

Ali Akbulut; Vagif Guliyev; Rza Mustafayev

On the boundedness of the maximal operator and singular integral operators in generalized Morrey spaces

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 137 (2012), No. 1, 27--43

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142786>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2012

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE BOUNDEDNESS OF THE MAXIMAL OPERATOR AND
SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS IN
GENERALIZED MORREY SPACES

ALI AKBULUT, VAGIF GULIYEV, Kirsehir, RZA MUSTAFAYEV, Azerbaijan

(Received June 12, 2010)

Abstract. In the paper we find conditions on the pair (ω_1, ω_2) which ensure the boundedness of the maximal operator and the Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators from one generalized Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_{p, \omega_1}$ to another $\mathcal{M}_{p, \omega_2}$, $1 < p < \infty$, and from the space $\mathcal{M}_{1, \omega_1}$ to the weak space $W\mathcal{M}_{1, \omega_2}$. As applications, we get some estimates for uniformly elliptic operators on generalized Morrey spaces.

Keywords: generalized Morrey space, maximal operator, Hardy operator, singular integral operator

MSC 2010: 42B20, 42B25, 42B35

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of boundedness of classical operators of the real analysis, such as the maximal operator and the singular integral operators etc, from one weighted Lebesgue space to another one is well studied by now. These results have good applications in the theory of partial differential equations. However, in the theory of partial differential equations, along with weighted Lebesgue spaces, general Morrey-type spaces also play an important role.

The research of V. Guliyev and R. Mustafayev was partially supported by the grant of Science Development Foundation under the President of the Republic of Azerbaijan project EIF-2010-1(1)-40/06-1. The research of A. Akbulut and V. Guliyev was partially supported by the grants of Ahi Evran University Science Research Project, (Kirsehir, Turkey), FBA-10-05 and by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK Project No: 110T695). The research of R. Mustafayev was supported by the Institutional Research Plan no. AV0Z10190503 of AS CR and by a Post Doctoral Fellowship of INTAS (Grant 06-1000015-6385).

Let $f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The maximal operator M is defined by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{|B(x,t)|} \int_{B(x,t)} |f(y)| dy,$$

where $|B(x,t)|$ is the Lebesgue measure of the ball $B(x,t)$.

Definition 1.1. Let $k(x): \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We call $k(x)$ a Calderón-Zygmund kernel (C-Z kernel) if

- (i) $k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$;
- (ii) $k(x)$ is homogeneous of degree $-n$;
- (iii) $\int_\Sigma k(x) d\sigma_\xi = 0$, where $\Sigma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |x| = 1\}$ is the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 1.2 ([9]). *Let k be a real measurable function in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ such that*

- (i) $k(x, z)$ is a C-Z kernel for a.a. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (ii) $\max_{|j| \leq 2n} \|(\partial^j / \partial z^j)k(x, z)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \Sigma)} = M < \infty$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$ set

$$T_\varepsilon f(x) := \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} k(x, x-y)f(y) dy.$$

Then there exists $Tf \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|T_\varepsilon f - Tf\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0$$

and, moreover, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\|Tf\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Morrey spaces $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$ were introduced by C. Morrey in 1938 [15] and defined as follows. For $0 \leq \lambda \leq n$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $f \in \mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$ if $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}} \equiv \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{-\lambda/p} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,r))} < \infty,$$

where $B(x,r)$ is the open ball of radius r centered at x . Note that $\mathcal{M}_{p,0} = L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{p,n} = L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\lambda < 0$ or $\lambda > n$, then $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda} = \Theta$, where Θ is the set of all functions equivalent to 0 on \mathbb{R}^n .

These spaces have appeared to be quite useful in the study of the local behaviour of solutions to partial differential equations, apriori estimates and other topics in the theory of partial differential equations.

We also denote by $WM_{p,\lambda}$ the weak Morrey space of all functions $f \in WL_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{WM_{p,\lambda}} \equiv \|f\|_{WM_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} r^{-\lambda/p} \|f\|_{WL_p(B(x,r))} < \infty,$$

where WL_p denotes the weak L_p -space.

F. Chiarenza and M. Frasca [8] studied the boundedness of the maximal operator M in these spaces. Their results can be summarized as follows:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < \lambda < n$. Then for $1 < p < \infty$, M is bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$ and for $p = 1$, M is bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{1,\lambda}$ to $WM_{1,\lambda}$.*

G.D.Fazio and M.A.Ragusa [9] studied the boundedness of the Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators in Morrey spaces, and their results imply the following statement for Calderón-Zygmund operators T .

