

Le Van Hot

On the extremal points of the closed unit balls in some abstract spaces

*Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica*, Vol. 22 (1981), No. 2, 15--22

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142470>

**Terms of use:**

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## On the Extremal Points of the Closed Unit Balls In Some Abstract Spaces

LE VAN HOT

Mathematical Institute of the Charles University, Prague\*)

Received 9 March 1980

This note is concerned with the extremal points of the closed unit balls in the Banach spaces of abstract measures and in the spaces  $L_1(S, \mu, X)$ .

V této práci jsou vyšetřeny extrémální body uzavřené jednotkové koule v Banachových prostorech abstraktních měr a v prostoru  $L_1(S, \mu, X)$ .

В этой заметке исследуются экстремальные точки замкнутого единичного шара в Банаховых пространствах абстрактных мер и в пространстве  $L_1(S, \mu, X)$ .

### 1. Introduction

This note is concerned with the extremal points of the closed unit balls in the Banach spaces of abstract measures defined on the  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of a set  $S$ ; having values in a Banach space  $X$ , and in the spaces  $L_1(S, \mu, X)$ , where  $\mu$  is either a complex measure or a positive measure defined on the  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of set  $S$ . Our main results are following: 1) The measure  $\mu$  belongs to the closed convex hull of the set of the extremal points of a unit closed ball in the space  $M(S, \Sigma, X)$ , where  $X$  is a strictly convex Banach space, if and only if  $\mu$  is a discrete measure.

2) The function  $f \in L_1(S, \mu, X)$ , where  $X$  is strictly convex Banach space ( $\mu$  is either a complex measure or a positive measure) belongs to the closed convex hull of the set of all extremal points of the unit closed ball in  $L_1(S, \mu, X)$  if and only if  $\|f\| \leq 1$  and the set  $\{s: s \in S; f(s) \neq 0\}$  is contained in the union of the countable family of the atoms for measure  $\mu$ .

Throughout this note,  $S$  denotes a fixed set;  $\Sigma$  denotes a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of a set  $S$ ; and  $M(S, \Sigma, X)$ , where  $X$  is a Banach space, denotes the space of all vector measures defined on  $\Sigma$  with values in  $X$  with bounded absolute variation; i.e.  $M(S, \Sigma, X)$  is a set of all  $\sigma$ -additive set's functions  $\mu$  defined on  $\Sigma$  with values in  $X$  and

$$\|\mu\| = |\mu|(S) = \sup \sum_i \|\mu(E_i)\| < +\infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over the set of all finite families  $\{E_i\}$  of pairwise disjoint sets from  $\Sigma$ .

\*) 186 00 Praha 8, Sokolovská 83, Czechoslovakia.

We recall some definitions from the theory of measures. The set  $E \in \Sigma$  is said to be an atom for the measure  $\mu$  if  $\mu(E) \neq 0$  (and  $\mu(E) < +\infty$  for positive measure  $\mu$ ), and for each  $F \in \Sigma$ ,  $F \subseteq E$  there is either  $\mu(F) = 0$  or  $\mu(E \setminus F) = 0$ .

It follows immediately that the set  $E$  is an atom for the measure  $\mu$  if and only if  $E$  is an atom for the positive measure  $|\mu|$ . The measure  $\mu$  is said to be atomic if  $\|\mu\| = 1$  and if  $S$  is an atom for the  $\mu$ .

The following results are known (see, for instance [1]):

1) If  $\mu$  is the measures defined on  $\Sigma$  with the values in the finite dimensional space  $X$ , then the range of  $\mu$  is compact. If  $\mu$  has no atom, then its range is also convex.

2) If  $\mu$  is a vector measure or a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure, then  $S$  can be partitioned into a countable family of atoms for  $\mu$  and atomless part (the set of atoms, or the atomless part may be empty).

The measure  $\mu$  is said to be a discrete, measure, if for each  $E \in \Sigma$ ,  $0 < |\mu|(E) < +\infty$  there exists an atom  $A \subseteq E$  for  $\mu$ . Then it follows that if  $\mu$  is a vector measure or finite positive measure then  $\mu$  is a discrete measure, if and only if  $S$  can be partitioned into a countable family of atoms for  $\mu$  and a  $\mu$ -null set.

