Jaroslav Ježek; Tomáš Kepka Quasetrivial and nearly quasitrivial distributive goupoids and semigroups

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 19 (1978), No. 2, 25--44

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142419

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Quasitrivial and Nearly Quasitrivial Distributive Groupoids and Semigroups

J. JEŽEK and T. KEPKA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Prague*)

Received 10 March 1977

A groupoid is called quasitrivial if for every pair x, y of its elements xy is either x or y; it is called nearly quasitrivial if this is not true for exactly one pair x, y. We describe all quasitrivial and nearly quasitrivial semigroups and all quasitrivial and nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoids. Further, we study extensions of a given groupoid G which are quasitrivial up to G; in the distributive idempotent case we describe such extensions and prove that they are medial if G is medial.

Группоид называется квазитривиальным, если для всех пар его элементов справедливо или xy = x или xy = y; он называется почти квазитривиальным, если это не правда только для одной пары x, y. Описываются все квазитривиальные и почти квазитривиальные полугруппы и все казитривиальные и почти квазитривиальные дистрибутивные группоиды.

Grupoid se nazývá kvazitriviální když pro každou dvojici x, y jeho prvků buďto xy = xnebo xy = y; nazývá se skoro kvazitriviální když toto neplatí pro přesně jednu dvojici x, y. V práci jsou popsány všechny kvazitriviální a skoro kvazitriviální pologrupy a všechny kvazitriviální distributivní grupoidy. Dále se studují extenze daného grupoidu G které jsou kvazitriviální až na G; v distributivním idempotentním případě jsou popsány takové extenze a je dokázáno, že jsou mediální, jestliže G je mediální.

The present paper is a continuation of the study of distributive groupoids, begun in [1], [2], [3], [4]; however, it is self-contained.

To be able to anticipate properties of distributive groupoids, one must have a sufficiently large supply of examples. We get a class of examples if we add a strong condition to the distributive laws and describe all corresponding groupoids. In the present paper we are concerned with two strong conditions, namely quasitriviality and near quasitriviality. The methods used in the description of quasitrivial and nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoids enabled to describe 'quasitrivial and nearly quasitrivial semigroups, too.

^{*) 186 72} Praha 8, Sokolovská 83, Czechoslovakia

1. Preliminaries

A groupoid G is said to be

- distributive if $a \cdot bc = ab \cdot ac$ and $bc \cdot a = ba \cdot ca$ for all $a, b, c \in G$,

- quasitrivial if $ab \in \{a, b\}$ for all $a, b \in G$,

- an L-semigroup if ab = a for all $a, b \in G$,

- an R-semigroup if ab = b for all $a, b \in G$,

- a chain if G is a commutative quasitrivial semigroup.

It is clear that every L-semigroup (R-semigroup, resp.) is quasitrivial and every chain is distributive. Moreover,

1.1. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial groupoid. Then (i) G is idempotent.

(ii) Every non-empty subset of G is a subgroupoid.

Proof. It is evident.

If G is a groupoid, then we define two binary relations α_G and β_G on G as follows: $\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha_G$ iff a = ab; $\langle a, b \rangle \in \beta_G$ iff b = ab. Furthermore, we denote by t_G the least congruence of G such that the corresponding factor is a commutative groupoid. (The existence of such a least congruence is well-known.) The groupoid G is called anticommutative if $t_G = G \times G$.

Let G be a groupoid, $a \in G$ and let A be the block of t_G containing a. We shall say that a is an L-element (R-element, resp.) of G if A is a subgroupoid of G and, moreover, A is an L-semigroup (R-semigroup, resp.). We shall say that a is an isolated element of G if $A = \{a\}$ is a one-element set.

Let G be a groupoid and $a, b \in G$. We shall say that a covers b if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\langle a, b \rangle \notin t_G$.
- (ii) ab = b = ba (i.e. $\langle a, b \rangle \in \beta_G$ and $\langle b, a \rangle \in \alpha_G$).
- (iii) If $c \in G$, ac = c = ca and bc = b = cb, then either a = c or b = c.

A groupoid G is said to be nearly quasitrivial if there exists exactly one pair $\langle a, b \rangle$ of elements of G such that $ab \notin \{a, b\}$. Let G be a nearly quasitrivial groupoid and $a, b \in G$, $ab \notin \{a, b\}$. If a = b, then we say that G is of type I; if $a \neq b$, then we say that G is of type II.

1.2. Lemma. Let G be a nearly quasitrivial groupoid and let $x, y \in G$ be the elements such that $xy \notin \{x, y\}$. Then

- (i) If H is a non-empty subset of G such that $\{x, y\} \notin H$, then H is a quasitrivial subgroupoid of G.
- (ii) If H is a subset of G such that $\{x, y, xy\} \subseteq H$, then H is a subgroupoid of G.
- (iii) The set $\{x, y, xy\}$ is a subgroupoid of G.
- (iv) G is of type I, provided G is commutative.
- (v) G is idempotent, provided G is of type II.

Proof. It is evident.

Let G be a groupoid and x, y, $z \in G$. Then we define a groupoid G(o) = G(x, y, z)as follows: xoy = z; aob = ab whenever $a, b \in G$ and either $a \neq x$ or $b \neq y$. **1.3. Lemma.** Let G be a nearly quasitrivial groupoid and let x, y, $z \in G$ be elements such that $x \neq z \neq y$ and xy = z. Put G(o) = G(x, y, x) and G(*) = G(x, y, y). Then G (o) and G(*) are quasitrivial groupoids, G = G(o)(x, y, z) and G = G(*)(x, y, z).

Proof. Obvious.

2. Relations and quasitrivial groupoids

- Let M be a set. We put $id_M = \{\langle a, a \rangle; a \in M\}$. A relation r on M is said to be
- reflexive if $id_M \subseteq r$,
- symmetric if $\langle a, b \rangle \in r$ implies $\langle b, a \rangle \in r$,
- transitive if $\langle a, b \rangle \in r$ and $\langle b, c \rangle \in r$ imply $\langle a, c \rangle \in r$,
- complete if for all $a, b \in M$, either $\langle a, b \rangle \in r$ or $\langle b, a \rangle \in r$,
- antisymmetric if $V(r) = \emptyset$, where $V(r) = \{a \in M; \langle a, b \rangle \in r \text{ and } \langle b, a \rangle \in r \text{ for some } b \in M \setminus \{a\}\},\$
- an equivalence if it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive,
- a pseudoordering if it is reflexive, antisymmetric and complete,
- a quasiordering if it is reflexive and transitive,
- a regular quasiordering if it is a complete quasiordering and

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in r$$
 for all $a \in G$ and $b \in V(r)$,

- a linear ordering if it is a transitive pseudoordering.

Let r be a quasiordering of a set M. We shall say that r is semiregular, provided there exists an equivalence s on M with the following two properties:

- (i) If A is a block of s then either $r \cap (A \times A) = A \times A$ or $r \cap (A \times A) = id_A$.
- (ii) If $a, b \in M$ and $\langle a, b \rangle \notin s$, then either $\langle a, b \rangle \in r$ and $\langle b, a \rangle \notin r$ or $\langle b, a \rangle \in r$ and $\langle a, b \rangle \notin r$.

Let r be a reflexive relation on M. Then we define a relation \bar{r} on M as follows: $\langle a, b \rangle \in \bar{r}$ iff $a, b \in M$ and either a = b or $\langle a, b \rangle \notin r$.

2.1. Lemma. Let r be a reflexive relation on M. Then:

- (i) If $s = \bar{r}$, then s is reflexive, too, and $\bar{s} = r$.
- (ii) If both r and \bar{r} are complete, then both r and \bar{r} are pseudoorderings.
- (iii) If $V(\bar{r}) = \phi$, then r is complete.
- (iv) If r is an equivalence and \bar{r} is transitive, then either $r = M \times M$ or $r = id_M$. **Proof.** It is easy.

2.2 Lemma. Let r be a regular quasiordering on M. Then the restriction of r to V(r) is equal to $V(r) \times V(r)$ and the restriction of r to $M \setminus V(r)$ is a linear ordering. Moreover, r is semiregular.

Proof. It is easy.

2.3. Lemma. The relation $M \times M$ and every linear ordering of M are regular quasiorderings of M. The relation id_M is a semiregular quasiordering of M.

Proof. It is easy.

