

Jiří Bečvář

N -pure-high subgroups of abelian groups

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 26 (1985), No. 1, 21--33

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142544>

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

N -pure-high Subgroups of Abelian Groups

J. BEČVÁŘ

Department of Mathematics, Charles University, Prague*)

Received 13 November 1984

The paper is concerned with N -pure-high subgroups of abelian groups, the study of which is proposed by L. Fuchs in his book *Infinite Abelian Groups* (Problem 14).

Článek se zabývá N -servantně-vysokými podgrupami Abelových grup, jejichž studium navrhuje L. Fuchs ve své monografii *Infinite Abelian Groups* (Problem 14).

В статье изучаются N -сервантно-высокие подгруппы абелевых групп, исследование которых предлагается проблемой Но 14 в книге *Бесконечные абелевы группы* Л. Фукса.

1. Introduction, history and some basic information

The concept of N -high subgroup was introduced into the theory of abelian groups by J. M. Irwin and E. A. Walker [6, 9] in 1961. Since then many papers have been written investigating the various properties of N -high subgroups. One of first questions, namely, for which subgroups N it is true that all N -high subgroups are pure, was posed by Irwin and Walker in [6, 9]. This question has been investigated in several papers (Irwin, Walker, Charles, Khabbaz, Reid), the final result has been done by R. S. Pierce [11]. Some generalizations and related results have been written later (Megibben, Rochlina, Keane, Bečvář).

L. Fuchs, inspired with these relevant questions, proposes the study of N -pure-high subgroups in problem 14 of his book [5]. K. Benabdallah dealt with this problem in [1].

1.1. Definition. Let N be a subgroup of a group G . We say that a subgroup H of G is N -pure-high in G if it is maximal among the pure subgroups disjoint from N .

Zorn's lemma guarantees the existence of N -pure-high subgroups. Moreover, each N -pure-high subgroup of G is contained in an N -high subgroup of G . A natural problem arises to characterize such subgroups N of a group G for which all N -pure-high subgroups are N -high. From this point of view, the mentioned theorem of Pierce describes all subgroups N of a group G for which N -pure-high and N -high subgroups of G coincide. We reformulate the Pierce's result in the following way:

*) 186 00 Praha 8, Sokolovská 83, Czechoslovakia.

1.2. Theorem. ([11]). If N is a subgroup of a group G then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) A subgroup H of G is N -pure-high in G if and only if H is N -high in G .
- (ii) For each prime p either $N[p] \subseteq p^{\omega}G$ or G/N is torsion and there is a natural number n such that $p^{n+2}G[p] \subseteq N[p] \subseteq p^nG$.

The necessary and sufficient condition for a subgroup N under which all N -pure-high subgroups are N -high has not yet been found. In 1974, K. Benabdallah gave the following partial solution (see also theorem 14, [4]):

1.3. Theorem (Theorem 2, [1]). Let N be a subgroup of a group G . If one N -high subgroup is torsion, all N -high subgroups are torsion and N -pure-high subgroups are N -high.

It is easy to see that the assumption and the first assertion of 1.3 are mutually equivalent and that they are also equivalent with the condition that G/N is torsion:

1.4. Remark For a subgroup N of a group G , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) G/N is torsion.
- (ii) There is a torsion N -high subgroup of G .
- (iii) Each N -high subgroup of G is torsion.

Hence the theorem of Benabdallah obtains this form: If G/N is torsion then each N -pure-high subgroup of G is N -high in G . The converse is not true; it follows already from Pierce's theorem 1.2.

If G is a torsion group then N -pure-high subgroups of G are exactly pure N -high subgroups of G by 1.3. If G is a torsion free group then N -pure-high and N -high subgroups of G coincide, since N -high subgroups are neat and neat subgroups of a torsion free group are pure. Consequently, the study of N -pure-high subgroups is useful only in the theory of mixed groups. For example, if G does not split then no G_r -high subgroup of G is pure in G and hence G_r -pure-high subgroups of G are not G_r -high in G .

The purpose of this paper is to investigate N -pure-high subgroups (of mixed groups). An important result is theorem 2.5 which asserts that the torsion parts of N -pure-high subgroups of G are pure N_r -high in G_r . A few corollaries of this theorem give a comparison of some elementary properties of N -pure-high and N -high subgroups. If N is a subgroup of a group G and H is an N -high subgroup of G then the following assertions hold:

- (i) If $g \in G$ and $pg \in H$ for a prime p then $g \in N \oplus H$ (9.8, [5]).
- (ii) H is neat in G .
- (iii) $G[p] = N[p] \oplus H[p]$ for each prime p .
- (iv) $N \oplus H$ is essential in G .
- (v) $G/(N \oplus H)$ is torsion.

The proof of these assertions (in written sequence) can be easily proved. If H is an N -pure-high subgroup of G then the assertions (i)–(iii) hold too (see 2.6 (iii)–(iv)). However, the assertions (iv) and (v) hold if and only if H is N -high in G (see 2.7). Moreover, if M is an N -high subgroup of G containing an N -pure-high subgroup H of G then M/H is torsion free (see 2.6 (v)).