The point  $x$  is said to be an extremal point of the convex set  $A$  of the linear space  $X$  if  $x \in A$  and if  $x = tx_1 + (1 - t)x_2$ , where  $x_1, x_2 \in A$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ , then  $x = x_1 = x_2$ . Denote by  $B_M = \{\mu : \mu \in M(S, \Sigma, X), \|\mu\| \leq 1\}$ ,  $B_X = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  the unit closed ball in  $M(S, \Sigma, X)$  and  $B_X$ , respectively.

## 2. Some results

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $B_M$  be a closed unit ball in the space  $M(S, \Sigma, X)$ . The measure  $\mu \in B_M$  is an extremal point of the unit closed ball  $B_M$  if and only if  $\mu$  is atomic and  $\mu(S)$  is an extremal point of the unit closed ball  $B_X$  in the space  $X$ .*

**Proof:** 1) Let  $\mu$  be an extremal point of  $B_M$ , then it is clear that  $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(S) = 1$ . Suppose that  $\mu$  is not atomic. There exists an  $A \in \Sigma$ : Such that  $|\mu|(A) = t > 0$  and  $1 - t = |\mu|(S \setminus A) > 0$ .

We define

$$\lambda(K) = t^{-1} \mu(K \cap A) \quad \text{for } K \in \Sigma,$$

$$\nu(K) = (1 - t)^{-1} \mu(K \cap (S \setminus A)) \quad \text{for } K \in \Sigma.$$

Then we obtain two measures  $\lambda, \nu \in M(S, \Sigma, X)$  and

$$\|\lambda\| = |\lambda|(S) = t^{-1} |\mu|(A) = 1;$$

$$\|\nu\| = |\nu|(S) = (1 - t)^{-1} |\mu|(S \setminus A) = 1$$

$\lambda \neq \nu$ . It is easily to see that  $\mu = t\lambda + (1 - t)\nu$ . But it is a contradiction with the assumption that  $\mu$  is an extremal point of  $B_M$ . That means,  $\mu$  is atomic.

Suppose now that  $x_0 = \mu(S)$  is not an extremal point of  $B_X$ . Then there exist two points  $x_1, x_2 \in B_X$ ;  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $t : 0 < t < 1$  such that  $x_0 = tx_1 + (1 - t)x_2$ .

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(K) &= x_1; \quad \nu(K) = x_2 \quad \text{for } K \in \Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(K) = x_0, \\ \lambda(K) &= 0; \quad \nu(K) = 0 \quad \text{for } K \in \Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(K) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\lambda, \nu$  are atomic from  $M(S, \Sigma, X)$  and  $\|\lambda\| = \|\nu\| = \|x_i\| \leq 1$ . It is clear  $\mu = t\lambda + (1 - t)\nu$ . This again contradicts the assumption that  $\mu$  is an extremal point of  $B_M$ .

That means that  $\mu$  is atomic and  $\mu(S)$  is an extremal point of  $B_X$ .

2) Let  $\mu$  be atomic and  $\mu(S)$  be an extremal point of  $B_X$ . Then of course  $\|\mu\| = \|\mu(S)\| = 1$ . We suppose  $\mu = t\lambda + (1 - t)\nu$ , where  $0 < t < 1$  and  $\lambda, \nu \in B_M$ . For each  $A \in \Sigma$  either  $\mu(A) = 0$  or  $\mu(A) = \mu(S)$ , as  $\mu$  is atomic. If  $\mu(A) = \mu(S)$ , then  $\mu(A) = t\lambda(A) + (1 - t)\nu(A)$  and  $\|\lambda(A)\| \leq \|\lambda\| \leq 1$ ;  $\|\nu(A)\| \leq \|\nu\| \leq 1$ , hence  $\lambda(A) \in B_X$ ,  $\nu(A) \in B_X$ . Then it follows that  $\mu(A) = \lambda(A) = \nu(A)$  because  $\mu(A)$  is an extremal point of  $B_X$ .