Theorem 1.4. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < \lambda < n$. Then for $1 < p < \infty$, Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator T is bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$ and for $p = 1$, T is bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{1,\lambda}$ to $WM_{1,\lambda}$.*

Note that in the case of the classical Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators Theorem 1.4 was proved by J. Peetre [19]. If $\lambda = 0$, the statement of Theorem 1.4 reduces to Theorem 1.2 for $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see also [6], [22]).

In the present work, we study the boundedness of the maximal operator M and the Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators T from one generalized Morrey space \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_1} to another \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_2} , $1 < p < \infty$, and from the space \mathcal{M}_{1,ω_1} to the weak space WM_{1,ω_2} . As applications, we get some estimates for uniformly elliptic operators on generalized Morrey spaces.

By $A \lesssim B$ we mean that $A \leq CB$ with some positive constant C independent of the appropriate quantities. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, we write $A \approx B$ and say that A and B are equivalent.

2. GENERALIZED MORREY SPACES

For the sake of completeness we recall the definition of the spaces and some properties of the spaces we are going to use.

If in place of the power function r^λ in the definition of $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$ we consider any positive measurable weight function $\omega(x, r)$, then it becomes the generalized Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\omega(x, r)$ be a positive measurable weight function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{p, \omega}$ the generalized Morrey space, the space of all functions $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with finite quasinorm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p, \omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega(x, r)^{-1/p} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x, r))}.$$

Definition 2.2. We say that (ω_1, ω_2) belongs to the class $\mathcal{Z}_{p, n}$, $p \in [0, \infty)$, $m > 0$ if there is a constant C such that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for any $t > 0$,

$$(2.1) \quad \left(\int_t^\infty \left(\frac{\text{ess inf}_{r < s < \infty} \omega_1(x, s)}{r^m} \right)^{1/p} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^p \leq C \frac{\omega_2(x, t)}{t^m} \quad \text{if } p \in (0, \infty)$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \text{ess sup}_{t < r < \infty} \frac{\text{ess inf}_{r < s < \infty} \omega_1(x, s)}{r^m} \leq C \frac{\omega_2(x, t)}{t^m} \quad \text{if } p = 0.$$

Definition 2.3. We say that (ω_1, ω_2) belongs to the class $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{p, m}$, $p \in [0, \infty)$, $m > 0$ if there is a constant C such that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for any $t > 0$,

$$(2.3) \quad \left(\int_t^\infty \left(\frac{\omega_1(x, r)}{r^m} \right)^{1/p} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^p \leq C \frac{\omega_2(x, t)}{t^m} \quad \text{if } p \in (0, \infty)$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \text{ess sup}_{t < r < \infty} \frac{\omega_1(x, r)}{r^m} \leq C \frac{\omega_2(x, t)}{t^m} \quad \text{if } p = 0.$$

Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{p, m} \subset \mathcal{Z}_{p, m}$ for $p \in [0, \infty)$, $m > 0$.

The following embedding for the classes $\mathcal{Z}_{p, m}$, $p \in [0, \infty)$, $m > 0$ is valid.

Lemma 2.4.

$$\bigcup_{0 < p < \infty} \mathcal{Z}_{p, m} \subset \mathcal{Z}_{0, m}.$$

Proof. Assume that $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{Z}_{p, m}$ for some $p \in (0, \infty)$. Then for any $s \in (t, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\omega_2(x, t)}{t^m} &\gtrsim \left(\int_t^\infty \left(\frac{\text{ess inf}_{r < \tau < \infty} \omega_1(x, \tau)}{r^m} \right)^{1/p} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^p \\ &\gtrsim \left(\int_s^\infty \left(\frac{\text{ess inf}_{r < \tau < \infty} \omega_1(x, \tau)}{r^m} \right)^{1/p} \frac{dr}{r} \right)^p \\ &\gtrsim \text{ess inf}_{s < \tau < \infty} \omega_1(x, \tau) \left(\int_s^\infty \frac{dr}{r^{m/p+1}} \right)^p \\ &\approx \frac{\text{ess inf}_{s < \tau < \infty} \omega_1(x, \tau)}{s^m}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\omega_2(x, t)}{t^m} \gtrsim \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t < s < \infty} \frac{\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{s < \tau < \infty} \omega_1(x, \tau)}{s^m}.$$

This proves that

$$\bigcup_{0 < p < \infty} \mathcal{Z}_{p,m} \subset \mathcal{Z}_{0,m}.$$

□

Remark 2.5. Let $\omega(t) = t^n$. Then $(\omega, \omega) \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$, but $(\omega, \omega) \notin \mathcal{Z}_{p,n}$ for any $p \in (0, \infty)$.

