

Robert J. Plemmons

Direct iterative methods for linear systems using weak splittings

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 15 (1974), No. 1-2, 117--120

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142338>

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Direct Iterative Methods for Linear Systems Using Weak Splittings

R. J. PLEMMONS*

Departments of Mathematics and Computer Science,
The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

The splitting $A = M - N$ of a rectangular matrix A is called proper if the range and null spaces of A and M are equal. This idea was developed as a means of extending to the general case the usual splitting of a nonsingular matrix. For the linear system $Ax = b$ the iterative method $x^{(k+1)} = M^+Nx^{(k)} + M^+b$, where $A = M - N$ is a proper splitting, converges to the least squares solution of minimum norm, A^+b , if and only if $\rho(M^+N) < 1$. Here A^+ and M^+ denote the usual Moore-Penrose pseudoinverses of A and M . The method avoids the use of the normal system $A^T Ax = A^T b$.

This paper extends these results in two ways: (1) by considering the least squares and the minimum norm solutions separately so that the pseudoinverses are easier to calculate, and (2) by weakening the conditions of a proper splitting to requiring only equality of the ranges of A and M when $Ax = b$ may be inconsistent and only equality of the null spaces of A and M when $Ax = b$ is consistent. In addition, convergence theorems are obtained in terms of matrices leaving positive cones invariant.

1. Introduction

Consider the rectangular system of linear equations

$$Ax = b \quad (1.1)$$

where A is a real $m \times n$ matrix and b is a real m -vector. In the special case where $m = n$ and A is nonsingular, iterative methods of the form $x^{(k+1)} = Gx^{(k)} + c$ are usually employed to obtain the solution whenever m is large and the matrix A is sparse. This iterative formula is obtained by splitting A into the form $A = M - N$ where M is itself nonsingular and then letting $G = M^{-1}N$ and $c = M^{-1}b$. The sequence $\{x^k\}$ then converges to the solution to (1.1) for every $x^{(0)}$, if and only if the spectral radius $\rho(M^{-1}N)$, of $M^{-1}N$ is less than one. Conditions under which $\rho(M^{-1}N) < 1$ have been described by VARGA [10], COLLATZ [2], FIEDLER and PTAK [3], ORTEGA and RHEINBOLDT [6], MAREK [5], YOUNG [11], and others. In such studies the concept of matrix monotonicity plays a fundamental role.

* A portion of the author's research was supported by the NSF Grant 15943.

In the more general case where A may be singular and in particular rectangular, the system (1.1) may be under- or over-determined. Here one normally wishes to compute the solution \tilde{x} of minimum Euclidean norm if (1.1) is underdetermined and some vector y that minimizes the Euclidean norm of $b - Ax$ when (1.1) is over-determined. In the first case \tilde{x} is called the *minimum norm solution* to (1.1) and in the second case y is called a *least squares solution*. In the general case then, there is exactly one least squares solution of minimum norm. Such a vector y is called the *best least squares solution* to (1.1) and is given by $y = A^+b$ where A^+ is the *pseudo-inverse* of A ; that is, A^+ satisfies $A = AA^+A$, $A^+ = A^+AA^+$, with AA^+ and A^+A symmetric. More generally Xb provides a least squares solution to (1.1) where $AX = AA^+$. Such $n \times m$ matrices are known as *least squares inverses* of A and are denoted by $A_{\bar{l}}$. Moreover if (1.1) is consistent and $XA = A^+A$, then Xb is the solution of minimum norm. These matrices are called *minimum norm inverses* of A and are denoted by $A_{\bar{m}}$. Of course, $A_{\bar{l}} = A^+$ if A has full column rank, $A_{\bar{m}} = A^+$ if A has full row rank and $A^+ = A^{-1}$ if A is square and nonsingular. However, if $0 < \text{rank } A < \min \{m, n\}$ then $A_{\bar{l}}$ and $A_{\bar{m}}$ are not unique. Very little use of these particular matrices has yet been made in computational methods for singular systems, although they are usually much easier to compute than A^+ . Each of A^+ , $A_{\bar{l}}$ and $A_{\bar{m}}$ are solutions to $A = AXA$. Such solutions are called *generalized inverses* (g -inverses) of A and are denoted by A^- [9].