If  $\mu(A) = 0$ , then  $\mu(S \setminus A) = \mu(S)$ ; and we see that  $\lambda(S \setminus A) = \nu(S \setminus A) = \mu(S \setminus A)$ . Hence  $\|\lambda(S \setminus A)\| = \|\nu(S \setminus A)\| = \|\mu(S \setminus A)\| = 1 = \|\lambda\| = \|\nu\|$ . This shows that  $\lambda(A) = \nu(A) = \mu(A) = 0$  and we obtain  $\lambda = \nu = \mu$ . This shows that  $\mu$  is an extremal point of  $B_M$ . This completes the proof.

*Corollary 1. Let  $X$  be a strictly convex Banach space. Then  $\mu$  is an extremal point of  $B_M$  if and only if  $\mu$  is atomic.*

*Theorem 1. Let  $X$  be a strictly convex Banach space,  $\mu \in M(S, \Sigma, X)$ . Then  $\mu$  belongs to the closed convex hull of the set of extremal points of  $B_M$  (i.e.  $\mu \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{Ext } B_M)$ ) if and only if  $\mu$  is a discrete measure and  $\|\mu\| \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* First of all we prove that, the set of all extremal points of the unit closed ball in  $M(S, \Sigma, X)$  is not empty, i.e.  $\text{Ext } B_M \neq \Phi$ . Let  $s$  be a fixed point of  $S$  and  $x$  be a fixed point in  $X$  such that  $\|x\| = 1$ . We define  $\mu(A) = 0$  for  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $s \notin A$ ; and  $\mu(A) = x$  for all  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $s \in A$ . Then  $\mu$  is atomic and by the Corollary 1,  $\mu$  is an extremal point of  $B_M$ .

1) Let  $\mu$  be a discrete measure, then there exists a countable family of disjoint atoms and a null set  $N$  such that  $S = \bigcup_n A_n \cup N$ . We shall prove that  $\mu \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{Ext } B_M)$ . Without loss of generality, one can suppose  $\|\mu\| = 1$ ; as  $0 \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{Ext } B_M)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrary positive number. We set  $t_i = \|\mu(A_i)\| = |\mu|(A_i)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then

$$\|\mu\| = \sum_i \|\mu(A_i)\| = \sum_i t_i = 1.$$

We define  $\mu_i(K) = t_i^{-1} \mu(K \cap A_i)$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_i(K) = -t_i^{-1} \mu(K \cap A_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . By Lemma 1 we obtain a sequence of atomic measures  $\mu_i, \tilde{\mu}_i$  and  $\mu_i, \tilde{\mu}_i \in \text{Ext } B_M$ . Let  $n_0$  be a positive integer such that

$$t = \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} t_i < \varepsilon.$$

If we put

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} t_i \mu_i + \frac{t}{2} \mu_{n_0+1} + \frac{t}{2} \tilde{\mu}_{n_0+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} t_i \mu_i,$$

then  $\lambda \in \text{conv}(\text{Ext } B_M)$  and for all  $K \in \Sigma$  we have:

$$(\mu - \lambda)(K) = (\mu - \lambda)\left(K \cap \bigcup_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \mu\left(K \cap \bigcup_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} A_i\right),$$

$$\|\mu - \lambda\| = |\mu - \lambda|(S) = \left|\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} A_i\right)\right| = \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} \|\mu(A_i)\| < \varepsilon.$$

This means that  $\mu \in \overline{\text{conv}(\text{Ext } B_M)}$ .

2) Suppose that  $\mu$  is not discrete measure. There exists  $P \in \Sigma$  such that  $r = |\mu|(P) > 0$  and the measure  $\mu_P$  defined by  $\mu_P(K) = \mu(K \cap P)$  has no atom. We shall prove that  $\|\mu - \lambda\| > r/2$  for all  $\lambda \in \text{conv}(\text{Ext } B_M)$  and this will complete our proof. Let  $\lambda \in \text{conv}(\text{Ext } B_M)$ , then there exist atomic measures  $\mu_i$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $t_i \geq 0$   $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$  such that  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \mu_i$ .