All groups in this paper are assumed to be abelian groups. We follow the terminology and notation of [5]. In addition, a subgroup H of a group G is said to be p -absorbing resp. absorbing in G if $(G/H)_p = 0$, resp. $(G/H)_t = 0$. Obviously, every p -absorbing subgroup of G is p -pure in G and if S is a pure subgroup of G then $S + G_t$ is absorbing in G . The set of all primes is denoted by \mathbf{P} .

2. Torsion parts of N -pure-high subgroups of G are N_t -high in G_t

We shall often use the following lemma.

2.1. Lemma. Let N , A and S be subgroups of a group G such that

- (i) $A \cap N = 0 = S \cap N$,
- (ii) $A \subseteq G_t$,
- (iii) if $A_p \neq 0$ then $S_p \subseteq A_p$,
- (iv) A and S are pure in G .

Then $A + S$ is pure in G and $(A + S) \cap N = 0$.

Proof. If $a + s = p^i g$, where $a \in A$, $s \in S$ and $g \in G$, then $o(a)s = o(a)p^i g$ and there is $\bar{s} \in S$ with $o(a)s = o(a)p^i \bar{s}$ by (iv). Hence $s - p^i \bar{s} \in A$ by (iii). Further $a + s - p^i \bar{s} = p^i(g - \bar{s}) \in A$ and by (iv), there is $\bar{a} \in A$ such that $a + s - p^i \bar{s} = p^i \bar{a}$. Consequently $a + s = p^i(\bar{a} + \bar{s})$.

If $a + s = n$, where $a \in A$, $s \in S$ and $n \in N$, then $o(a)s = o(a)n \in S \cap N = 0$ and hence $s \in A$ by (iii). Consequently $a + s = n \in A \cap N = 0$ and $(A + S) \cap N = 0$.

2.2. Corollary. Let N be a subgroup of a group G and $\mathbf{R} = \{p \in \mathbf{P}; N_p = 0\}$. Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) Each N -pure-high subgroup of G contains $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{R}} G_p$.
- (ii) Each N -pure-high subgroup of G is p -absorbing in G for each $p \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. Let H be an N -pure-high subgroup of G and $A = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{R}} G_p$. By lemma 2.1, $H + A$ is a pure subgroup of G and $(H + A) \cap N = 0$. With respect to the maximality of H , we have $A \subseteq H$. If $pg \in H$, where $g \in G$ and $p \in \mathbf{R}$, then $pg = ph$ for some $h \in H$. Hence $g - h \in G_p \subseteq H$ and $g \in H$.

In the following text, we shall often work with a subgroup T which is defined by the equality $(G/N)_t = T/N$.

2.3. Lemma. Let N be a subgroup of a group G and $(G/N)_t = T/N$. Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) T is absorbing in G and $N + G_t \subseteq T$.
- (ii) $T/(N + G_t) = (G/(N + G_t))_t$.
- (iii) T is a maximal essential extension of $N + G_t$ in G .
- (iv) T is a pure hull of $N + G_t$ in G .
- (v) $T = G \cap D$, where D is a divisible hull of $N + G_t$ contained in a divisible hull E of G .

Proof. (i) $G/N/T/N \cong G/T$ and hence G/T is torsion free. Since $N + G_t/N$ is torsion, $N + G_t \subseteq T$.

(ii) Obviously $T/(N + G_t) \subseteq (G/(N + G_t))_t$. If $g \in G$ and $kg \in N + G_t$ for some integer k then $mg \in N$ for some integer m and hence $g \in T$.

(iii) If $x \in T \setminus (N + G_t)$ then by (ii), $o \neq kx = n + t$ ($n \in N$, $t \in G_t$) for some integer k and hence $N + G_t$ is essential in T . If $N + G_t$ is essential in a subgroup X of G then $X/(N + G_t)$ is torsion and $X \subseteq T$ by (ii).

(iv) T is pure in G by (i) and hence T/G_t is pure in G/G_t . Let X/G_t be the intersection of all pure subgroups of G/G_t containing $(N + G_t)/G_t$. Then X is the pure hull of $N + G_t$ in G and $N + G_t \subseteq X \subseteq T$. Now, X is pure and essential in T and hence $X = T$.

(v) If E is a divisible hull of G and D a divisible hull of $N + G_t$ which is contained in E then $N + G_t$ is essential in $D \cap G$ and hence $D \cap G \subseteq T$. If $t \in T$ then $kt \in N + G_t \subseteq D$ for an integer k , $kt = kd$ for an element $d \in D$ and hence $t - d \in E_t \subseteq D$ and $t \in D$.

2.4. Remark. Let N be a subgroup of a group G and $(G/N)_t = T/N$. Then

- (i) if N is pure in G then $T = N + G_t$,
- (ii) if \bar{N} is a maximal essential extension of N in G then $\bar{N} + G_t \subseteq T$.