Let r be a reflexive relation on a set G. Define two binary operations * and \circ on G as follows:

$$a * b = a \text{ if } \langle a, b \rangle \in r; a * b = b \text{ if } \langle a, b \rangle \in \overline{r};$$

$$a \circ b = b \text{ if } \langle a, b \rangle \in r; a \circ b = a \text{ if } \langle a, b \rangle \in \overline{r}.$$

The groupoid G(*) is called the left derived groupoid of r and G(o) is called the right derived groupoid of r.

2.4. Lemma. Let r be a reflexive relation on a set G and let G be the left (right, resp.) derived groupoid of r. Then:

- (i) G is quasitrivial.
- (ii) $\alpha_G = r$ and $\beta_G = \bar{r}$ ($\alpha_G = \bar{r}$ and $\beta_G = r$, resp.).
- (iii) If r is a pseudoordering, then G is commutative.
- (iv) If r is a linear ordering then G is a chain. **Proof.** It is evident. **2.5. Lemma.** Let G be a quasitrivial groupoid. Then:
- (i) Both α_G and β_G are reflexive.
- (ii) $\beta_G = \overline{\alpha}_G$.
- (iii) G is the left derived groupoid of α_G and the right derived groupoid of β_G .
- (iv) $a \cdot ab = ab = ab \cdot b$ and $ab \cdot a = a \cdot ba$ for all $a, b \in G$. **Proof.** It is easy.

2.6. Corollary. There is a one-to-one correspondence between quasitrivial groupoids and reflexive relations.

2.7. Lemma. Let G be a commutative quasitrivial groupoid. Then both α_G and β_G are pseudoorderings.

Proof. With regard to 2.5(ii) and 2.1(ii), it suffices to show that α_G , β_G are complete. Let $a, b \in G$. If ab = a, then ba = a, $\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha_G$, $\langle b, a \rangle \in \beta_G$. In the opposite case we have ab = b = ba, so that $\langle a, b \rangle \in \beta_G$ and $\langle b, a \rangle \in \alpha_G$.

2.8. Corollary. There is a one-to-one correspondence between quasitrivial commutative groupoids and pseudoorderings.

2.9. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial groupoid and let A be a block of t_G . Then A is an anticommutative groupoid.

Proof. Put $t_A = r$ and $s = (t_G \setminus (A \times A)) \cup r$. It is visible that s is an equivalence on G. We are going to show that s is a congruence. Let $\langle a, b \rangle \in s$ and $c \in G$. Then $\langle a, b \rangle \in t_G$ and $\langle ac, bc \rangle \in t_G$. If $ac \notin A$, then evidently $\langle ac, bc \rangle \in s$. Suppose that $ac, bc \in A$. Further, we may assume that $ac \neq bc$. Then either $a \in \{ac, bc\}$ or $b \in \{ac, bc\}$. However, we have $\langle a, b \rangle \in t_G$ and so $a, b \in A$. Since $\langle a, b \rangle \in s$, we have $\langle a, b \rangle \in r$. If $c \in A$, then $\langle ac, bc \rangle \in r$ and so $\langle ac, bc \rangle \in s$. Let $c \notin A$. In this case ac = a, bc = b and so $\langle ac, bc \rangle \in s$. Similarly we can show that $\langle ca, cb \rangle \in s$. This shows that s is a congruence of G. Now we shall prove that the groupoid G/s is commutative. For, let $a, b \in G$. We have $\langle ab, ba \rangle \in$ $\in t_G$. If $ab \notin A$, then $\langle ab, ba \rangle \in s$. In the opposite case ab, ba belong to A. We have either ab = ba or $ab \neq ba$. In the first case $\langle ab, ba \rangle \in s$ evidently. In the second case it is easy to see that $a, b \in A$, so that $\langle ab, ba \rangle \in r$ and consequently $\langle ab, ba \rangle \in s$. Thus G/s is commutative, t_G is contained in s and $r = A \times A$.

Let *H* be a quasitrivial groupoid and G_i , $i \in H$, be pairwise disjoint groupoids. We define a groupoid *K*, denoted by Δ (G_i , $i \in H$), as follows: *K* is the union of the family G_i , $i \in H$; the groupoids G_i are subgroupoids of *K*; if $i, j \in H$, $i \neq j$, $g_i \in G_i$, $g_j \in G_j$, then $g_ig_j = g_{ij}$.

2.10. Lemma. Let H be a quasitrivial groupoid and G_i , $i \in H$ be pairwise disjoint groupoids. Then $\Delta(G_i, i \in H)$ is quasitrivial iff each G_i is quasitrivial.

Proof. It is trivial.

2.11. Proposition Let G be a quasitrivial groupoid. Then:

- (i) G/t_G is a quasitrivial commutative groupoid.
- (ii) Every block of t_G is a quasitrivial anticommutative groupoid.

(iii) $G = \Delta(i, i \in G/t_G)$.

Proof. (i) is obvious and (ii) follows from 2.9. (iii): Let $i, j \in G/t_G$, $i \neq j$, $a \in i$, $b \in j$. Assume that ij = i (the other case is similar). Then $ab \in i$ and hence ab = a. The rest is clear.

Let G, H be two groupoids such that $G \cap H = \phi$. We define a groupoid $K = G \triangle H$ in the following way: $K = G \bigcup H$; G and H are subgroupoids of K; gh = h = hg for all $g \in G$, $h \in H$. It is clear that $K = \Delta(G_i, i \in C)$ where $C = \{0, 1\}$ is the two-element chain, $G_0 = H$ and $G_1 = G$.

3. Quasitrivial semigroups

3.1. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial semigroup. Then $\langle a, b \rangle \in t_G$ iff either a = b or $ab \neq ba$. Hence $\langle a, b \rangle \in t_G$ iff $\{a, b\} = \{ab, ba\}$.

Proof. Define a relation r on G by $\langle a, b \rangle \in r$ iff $\{a, b\} = \{ab, ba\}$. It is visible that r is reflexive and symmetric. Further, let $a, b, c \in G$ and $\langle a, b \rangle \in r$, $\langle b, c \rangle \in r$; we shall prove $\langle a, c \rangle \in r$. It is enough to prove this in the case $a \neq b, b \neq c, a \neq c$. We shall distinguish the following four cases:

- (1) ab = a, ba = b, bc = b, cb = c. Then ac = abc = ab = a and ca = cba = cb = c. Hence $\langle a, c \rangle \in r$.
- (2) ab = a, ba = b, bc = c, cb = b. Then bac = bc = c and ca = cab. If ac = a then b = ba = bac = c, a contradiction. If ca = c then c = ca = cab = cb = b, a contradiction. Thus ac = c, ca = a and so $\langle a, c \rangle \in r$.
- (3) ab = b, ba = a, bc = c, cb = b. This case is dual to (1).

(4) ab = b, ba = a, bc = b, cb = c. This case is dual to (2).

We have proved that r is an equivalence. Now we are going to show that r is a congruence. For, let a, b, $c \in G$ and $\langle a, b \rangle \in r$; let us prove $\langle ca, cb \rangle \in r$. We may assume that $a \neq b$, $ca \neq cb$, $\{ca, cb\} \neq \{a, b\}$. If $cacb \neq cbca$, then $\langle ca, cb \rangle \in r$. Suppose, on the contrary, that cacb = cbca. The following cases can arise:

(5) ab = a, ba = b, ca = c. Then cb = b and b = cb = cab = ca = c, a contradiction.

(6) ab = a, ba = b, ca = a. Then cb = c and a = ca = cba = cb = c, a contradiction.

(7) ab = b, ba = a, ca = c. Then cb = b. The equality bc = b implies a = ba = bca = bc = b, a contradiction. Therefore $bc = c \neq b$, $cacb = cb = b \neq c = bc = cbca$, a contradiction.

(8) ab = b, ba = a, ca = a. Then cb = c and c = cb = cab = ab = b, a contradiction-We have proved (ca, cb) ∈ r. Similarly (ac, bc) ∈ r and r is a congruence. On the other hand, if a, b ∈ G then either ab = ba and (ab, ba) ∈ r or (a, b) ∈ r, (b, a) ∈ r and so (ab, ba) ∈ r, since r is a congruence. We see that G/r is commutative and consequently t_G contained in r. Conversely if (a, b) ∈ r, then {a, b} = {ab, ba} and (a, b) ∈ t_G, since (ab, ba) ∈ t_G.

3.2. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial semigroup. Then both α_G and β_G are quasi-orderings.

