}{\chi_{\gamma}^2(f)}}$. As has been stated in the introduction, the problem of estimating tolerance intervals arises often in industrial statistics, where a favourite statistic for estimating variability is sample range or mean range of several samples. Thus e. g. means and ranges or maxima and minima of samples or medians and ranges are frequently recorded on control charts.

To enable the subsequent utilisation of such routinely kept data to the estimation of tolerance intervals we shall consider in the next section the problem of estimating tolerance intervals on the basis of mean range.

Tolerance intervals based on mean range. The construction of tolerance intervals for normal distribution with mean range as a statistic for variability may be based on following two facts. First, it is easily seen that the special choice of \bar{x} and s as statistics for mean and variance is not essential in any of the methods so far discussed, but that both Wilks's and Wald-Wolfowitz's procedures may be modified for use in connection with any pair of mutually independent estimates for μ and σ , $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ say, where $\hat{\mu}$ is normally distributed and $\hat{\sigma}$ as a constant multiple of a chi-variate. Second, it has been shown by Patnaik, [6], that the distribution of the mean of ranges of k independent samples of size n is very closely approximated by the Γ -type distribution whose parameters are determined so as to make the first two moments of this distribution coincide with the first two moments of mean range.

Therefore, let \overline{R} denote the mean range of k samples of size n from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and μ an unbiassed estimate of μ , normally distributed with variance $\frac{\sigma^2}{N}$ and independent of \overline{R} . According to Patnaik, [6], the density of \overline{R} is very close to

(18)
$$p_{k,n}(y) = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\nu y^2}{2c^2}\right) \cdot y^{\nu-1} \left(\frac{\nu}{c^2}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{2}}}{2^{\frac{\nu}{2}-1} I^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)},$$

i. e. to the density of $c \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2}{\nu}}$, where χ^2 is a chi-square variate with ν degrees of freedom and c and ν are determined so as to satisfy

(19)
$$\frac{c\sqrt[]{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}{\left[\sqrt[]{\nu}\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)\right]} = \boldsymbol{E}\left\{\overline{R}\right\}$$

and

(2)
$$\frac{c^2}{\nu} \left[\frac{\nu - 2\Gamma^2\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} \right] = \mathcal{D}\left\{\overline{R}\right\}.$$

Of course, the solution of (19) and (20) as a rule yields a non-integral value for ν , so that a "fractional number of degrees of freedom" results. For selected values of k and n the solutions of (19) and (20) are tabled in [7]. In this paper we give a more extensive table.

Thus if the estimate $\hat{\mu}$ is distributed according to $N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{N}\right)$ it may be shown in the same way as in [2] that the following relation holds approximately

(21)
$$\boldsymbol{\mathsf{E}}\left\{\Phi\left(\frac{z\overline{R}}{\sigma}\right) - \Phi\left(-\frac{z\overline{R}}{\sigma}\right)\right\} \doteq 2G_{\mathsf{r}}\left(\frac{cz\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{N+1}}\right) - 1,$$

where $G_{\nu}(t)$ denotes the distribution function of Student's distribution with (non-integral) number of degrees of freedom ν , ν and c being determined by (19) and (20). If it is required that the expectation (21) be equal to a prescribed number P, we must clearly put

(22)
$$G_{r}\left(cz\,\sqrt{\frac{N}{(N+1)}}\right) = \frac{P+1}{2}$$

whence

(23)
$$z = \frac{t_{1-P}(\nu)}{c} \sqrt{\frac{N+1}{N}} ,$$

25

where, in correspondence with current usage, $t_{1-P}(v)$ denotes the value of a *t*-variate corresponding to the two sided tail area 1 - P, viz.

(24)
$$2(1 - G_{\nu}(t_{1-P}(\nu))) = 1 - P.$$

Thus the 100P% tolerance interval is given by

If one-sided 100P% tolerance limit is required, we find it from the formula

the sign "+" is used for the upper and the sign "-" for the lower limit.