T. Mizuhara [14], E. Nakai [17] and V. S. Guliyev [10] (see also [11], [12]) generalized Theorem 1.4 and obtained sufficient conditions on functions ω_1 and ω_2 ensuring the boundedness of M and T from \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_1} to \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_2} . In [17] the following statement was proved, containing the result in [14].

Theorem 2.6. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Moreover, let ω be a positive measurable function satisfying the following conditions: there exists $c > 0$ such that*

$$(2.5) \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq 2r \Rightarrow c^{-1}\omega(r) \leq \omega(t) \leq c\omega(r)$$

and $(\omega, \omega) \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{1,n}$.

Then for $1 < p < \infty$ the operators M and T are bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega}$ and for $p = 1$ M and T are bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega}$ to $W\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega}$.

The following statement, containing the results in [14], [17] was proved in [10] (see also [11], [12]).

Theorem 2.7. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{p,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for $1 < p < \infty$ the operator T is bounded from \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_1} to \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_2} and for $p = 1$, the operator T is bounded from \mathcal{M}_{1,ω_1} to $W\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega_2}$.*

In [1]–[5], [10], [11] and [12] the boundedness of the maximal operator and the singular integral operators in local and global Morrey-type spaces was investigated. Note that the global Morrey-type space is a more general space than the generalized Morrey space.

3. BOUNDEDNESS OF THE MAXIMAL OPERATOR IN
GENERALIZED MORREY SPACES

We denote by $L_{\infty,v}(0, \infty)$ the space of all functions $g(t)$, $t > 0$ with finite norm

$$\|g\|_{L_{\infty,v}(0,\infty)} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} v(t)g(t)$$

and $L_{\infty}(0, \infty) \equiv L_{\infty,1}(0, \infty)$. Let $\mathfrak{M}(0, \infty)$ be the set of all Lebesgue-measurable functions on $(0, \infty)$ and $\mathfrak{M}^+(0, \infty)$ its subset consisting of all nonnegative functions on $(0, \infty)$. We denote by $\mathfrak{M}^+(0, \infty; \uparrow)$ the cone of all functions in $\mathfrak{M}^+(0, \infty)$ which are non-decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$\mathbb{A} = \{\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}^+(0, \infty; \uparrow) : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(t) = 0\}.$$

Let u be a continuous and non-negative function on $(0, \infty)$. We define the supremal operator \overline{S}_u on $g \in \mathfrak{M}(0, \infty)$ by

$$(\overline{S}_u g)(t) := \|u g\|_{L_{\infty}(t,\infty)}, \quad t \in (0, \infty).$$

The following theorem was proved in [4].

Theorem 3.1. *Let v_1, v_2 be non-negative measurable functions satisfying $0 < \|v_1\|_{L_{\theta}(t,\infty)} < \infty$ for any $t > 0$ and let u be a continuous non-negative function on $(0, \infty)$*

Then the operator \overline{S}_u is bounded from $L_{\infty,v_1}(0, \infty)$ to $L_{\infty,v_2}(0, \infty)$ on the cone \mathbb{A} if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad \|v_2 \overline{S}_u(\|v_1\|_{L_{\infty}(\cdot,\infty)}^{-1})\|_{L_{\infty}(0,\infty)} < \infty.$$

Sufficient conditions on ω for the boundedness of M in generalized Morrey spaces $\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ have been obtained in [1], [2], [4], [5], [14], [17].

The following lemma is true.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then for any ball $B = B(x, r)$ in \mathbb{R}^n the inequality*

$$(3.2) \quad \|Mf\|_{L_p(B(x,r))} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,2r))} + r^{n/p} \sup_{t>2r} t^{-n} \|f\|_{L_1(B(x,t))}$$

holds for all $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, the inequality

$$(3.3) \quad \|Mf\|_{WL_1(B(x,r))} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_1(B(x,2r))} + r^n \sup_{t>2r} t^{-n} \|f\|_{L_1(B(x,t))}$$

holds for all $f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

P r o o f. Let $1 < p < \infty$. It is obvious that for any ball $B = B(x, r)$

$$\|Mf\|_{L_p(B)} \leq \|M(f\chi_{(2B)})\|_{L_p(B)} + \|M(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)})\|_{L_p(B)}.$$

By the continuity of the operator $M: L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < p < \infty$ we have

$$\|M(f\chi_{(2B)})\|_{L_p(B)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_p(2B)}.$$

Let y be an arbitrary point from B . If $B(y, t) \cap \{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)\} \neq \emptyset$, then $t > r$. Indeed, if $z \in B(y, t) \cap \{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)\}$, then $t > |y - z| \geq |x - z| - |x - y| > 2r - r = r$.