2.5. Theorem. Let N be a subgroup of a group G , $(G/N)_t = T/N$ and Y be a subgroup of G with $G_t \subseteq Y \subseteq T$. Then

- (i) If H is an N -pure-high subgroup of G then $H \cap Y = H_t$ and H_t is a pure $N \cap Y$ -high subgroup of Y .
- (ii) Each pure $N \cap Y$ -high subgroup of Y is the torsion part of an N -pure-high subgroup of G .

Proof. Since $Y/(N \cap Y) \cong (Y + N)/N \subseteq T/N$, $Y/(N \cap Y)$ is torsion and $N \cap Y$ -high subgroups of Y are torsion (see 1.4).

(i) If H is an N -pure-high subgroup of G then obviously $H \cap Y = H_t$ and H_t is pure in Y . Let A be a pure subgroup of Y such that $H_t \subseteq A$ and $A \cap N \cap Y = 0$. By lemma 2.1, $A + H$ is pure in G and $(A + H) \cap N = 0$. With respect to the maximality of H , we have $A = H_t$. Hence H_t is $N \cap Y$ -pure-high in Y and by theorem 1.3, H_t is pure $N \cap Y$ -high in Y .

(ii) Let A be a pure $N \cap Y$ -high subgroup of Y . Since A is torsion, A is pure in G . If H is a N -pure-high subgroup of G containing A then by (i), $H_t = H \cap Y = A$.

2.6. Corollary. Let N be a subgroup of a group G and $(G/N)_t = T/N$. If H is an N -pure-high subgroup of G then the following assertions hold:

- (i) H_t is pure N_t -high in G_t .
- (ii) H_t is pure N -high in T and in $N + G_t$.
- (iii) $G[p] = N[p] \oplus H[p]$ for each prime p .
- (iv) If $g \in G$ and $pg \in H$ for some prime p then $g \in N[p] \oplus H$.
- (v) If M is an N -high subgroup of G containing H then M/H is torsion free.
- (vi) Torsion parts of all N -pure-high subgroups of G are exactly all pure N_t -high subgroups of G_t and exactly all pure N -high subgroups of $N + G_t$ (resp. T).

Proof. The assertions (i), (ii), (vi) follow immediately from 2.5. Since H_t is N_t -high in G_t , $G[p] = N[p] \oplus H[p]$ for each prime p .

(iv) If $g \in G$ and $pg \in H$ then $pg = ph$ for some $h \in H$, $g - h \in G[p] = N[p] \oplus H[p]$ and $g \in N[p] \oplus H$. Note that if $p \in \mathbf{R}$ then $g \in H$ - see 2.2 (ii).

(v) If $g \in M$ and $pg \in H$ then $pg = ph$ for some $h \in H$. Consequently $g - h \in M[p] = H[p]$ and $g \in H$.

2.7. Corollary. Let N be a subgroup of a group G and H an N -pure-high subgroup of G . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) H is N -high in G .
- (ii) $N \oplus H$ is essential in G .
- (iii) $(N \oplus H)/H$ is essential in G/H .
- (iv) $(H + G_t)/G_t$ is $(N + G_t)/G_t$ -high in G/G_t .
- (v) $G/(N \oplus H)$ is torsion.

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (ii) Well-known and easy.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii) Let $g \in G \setminus N \oplus H$. If k is the least natural number such that $kg \in N \oplus H$ (see (ii)) then $kg + H$ is a nonzero element of $(N \oplus H)/H$ by 2.6 (iv).

(iii) \rightarrow (iv) Obviously $(H + G_t)/G_t \cap (N + G_t)/G_t = 0$. Let K/G_t be an $(N + G_t)/G_t$ -high subgroup of G/G_t containing $(H + G_t)/G_t$ and $k \in K$. There is an integer r such that $rk = n + h$, where $n \in N$ and $h \in H$ (see (iii)). Hence $n = rk - h \in K \cap N = N_t$, $o(n)rk = o(n)h = o(n)r\bar{h}$ for some $\bar{h} \in H$. Consequently $k - \bar{h} \in G_t$, i.e. $k \in H + G_t$.

(iv) \rightarrow (v) For each $g \in G$ there is an integer r such that $r(g + G_t) = (h + G_t) + (n + G_t)$, where $h \in H$ and $n \in N$ (see (iv)). Hence $rg = h + n + t$, where $t \in G_t$, and $o(t)rg \in H \oplus N$.

(v) \rightarrow (i) If M is an N -high subgroup of G containing H then $M/H \cong (M \oplus N)/(H \oplus N) \subseteq G/(N \oplus H)$ and M/H is torsion by (v). Hence $M = H$ by (2.6) (v).

If H is an N -high subgroup of G then H is $N \cap S$ -high in each subgroup S of G which contains H . A similar result holds for N -pure-high subgroups.

2.8. Lemma. Let N be a subgroup of a group G and H be an N -pure-high subgroup of G . If S is a pure subgroup of G containing H then H is $N \cap S$ -pure-high in S .

Proof. Easy.