To determine the 100P% tolerance interval to a given confidence level γ , we first note that the probability that the interval $\mu \pm z\overline{R}$ with μ known will cover at least 100R% of the population is

(27)
$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\Phi\left(\frac{z\overline{R}}{\sigma}\right) - \Phi\left(-\frac{z\overline{R}}{\sigma}\right) \ge P\right\} \doteq P\left\{\frac{\overline{R}}{\sigma} \ge \frac{u_{(1+P)/2}}{z}\right\},$$

where $u_{(1+P)/2}$ is the 100 $\frac{1+P}{2}$ % fractile of N(0,1). If we replace the distribution of $\frac{\overline{R}}{\sigma}$ by the distribution (18) with the parameters given by (19) and (20), we obtain for the probability (27) the approximation

(28)
$$P\left\{\Phi\left(\frac{z\overline{R}}{\sigma}\right)-\Phi\left(-\frac{z\overline{R}}{\sigma}\right)\geq P\right\} \doteq \int_{\frac{\gamma\mu^{1}(1+P/2)}{c^{2}z^{4}}}^{\infty} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{-x}{2}\right)x^{\nu/2-1}}{2^{\nu/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)} dx.$$

If the probability (28) is required to be equal to a given confidence level γ , z must be equal to

(29)
$$z = \frac{u_{(1+P/2)}}{c} \sqrt{\frac{v}{\chi_{\gamma}^{2}(v)}} = u_{(1+P/2)} z(\gamma, k, n),$$

where $\chi_{\gamma}^{2}(\nu)$ is defined similarly as in (10) and may be found by interpolation in some standard table of percentage points of χ^{2} . Since, however, the toleranceinterval has μ and not μ as its centre, the coefficient $u_{(1+P)/2}$ in (28) and (29) is replaced by the root $r_{(N,P)}$ of equation (17). The coefficients $r_{(N,P)}$ are extensively tabled in [5]. Thus we obtain finally as approximate $100P_{0}^{\circ}$ tolerance intervals to confidence level γ

(30)
$$\hat{\mu} - r_{N, P} z(\gamma, k, n) \overline{R}, \quad \hat{\mu} + r_{N, P} z(\gamma, k, n).$$

Corresponding one-sided tolerance limits to a given confidence level γ may be obtained from an analogue of the non-central *t*-distribution, based on mean range. For it may be easily seen that the probability that the interval ($-\infty$, $\mu + t\overline{R}$) will cover at last 100P% of the population $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is equal to

(31)
$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\Phi\left(\frac{tR+\mu}{\sigma}\right) \geq \mathbf{P}\right\} \doteq \mathbf{P}\left\{\frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}+\boldsymbol{u}_{P}}{\boldsymbol{w}_{k,n}} \leq t\,|\,\overline{N}\right\},$$

where ξ and $w_{k,n}$ are mutually independent random variables, the former being distributed according to N(0,1) and the latter as the mean range of kindependent samples of size n from a normal population with unit variance. If this probability is required to be equal to a specified confidence level γ , the coefficient t must be chosen to be \sim

(32)
$$t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} t_{\gamma} (k, n, u_{P} \sqrt{N}),$$

where $t_{\nu}(k, n, u_{P}/\overline{N})$ denotes the 100P% quantile of the statistic

$$(33) \qquad \qquad \frac{(\xi + \mu_P) \sqrt{N}}{w_{k,n}}$$

If we replace — following Patnaik, [6] — the variable $w_{k,n}$ by the variable $c \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2}{\nu}}$, where x^2 has ν "degrees of freedom", c and ν being determined according to (19) and (20), we may regard (33) as a $\frac{1}{c}$ — multiple of a non-central t-variable with ν degrees of freedom and the parameter of non-centrality equal to $u_P \sqrt{N}$. Using the notation of Johnson and Welch, [8] we then may approximately put the upper 100 P_{0} tolerance limit to confidence level γ equal to

where c and v depend on the number k and size n of subsamples for \overline{R} . Alternatively, we may use the tables of A. Žaludová [9] for the determination of t_v $(k, n, u_P \sqrt{N})$