In [8] and in a recent joint paper [1], a new method for iterating to the best least squares solution has been suggested. The method involves splitting the coefficient matrix A and avoids the use of the often ill-conditioned normal system $A^T Ax = A^T b$. The splitting $A = M - N$ is called a *proper splitting* of A provided that $\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{R}(M)$ and $\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(M)$, that is, A and M have the same range and the same null space. (If A and M are square and nonsingular then the usual splitting is a proper splitting.) More recently [4], these ideas have been partially extended to operator equations $Tx = f$ where T is a bounded linear operator from a Banach to a Hilbert space.

In this paper these results are extended in two ways: (1) by considering the least squares and the minimum norm solutions separately so that the appropriate g -inverses are easier to calculate, and (2) by weakening the conditions of a proper splitting to requiring only equality of the ranges of A and M when (1.1) is over-determined and only equality of the null spaces of A and M when (1.1) is under-determined.

The following notation will be used throughout the paper:

- R^n denotes the n -dimensional real space and
- $R^{m \times n}$ denotes the $m \times n$ real matrices.

For $K \subseteq R^n$, K will be called a positive cone if K is a pointed, solid, closed, convex cone.

For the sake of brevity the proofs of the results in the following sections are omitted.

2. Splittings

Let $A = M - N$ be a proper splitting of A so that $\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{R}(M)$ and $\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(M)$ and let M^- denote any g -inverse of M . Then it can be shown that $A = M(I - M^-N)$, $I - M^-N$ is nonsingular, $A^- = (I - M^-N)^{-1}M^-$ is a g -inverse of A and A^-b is the unique solution to the system $x = M^-Nx + M^-b$ for any $b \in R^m$. In particular then, the iteration $x^{(k+1)} = M^-Nx^{(k)} + M^-b$ converges to A^-b for every $x^{(0)}$ if and only if $\rho(M^-N) < 1$. These same facts hold with M^- replaced by a least squares g -inverse M_l^- , A^- by A_l^- and also with M^- replaced by a minimum norm g -inverse M_m^- and A^- by A_m^- . This then provides a method for iterating to least squares approximate solutions or accordingly to the minimum norm solution to (1.1), whenever $A = M - N$ in a proper splitting and $\rho(M_l^-N) < 1$ or $\rho(M_m^-N) < 1$, respectively.

However, except for special cases such as those that arise in a natural way in the numerical solution of partial differential equations by finite difference methods, proper splittings are not very easy to obtain where $\rho(M^-N) < 1$. Thus one would naturally like to delete one of the requirements that $\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{R}(M)$ and $\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(M)$.

3. Over-Determined Systems

The purpose of this section is to consider a method of iterating to a least squares solution to (1.1), by using a splitting $A = M - N$ with only the requirement that $\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{R}(M)$. The first lemma establishes a condition under which $I - M_l^-N$ is nonsingular.

Lemma 3.1. Let $A = M - N$ in $R^{m \times n}$ with $\mathcal{R}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(M)$ and let M_l^- be a least squares g -inverse of M . If $\mathcal{R}(M_l^-) \cap \mathcal{N}(A) = \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{R}(M)$ and $I - M_l^-N$ is nonsingular.

Lemma 3.2. Let $A = M - N$ in $R^{m \times n}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.1. Then

1. $A_l^- = (I - M_l^-N)^{-1}M_l^-$ is a least squares g -inverse of A and
2. the iteration $x^{(k+1)} = M_l^-Nx^{(k)} + M_l^-b$ converges to the least squares solution A_l^-b to (1.1) for any $x^{(0)} \in R^n$, if and only if $\rho(M_l^-N) < 1$.