In a sense, the next corollary is dual to the theorem 2.5. Corollary 2.10 afterwards gives a supplementary result.

2.9. Corollary. Let N be a subgroup of a group G and H be an N -pure-high subgroup of G .

(i) If S is a pure subgroup of G such that $H \subseteq S \subseteq H + G_t$, then H is pure $N \cap S$ -high in S .

(ii) H is pure N_t -high in $H + G_t$.

Proof. If S is a pure subgroup of G such that $H \subseteq S \subseteq H + G_t$, then H is $N \cap S$ -pure-high in S by 2.8. Since $S \subseteq H + G_t$, $S/(H \oplus (N \cap S))$ is torsion and H is pure $N \cap S$ -high in S by 2.7. It is easy to see that $H + G_t$ is pure in G and $N \cap (H + G_t) = N_t$. Hence H is pure N_t -high in $H + G_t$ by (i).

2.10. Corollary. Let N be a subgroup of a group G and H an N -pure-high subgroup of G . If K/G_t is an $(N + G_t)/G_t$ -high subgroup of G/G_t containing $(H + G_t)/G_t$, then H is N_t -pure-high in K .

Proof. Since G/G_t is torsion free, K/G_t is pure in G/G_t and K is pure in G . Obviously $K \cap H = N_t$. Finally, H is N_t -pure-high in K by 2.8.

3. Splitting pure N -high subgroups

3.1. Theorem. Let N be a subgroup of a group G and $(G/N)_t = T/N$. If $H = H_t \oplus B$ is a splitting N -pure-high subgroup of G then for each subgroup Y of G with $G_t \subseteq Y \subseteq T$ there is a Y -pure-high subgroup X of G such that B is $N \cap X$ -high in X . Further B is a T -pure-high subgroup of G .

Proof. Obviously B is pure in G and $B \cap Y = 0$ (see 2.5). Let X be a Y -pure-high subgroup of G containing B and S be an $N \cap X$ -high subgroup of X containing B . Since S is pure in X (X is torsion free) and hence in G , $H_t \oplus S$ is pure in G and $(H_t \oplus S) \cap N = 0$ by 2.1. Consequently $S = B$. For the rest put $Y = T$.

Note that $N \cap X$ -high subgroups of X are exactly $T \cap X$ -high since $N \cap X$ is essential in $T \cap X$.

Conversely, if A is a pure N_t -high subgroup of G , and B is an $N \cap X$ -high subgroup of a Y -pure-high subgroup X of G then $A \oplus B$ is contained in some N -pure-high subgroup H of G by 2.1. Obviously $H_t = A$, $H \cap X = B$ and it is easy to see that $H/A \oplus B$ is torsion free. If $Y = T$ (i.e. B is T -pure-high in G) then B is A -pure-high in H . If $Y = G_t$, then $H \cap (G_t \oplus X) = A \oplus B$. For, if $h = t + x \in H \cap (G_t \oplus X)$ then $o(t)h = o(t)x \in H \cap X = B$, $o(t)h = o(t)b$ for some $b \in B$, $h - b \in G_t \cap H = A$ and $h \in A \oplus B$.

3.2. Theorem. Let N be a subgroup of a group G and $(G/N)_t = T/N$, let Y be a subgroup of G such that $G_t \subseteq Y \subseteq T$ and X be a pure Y -high subgroup of G . If A is a pure N_t -high subgroup of G_t , and B an $N \cap X$ -high subgroup of X then $A \oplus B$ is a splitting pure N -high subgroup of G .

Proof. Since X is torsion free, B is pure in X and hence in G . By 2.1, $A \oplus B$ is pure in G and $(A \oplus B) \cap N = 0$. Let H be an N -high subgroup of G containing $A \oplus B$; obviously $H_t = A$. Let $h \in H$. Since X is Y -high in G , $kh = x + y$ for some $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and an integer k . Since B is $N \cap X$ -high in X and A is $N \cap Y$ -high in Y (see 2.5), we have $rx = b + n$ and $my = a + \bar{n}$, where $b \in B$, $a \in A$, $n, \bar{n} \in N$ and m, r are integers. Hence $kmrh = mb + mn + ra + r\bar{n}$, further $kmrh - mb - ra = mn + r\bar{n} \in H \cap N = 0$, i.e. $kmrh \in A \oplus B$. Since $A \oplus B$ is pure in G , $kmrh = kmr(\bar{a} + \bar{b})$, where $\bar{a} \in A$, $\bar{b} \in B$. Consequently, $h - \bar{a} - \bar{b} \in H_t = A$ and $h \in A \oplus B$.

3.3. Corollary. Let N be a subgroup of a splitting group $G = G_t \oplus X$. If A is a pure N_t -high subgroup of G_t , and B is $N \cap X$ -high subgroup of X then $A \oplus B$ is a splitting pure N -high subgroup of G .

3.4. Corollary. Let G be a group. The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) G is splitting.
- (ii) For each subgroup N of G there is a splitting pure N -high subgroup of G .
- (iii) For each subgroup N of G there is a pure N -high subgroup of G .
- (iv) There is a pure G_t -high subgroup of G .