The tables. The main part of this paper are the tables which have been computed to facilitate the determination of tolerance intervals. Table I. contains the constants ν and c for the approximation to the distribution of mean range. This table has been computed by numerically solving equations (19) and (20) on a desk calculating machine. This table may be used in connection with formulas (25) and (26); the critical point of *t*-distribution corresponding to the fractional "number of degrees of freedom" ν , is found by interpolation in some standard table of Student's distribution. Alternatively, we may use a table of a mean-range analogue of Student's statistic (e. g. Lord, [10].)

Tables IIa, IIb and IIc contain the coefficients

(35)
$$z(\gamma, k, n) = \frac{1}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{\chi_{\gamma}^{2}(\nu)}}$$

appearing in formula (30). Three confidence levels are included, viz. $\gamma = 0.90$; $\gamma = 0.95$ and $\gamma = 0.99$. The tables have been computed in the following man-

ner: the constants ν and c have been taken from Table I., the $\chi^2_{\nu}(\nu)$ values have been found for $\nu \leq 30$ by interpolation in the table [11] by means of Lagrangian four point formula, for $30 < \nu \leq 100$ by linear interpolation, and for $\nu > 100$ computed according to Goldberg's and Levine's formulas [12]. The computations have been carried out by Mrs M. KRŠŇÁKOVÁ in the computing laboratory of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics at the Charles' University, Praha.

An example. To demonstrate the application of the formulas developed in the preceding section let us consider the following example. Assume that we have at our disposal the records of twenty samples from a normal distribution of size five and that the statistics recorded are the sample medians and sample