On the other hand, $B(y, t) \cap \{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)\} \subset B(x, 2t)$. Indeed, $z \in B(y, t) \cap \{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)\}$, then we get $|x - z| \leq |y - z| + |x - y| < t + r < 2t$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)})(y) &= \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{|B(y, t)|} \int_{B(y, t) \cap \{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)\}} |f(z)| \, dz \\ &\leq 2^n \sup_{t>r} \frac{1}{|B(x, 2t)|} \int_{B(x, 2t)} |f(z)| \, dz \\ &= 2^n \sup_{t>2r} \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} |f(z)| \, dz. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $y \in B$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad M(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)})(y) \leq 2^n \sup_{t>2r} \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} |f(z)| \, dz.$$

Thus

$$\|Mf\|_{L_p(B)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_p(2B)} + |B|^{1/p} \left(\sup_{t>2r} \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} |f(z)| \, dz \right).$$

Let $p = 1$. It is obvious that for any ball $B = B(x, r)$

$$\|Mf\|_{WL_1(B)} \leq \|M(f\chi_{(2B)})\|_{WL_1(B)} + \|M(f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)})\|_{WL_1(B)}.$$

By the continuity of the operator $M: L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow WL_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\|M(f\chi_{(2B)})\|_{WL_1(B)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_1(2B)}.$$

Then by (3.4) we get the inequality (3.3). □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then for any ball $B = B(x, r)$ in \mathbb{R}^n , the inequality*

$$(3.5) \quad \|Mf\|_{L_p(B(x,r))} \lesssim r^{n/p} \sup_{t>2r} t^{-n/p} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,t))}$$

holds for all $f \in L_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, the inequality

$$(3.6) \quad \|Mf\|_{WL_1(B(x,r))} \lesssim r^n \sup_{t>2r} t^{-n} \|f\|_{L_1(B(x,t))}$$

holds for all $f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_1 &:= |B|^{1/p} \left(\sup_{t>2r} \frac{1}{|B(x,t)|} \int_{B(x,t)} |f(z)| \, dz \right), \\ \mathcal{M}_2 &:= \|f\|_{L_p(2B)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \lesssim |B|^{1/p} \left(\sup_{t>2r} \frac{1}{|B(x,t)|^{1/p}} \left(\int_{B(x,t)} |f(z)|^p \, dz \right)^{1/p} \right).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &|B|^{1/p} \left(\sup_{t>2r} \frac{1}{|B(x,t)|^{1/p}} \left(\int_{B(x,t)} |f(z)|^p \, dz \right)^{1/p} \right) \\ &\quad \gtrsim |B|^{1/p} \left(\sup_{t>2r} \frac{1}{|B(x,t)|^{1/p}} \right) \|f\|_{L_p(2B)} \approx \mathcal{M}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 3.2

$$\|Mf\|_{L_p(B)} \leq \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2,$$

we arrive at (3.5).

Let $p = 1$. The inequality (3.6) directly follows from (3.3). \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for $p > 1$, M is bounded from \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_1} to \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_2} and for $p = 1$, M is bounded from \mathcal{M}_{1,ω_1} to $W\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega_2}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Mf\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega_2(x, r)^{-1/p} r^{n/p} \left(\sup_{t > r} t^{-n/p} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,t))} \right) \\ &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega_1(x, r)^{-1/p} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,t))} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

if $p \in (1, \infty)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Mf\|_{W\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega_2(x, r)^{-1} r^n \left(\sup_{t > r} t^{-n} \|f\|_{L_1(B(x,t))} \right) \\ &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega_1(x, r)^{-1} \|f\|_{L_1(B(x,t))} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

if $p = 1$. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$ and let $\omega: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be an increasing function. Assume that the mapping $t \mapsto \omega(t)/t^n$ is almost decreasing (there exists a constant c such that for $s < t$ we have $\omega(s)/s^n \geq c\omega(t)/t^n$). Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|Mf\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{if } 1 < p \leq \infty,$$

and

$$\|Mf\|_{W\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

4. SINGULAR INTEGRALS AND HARDY OPERATOR

In this section we are going to use the following statement on the boundedness of the Hardy operator

$$(Hg)(t) := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t g(r) \, dr, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Theorem 4.1 ([7]). *The inequality*

$$(4.1) \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} w(t)Hg(t) \leq c \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} v(t)g(t)$$

holds for all non-negative and non-increasing functions g on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if

$$(4.2) \quad A := \sup_{t>0} \frac{w(t)}{t} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0<y<s} v(y)} < \infty$$

and $c \approx A$.