Proof. Only the transivitivity needs to be proved. If $\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha_G$ and $\langle b, c \rangle \in \alpha_G$ then a = ab, b = bc, a = ab = abc = ac and $\langle a, c \rangle \in \alpha_G$. Similarly for β_G .

3.3. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial semigroup and $a \in G$. The following are equivalent:

(i) a is both an L-element and R-element of G.

- (ii) a is an isolated element of G.
- (iii) ab = ba for every $b \in G$.
- (iv) If $b \in G$ then either ab = a = ba or ab = b = ba.

Proof. The lemma is an easy consequence of 3.1.

3.4. Lemma. (i) A groupoid G is an L-semigroup iff it is the left (right, resp.) derived groupoid of $G \times G$ (of id_G , resp.).

(ii) A groupoid G is an R-semigroup iff it is the right (left, resp.) derived groupoid of $G \times G$ (of id_G , resp.).

Proof. (i) is evident and (ii) is dual to (i).

3.5. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial anticommutative semigroup. Then G is either an L-semigroup or an R-semigroup.

Proof. We have $t_G = G \times G$ and hence $\{a, b\} = \{ab, ba\}$ for all $a. b \in G$, as it follows from 3.1. If $\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha_G$ then a = ab and therefore b = ba. New it is visible that α_G is symmetric and α_G is an equivalence by 3.2. Similarly β_G is an equivalence. But $\beta_G = \overline{\alpha}_G$. Taking 2.1 (iv) into account, we see that either $\alpha_G = id_G$ or $\alpha_G = G \times G$. The rest follows from 3.4.

3.6. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial semigroup. Then:

- (i) Every block of t_G is either an L-semigroup or an R-semigroup.
- (ii) Every element of G is either an L-element or an R-element.

Proof. The lemma follows from 2.9 and 3.5.

3.7. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial semigroup. Then every element from $V(\alpha_G)$ (from $V(\beta_G)$, resp.) is an L-element (an R-element, resp.).

Proof. Let $a \in V(\alpha_G)$. Suppose, on the contrary, that a is an R-element. Then the block A of t_G containing a is an R-semigroup. Further, there is an element, $b \in G$ different from a such that a = ab and b = ba. By 3.1, $\langle a, b \rangle \in t_G$, $a, b \in A$ and b = ba = a, a contradiction.

3.8. Lemma. Let G be a chain. Then both α_G and β_G are linear orderings.

Proof. By 3.2, α_G and β_G are quasiorderings. On the other hand, G is commutative and α_G , β_G are pseudoorderings.

3.9. Lemma. The following are equivalent for a groupoid G:

- (i) G is a chain.
- (ii) G is the left derived groupoid of a linear ordering.
- (iii) G is the right derived groupoid of a linear ordering.

Proof. Apply 2.4(iv), 3.8 and 2.5.

3.10. Corollary. There is a one-to-one correspondence between chains and linear orderings.

3.11. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial semigroup. Then both α_G and β_G are semi-regular quasiorderings.

Proof. Put $r = \alpha_G$ and $s = t_G$. By 3.2 r is a quasiordering. Moreover, if A is a block of r then the restriction of r to A is either $A \times A$ or id_A , as it follows from 3.6(i). Finally, let $a, b \in G$, $\langle a, b \rangle \notin s$. By 3.1 we have ab = ba. If ab = a then $\langle a, b \rangle \in r$ and $\langle b, a \rangle \notin r$. If ab = b then $\langle a, b \rangle \notin r$ and $\langle b, a \rangle \in r$. Similarly for β_G .

3.12. Lemma. Let C be a chain and G_i $(i \in C)$ be semigroups such that each G_i is either an L-semigroup or an R-semigroup. Then $\Delta(G_i, i \in C)$ is a quasitrivial semigroup.

Proof. The lemma can be verified in a mechanical way.

3.13. Lemma. Let r be a semiregular quasiordering of a set G and let G be the left (or right) derived groupoid of r. Then G is a quasitrivial semigroup.

Proof. There is an equivalence s on G having the properties (i) and (ii) from the definition of semiregular quasiorderings. Let C = G/s and G_i $(i \in C)$ be the blocks of s. It is easy to see that s is a congruence of G, C is a chain and each G_i is either an L-semigroup or an R-semigroup. Moreover, $G = \Delta(G_i, i \in C)$. By 3.12, G is a quasitrivial semigroup.

3.14. Corollary. There is a one-to-one correspondence between quasitrivial semigroups and semiregular quasiorderings.

3.15. Theorem. A groupoid G is a quasitrivial semigroup iff there are a chain C and semigroups S_i $(i \in C)$ such that every S_i is either an L-semigroup or an R-semigroup and $G = \Delta(S_i, i \in C)$. In this case C is isomorphic to G/t_G and S_i are the block of t_G

Proof. Apply 3.12, 2.11 and 3.5.

3.16. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial semigroup, $a, b \in G$, $C = G/t_G$ and let f be the natural homomorphism of G onto C. The following are equivalent: (i) a covers b.

- (1) a covers b.
- (ii) $f(a) \neq f(b), \langle f(b), f(a) \rangle \in \alpha_C$ and there exists no $x \in C$ with $f(b) \neq x \neq f(a), \langle f(b), x \rangle \in \alpha_C$ and $\langle x, f(a) \rangle \in \alpha_C$.

Proof. It is an easy exercise.

3.17. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial semigroup and $x, y \in G$ be two isolated elements such that x covers y. Let $a \in G$, $a \neq x$. Then xa = a iff ya = a.

Proof. First, assume that xa = a. Then ax = a and ya = ay, since x, y are iso-

lated. If ya = y then a = y, since x covers y. Next, let ya = a. Then xa = xya = ya = a

3.18. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial semigroup; let $x, y, z \in G$ be such that x is isolated, x covers $y, y \neq z$ are L-elements and $\langle y, z \rangle \in t_G$. Then:

(i) If $y \neq a \in G$ and ay = y then xa = x.

(ii) If $x \neq a \in G$ and ax = a then ay = a = az.

Proof. (i) Since y is an L-element and $ay = y \neq a$, we have ya = y (otherwise $ya = a, y \in V(\beta_G)$ is an R-element and y = z). If xa = a then ax = a and a = x, since x is isolated and x covers y. We see that xa = x at all events.

(ii) We have xa = a. If $\langle a, y \rangle \in t_G$ then ay = a = az. If $\langle a, y \rangle \notin t_G$ then ay = ya. However, x covers y and the equality ay = y implies a = x, a contradiction. Thus ay = a. Similarly az = a.

3.19. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial semigroup and $x, y \in G$ be such that $\langle x, y \rangle \in c_G$; let x, y be L-elements. If $x \neq a \in G$ and xa = a then ya = a.

Proof. Let ya = y. Then either $\langle y, a \rangle \in t_G$ and hence $\langle x, a \rangle \in t_G$, xa = x, a contradiction, or ya = ay = y and xa = xya = xy = x, a contradiction.

4. Nearly quasitrivial semigroups

Consider the following two groupoids A, B defined on the set $\{1, 2\}$:

A	1	2	B	1	2
1	2	2	1	2	1
2	2	2	2	1	2

4.1. Lemma. (i) Both A and B are nearly quasitrivial commutative semigroups of type I. Moreover, A and B are not isomorphic.

(ii) If G is a nearly quasitrivial semigroup defined on $\{1, 2\}$ with 1.1 = 2 then either G = A or G = B.

Proof. (i) is evident.

(ii) We have $2 = 1.(1.1) = (1.1) \cdot 1 = 2.1$, provided 1.2 = 2. Similarly, 2.1 = 1 if 1.2 = 1.

4.2. Lemma. Let G be a nearly quasitrivial semigroup of type I and $x \in G$ be such that $xx = y \neq x$. Then $\{x, y\}$ is a subgroupoid of G and it is isomorphic either to A or to B.

Proof. Apply 1.2(iii) and 4.1.

We shall say that G is of subtype IA (of subtype IB, resp.) if $\{x, y\}$ is isomorphic to A (to B, resp.).

4.3. Lemma. Let G be a nearly quasitrivial semigroup of type I; let $x, y \in G$ be such that $xx = y \neq x$. Put G(o) = G(x, x, x). Then:

(i) G(o) is a quasitrivial semigroup and G = G(o)(x, x, y).

(ii) x is an isolated element of G(o).

- (iii) y is an isolated element of G(o).
- (iv) x covers y, provided G is of subtype IA.
- (v) y covers x, provided G is of subtype IB.