						r	· · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
k	n	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	15	20
	1	$3.829 \\ 2.481$	4.679 2.672	$5.486 \\ 2.830$	6.252 2.963	6.983 3.078	7.668 3.179	8.349 3.269	8.990 3.350	10.673 3.554	13.373 3.805
	2	$7.472 \\ 2.405$	$9.160 \\ 2.604$	$10.768 \\ 2.768$	$12.296 \\ 2.906$	$13.753 \\ 3.024$	$15.146 \\ 3.129$	$16.480 \\ 3.221$	$17.760 \\ 3.305$	$21.319 \\ 3.513$	26.515 3.770
	3	$11.103 \\ 2.379$	$13.634 \\ 2.581$	$16.040 \\ 2.747$	$18.332 \\ 2.886$	$20.516 \\ 3.006$	22.603 3.112	$24.604 \\ 3.205$	$26.524 \\ 3.289$	31.862 3.499	39.653 3.759
	4	$14.729 \\ 2.366$	18.103 2.570	$\begin{array}{r} 21.311\\ 2.736\end{array}$	$24.364 \\ 2.877$	27.276 2.997	30.060 3.103	32.727 3.197	$35.284 \\ 3.282$	$\begin{array}{r} 42.401 \\ 3.492 \end{array}$	52.791 3.753
	5	18.355 2.358	$22.571 \\ 2.563$	26.579 2.730	30.397 2.871	34.035 2.992	37.514 3.098	40.849 3.192	44.047 3.277	$52.941 \\ 3.488$	65.931 3.749
	6	$21.980 \\ 2.353$	27.039 2.558	31.848 2.726	36.428 2.867	40.795 2.988	44.969 3.095	48.970 3.189	$52.808 \\ 3.274$	$\begin{array}{r} 63.480 \\ 3.486 \end{array}$	79.064 3.747
	7	$25.608 \\ 2.349$	31.505 2.555	37.116 2.723	$\begin{array}{r} 42.459 \\ 2.864 \end{array}$	47.554 2.986	$52.424 \\ 3.092$	57.092 3.187	$61.569 \\ 3.272$	$74.020 \\ 3.484$	92.200 3.745
	8	$29.228 \\ 2.346$	$35.971 \\ 2.552$	$\begin{array}{c} 42.384 \\ 2.720 \end{array}$	$48.490 \\ 2.862$	$54.312 \\ 2.984$	59.878 3.090	65.213 3.185	$70.325 \\ 3.270$	$84.559 \\ 3.482$	$105.336 \\ 3.744$
	9	$32.852 \\ 2.344$	40.438 2.550	47.652 2.719	$54.521 \\ 2.860$	61.072 2.982	67.333 3.089	73.334 3.184	79.090 3.269	95.100 3.481	$\begin{array}{r} 118.485 \\ 3.743 \end{array}$
	10	$36.475 \\ 2.342$	44.904 2.549	52.920 2.717	60.552 2.859	67.830 2.981	74.786 3.088	81.456 3.183	87.850 3.268	$105.639 \\ 3.480$	131.617 3.742
	12	43.722 2.339	53.836 2.546	63.455 2.715	72.614 2.857	81.347 2.979	89.694 3.086	97.696 3.181	$105.372 \\ 3.266$	$126.717 \\ 3.479$	$157.888 \\ 3.741$
	15	54.592 2.337	67.234 2.544	79.257 2.713	90.705 2.855	$101.622 \\ 2.977$	$112.057 \\ 3.084$	$122.058 \\ 3.179$	$\begin{array}{r} 131.655 \\ 3.265 \end{array}$	$150.793 \\ 3.478$	179.293 3.740
	20	72.716 2.334	$89.564 \\ 2.541$	105.593 2.711	$120.849 \\ 2.853$	$135.413 \\ 2.976$	149.330 3.083	$162.665 \\ 3.178$	$175.457 \\ 3.263$	211.033 3.476	262.978 3.739
;	80	108.94 2.331	134.21 2.539	158.27 2.709	181.19 2.851	203.00 2.974	223.87 3.081	243.88 3.176	263.06 3.262	316.42 3.475	394.35 3.737
1	60 ·	217.63 2.329	$268.20 \\ 2.537$	316.28 2.706	$\begin{array}{r} 362.14\\ 2.849\end{array}$	405.75 2.972	447.47 3.079	487.47 3.174	525.87 3.260	632.59 3.473	788.39 3.736
		1	1	1	1	1	1 · · · ·	1			1

Table IConstants v and c for the approximation of the distribution of mean range of ksamples of size n by the x^2 -distribution

Table IIa

k n	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	15	20
1 · 3 4 5 6	0.794 0.641 0.589 0.562 0.544 0.532	$\begin{array}{c} 0.671 \\ 0.562 \\ 0.522 \\ 0.500 \\ 0.487 \\ 0.477 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.595 \\ 0.510 \\ 0.477 \\ 0.459 \\ 0.448 \\ 0.440 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.546 \\ 0.473 \\ 0.445 \\ 0.430 \\ 0.420 \\ 0.413 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.508 \\ 0.445 \\ 0.420 \\ 0.407 \\ 0.398 \\ 0.392 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.478 \\ 0.418 \\ 0.401 \\ 0.389 \\ 0.381 \\ 0.375 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.455\\ 0.405\\ 0.385\\ 0.374\\ 0.367\\ 0.362\end{array}$	0.435 0.390 0.373 0.362 0.355 0.350	$\begin{array}{c} 0.394 \\ 0.358 \\ 0.343 \\ 0.334 \\ 0.329 \\ 0.325 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.352 \\ 0.325 \\ 0.312 \\ 0.306 \\ 0.301 \\ 0.298 \end{array}$
7 8 9 10 12	0.523 0.519 0.510 0.505 0.498	$\begin{array}{c} 0.470 \\ 0.464 \\ 0.460 \\ 0.456 \\ 0.454 \end{array}$	0.434 0.429 0.425 0.422 0.417	0.408 0.403 0.400 0.397 0.393	$\begin{array}{c} 0.387 \\ 0.384 \\ 0.381 \\ 0.378 \\ 0.374 \end{array}$	0.371 0.368 0.365 0.363 0.359	$\begin{array}{c} 0.358 \\ 0.355 \\ 0.352 \\ 0.350 \\ 0.347 \\ 0.347 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.347 \\ 0.344 \\ 0.342 \\ 0.340 \\ 0.336 \\ 0.336 \end{array}$	0.322 0.319 0.317 0.316 0.313	0.296 0.294 0.292 0.291 0.288
15 20 30 60	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.490 \\ 0.481 \\ 0.471 \\ 0.458 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.443 \\ 0.436 \\ 0.428 \\ 0.418 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.411 \\ 0.405 \\ 0.398 \\ 0.390 \end{array}$	0.388 0.383 0.376 0.369	$\begin{array}{c} 0.370 \\ 0.365 \\ 0.359 \\ 0.352 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.355 \\ 0.351 \\ 0.346 \\ 0.339 \end{array}$	0.343 0.339 0.335 0.329	0.333 0.829 0.325 0.319	0.311 0.307 0.303 0.299	$\begin{array}{c} 0.287 \\ 0.283 \\ 0.281 \\ 0.277 \end{array}$