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (ii) follows from 3.3, (ii) \rightarrow (iii) \rightarrow (iv) is trivial, (iv) \rightarrow (i) is easy and well-known.

The equivalence (i) \leftrightarrow (iii) from 3.4 is proved in [1] (theorem 5). On the other hand, it is proved in [7] (corollary of 3.1) that a reduced group G splits if and only if some N -high subgroup of G splits, where $N \subseteq G^1 \cap G_t$. For the equivalence (i) \leftrightarrow (iv) of 3.4 see [12] (proposition 5.1) and [1] (corollary on p. 481).

Note that if one N -pure-high subgroup of a group G splits then all N -pure-high subgroups need not split (even if G itself splits) – see [8] (example on p. 190).

3.5. Theorem. Let N be a subgroup of a group G and $(G/N)_t = T/N$. All splitting pure N -high subgroups of G are exactly all direct sums of a pure N_t -high subgroup of G_t and a pure T -high subgroup of G .

Proof. If $H = H_t \oplus B$ is a pure N -high subgroup of G then H_t is a pure N_t -high subgroup of G_t by 2.5 and B is a T -pure-high subgroup of G by 3.1. If $g \in G$ then $kg = n + h$, where $n \in N$, $h \in H$ and k is a nonzero integer. Hence $kg \in T \oplus B$, $G/T \oplus B$ is a torsion group and B is T -high in G by 2.7. Conversely, if A is a pure N_t -high subgroup of G_t and B a pure T -high subgroup of G then $A \oplus B$ is a pure N -high subgroup of G by 3.2.

Remark that T -high subgroups of G are exactly $N + G_t$ -high (see 2.3 (iii)).

3.6. Theorem. Let N be a subgroup of a group G . A subgroup $H = H_t \oplus B$ is pure N -high in G if and only if H_t is a pure N_t -high subgroup of G_t and $(G_t \oplus B)/G_t$ is an $(N + G_t)/G_t$ -high subgroup of G/G_t .

Proof. Let $H = H_t \oplus B$ be a pure N -high subgroup of G . Thus $(B \oplus G_t)/G_t \cap (N + G_t)/G_t = 0$; let K/G_t be an $(N + G_t)/G_t$ -high subgroup of G/G_t containing $(B \oplus G_t)/G_t$. If $k \in K$ then $rk = n + h$, where $n \in N$, $h \in H$ and r is a nonzero integer, since H is N -high in G . Hence $rk - h = n \in N \cap K \subseteq G_t$ and $rk = n + h \in G_t \oplus B$. Since $G_t \oplus B$ is absorbing in G , $k \in G_t \oplus B$. The rest follows from 2.5.

Conversely, let A be a pure N_t -high subgroup of G and $(B \oplus G_t)/G_t$ be an $(N + G_t)/G_t$ -high subgroup of G/G_t . Since B is pure in G , $A \oplus B$ is pure in G and $(A \oplus B) \cap N = 0$ by 2.1. If H is an N -high subgroup of G containing $A \oplus B$ then $G_t \oplus B \subseteq G_t + H$ and $(H + G_t)/G_t \cap (N + G_t)/G_t = 0$. Hence $G_t \oplus B = G_t + H$. If $h \in H$ then $h = t + b$, where $t \in G_t$ and $b \in B$. Now $t \in H_t = A$ and $h \in A \oplus B$. Consequently $H = A \oplus B$.

4. Intersection of N -pure-high subgroups

The well-known theorem of Grätzer and Schmidt (9.6, [5]) describes the intersection of all complements to a direct summand N of a group G . The intersection of all N -high subgroups has been described by F. V. Krivonos in 1975:

4.1. Theorem (Proposition 9, [10]). If N is a nonzero subgroup of a group G and $\mathbf{R} = \{p \in \mathbf{P}; N_p = 0\}$ then $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{R}} G_p$ is the intersection of all N -high subgroups of G .

Proof. Let H be an N -high subgroup of G and $A = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{R}} G_p$.

If $h + a = n$ ($h \in H$, $a \in A$, $n \in N$) then $o(a)n = o(a)h \in H \cap N = 0$ and hence $n = 0$. Consequently $(H + A) \cap N = 0$ and $A \subseteq H$.

If $g \in G$ is an element of infinite order such that $\langle g \rangle \cap N = 0$ and $n \in N$ is a nonzero element then $\langle g + n \rangle \cap N = 0$. If $g \in G \setminus N$, $n \in N$ and $o(g) = o(n) =$

$= p \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{R}$ then $\langle g + n \rangle \cap N = 0$. In the both cases, an N -high subgroup of G containing $\langle g + n \rangle$ does not contain the element g . Hence A is the intersection of all N -high subgroups of G .

Remark that K. Benabdallah and J. M. Irwin proved that the intersection of all N -high subgroups of a primary group G is trivial whenever N is a nontrivial subgroup of G (Lemma 1.2, [2]). For the original proof of 4.1 see [10]. The first step of our proof corresponds with our assertion 2.2 (i), the second step partially corresponds with the proof of the following theorem.