Proof. (i) Only the associativity of G(o) needs to be proved. For, let $a, b, c \in G$. The following cases can arise:

- (1) $a \neq x \neq b$. Then $a \circ b = ab \neq x$ and $a \circ (b \circ c) = a$. bc = ab. $c = (a \circ b) \circ c$.
- (2) $b \neq x \neq c$. This case is dual to the preceding one.
- (3) $a = x = c, b \neq x, xb = x$. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = x \circ bx$, $(a \circ b) \circ c = x$ and $x \cdot bx = xb \cdot x = xx = y$. If bx = b then y = xb = x, a contradiction. Hence bx = x and $x \circ bx = x$.
- (4) $a = x = c, b \neq x, xb = b$. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = x \circ bx$ and $(a \circ b) \circ c = bx$. If bx = x then $y = xx = x \cdot bx = xb \cdot x = bx = x$, a contradiction. Hence bx = b and $x \circ bx = xb = b = bx$.
- (5) a = x = b, $c \neq x$. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = x \circ xc$ and $(a \circ b) \circ c = xc$. However, $x \circ xc = xc$ in every case.
- (6) $a \neq x$, b = x = c. This case is dual to (5).
- (7) a = b = c = x. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = x = (a \circ b) \circ c$.

(ii) Let S be the block of $t_G(o)$ containing x. Assume that there is an $a \in S$ with $a \neq x$. As we know, S(o) is either an L-semigroup or an R-semigroup. In the first case, $ax = a \circ x = a$, $x \circ a = xa = x$, $x = xa = x \cdot ax = xa \cdot x = xy$, a contradiction. In the other case $ax = a \circ x = x$, $x \circ a = xa = a$, x = ax = x, x = xx = y, a contradiction. In the other case $ax = a \circ x = x$, $x \circ a = xa = a$, $x = ax = xa \cdot x = x$, x = xx = y, a contradiction.

(iii) Let $a \in G$, $x \neq a$. Since x is an isolated element of G(o), $ax = a \circ x = x \circ a = xa$. Hence $a \circ y = ay = a \cdot xx = ax \cdot x = xa \cdot x = x \cdot ax = x \cdot aa = xx \cdot a = ya = y \circ a$. Finally, $x \circ y = xy = x \cdot xx = xx \cdot x = yx = y \circ x$ and y is isolated by 3.3.

(iv) Let G be of subtype IA. Then {x, y} is isomorphic to A and x o y = xy = y = yx = y o x. Moreover, if a ∈ G, a ≠ x, y and a o x = a = x o a, a o y = y = y o a then ax = a = xa, ay = y = ya, y = ya = xx . a = x . xa = xa = a, a contradiction.
(v) We can proceed similarly as in (iv).

4.4. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial semigroup, $x, y \in G$ be isolated elements such that x covers y (y covers x, resp.). Then G(o) = G(x, x, y) is a nearly quasitrivial semigroup of subtype IA (of subtype IB, resp.).

Proof. We shall prove that G(o) is a semigroup, the rest being easy. Let $a, b, c \in G$. We shall distinguish the following cases:

- (1) $a \neq x \neq b$. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = a \cdot bc = ab \cdot c = (a \circ b) \circ c$.
- (2) $b \neq x \neq c$. Similarly.
- (3) $a = x = c, b \neq x, xb = x$. Then bx = x, since x is isolated, and hence $a \circ (b \circ c) = x \circ bx = x \circ x = y = (a \circ b) \circ c$.
- (4) $a = x = c, b \neq x, xb = b$. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = x \circ bx = x \circ b = b \circ x = xb \circ x = (x \circ b) \circ x = (a \circ b) \circ c$.
- (5) $a = x = b, c \neq x, y, xc = x$. By 3.17, yc = y, and so $a \circ (b \circ c) = x \circ x = y = y \circ c = (a \circ b) \circ c$.

(6) a = x = b, $c \neq x$, y, xc = c. By 3.17, yc = c and $a \circ (b \circ c) = c = (a \circ b) \circ c$.

(7) a = x = b, c = y. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = y = (a \circ b) \circ c$.

(8) a = b = c = x. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = x \circ y = y \circ x = (a \circ b) \circ c$.

(9) $a \neq x, b = x = c$. This case is dual to (5), (6), (7).

4.5. Theorem. (i) Every nearly quasitrivial semigroup of type I is either of subtype IA or of subtype IB.

(ii) A groupoid G is a nearly quasitrivial semigroup of subtype IA iff there are a quasitrivial semigroup G(o) and two isolated elements x, y of G(o) such that x covers y in G(o) and G = G(o)(x, x, y).

(iii) A groupoid G is a nearly quasitrivial semigroup of subtype IB iff there are a quasitrivial semigroup G(o) and two isolated elements x, y of G(o) such that y covers x in G(o) and G = G(o)(x, x, y).

Proof. Apply 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4

Consider the following two groupoids P, Q with the underlying set $\{1, 2, 3\}$:

P	1	2	3	Q	2	1	2	
1	1	3	3	1		1	3	ľ
2	2	2	2	2		1	2	
3	3	3	3	3		1	3	

4.6. Lemma. (i) Both P and Q are nearly quasitrivial semigroups of type II. Moreover, P and Q are not isomorphic.

(ii) If G is a nearly quasitrivial semigroup with the underlying set $\{1, 2, 3\}$ such that 1.2 = 3, then either G = P or G = Q.

Proof. (i) This assertion can be verified mechanically.

(ii) G is idempotent and $1 \cdot 3 = 1 \cdot (1 \cdot 2) = (1 \cdot 1) \cdot 2 = 1 \cdot 2 = 3, 3 \cdot 2 = 3$. Furthermore, if $2 \cdot 1 = 1$ then $2 \cdot 3 = 2 \cdot (1 \cdot 2) = (2 \cdot 1) \cdot 2 = 3$ and $3 \cdot 1 = (1 \cdot 2) \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot (2 \cdot 1) = 1$. Hence G = Q. Similarly, if $2 \cdot 1 = 2$, then G = P.

4.7. Lemma. Let G be a nearly quasitrivial semigroup of type II and x, y, z be three different elements such that xy = z. Then $\{x, y, z\}$ is a subgroupoid isomorphic to P or to Q.

Proof. Apply 1.2(iii) and 4.6.

We shall say that G is of subtype IIP (of subtype IIQ, resp.) provided $\{x, y, z\}$ is isomorphic to P (to Q, resp.).

4.8. Lemma. Let G be a nearly quasitrivial semigroup of subtype IIP (IIQ, resp.), x, y, z be the three different elements of G with xy = z and put G(o) = G(x, y, y) (put G(o) = G(x, y, x), resp.). Then:

(i) G(o) is a quasitrivial semigroup and G = G(o)(x, y, z).

(ii) The element x (the element y, resp.) is an isolated element of G(o).

(iii) $\langle y, z \rangle \in t_{G(o)}$ and y, z are L-elements of G(o) ($\langle x, z \rangle \in t_{G(o)}$ and x, z are R-elements of G(o), resp.).

(iv) x covers y in G(o) (y covers x in G(o), resp.).

Proof. We shall assume that G is of subtype IIP; in the other case we could proceed similarly.

(i) It suffices to show that G(o) is associative. Let $a, b, c \in G$. The following cases can arise:

- (1) $a \neq x \neq b$. Then $x = ab \neq a \circ b$ and $a \circ (b \circ c) = a \cdot bc = ab \cdot c = (a \circ b) \circ c$.
- (2) $b \neq y \neq c$. Similarly.
- (3) a = x, b = y. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = x \circ yc$ and $(a \circ b) \circ c = yc$. If yc = y then $x \circ yc = x \circ y = y = yc$. If $yc = c \neq y$ then $x \circ yc = x \circ c = xc = x \cdot yc = xy$. . c = xc and so xc = c.
- (4) a = x, c = y. If b = x then $a \circ (b \circ c) = y = (a \circ b) \circ c$. Hence we may assume that $b \neq x$. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = x \circ by$ and $(a \circ b) \circ c = (x \circ b) \circ y$. If b = y then $x \circ by = y = (x \circ b) \circ y$. Let $b \neq y$. Then $(x \circ b) \circ y = xb \circ y$ and the equality by = y yields $z = x \cdot by = xb \cdot y, xb = x, x \circ by = y = xb \circ y$. Finally, if by = b then $xb \cdot y = x \cdot by = xb, xb = b$ and $x \circ by = b = xb \circ y$.
- (5) b = x, c = y. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = a \circ y$ and $(a \circ b) \circ c = ax \circ y$. If a = x then $a \circ y = y = ax \circ y$. Assume $a \neq x$. If ay = y then $z = xy = x \cdot ay = xa \cdot y$, z = a $a \circ y = zy$, $ax \circ y = zx \circ y$. However, $zy = xy \cdot y = x \cdot yy = xy = z = z \circ y$ and $zx \circ y = z$ in every case. Let $ay = a \neq y$. Then $ax = ay \cdot x = a \cdot yx = ay = a$ (since G is of subtype IIP) and $a \circ y = a = ax \circ y$.