Coefficients $z(\gamma, k, n)$ for the determination of tolerance limits to confidence level $\gamma = 0.90$ with mean range as an estimator of the standard deviation

Table IIb

Coefficients $z(\gamma, k, n)$ for the determination of tolerance limits to confidence level $\gamma = 0.95$ with mean range as an estimator of the standard deviation

k	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	15	20
1	0.971	0.800	.0.694	0.625	0.575	0.538	0.508	0.483	0.431	0.382
2 3 4	0.729	0.569	0.505	0.514	0.484	0.458 0.428 0.410	0.457	0.420	0.361	0.344
5	0.585	0.519	0.475	0.443	0.419	0.399	$0.384 \\ 0.377$	0.371	0.343	0.312 0.307
78	$0.555 \\ 0.549$	0.495 0.487	$0.455 \\ 0.445$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.426\\ 0.420\end{array}$	0.404 0.399	0.386 0.382	0.372 0.367	0.359 0.356	0.332 0.329	$0.304 \\ 0.302$
9 10	0.537 0.530	$\begin{array}{c} 0.481 \\ 0.476 \end{array}$	0.443 0.439	$\begin{array}{c} 0.416\\ 0.412\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.395\\ 0.391 \end{array}$	0.378 0.375	$\begin{array}{c} 0.364\\ 0.361 \end{array}$	` 0.352 0.350	$0.327 \\ 0.326$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.301\\ 0.299 \end{array}$
12	0.520	0.468	0.432	0.406	0.386	0.370	0.357	0.346	0.321	0.295
20 30 60	0.499	0.449	0.416	0.392	0.374	0.359	0.348	0.336	0.313	0.288
60	0.466	0.424	0.396	0.374	0.357	0.344	0.333	0.323	0.302	0.279

ranges. Then we may take as an estimate of the population mean the average of the twenty medians,

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{20} \sum_{i=1}^{20} \tilde{x}_i.$$