4.2. Theorem. If N is a subgroup of a group G and $\mathbf{R} = \{p \in \mathbf{P}; N_p = 0\}$ then $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{R}} G_p$ is the torsion part of the intersection of all N -pure-high subgroups of G .

Proof. With respect to 2.2 it is sufficient to prove that for each prime $p \in \mathbf{P} \setminus \mathbf{R}$ and each element $g \in G[p] \setminus N$ there is an N -pure-high subgroup of G which does not contain the element g . We consider three cases:

Case 1: There are elements at least of two different p -heights in $N[p]$.

In this case there is an element $n \in N[p]$ such that the element $g + n$ is of finite p -height. Hence the element $g + n$ can be embedded in a finite cyclic direct summand Y of G that is disjoint from N (27.2, [5]). Finally, Y can be embedded in an N -pure-high subgroup X of G and obviously $g \notin X$.

Case 2: $N[p] \subseteq p^\omega G_p$.

If $n \in N[p]$ is a nonzero element then there is an N_p -high subgroup Y of G_p containing $\langle g + n \rangle$. Now, Y is pure in G_p by theorem 1.2 and hence Y can be embedded in an N -pure-high subgroup X of G . It is easy to see that $g \notin X$.

Case 3: $N[p] \subseteq p^k G_p \setminus p^{k+1} G_p$.

If there is a nonzero element $n \in N[p]$ such that $g + n$ is of finite p -height then we proceed as in the case 1. Suppose $g + n \in p^\omega G_p$ for each nonzero $n \in N[p]$. If $p^{k+1} G[p] \neq p^\omega G[p]$ then there is a direct summand Y of G_p such that $Y[p] = p^{k+1} G[p]$ by theorem 4.4, [7]; if X is an N -pure-high subgroup of G containing Y then $g \notin X$. If $p^{k+1} G[p] = p^\omega G[p]$ then $G_p = B \oplus D$, where B is bounded and D is divisible, and D can be embedded in an N -pure-high subgroup X of G ; obviously $g \notin X$.

4.3. Corollary. Let N be a subgroup of a group G and $\mathbf{R} = \{p \in \mathbf{P}; N_p = 0\}$. If G/N is a torsion group then $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{R}} G_p$ is the intersection of all pure N -high subgroups of G .

Proof. The N -pure-high subgroups of G are exactly the pure N -high subgroups of G and all these subgroups are torsion, since G/N is torsion (see 1.3 and 1.4). Our corollary follows now from 4.2.

Note that K. Benabdallah and J. M. Irwin proved that the intersection of all pure N -high subgroups of a primary group G is trivial whenever N is a nontrivial subgroup of G (lemma 1.2, [3]).

4.4. Proposition. Let N be a subgroup of a group G , $(G/N)_t = T/N$. If Y is a subgroup of G such that $G_t \subseteq Y \subseteq T$, X is a Y -pure-high subgroup of G such that $N \cap X \neq 0$ and $(G/(X \oplus Y))_t = K/(X \oplus Y)$ then the intersection of all N -pure-high subgroups of G contains no element of infinite order from K .

Proof. With respect to the theorem 2.5 it is sufficient to consider an element $g \in G \setminus T$ such that $kg \in X \oplus Y$ for a nonzero integer k . Hence $kg = x + y$, where $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Since $g \notin T$, we have $x \notin T$.

Case 1: $y \notin \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{R}} G_p$ ($\mathbf{R} = \{p \in \mathbf{P}; N_p = 0\}$).

Let A be a pure N_t -high subgroup of G_t such that $y \notin A$ (see 4.3), let B be an $N \cap X$ -high subgroup of X containing x . By 2.1, $A \oplus B$ is contained in an N -pure-high subgroup H of G . If $x + y \in H$ then $y \in H \cap Y = H_t = A$ – a contradiction. Hence $g \notin H$.

Case 2: $y \in \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{R}} G_p$.

Let A be a pure N_t -high subgroup of G_t and B be an $N \cap X$ -high subgroup of X which does not contain x (see 4.1). By 2.1, $A \oplus B$ is contained in an N -pure-high subgroup H of G . If $x + y \in H$ then $x \in H$ by 2.2 and $x \in H \cap X = B$ – a contradiction. Hence $g \notin H$.

4.5. Corollary. Let N be a subgroup of a group G , $(G/N)_t = T/N$. If Y is a subgroup of G such that $G_t \subseteq Y \subseteq T$ and X is a pure Y -high subgroup of G such that $N \cap X \neq 0$ then $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{R}} G_p$ is the intersection of all splitting pure N -high subgroups of G .

Proof. According to proof of 4.4 (in both cases we have $A \oplus B = H$ by 3.2).

4.6. Corollary. Let N be a subgroup of a splitting group G . If N is not torsion then $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{R}} G_p$ is the intersection of all splitting pure N -high subgroups of G .