From 1) it easily follows that,  $P$  can be divided into  $2n$  disjoint sets  $P_i \in \Sigma$  such that  $|\mu_P|(P_i) = |\mu|(P_i) = r/2n$  and  $P = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2n} P_i$ ; because  $\mu_P$  has not atom.

We set  $I = \{i; 1 \leq i \leq 2n; \mu_j(P_i) = 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The set  $I$  has at least  $n$  elements, since  $\mu_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is atomic. For  $K \in \Sigma$  we have:

$$|\mu - \lambda|(K) \geq |\mu - \lambda|\left(K \cap \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i\right) = |\mu|\left(K \cap \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i\right).$$

Then  $\|\mu - \lambda\| \geq |\mu|\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i\right) = \sum_{i \in I} |\mu|(P_i) = \sum_{i \in I} r/2n \geq r/2$ ; which concludes the proof.

In the remainder the measure  $\mu$  is assumed to be either a complex measure or positive measure.

$L_1(S, \mu, X)$  will denote the space of all  $\mu$ -integrable functions of  $S$  into a Banach space  $X$  ( $X$  is a complex space if  $\mu$  is a complex measure;  $X$  is real, if  $\mu$  is real) with norm:

$$\|f\|_1 = \int \|f(s)\| d|\mu|(s). \quad (\text{See [2]}).$$

If  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable functions, then  $f =_{\mu} g$  denotes that,  $f = g$   $\mu$ -almost every where.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $f \in L_1(S, \mu, X)$  and  $A$  is an atom for  $\mu$  then there exists an atom  $A'$  for  $\mu$  and  $A' \subseteq A$  and  $f$  is a constant on  $A'$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $f \in L_1(S, \mu, X)$ , there exists a sequence of simple integrable functions,  $f_n \in L_1(S, \mu, X)$  and  $f_n$  converges  $\mu$ -a.e. to  $f$ , priori  $\chi_A f_n$  converges a.e. to  $\chi_A f$ . Let:

$$\chi_A f_n = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} x_j^n \chi_{A_j^n}, \quad \text{where } A_j^n \in \Sigma; \quad A_j^n \subseteq A$$

$x_j^n \in X$ ;  $A_j^n \cap A_i^n = \emptyset$  for  $j \neq i$ . For each  $n$ , there exists a unique set  $A_{j_n}^n$ ,  $1 \leq j_n \leq k_n$  such that  $\mu(A_{j_n}^n) = \mu(A)$ ;  $\mu(A_j^n) = 0$  for all  $j \neq j_n$ , since  $A$  is an atom for  $\mu$ . Set  $B = \bigcap_n A_{j_n}^n$ , then  $\mu(B) = \mu(A)$  and  $f_n$  is a constant on  $B$  for each  $n$ . It implies that there exists a null set  $N \subseteq B$  such that  $f$  is constant on  $A' = B \setminus N$ . Our lemma is proved.

We know (see [2]) that, there exists an isometric map of  $L_1(S, \mu, X)$  into  $M(S, \Sigma, X) : f \rightarrow \mu_f$ , where  $\mu_f$  is defined by

$$\mu_f(E) = \int_E f(s) d\mu(s) \quad \text{for all } E \in \Sigma$$

and

$$|\mu_f|(E) = \int_E \|f(s)\| d|\mu|(s).$$

**Lemma 3.** *If  $f \in L_1(S, \mu, X)$ , then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- 1)  $f$  is an extremal point of the unit closed ball  $B_L$  in  $L_1(S, \mu, X)$ ;
- 2)  $\mu_f$  is an extremal point of  $B_M$ ;
- 3) there exists an atom  $A \in \Sigma$  for  $\mu$  such that  $f = 0$   $\mu$ -a.e. on  $S \setminus A$ ,  $f(s) = (\mu(A))^{-1} x$ , where  $x$  is an extremal point of  $B_X$ .

**Proof.** 1) implies 2) and 3). If we prove that  $\mu_f$  is atomic and  $\mu_f(S)$  is an extremal point of  $B_M$ , then by Lemma 1;  $\mu$  is an extremal point of  $B_M$ .