(ii) We are going to show that $x \circ a = a \circ x$ for every $a \in G$. Since G is of subtype IIP, we can assume that $a \neq x, y$. Let, on the contrary, $x \circ a \neq a \circ x$. Then $xa \neq ax$ and we have one of the following two cases:

- (6) xa = a, ax = x. If ay = a then $a = ay = a \cdot yx = ay \cdot x = ax = x$, a contradiction. Hence ay = y and $z = x \cdot ay = xa \cdot y = ay = y$, a contradiction.
- (7) xa = x, ax = a. Then z = xz = xa. z = x. az, az = a. xy = ax. y = ay. Hence az = a and z = x. az = xa = x, a contradiction.

(iii) Since G is of subtype IIP, $y \circ z = yz = y$, $z \circ y = zy = z$, $y, z \in V(\alpha_{G(\circ)})$ and y,z are L-elements of $G(\circ)$. Moreover, $y \circ z \neq z \circ y$ and $\langle y, z \rangle \in t_{G(\circ)}$.

(iv) Let $a \in G$, $x \neq a \neq y$, $x \circ a = a = a \circ x$ and $y \circ a = y = a \circ y$. Then xa = a = ax, ya = y = ay, $az = a \cdot xy = ax \cdot y = ay = y$, a contradiction. Finally, $x \circ y = y = y = y \circ x$. Thus x covers y in $G(\circ)$.

4.9. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial semigroup and let $x, y, z \in G$ be three elements such that x (y, resp.) is an isolated element, $y \neq z (x \neq z, \text{ resp.}), \langle y, z \rangle \in t_G$ ($\langle x, z \rangle \in t_G$, resp.), y, z are L-elements (x, z are R-elements, resp.) and x covers y (y covers x, resp.). Then G(o) = G(x, y, z) is a nearly quasitrivial semigroup of subtype IIP (of subtype IIQ, resp.).

Proof. Only the first case. We shall show that G(o) is a semigroup (the rest is easy). Let $a, b, c \in G$. Consider the following cases:

- (1) $a \neq x \neq b$. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = a \cdot bc = ab \cdot c = (a \circ b) \circ c$.
- (2) $b \neq y \neq c$. Similarly.
- (3) a = x, b = y, yc = y. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = z, (a \circ b) \circ c = zc$. However, z = zy and $zc = z \cdot yc = zy = z$.

- (4) $a = x, b = y, yc = c \neq y$. By 3.19, zc = c and therefore $a \circ (b \circ c) = xc$, $(a \circ b) \circ c = zc = c$. However, $xc = x \cdot yc = xy \cdot c = yc = c$.
- (5) a = x, c = y, b = y. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = z = (a \circ b) \circ c$.
- (6) $a = x, c = y, by = y \neq b$. By 3.18, xb = x and $a \circ (b \circ c) = z = x \circ y = xb \circ y = (a \circ b) \circ c$.
- (7) b = x, c = y, ax = x. Then $ao(b \circ c) = az$ and $(a \circ b) \circ z = z$. However, $az = a \cdot xz = ax \cdot z = xz = z$.
- (8) $b = x, c = y, ax = a \neq x$. By 3.18, $a \circ (b \circ c) = az = a = ay = (a \circ b) \circ c$.

4.10. Theorem. (i) Every nearly quasitrivial semigroup of type II is either of subtype IIP or of subtype IIQ.

(ii) A groupoid G is a nearly quasitrivial semigroup of subtype IIP iff there are a quasitrivial semigroup G(o) and elements $x, y, z \in G$ such that x is an isolated element of G(o), x covers y in G(o), $y \neq z$, $\langle y, z \rangle \in t_{G(o)}$, y, z are L-elements of G(o) and G = G(o)(x, y, z).

(iii) A groupoid G is a nearly quasitrivial semigroup of subtype IIQ iff there are a quasitrivial semigroup G(o) and elements $x, y, z \in G$ such that y is an isolated element of G(o), y covers $x, x \neq z, \langle x, z \rangle \in t_{G(o)}, x, z$ are R-elements of G(o) and G = G(o)(x, y, z).

Proof. Apply 4.7, 4.8 and 4.9.

5. Quasitrivial distributive groupoids

5.1. Lemma. Every quasitrivial distributive groupoid is a semigroup.

Proof. Let G be a quasitrivial distributive groupoid and $a, b, c \in G$. With respect to 2.5(iv), we may assume that $a \neq b$, $a \neq c$, $b \neq c$. If ac = c then $a \cdot bc = ab \cdot ac = ab \cdot c$. The remaining case ac = a yields $ab \cdot c = ac \cdot bc = a \cdot bc$.

5.2. Lemma. Let C be a chain and G_i $(i \in C)$ be pairwise disjoint quasitrivial groupoids. Suppose that $\Delta(G_i, i \in C)$ is a distributive groupoid and let $i \in C$. Then either *i* is the unit of C or G_i is commutative.

Proof. Let $i, k \in C$ be such that $i \neq k = ik = ki$ and let $a, b \in G_k, c \in G_i$. Suppose ab = a (the other case is similar). Then a = ab = ca. b = cb. ab = b. ab = = ba. The rest is clear.

5.3. Lemma. Let G be a distributive groupoid and C be a chain such that $G \cap C = \phi$. Then $G \triangle C$ is a distributive groupoid. Moreover, $G \triangle C$ is quasitrivial, provided G is.

Proof. The lemma can be checked easily.

5.4. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial distributive groupoid and $C = G/t_G$. Then: (i) C is a chain.

- (ii) If $i \in C$ and S_i is the corresponding block of t_G then either i is the unit of C or S_i is a one-element set.
- (iii) If $j \in C$ is the unit and S_j is the corresponding block of t_G then S_j is either an L-semigroup or an R-semigroup. Moreover, either $S_j = V(\alpha_G)$ or $S_j = V(\beta_G)$, provided S_j is non-trivial.

Proof. (i) follows from 5.1, (ii) and (iii) follow from 5.1, 3.6, 3.15 and 5.2.

5.5. Theorem. A groupoid G is a quasitrivial distributive groupoid iff at least one of the following statements holds:

- (i) G is a chain.
- (ii) G is an L-semigroup.
- (iii) G is an R-semigroup.
- (iv) There is a chain C and an L-semigroup S such that $G = S \triangle C$ (then S is the set of all left units of G, G/t_G contains the unit j and C is isomorphic to $(G/t_G) \setminus \{j\}$).
- (v) There is a chain C and an R-semigroup S such that $G = S \triangle C$ (then S is the set of all right units of G, G/t_G contains the unit j and C is isomorphic to $(G/t_G) \setminus \{j\}$). **Proof.** Let G be a quasitrivial distributive groupoid. Suppose that G is not a chain

and put $K = G/t_G$. By 5.4, K is a chain containing the unit j. Moreover, the corresponding block S_j of t_G is either an L-semigroup or an R-semigroup. If $K = \{j\}$ then either (ii) or (iii) holds. Let $I = K / \{j\}$ be non-empty. If $i \in I$ then the corresponding block S_i of t_G is a one-element set and we see that $C = G \setminus S_j$ is a chain. Clearly, $G = S_j \triangle C_j$ since $G = \varDelta(S_i, i \in K)$. The converse assertion follows from 5.3.

In the remaining part of this section we give an alternative proof of 5.5, independent on 5.1.

5.6. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial distributive groupoid. Then α_G , β_G are quasiorderings.

Proof. Let $\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha_G$ and $\langle b, c \rangle \in \alpha_G$. If $\langle a, c \rangle \in \beta_G$ then ac = c and $a = ab = a \cdot bc = ab \cdot ac = c$, $\langle a, c \rangle \in \alpha_G$. If $\langle a, c \rangle \notin \beta_G$ then $\langle a, c \rangle \in \alpha_G$. Similarly we can show that β_G is transitive.