Notice that the least squares solution A_l^-b to (1.1), specified in the preceding lemma, depends upon the particular choice of M_l^- , and that M_l^- uniquely determines A_l^- . The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the iteration to converge to A_l^-b .

Theorem 3.3. Let K be a positive cone in R^n and let $A = M - N$ in $R^{m \times n}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.1, such that $M_l^-NK \subseteq K$. Let $A_l^- = (I - M_l^-N)^{-1}M_l^-$. Then $\rho(M_l^-N) < 1$ if and only if $A_l^-NK \subseteq K$.

4. Under-Determined Systems

Now consider the case where (1.1) is assumed to be consistent. Here we wish to obtain the solution \tilde{x} to (1.1) having minimum Euclidean norm. For this purpose we split A into $A = M - N$ with $\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(M)$, iterate to a vector $v \in R^m$, and then compute $\tilde{x} = M_m^- v$. As pointed out in [7], this problem arises in important algorithms used in mathematical programming.

The following sequence of results parallel those given in Section III.

Lemma 4.1. Let $A = M - N$ in $R^{m \times n}$ with $\mathcal{N}(M) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(N)$ and let M_m^- be a minimum norm g -inverse of M . If $\mathcal{R}[(M_m^-)^T] \cap \mathcal{N}(A^T) = \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(M)$ and $I - NM_m^-$ is nonsingular.

Lemma 4.2. Let $A = M - N$ in $R^{m \times n}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.1. Then

1. $A_m^- = M_m^-(I - NM_m^-)^{-1}$ is a minimum norm g -inverse of A ,
2. the iteration $v^{(k+1)} = NM_m^- v^{(k)} + b$ converges to a limit $v \in R^m$ for each $v^{(0)}$, if and only if $\rho(NM_m^-) < 1$ and
3. $\tilde{x} = M_m^- v$ is then the minimum norm solution to (1.1) in R^n .

Theorem 4.3. Let K be a positive cone in R^m and let $A = M - N$ in $R^{m \times n}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.1, such that $NM_m^- K \subseteq K$. Let $A_m^- = M_m^-(I - NM_m^-)^{-1}$. Then $\rho(NM_m^-) < 1$ if and only if $NA_m^- K \subseteq K$.

References

- [1] BERMAN, A. and PLEMMONS, R. J.: Cones and Iterative Methods for Best Least Squares Solutions of Linear Systems. *SIAM J. on Numer. Anal.*, 11, 145 (1974).
- [2] COLLATZ, L.: *Functional Analysis and Numerical Mathematics*. Academic Press, New York (1966).
- [3] FIEDLER, M. and PTAK, V.: Some Generalizations of Positive Definiteness and Monotonicity. *Numer. Math.*, 9, 163 (1966).
- [4] KAMMERER, W. J. and PLEMMONS, R. J.: Direct Iterative Methods for Least Squares Solutions to Singular Operator Equations. *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.* (To appear.)
- [5] MAREK, I.: Frobenius Theory of Positive Operators: Comparison Theorems and Applications. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 19, 607 (1970).
- [6] ORTEGA, J., RHEINBOLDT, W.: Monotone Iterations for Nonlinear Equations with Applications to Gauss-Seidel Methods. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 4, 171 (1967).
- [7] PAIGE, C. C.: An Error Analysis of a Method for Solving Matrix Equations. *Math. of Comp.*, 27, 355 (1973).
- [8] PLEMMONS, R. J.: Monotonicity and Iterative Approximation Involving Rectangular Matrices. *Math. of Comp.*, 26, 853 (1972).
- [9] RAO, C. R., MITRA, S. K.: *Generalized Inverses of Matrices and Its Applications*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1971).
- [10] VARGA, R. S.: *Matrix Iterative Analysis*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. (1962).
- [11] YOUNG, D. W.: *Iterative Solution of Large Linear Systems*. Academic Press, New York (1971).