Suppose that  $\mu_f$  is not atomic. Then there exists a set  $E \in \Sigma$  such that

$$t = |\mu_f|(E) = \int_E \|f(s)\| d|\mu|(s) > 0,$$

$$1 - t = |\mu_f|(S \setminus E) = \int_{S \setminus E} \|f(s)\| d|\mu|(s) > 0.$$

We define

$$g(s) = t^{-1} \chi_E(s) f(s),$$

$$h(s) = (1 - t)^{-1} \chi_{S \setminus E}(s) f(s),$$

where  $\chi_E$  is a characteristic function of the set  $E$ . It is easy to see  $g, h \in L_1(S, \mu, X)$  and  $\|g\|_1 = \|h\|_1$  and

$$f = tg + (1 - t)h, \quad g \neq_\mu h.$$

It contradicts the assumption, that  $f$  is an extremal point of  $B_L$ . This implies  $\mu_f$  is atomic. We claim that the set  $B = \{s \in S; f(s) \neq 0\}$  is an atom for  $\mu$ . Suppose that, it is not true, then there exists a set  $E \in \Sigma$ , such that  $E \subseteq B$   $|\mu|(E) > 0$  and  $|\mu|(B \setminus E) > 0$  and then  $|\mu_f|(E) = \int_E \|f(s)\| d|\mu|(s) > 0$  and  $|\mu_f|(B \setminus E) = \int_{B \setminus E} \|f(s)\| d|\mu|(s) > 0$ . But it is impossible, for  $\mu_f$  is atomic. By the Lemma 2, there exists an atom  $A \subseteq B$  such that  $f$  is constant  $x_0$  on  $A$  and  $f = 0$   $\mu$ -almost every where on  $S \setminus A$ . To prove 2) and 3) it is sufficient to prove that  $x = \mu_f(S) = x_0 \mu(A)$  is an extremal point of  $B_X$ . Suppose that, this is not true. Then there exist  $z_1, z_2$  from  $B_X$  and  $t$   $0 < t < 1$  such that  $x = tz_1 + (1 - t)z_2$ . We define  $g(s) = (\mu(A))^{-1} z_1$  for  $s \in A$ ;  $g(s) = 0$  for  $s \notin A$ ;  $h(s) = (\mu(A))^{-1} z_2$  for  $s \in A$ ;  $h(s) = 0$  for  $s \notin A$ . Then  $g, h \in L_1(S, \mu, X)$  and

$$\|\mu_g\| = \|g\|_1 = \|z_1\| \leq 1,$$

$$\|\mu_h\| = \|h\|_1 = \|z_2\| \leq 1.$$

$$g \neq_\mu h \quad \text{and} \quad f =_\mu tg + (1 - t)h.$$

It contradicts the assumption, that  $f$  is an extremal point of  $B_L$ .

3)  $\Rightarrow$  2) It is obvious.

2)  $\Rightarrow$  1). Suppose,  $f$  is not an extremal point of  $B_L$ , then there exist  $g$  and  $h$ ;  $g \neq_\mu h$  and  $t$   $0 < t < 1$  such that  $f =_\mu tg + (1 - t)h$ .

Then  $\mu_g \neq \mu_h$  and  $\mu_f = t\mu_g + (1 - t)\mu_h$ .

It is a contradiction with the assumption, that  $\mu_f$  is an extremal point of  $B_M$ , which finishes the proof.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a strictly convex Banach space and  $\mu$  be either a complex measure or positive measure, which has at least one atom. Then  $f \in L_1(S, \mu, X)$ ;  $\|f\| \leq 1$  belongs to the closed convex hull of the set of all extremal points of  $B_L$  if and only if there exists a countable family of disjoint atoms  $\{A_i\}$  for  $\mu$  such that  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $S \setminus \bigcup_i A_i$ .*

**Proof.** It easy to see that  $\text{Ext } B_L = \phi$ ;  $0 \in \text{conv Ext } B_L$ . Let  $f \in L_1(S, \mu, X)$ , then  $Q = \{s \in S; f(s) \neq 0\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite set, and by 2) there exists a countable family of atoms for  $\mu$  contained in  $Q$  such that  $Q \setminus \bigcap_n A_n$  has no atom.