5.7. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial distributive groupoid. Then $\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha_G$ for all $a \in G$ and $b \in V(\alpha_G)$; we have $\langle a, b \rangle \in \beta_G$ for all $a \in V(\beta_G)$ and $b \in G$, too.

Proof. We shall prove only the first assertion. There exists an element $c \in G$ such that $b \neq c$, bc = b and cb = c. Suppose, on the contrary, that $ab \neq a$. Then $ab = b \neq a$, $b = bc = ab \cdot c = ac \cdot bc = ac \cdot b$. If ac = c then $b = ac \cdot b = cb = c$, a contradiction. Therefore ac = a and $\langle a, c \rangle \in \alpha_G$. However, $\langle c, b \rangle \in \alpha_G$ and α_G is transitive by 5.6. Consequently, $\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha_G$ and a = ab, a contradiction.

5.8. Lemma. Let G be a quasitrivial distributive groupoid. Then either α_G or β_G is a regular quasiordering.

Proof. Suppose that both $V(\alpha_G)$ and $V(\beta_G)$ are non-empty. Let $a \in V(\alpha_G)$ and $c \in V(\beta_G)$. There is an element $b \in G$ such that $a \neq b$, $\langle a, b \rangle \in \alpha_G$ and $\langle b, a \rangle \in \alpha_G$. Clearly, $b \in V(\alpha_G)$ and $\langle c, a \rangle \in \alpha_G$, $\langle c, b \rangle \in \alpha_G$, $\langle c, a \rangle \in \beta_G$, $\langle c, b \rangle \in \beta_G$ by 5.7. Hence a = c = b, a contradiction. We have proved that either $V(\alpha_G)$ or $V(\beta_G)$ is empty. As it follows from 2.1(iii), 5.6 and 5.7 if $V(\beta_G)$ is empty then α_G is a regular quasiordering and if $V(\alpha_G)$ is empty then β_G is a regular quasiordering.

5.9. Lemma. Let r be a regular quasiordering on a set G and G be the left (right, resp.) derived groupoid of r. Then at least one of the following three cases takes place: (i) G is a chain.

(ii) G is an L-semigroup (an R-semigroup, resp.).

(iii) There exists a chain C and an L-semigroup (an R-semigroup, resp.) S such that $G = S \triangle C$.

Proof. Put S = V(r) and $C = G \setminus V(r)$. If $S = \phi$ then r is a linear ordering and G is a chain. If $C = \phi$ then $r = G \times G$ and G is an L-semigroup. The rest follows from 2.2.

5.10. Proposition A groupoid G is a quasitrivial distributive groupoid iff it is either the left derived or the right derived groupoid of a regular quasiordering.

Proof. Apply 5.9, 5.3 and 5.8.

Now one can see that 5.5 is an easy consequence of 5.10 and 5.9.

6. Nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoids

6.1. Let G be a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid of type I and let $x, y \in G$ be elements such that $xx = y \neq x$. Then xa = a = ax and ya = a = ay for every $a \in G$ different from x.

Proof. We have $xa \cdot xa = x \cdot aa = xa$ and hence $xa \neq x$. Consequently xa = a and $ya = xx \cdot a = xa \cdot xa = xa = a$. Similarly ax = a = ay.

6.2. Lemma. Let G be a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid of type I and $x, y \in G$ be elements such that $xx = y \neq x$. Then $\{x, y\}$ is a subgroupoid isomorphic to A (the groupoid defined in section 4).

Proof. Apply 6.1.

6.3. Theorem. The following are equivalent for a groupoid G:

- (i) G is a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid of type I.
- (ii) There exists a chain G(o) and two elements $x, y \in G$ such that x is the unit of G(o), x covers y in G(o) and G = G(o)(x, x, y).
- (iii) There exist elements $x, y \in G$ such that $H = G \setminus \{x\}$ is a chain, y is the unit of H, xx = y and xa = a = ax for all $a \in H$.

Proof. It is easy to see that (ii) is equivalent to (iii) and (iii) implies (i). (i) implies (iii): Let $x, y \in G$ be such that $xx = y \neq x$. Put $H = G \setminus \{x\}$. By 1.2(i) and 6.1, H is a quasitrivial distributive groupoid, y is its unit and xa = a = ax for every $a \in H$. Since H contains a unit, H is a chain, as it follows from 5.5.

6.4. Corollary. Let G be a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid of type I and $x, y \in G$ be such that $xx = y \neq x$. Then:

- (i) G is a commutative semigroup.
- (ii) G(x, x, x) is a chain.

Consider the following two groupoids R, T with the underlying set $\{1, 2, 3\}$:

R	1	2	3	T	1	2	3
1	1	3	1	1	1	3	3
2	2	2	2	2	1	2	3
3	3	3	3	3	1	2	3

6.5. Lemma. (i) All the groupoids P, Q, R, T are nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoids of type II. Moreover, the groupoids are pairwise non-isomorphic.

(ii) If G is a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid with the underlying set $\{1, 2, 3\}$ such that 1.2 = 3 then either G = P or G = Q or G = R or G = T.

Proof. (i) This assertion can be checked easily.

(ii) First, let $3 \cdot 1 = 3$. Then $3 = 3 \cdot 1 = (1 \cdot 2) \cdot 1 = (1 \cdot 1) \cdot (2 \cdot 1) = 1 \cdot (2 \cdot 1)$ and hence $2 \cdot 1 = 2$. Moreover, $2 \cdot 3 = 2 \cdot (1 \cdot 2) = (2 \cdot 1) \cdot (2 \cdot 2) = (2 \cdot 1) \cdot 2 =$ $= 2 \cdot 2 = 2$. If $1 \cdot 3 = 1$ then $1 \cdot (3 \cdot 2) = (1 \cdot 3) \cdot (1 \cdot 2) = 1 \cdot 3 = 1$ and hence $3 \cdot 2 =$ = 3 and G = R. If $1 \cdot 3 = 3$ then $3 = (1 \cdot 2) \cdot 3 = (1 \cdot 3) \cdot (2 \cdot 3) = 3 \cdot 2$ and G = P. Next, let $3 \cdot 1 = 1$. Similarly, we can show that either G = T or G = Q.

6.6. Lemma. Let G be a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid of type II and $x, y, z \in G$ be such that xy = z and $x \neq z \neq y$. Then $\{x, y, z\}$ is a subgroupoid of G and it is isomorphic to exactly one of the groupoids P, Q, R, T.

Proof. Apply 1.2 (iii) and 6.5.

We shall say that G is of subtype IIP (IIQ, IIR, IIT, resp.) if $\{x, y, z\}$ is isomorphic to P (to Q, R, T, resp.).

6.7. Lemma. Let G be a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid of subtype IIP or IIQ and $x, y, z \in G$ be the three different elements with xy = z. Then $C = G \setminus \{x, y, z\}$ is either empty or a chain. Moreover, $G = \{x, y, z\} \triangle C$, provided C is non-empty.

Proof. We shall consider only the case IIP. Put $H = G \setminus \{y\}$, $K = G \setminus \{x\}$ and assume that C is non-empty. Then H, K are quasitrivial distributive groupoids. Since G is of subtype IIP, yz = y, zy = z, y, $z \in D = V(\alpha_K)$, D is an L-semigroup and $K \setminus D$ is a chain (apply 5.5). Let $a \in D$. Then $xa = x \cdot ay = xa \cdot xy = xa \cdot z = xz \cdot az =$ $= z \cdot az = za = z$ and we see that either a = y or a = z. Hence $D = \{y, z\}$, $K \setminus D = C$ and $K = \{y, z\} \triangle C$. Finally, $a = za = xa \cdot ya = xa \cdot a$ and $a = az = ay \cdot ax = a \cdot ax$ for every $a \in C$. Hence xa = a = ax and $G = \{x, y, z\} \triangle C$.

6.8. Theorem. A groupoid G is a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid of subtype IIP (IIQ, resp.) iff at least one of the following two cases takes place:

- (i) G is isomorphic to P (to Q, resp.).
- (ii) There exists a chain C and a groupoid S isomorphic to P (to Q, resp.) such that $G = S \triangle C$.

Proof. The "only if" part follows from 6.7. The "if" part is easy (see 5.3).

6.9. Corollary. If G is a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid of subtype IIP or IIQ, then G is a semigroup.

6.10. Lemma. Let G be an L-semigroup (an R-semigroup, resp.) and $x, y, z \in G$ be three different elements. Then G(o) = G(x, y, z) is a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid of subtype IIR (IIT, resp.).