Corollary 4.6 can be easily proved also by means of theorem 3.6.

If N is a torsion subgroup of a splitting group G then the intersection of all pure N -high subgroups of G can contain also elements of infinite order as the following example shows.

4.7. Example. Let $G = \langle a \rangle \oplus \langle b \rangle$, where $o(a) = 2$ and $o(b) = \infty$. The subgroups $\langle b \rangle$ and $\langle a + b \rangle$ are obviously pure G_t -high in G . It is easy to see that the subgroup $\langle kb \rangle$, where $k \neq \pm 1$, and $\langle a + kb \rangle$, where $k \neq \pm 1$ is an odd integer, are not G_t -pure-high in G . Further

$$2(a + 2kb) = 4kb \in \langle a + 2kb \rangle \cap 4G.$$

If $\langle a + 2kb \rangle$ is pure in G , $4kb \in 4\langle a + 2kb \rangle$, i.e. $4kb = 4ra + 8rkb$, $4k(1 - 2r)b = 4ra$ and $k = 0$. Hence the subgroups $\langle a + 2kb \rangle$, where $k \neq 0$ is an integer, are not

pure in G . Consequently, there are only two G_t -pure-high subgroups of G . They are G_t -high in G and moreover, they are complements of G_t . Finally, $\langle 2b \rangle$ is the intersection of all pure G_t -high subgroups of G .

5. An example

In the following theorem we shall investigate the well-known group from example 2, § 100 [5].

5.1. Theorem. Let p_1, p_2, \dots be different primes, and $A = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle a_i \rangle$, where $o(a_i) = p_i$. Let $G = \langle A_t, b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots \rangle$, where $b_0 = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in A$ and for each $j = 1, 2, \dots$, b_j has 0 for its j -th coordinate and satisfies

$$p_j b_j = (a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, 0, a_{j+1}, \dots) = b_0 - a_j.$$

Then

(i) If S is a pure subgroup of G then either S is torsion or S is a direct complement of a finite subgroup in G .

(ii) If N is a finite subgroup of G then each N -pure-high subgroup of G is a direct complement of N in G .

(iii) If N is an infinite subgroup of G then the unique N -pure-high subgroup of G is $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbf{R}} G_p$, where $\mathbf{R} = \{p \in \mathbf{P}; N_p = \mathbf{0}\}$.

(iv) 0 is N -pure-high in G if and only if $G_t \subseteq N$.

The proof of this result is based on the next lemmas. It is easy to see that $G_t = A_t = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle a_i \rangle$.

5.2. Lemma. Let X be a subgroup of G . If X is not torsion then there is a natural number m such that $mb_0 \in X$.

Proof. Let $x = t + k_0 b_0 + k_1 b_1 + \dots + k_n b_n$ be an element of infinite order ($x \in X$, $t \in G_t$, k_i are integers). Then

$$(p_1 p_2 \dots p_n) x = t' + k b_0,$$

where k is an integer and $t' \in G_t$. Hence there is a natural number m such that $mb_0 \in X$.

5.3. Lemma. Let S be a pure subgroup of G and $g \in G$.

(i) If $kp^2 g \in S$ for an integer k and a prime p then $kpg \in S$.

(ii) If m is the least natural number such that $mg \in S$ then m is square-free.

Proof. Let $kp^2 g \in S$. Then $kp^2 g = kp^2 s$ for some $s \in S$ and hence $kp^2(g - s) = o$ and $g - s \in G_t$. With respect to the form of G_t , $kp(g - s) = o$ and $kpg \in S$. Obviously, (i) implies (ii).

5.4. Lemma. Let S be a pure subgroup of G and m be the least natural number such that $mb_0 \in S$. Then

- (i) m is square-free.
- (ii) If $(p_j, m) = 1$ then $a_j \in S$, S is p_j -absorbing in G and m is the least natural number with $mb_j \in S$.
- (iii) If $m = p_j m_j$ then $a_j \notin S$ and m_j is the least natural number with $m_j b_j \in S$.
- (iv) $S_t = \bigoplus_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i | m}}^{\infty} \langle a_i \rangle$.
- (v) $mG \subseteq S$.
- (vi) $G = S \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i | m}}^{\infty} \langle a_i \rangle$.

Proof. By lemma 5.3, m is square-free.

Suppose $(p_j, m) = 1$. We have

$$mp_j b_0 = mp_j(p_j b_j + a_j) = mp_j^2 b_j \in S.$$

Since b_j is divisible by p_j , it is $mb_j \in S$ by lemma 5.3. Further, $ma_j = mb_0 - mp_j b_j \in S$ and hence $a_j \in S$. If $g \in G$ and $p_j g \in S$ then $p_j g = p_j s$ for some $s \in S$ and hence $p_j(g - s) = 0$, i.e. $g - s \in \langle a_j \rangle \subseteq S$ and $g \in S$. Consequently, S is p_j -absorbing in G . Finally, if $\bar{m} b_j \in S$ and $\bar{m} < m$ then $\bar{m} p_j b_j = \bar{m}(b_0 - a_j) = \bar{m} b_0 - \bar{m} a_j$ and $\bar{m} b_0 \in S$ - a contradiction with the definition of m .