1) Let  $f \in L_1(S, \mu, X)$  and  $|\mu|(Q \setminus \bigcup_n A_n) > 0$ , then for each  $g \in \text{conv}(\text{Ext } B_L)$   $g = 0$  a.e. on  $P = Q \setminus \bigcup_n A_n$  and

$$\|f - g\|_1 \geq \int_P \|f(s) - g(s)\| d|\mu|(s) = \int_P \|f(s)\| d|\mu|(s) = r > 0,$$

which means that  $f \notin \overline{\text{conv}(\text{Ext } B_L)}$ .

2) Let  $f \in L_1(S, \mu, X)$ ;  $\|f\| \leq 1$  and  $|\mu|(P) = 0$ . By Lemma 2, one can suppose that  $f$  is constant on  $A_n$  for all  $n$ . Let

$$f(s) = x_n \quad \text{for all } s \in A_n.$$

$$\int f(s) d\mu(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \mu(A_n),$$

$$\|f\|_1 = \int \|f(s)\| d|\mu|(s) = \sum_n \|x_n\| |\mu|(A_n) = \sum_n \|x_n\| |\mu(A_n)| \leq 1.$$

We define:

$$f_n(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\| |\mu(A_n)|} = \frac{(\mu(A_n) x_n)}{(\|x_n\| |\mu(A_n)|) \mu(A_n)}, & \text{for } s \in A_n \\ 0, & \text{for } s \notin A_n. \end{cases}$$

Then  $f_n$  and  $-f_n$  are extremal points of  $B_L$  for all  $n$ , since

$$\left| \frac{\mu(A_n) x_n}{\|x_n\| |\mu(A_n)|} \right| = 1$$

and  $X$  is strictly convex space. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrary positive number and let  $n_0$  be a positive integer such that:

$$\sum_{n_0+1} \|\mu(A_n)\| < \varepsilon.$$

We set  $t_i = \|\mu(A_i)\|$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_0$ ,

$$t = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} t_i \geq 0,$$

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} t_i f_i + t/2 f_{n_0+1} + t/2 (-f_{n_0+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} t_i f_i.$$



Then  $g \in \text{conv}(\text{Ext } B_L)$  and

$$\|f - g\|_1 = \sum_{n_o+1}^{\infty} \|x_n\| |\mu(A_n)| < \varepsilon,$$

This means that  $f \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{Ext } B_L)$ . Theorem is proved.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $X$  be a strictly convex Banach space,  $f \in L_1(S, \mu, X)$ . Then  $f \in \text{conv}(\text{ext } B_L)$  if and only if  $\mu_f \in \text{conv}(\text{Ext } B_M)$ .*

**Proof.** 1) Let  $f \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{Ext } B_L)$  then for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \text{Ext } B_L$  such that  $\|f - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i g_i\|_1 < \varepsilon$  for some  $t_1, \dots, t_n > 0$   $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$ . By Lemma 3, it implies  $\mu_{g_i} \in \text{Ext } B_M$  and

$$\|\mu_f - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \mu_{g_i}\| = \|f - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i g_i\|_1 < \varepsilon,$$

which implies that  $\mu_f \in \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{Ext } B_M)$ .

2) Let  $f \notin \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{Ext } B_L)$ , then there exists an  $E \in \Sigma$  such that  $f(s) \neq 0$  for all  $s \in E$ ;  $|\mu|(E) > 0$  and  $E$  has no atom for  $\mu$ . It is easy to verify that  $\mu_f$  is not a discrete measure and that is,  $\mu_f \notin \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{Ext } B_M)$ .

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $X$  be a strictly convex Banach space, then  $B_L = \overline{\text{conv}}(\text{Ext } B_L)$  if and only if  $\mu$  is a discrete measure.*

## References

- [1] DEBREU G.: Integration of correspondences. Proc. the Fifth. Berkeley symp. on Math. statistics and Probability, Vol. II. Univ. of California Press, 1967.
- [2] DUNFORD N. and SCHWARTZ J.: Linear operators. Part I. (Intersc. Publ. New York.
- [3] PHELPS R. R.: Lectures of Choquet's theorem. D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc. 1966.