Proof. We shall show that G(o) is distributive (the rest is easy). Let $a, b, c \in G$. The following cases can arise:

- (1) $a \neq x$. Then $a \circ b = ab = a \neq x$ and $a \circ (b \circ c) = a = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$.
- (2) $a = x, b \neq y \neq c$. Then $a \circ c = a = x \neq y$ and $b \circ c \neq y$. We have $a \circ (b \circ c) = x = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$.

- (3) a = x, b = y. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = x \circ y = z$ and $(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = z \circ (x \circ c) = z$.
- (4) $a = x, b \neq y = c$. Then $a \circ (b \circ c) = x$ and $(a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c) = x \circ z = x$.

We have proved $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ (a \circ c)$. Further, we are going to prove $(b \circ c) \circ a = (b \circ a) \circ (c \circ a)$. We have the following cases:

- (5) $b \neq x$. Then $(b \circ c) \circ a = b = (b \circ a) \circ (c \circ a)$.
- (6) $b = x, a \neq y \neq c$. Then $(b \circ c) \circ a = x = (b \circ a) \circ (c \circ a)$.
- (7) $b = x, a = y \neq c$. Then $(b \circ c) \circ a = z$ and $(b \circ a) \circ (c \circ a) = z \circ (c \circ a) = z$.
- (8) $b = x, c = y \neq a$. Then $(b \circ c) \circ a = z = (b \circ a) \circ (c \circ a)$.
- (9) b = x, c = y = a. Then $(b \circ c) \circ a = z = (b \circ a) \circ (c \circ a)$.

6.11. Theorem. A groupoid G is a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid of subtype IIR (IIT, resp.) iff at least one of the following two cases takes place:

- (i) There exists an L-semigroup (R-semigroup, resp.) G(o) and three different elements $x, y, z \in G$ such that G = G(o)(x, y, z).
- (ii) There exists a chain C, an L-semigroup (R-semigroup, resp.) S(o) and three different elements $x, y, z \in S$ such that $G = S \triangle C$, where S = S(o)(x, y, z).

Proof. The "if" part is an easy consequence of 5.3 and 6.10. Now assume that G is a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid of subtype IIR; let $x, y, z \in G$ be the three different elements with xy = z. Put $H = G \setminus \{y\}$ and $K = G \setminus \{x\}$. Then H, K are quasitrivial distributive groupoids. Since G is of subtype IIR, we have xz = x, zx = z, yz = y, zy = z, $x, z \in V(\alpha_H)$ and $y, z \in V(\alpha_K)$. Put $S = V(\alpha_H) \cup V(\alpha_K)$. Let $a \in V(\alpha_H)$, $a \neq x$. Then az = a, za = z and we see that $a \in V(\alpha_K)$. Similarly, $V(\alpha_K) \setminus \{y\} \subseteq V(\alpha_H)$. Now it is clear that ab = a whenever $a, b \in S$ and either $a \neq x$ or $b \neq y$. We see that S(o) = S(x, y, x) is an L-semigroup and S = S(o)(x, y, z). Finally, $G \setminus S = C$ is either an empty set or a chain.

6.12. Corollary. A groupoid G is a nearly quasitrivial distributive groupoid of subtype IIR (IIT, resp.) iff there are a quasitrivial distributive groupoid G(o) and three different L-elements (R-elements, resp.) x, y, z such that G = G(o)(x, y, z).

7. Distributive idempotent groupoids quasitrivial up to a subgroupoid

Let H be a groupoid and G be its subgroupoid. We say that H is quasitrivial up to G if the following holds: if $x, y \in H$ and $xy \notin \{x, y\}$, then $x, y \in G$. By a subuniverse of H we mean any subset which is either empty or a subgroupoid of H. If G is idempotent, then an extension H of G is quasitrivial up to G iff every subset of H whose intersection with G is a subuniverse of G is a subuniverse of H. In the present section we shall study such extensions in the distributive case.

7.1. Lemma. The following are equivalent for a groupoid G:

(i) G is a semilattice, i.e. G satisfies xx = x, xy = yx, $xy \cdot z = x \cdot yz$.

- (ii) G is a distributive commutative idempotent groupoid satisfying $xy = xy \cdot x$.
- (iii) G is a distributive idempotent groupoid satisfying xy = xy. x = y. xy.

Proof. The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) are easy. Let us prove (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

 $x \cdot yz = xy \cdot xz = (xy \cdot x)(xy \cdot z) = (xy)(xy \cdot z) = (xy \cdot z)(xy) = xy \cdot z.$

7.2. Lemma. The following are equivalent for a groupoid G:

- (i) G satisfies xx = x, $x \cdot yz = xy$.
- (ii) G is a distributive idempotent groupoid satisfying $x = x \cdot xy$, $xy = xy \cdot x$.
- (iii) G is a medial idempotent groupoid satisfying $x = x \cdot xy$, $xy = xy \cdot x$, $xy \cdot z = xz \cdot y$. **Proof.** (i) \Rightarrow (iii): $xy \cdot z = xz \cdot yz = (x \cdot yz)(z \cdot yz) = xy \cdot zy = xz \cdot y$ and

$$11001. (1) \rightarrow (11). xy \cdot z - xz \cdot yz - (x \cdot yz)(x \cdot yz) - xy \cdot zy - xz \cdot y \, dt$$

 $xy \cdot zu = xy \cdot z = xz \cdot y = xz \cdot yu$. (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is evident. (ii) \Rightarrow (i):

 $x \cdot yz = xy \cdot xz = (xy \cdot x)(xy \cdot z) = (xy)(xy \cdot z) = xy.$

7.3. Lemma. The following are equivalent for a groupoid G:

- (i) G satisfies xx = x, $xy \cdot z = yz$.
- (ii) G is a distributive idempotent groupoid satisfying $x = yx \cdot x$, $xy = y \cdot xy$.
- (iii) G is a medial idempotent groupoid satisfying $x = yx \cdot x$, $xy = y \cdot xy$, $x \cdot yz = y \cdot xz$. **Proof.** The lemma is dual to 7.2.

Let G be a groupoid and A, B be its two subsets. Then we define a groupoid $Q_{A,B}(G)$ as follows: $Q_{A,B}(G) = G \bigcup \{a\}$ where a is an element not belonging to G; G is a subgroupoid of $Q_{A,B}(G)$;

$$aa = a;$$

 $ax = a$ for $x \in A$ and $ax = x$ for $x \in G \setminus A;$
 $xa = a$ for $x \in B$ and $xa = x$ for $x \in G \setminus B.$

Evidently, $Q_{A,B}(G)$ is an extension quasitrivial up to G and every extension quasitrival up to G and containing only one additional element is of such a form.

7.4. Theorem. Let G be a distributive idempotent groupoid and A, B be its two subsets. Put $H = Q_{A,B}(G) = G \bigcup \{a\}$. Then H is distributive iff one of the following three cases takes place:

- (1) A = B, A and $G \setminus A$ are subuniverses of G, $G \setminus A$ is a semilattice and if $x \in A$ and $y \in G \setminus A$ then xy = y = yx.
- (2) $A = \phi$, B and $G \setminus B$ are subuniverses of G, B satisfies $xy \cdot z = yz$, GB is \setminus a semilattice and if $x \in B$ and $y \in G \setminus B$ then xy = yx = y.
- (3) $B = \phi$, A and $G \setminus A$ are subuniverses of G, A satisfies $x \cdot yz = xy$, $G \setminus A$ is a semilattice and if $x \in A$ and $y \in G \setminus A$ then xy = yx = y.

Proof. Let H be distributive. If $x, y \in A$ then $a \cdot xy = ax \cdot ay = aa = a$ and so $xy \in A$. If $x, y \in G \setminus A$ then $a \cdot xy = ax \cdot ay = xy$ and so $xy \in G \setminus A$. Hence A and $G \setminus A$ are subuniverses of G. Similarly, it follows from $xy \cdot = a xa \cdot ya$ that B and $G \setminus B$ are subuniverses of G.

If $x \in A$ and $y \in G \setminus A$ then $a \cdot xy = ax \cdot ay = ay = y$ and so xy = y.

If $x \in G \setminus B$ and $y \in B$ then $xy \cdot a = xa \cdot ya = xa = x$ and so xy = x.

Suppose that neither $A \subseteq B$ nor $B \subseteq A$. Then there exists an element $x \in A \setminus B$ and an element $y \in B \setminus A$. We have xy = y and xy = x, a contradiction.