This estimate will be very nearly normally distributed with mean μ and variance

Table IIc

k	5	6	7	8	. 9	10	11	12	15	20
1	1.515	1.149	0.952	0.833	0.746	0.680	0.633	0.595	0.518	0.444
2	0.917	0.791	0.692	0.652	0.577	0.540	0.510	0.487	0.436	0.386
3	0.795	0.678	0.604	0.552	0.514	0.485	0.461	0.442	0.400	0.358
4	0.720	0.622	0.559	0.515	0.482	0.456	0.436	0.418	0.381	0.343
5	0.676	0.589	0.532	0.492	0.462	0.438	0.419	0.404	0.369	0.333
6	0.645	0.566	0.513	0.476	0.448	0.426	0.408	0.393	0.360	0.327
7	0.624	0.549	0.500	0.464	0.438	0.417	0.400	0.385	0.354	0.322
8	0.612	0.536	0.489	0.455	0.430	0.410	0.393	0.379	0.349	0.318
9	0.594	0.526	0.481	0.448	0.423	0.404	0.388	0.374	0.345	0.314
10	0.583	0.517	0.474	0.442	0.418	0.399	0.383	0.370	0.342	0.312
12	0.566	0.504	0.463	0.433	0.410	0.391	0.376	0.364	0.336	0.307
15	0.548	0.490	0.451	0.423	0.401	0.383	0.369	0.357	0.332	0.303
20	0.529	0.475	0.438	0.412	0.391	0.374	0.361	0.350	0.324	0.297
30	0.507	0.458	0.424	0.399	0.380	0.364	0.352	0.341	0.317	0.292
60	0.483	0.448	0.407	0.384	0.366	0.352	0.340	0.330	0.308	0.284

Coefficients $z(\gamma, k, n)$ for the determination of tolerance limits to confidence level $\gamma = 0.99$ with mean range as an estimator of the standard deviation

$$D(\mu) = \frac{0,28683\sigma^2}{20},$$

where 0,28683 is the variance of the median of the sample of size five from N(0,1); the value has been taken from [13]. Thus the factor N appearing in our formulas is N = 69,73. From table I we have as the constants for the approximation of the distribution of mean range v = 72,716 and c = 2,331. The average 90% tolerance interval then is obtained from formula (25) as

$$a = 0,720R, \quad a = 0,720R.$$

A 90% tolerance interval to, say, confidence level $\gamma = 0.99$ is obtained as follows: from Weissberg's and Beatty's table [5] (or from a table of the normal distribution computing by trial and error) we find $r_{60.75,0.9} = 1.656627$. Then from Table IIc we get z (0.99; 20; 5) = 0.529. Thus the 90% tolerance interval to confidence level 0.99 is

$$\hat{\mu} = 0.876R, \quad \hat{\mu} = 0.876R.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] WILKS S. S., Determination of sample sizes for setting tolerance limits, Annals of mathematical statistics, 4 (1941), 91-96.
- [2] PROSCHAN F., Confidence and tolerance intervals for the normal distribution, Journal of the American statistical association, 48 (1953), 550-564.
- [3] WALD A., WOLFOVITZ J., Tolerance limits for a normal distribution, Annals of mathematical statistics 17 (1946), 208-215.
- [4] BOWKER A., Chapter 2. in "EISENHART C., HASTAY M. W., WALLIS W. A., Selected techniques of statistical analysis", New York 1947.
- [5] WEISSBERG A., BEATTY G., Tables of tolerance limit factors for normal distributions, Technometrics 2 (1960), 483-500.

- [6] PATNAIK, P. B., The use of mean range as an estimator of variance in statistical tests, Biometrika 87, 1950, 78-87.
- [7] PEARSON, E. S.-HARTLEY, H. O., Biometrika Tables for Statisticians, Camb. Univ. Press 1954.
- [8] JOHNSON N. L., WELCH B. L., Applications of the non-central t-distribution, Biometrika 31, 1940, 362-389.
- [9] ŽALUDOVÁ A., BILWACHS M., Research Report No 5337 of The Research Institute for Heat Engineering, Praha.
- [10] LORD E., The use of range in place of standard deviation in the t-test, Biometrika 84 (1947), 41-67.
- [11] HALD A.-SINKBAEK S. A., A table of percentage points of the χ^{a} -distribution, Skandinavisk Aktuarietidskrift 83, (1950) 168—175.
- [12] GOLDBERG A.-LEVINE H., Approximate formulas for the percentage points and normalization of t and χ^2 , Annals of Math. Stat., 17, 1946, 216–225.
- [13] GODWIN H. J., Some low moments of order statistics, Annals of Mathematical Statistics 20, (1949), 279-285.