Suppose $m = p_j m_j$. We have

$$mb_0 = m(p_j b_j + a_j) = mp_j b_j = m_j p_j^2 b_j \in S.$$

Since b_j is divisible by p_j , it is $m_j b_j \in S$ by lemma 5.3. Further $m_j a_j = m_j(b_0 - p_j b_j) = m_j b_0 - mb_j \notin S$ and hence $a_j \notin S$. If $\bar{m} b_j \in S$ and $\bar{m} < m_j$ then $p_j \bar{m} b_0 = p_j \bar{m}(p_j b_j + a_j) = p_j^2 \bar{m} b_j \in S$ - a contradiction with the definition of m .

The assertions (iv), (v) follow from (ii), (iii). Write $T = \bigoplus_{\substack{i=1 \\ p_i | m}} \langle a_i \rangle$. If $g \in G$ then $mg \in S$ and $mg = ms$ for some $s \in S$. Hence $g - s = t \in T$, $g \in S + T$, i.e. $G = S + T$. By (iv), $S \cap T = 0$ and consequently $G = S \oplus T$.

Proof of theorem 5.1. If S is a pure subgroup of G and S is not torsion then by lemma 5.2 there is a natural number m such that $mb_0 \in S$; let m be the least natural number with this property. By lemma 5.4, S is a complement of a finite subgroup of G .

Let N be a finite subgroup of G and S be an N -pure-high subgroup of G . By 2.6 (iii), $G_t = N \oplus S_t$. Since N is a direct summand of G and each complement of N in G contains S_t , S is not torsion. By lemma 5.4, $G = N \oplus S$.

Let N be an infinite subgroup of G . If S is a pure subgroup of G and S is not torsion then $N \cap S \neq 0$. For, if N is not torsion then there is a natural number k such that $kb_0 \in N \cap S$ by lemma 5.2 and if N is torsion then $N \cap S \neq 0$ by lemma 5.4.

Consequently, each N -pure-high subgroup of G is torsion. With respect to the form of G_t , $H = \bigoplus_{p_i \in \mathbf{R}} \langle a_i \rangle$ is the unique N -pure-high subgroup of G by 2.2 ($\mathbf{R} = \{p \in \mathbf{P}; N_p = 0\}$) and hence $G_t = N_t \oplus H$.

If N contains G_t then 0 is N -pure-high in G by (iii). If 0 is N -pure-high in G then N is infinite by (ii) and $N \supseteq G_t$ by (iii).

5.5. Remark. The group G is obviously of torsion-free rank 1, G does not split (see 5.1 (i)).

If N is a finite subgroup of G then the intersection of all N -pure-high subgroups of G contains elements of infinite order by lemma 5.4 (compare with 4.3–4.6).

If N is an infinite torsion subgroup of G then G/N is not torsion, there is a unique N -pure-high subgroup H of G , H is torsion and H is not N -high in G .

If N is a subgroup of G which is not torsion then G/N is torsion, there is a unique N -pure-high subgroup H of G , H is torsion and N -high in G .

References

- [1] BENABDALLAH, K.: On pure-high subgroups of abelian groups, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 17 (1974) 479–482.
- [2] BENABDALLAH, K. and IRWIN, J.: N -high subgroups and p -adic topology, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli* 21 (1972), 43–45.
- [3] BENABDALLAH, K. and IRWIN, J.: Pure N -high subgroups, p -adic topology and direct sums of cyclic groups, *Canad. J. Math.* 26 (1974), 322–327.
- [4] BENABDALLAH, K., IRWIN, J. M. and RAFIQ, M.: N -high subgroups of abelian p -groups, *Arch. Math.* 25 (1974), 29–34.
- [5] FUCHS, L.: Infinite abelian groups I, II, *Acad. Press*, 1970, 1973.
- [6] IRWIN, J. M.: High subgroups of abelian torsion groups, *Pacific J. Math.* 11 (1961), 1375 to 1384.
- [7] IRWIN, J. and BENABDALLAH, K.: On N -high subgroups of abelian groups, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 96 (1968), 337–346.
- [8] IRWIN, J. PEERCY, C. and WALKER, E.: Splitting properties of high subgroups, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 90 (1962), 185–192.
- [9] IRWIN, J. M. and WALKER, E. A.: On N -high subgroups of abelian groups, *Pacific J. Math.* 11 (1961), 1363–1374.
- [10] KRIVONOS, F. V.: Ob N -vysokich podgruppach abelovoj grupy, *Vest. Moskov. Univ.* (1975), 58–64.
- [11] PIERCE, R. S.: Centers of purity in abelian groups, *Pacific J. Math.* 13 (1963), 215–219.
- [12] REID, J. D.: On subgroups of an abelian group maximal disjoint from a given subgroup, *Pacific J. Math.* 13 (1963), 657–664.