We have proved that either $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$.

Suppose that $A \neq \phi$, $B \neq \phi$, $A \neq B$. If $A \subseteq B$ then there exists an element $x \in B \setminus A$ and an element $y \in A$; we have $xy = ax \cdot y = ay \cdot xy = a \cdot xy = ax \cdot ay = xa = a$, a contradiction. If $B \subseteq A$ then there exists an element $x \in B$ and an element

 $y \in A \setminus B$; we have $xy = x \cdot ya = xy \cdot xa = xy \cdot a = xa \cdot ya = ay = a$, a contradiction again.

We have proved that either A = B or $A = \phi$ or $B = \phi$.

Suppose A = B. Let $x, y \in G \setminus A = G \setminus B$. We have $xy = x \cdot ya = xy \cdot xa = xy \cdot x$ and $xy = ax \cdot y = ay \cdot xy = y \cdot xy$; by 7.1, $G \setminus A$ is a semilattice. Thus (1) takes place. Suppose $A = \phi$. If $x, y \in G$ then $xy = ax \cdot y = ay \cdot xy = y \cdot xy$. If $x, y \in B$ then $y = ay = xa \cdot y = xy \cdot ay = xy \cdot y$; by 7.3, B satisfies $xy \cdot z = yz$. If $x, y \in G \setminus B$ then $xy = x \cdot ya = xy \cdot xa = xy \cdot x$; by 7.1, $G \setminus B$ is a semilattice. If $x \in B$ and $y \in G \setminus B$ then $xy \cdot a = xa \cdot ya = ay = y$ and so xy = y. Thus (2) takes place.

Suppose $B = \phi$. If $x, y \in G$ then $xy = x \cdot ya = xy \cdot xa = xy \cdot x$. If $x, y \in A$ then $x = xa = x \cdot ay = xa \cdot xy = x \cdot xy$; by 7.2, A satisfies $x \cdot yz = xy$. If $x, y \in G \setminus A$ then $xy = ax \cdot y = ay \cdot xy = y \cdot xy$; by 7.1, $G \setminus A$ is a semilattice. If $x \in G \setminus A$ and $y \in A$ then $a \cdot xy = ax \cdot ay = xa = x$ and so xy = x. Thus (3) takes place.

The direct implication is thus proved. Conversely, suppose that one of the three cases (1), (2), (3) takes place and let us prove that H is distributive. Since $ax \cdot a = a \cdot xa$ and $xa \cdot x = x \cdot ax$ are evident, it is enough to prove that if p, q, r are pairwise different elements of H and one of them equals a, then $p \cdot qr = pq \cdot pr$ and $pq \cdot r = pr \cdot qr$.

Let (1) take place. If one of the elements p, q, r equals a and the other two belong to A, then evidently $p \cdot qr = pq \cdot pr = pq \cdot r = pr \cdot qr = a$.

If
$$x, y \in G$$
 A then
 $a \cdot xy = xy = ax \cdot ay$,
 $x \cdot ay = xy = x \cdot xy = xa \cdot xy$,
 $x \cdot ya = xy = xy \cdot x = xy \cdot xa$,
 $ax \cdot y = xy = y \cdot xy = ay \cdot xy$,
 $xa \cdot y = xy = xy \cdot y = xy \cdot ay$,
 $xy \cdot a = xy = xa \cdot ya$.
If $x \in A$ and $y \in G$ A then
 $a \cdot xy = ay = y = xa \cdot ay$,
 $a \cdot yx = ay = y = ya = ay \cdot ax$,
 $x \cdot ay = xy = y = ya = xa \cdot xy$,
 $y \cdot ax = ya = y = yy = ya \cdot xx$,
 $x \cdot ya = xy = y = yy = ya \cdot xx$,
 $x \cdot ya = xy = y = yy = xa \cdot xy$,
 $y \cdot xa = ya = y = yy = ay \cdot xy$,
 $ax \cdot y = ay = y = yy = ay \cdot xy$,
 $ax \cdot y = ay = y = yy = ax \cdot yx$,
 $xa \cdot y = ay = y = yy = xy \cdot ax$,
 $xy = xy = y = y = ay = xx \cdot ax$,
 $xa \cdot x = ya = y = ay = xa \cdot ya$,
 $yx \cdot a = ya = y = ya = xa \cdot xa$.

In the cases (2) and (3) the distributivity of H can be proved similarly. **7.5. Lemma.** Let G be an idempotent medial groupoid and A, B be its two subsets. Put $H = Q_{A,B}(G) = G \cup \{a\}$ and suppose that H is distributive. Then H is medial.

Proof. It is proved in [5] that in a distributive groupoid every three elements generate a medial subgroupoid. Hence it is enough to prove that if $x, y, z \in G$ then $ax \cdot yz = ay \cdot xz$ and $xa \cdot yz = xy \cdot az$. By 7.4 one of the three cases (1), (2), (3) takes place. Suppose first that (1) takes place.

If $x \in A$, $y \in A$, $z \in A$ then $ax \cdot yz = a = ay \cdot xz$ and $xa \cdot yz = a = xy \cdot az$.

If $x \in A$, $y \in A$, $z \notin A$ then $ax \cdot yz = az = ay \cdot xz$ and $xa \cdot yz = az = z = xy \cdot z = xy \cdot az$.

If $x \in A$, $y \notin A$, $z \in A$ then $ax \cdot yz = ay = y = y \cdot xz = ay \cdot xz$ and $xa \cdot yz = ay = ya = xy \cdot az$.

If $x \in A$, $y \notin A$, $z \notin A$ then $ax \cdot yz = a \cdot yz = yz = ay \cdot xz$ and $xa \cdot yz = a \cdot yz = yz = xy \cdot z = xy \cdot az$.

If $x \notin A$, $y \in A$, $z \in A$ then $ax \cdot yz = x \cdot yz = x = ax = ay \cdot xz$ and $xa \cdot yz = x \cdot yz = x = xa = xy \cdot az$.

If $x \notin A$, $y \in A$, $z \notin A$ then $ax \cdot yz = xz = a \cdot xz = ay \cdot xz$ and $xa \cdot yz = x \cdot yz = xy \cdot az$.

If $x \notin A$, $y \notin A$, $z \in A$ then $ax \cdot yz = xy = yx = ay \cdot xz$ and $xa \cdot yz = xy = xy \cdot a = xy \cdot az$.

If $x \notin A$, $y \notin A$, $z \notin A$ then $ax \cdot yz = x \cdot yz = y \cdot xz = ay \cdot xz$ and $xa \cdot yz = x \cdot yz = xy \cdot z = xy \cdot az$.

Now suppose that (2) takes place. We have ag = g for all $g \in G$ and thus $ax \cdot yz = ay \cdot xz$ reduces to $x \cdot yz = y \cdot xz$; however, this identity can be proved easily from (2). The equality $xa \cdot yz = xy \cdot az$ can be proved similarly as in the case (1) by considering the eight cases.

In the case (3) again one proves without difficulty $ax \cdot yz = ay \cdot xz$ and $xa \cdot yz = xy \cdot az$ in all of the eight cases.

7.6. Theorem. Let H be a distributive groupoid and G be its idempotent medial subgroupoid. Suppose that H is quasitrivial up to G. Then H is idempotent and medial, too.

Proof. The idempotency is evident and mediality follows easily from 7.5 e.g. by the transfinite induction.

7.7. Corollary. If G is a distributive groupoid which is either quasitrivial or nearly quasitrivial, then G is medial.

This follows easily from the results of sections 5 and 6; however, it follows easily from 7.6, too.

References

- J. JEŽEK, T. KEPKA: Free commutative idempotent abelian groupoids and quasigroups. Acta Univ. Carolinae Math. et Phys. 17/2 (1976), 13-19.
- [2] J. JEŽEK, T. KEPKA: The lattice of varieties of commutative abelian distributive groupoids. Algebra Universalis 5 (1975), 225-237.
- [3] J. JEŽEK, T. KEPKA: Semigroup representations of commutative idempotent abelian groupoids. Commentationes Math. Univ. Carolinae 16, 3 (1975), 487-500.

- [4] J. JEŽEK, T. KEPKA: Atoms in the lattice of varieties of distributive groupoids. Colloquia Math. Soc. János Bolyai 14. Lattice Theory, Szeged 1974, 185–194.
- [5] A. MITSCHKE: Distributive groupoids are trimedial. (To appear in Algebra Universalis).