TOLERANČNÍ MEZE PRO NORMÁLNÍ ROZDĚLENÍ

Souhrn

Označme \overline{R} průměrné rozpětí k navzájem nezávislých náhodných výběrů rozsahu n ze souboru s normálním rozdělením se střední hodnotou μ a s rozptylem σ^2 , μ nestranný odhad parametru μ nezávislý na \overline{R} a rozdělený normálně s rozptylem σ^2/N , kde N je známé číslo. V článku jsou tabelovány pomocné veličiny pro stanovení tolerančních intervalů, založených na μ a \overline{R} . (V tabulce I. jsou sestaveny konstanty c a ν pro aproximaci rozdělení veličiny \overline{R}/σ (viz seznam literatury, [6]). Interval tvaru

$$\mu - \frac{t_{1-P}(\nu)}{c} \sqrt{\frac{N+1}{N}} \overline{R}, \quad \mu + \frac{t_{1-P}(\nu)}{c} \sqrt{\frac{N+1}{N}} \overline{R}$$

pokrývá v průměru 100P% základního souboru. ($t_{1-P}(v)$ je 100(1-P)% kritická hodnota Studentova rozdělení s v stupni volnosti, definovaná vztahem

$$2\int_{t_{1-P}(\nu)}^{\infty} \left(1+\frac{\mu^{2}}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}} du = \frac{(1-P)\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)\sqrt{\nu\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}.$$

Tabulky IIa, IIb a IIc obsahují veličiny z (γ , k, n) takové, že interval

$$\rho - r_{N,P} z(\gamma, k, n) R, \quad \rho + r_{N,P} z(\gamma, k, n) \overline{R}$$

pokryje s pravděpodobností γ aspo
ň 100P% základního souboru. ($r_{N,P}$ je kořen rovnice

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{1/\sqrt{2r}-r}^{1/\sqrt{N}+r} e^{-\frac{u^{2}}{2}} du = P.$$

31

ТОЛЕРАНТНЫЕ ПРЕДЕЛЫ ДЛЯ НОРМАЛЬНОГО РАСПРЕДЕЛЕНИЯ

Резюме

Пусть R средний размах к взаимно независимых выборок объема n из нормально распределенной совокупности с математическим ожиданием μ и с дисперсией σ^2 , ρ несмещенная оценка параметра μ , распределенная нормально с дисперсией σ^2 N, где N - известное число. В настоящей статье табулированы вспомогательные величины для вычисления толерантных интервалов, основанных на ρ и \overline{R} . В таблице I приведены постоянные c и v, отвечающие разным сочетаниям κ и nи обладающие свойством: интервал

$$\hat{\mu} - \frac{t_{1-P}(v)}{c} \sqrt{\frac{N+1}{N}} \overline{R}, \quad \hat{\mu} + \frac{t_{1-P}(v)}{c} \sqrt{\frac{N+1}{N}} \overline{R},$$

где t_{1-P}(v) — 100Р -перцентное критическое значение распределения Стьюдента определяемое соотношением

(...)

$$2\int_{t_{1-P}(\nu)}^{\infty} \left(1+\frac{u^2}{\nu}\right)^{-\frac{\nu+1}{2}} du = \frac{(1-P)\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)\sqrt{\nu\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu+1}{2}\right)}.$$

покрывает в среднем долю 100 P% генеральной совокупности. В таблице II приведены величины $z(\gamma, k, n)$, обладающие свойством: интервал

$$\hat{\mu} - r_{N,P} \cdot z (\gamma, k, n) \overline{R}, \hat{\mu} + r_{N,P} z (\gamma, k, n) \overline{R},$$

где $r_{N,P}$ — корень уравнения

.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{1/\sqrt{N-r}}^{1/\sqrt{N+r}} e^{-\frac{u^{*}}{2}} du = P,$$

покроет с вероятностью γ по меньшей мере 100*P*% совокупности. Таблица IIа предназначена для коеффициента доверия $\gamma = 0,90$, таблица IIb для $\gamma = 0,95$ и IIc для $\gamma = 0,99$.