Sufficient conditions on ω for the boundedness of T in generalized Morrey spaces $\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ have been obtained in [3], [10], [11], [12], [14], [17].

The following lemma has been proved in [10]. For the sake of completeness we give the proof.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $f \in L_p^{\operatorname{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$\int_1^\infty t^{-n/p-1} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x_0,t))} dt < \infty.$$

Then Calderón-Zygmund singular integral $Tf(x)$ exists for a.a. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r > 0$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ we have

$$(4.3) \quad \|Tf\|_{L_p(B(x_0,r))} \leq Cr^{n/p} \int_{2r}^\infty t^{-n/p-1} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x_0,t))} dt,$$

where the constant $C > 0$ does not depend on x_0 , r and f .

Moreover, for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$ we have

$$(4.4) \quad \|Tf\|_{WL_1(B(x_0,r))} \leq Cr^n \int_{2r}^\infty t^{-n-1} \|f\|_{L_1(B(x_0,t))} dt,$$

where the constant $C > 0$ does not depend on x_0 , r and f .

Proof. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. For arbitrary $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, set $B = B(x_0, r)$ for the ball centered at x_0 and of radius r . Write $f = f_1 + f_2$ with $f_1 = f\chi_{2B}$ and $f_2 = f\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)}$. Since $f_1 \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $Tf_1(x)$ exists for a.a. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the boundedness of T in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ([9]) implies that

$$\|Tf_1\|_{L_p(B)} \leq \|Tf_1\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f_1\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = C\|f\|_{L_p(2B)},$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of f .

Let us prove that the non-singular integral $Tf_2(x)$ exists for all $x \in B$.

It is clear that $x \in B$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)$ implies $\frac{1}{2}|x_0 - y| \leq |x - y| \leq \frac{3}{2}|x_0 - y|$. We get

$$|Tf_2(x)| \leq 2^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x_0 - y|^n} dy.$$

By Fubini's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x_0 - y|^n} dy &\approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)} |f(y)| \int_{|x_0 - y|}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} dy \\ &\approx \int_{2r}^{\infty} \int_{2r \leq |x_0 - y| < t} |f(y)| dy \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \\ &\lesssim \int_{2r}^{\infty} \int_{B(x_0, t)} |f(y)| dy \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x_0 - y|^n} dy \lesssim \int_{2r}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x_0, t))} \frac{dt}{t^{n/p+1}}.$$

Therefore $Tf_2(x)$ exists for all $x \in B$. Since $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{r>0} B(x_0, r)$, we get the existence of $Tf(x)$ for a.a. $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ the inequality

$$(4.5) \quad \|Tf_2\|_{L_p(B)} \lesssim r^{n/p} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x_0, t))} \frac{dt}{t^{n/p+1}}$$

is valid. Thus

$$\|Tf\|_{L_p(B)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_p(2B)} + r^{n/p} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x_0, t))} \frac{dt}{t^{n/p+1}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|f\|_{L_p(2B)} \approx r^{n/p} \|f\|_{L_p(B)} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{n/p+1}} \lesssim r^{n/p} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x_0, t))} \frac{dt}{t^{n/p+1}}.$$

Thus

$$\|Tf\|_{L_p(B)} \lesssim r^{n/p} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x_0, t))} \frac{dt}{t^{n/p+1}}.$$

Let $p = 1$. The weak (1,1) boundedness of T ([9]) implies that

$$\|Tf_1\|_{WL_1(B)} \leq \|Tf_1\|_{WL_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f_1\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = C \|f\|_{L_1(2B)},$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of f .

Then by (4.5) we get the inequality (4.4). □

Theorem 4.3. *Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{Z}_{p,n}$. Then the Calderón-Zygmund singular integral $Tf(x)$ exists for a.a. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for $p > 1$ the operator T is bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for $p = 1$ the operator T is bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $W\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, for $p > 1$ we have*

$$\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega_2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega_1}},$$

and for $p = 1$

$$\|Tf\|_{W\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega_2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega_1}}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.1 we have for $p > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega_2(x, r)^{-1/p} r^{n/p} \int_r^\infty \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,t))} \frac{dt}{t^{n/p+1}} \\ &\approx \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega_2(x, r)^{-1/p} r^{n/p} \int_0^{r^{-n/p}} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,t^{-p/n}))} dt \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega_2(x, r^{-p/n})^{-1/p} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,t^{-p/n}))} dt \\ &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega_1(x, r^{-p/n})^{-1/p} \|f\|_{L_p(B(x,r^{-p/n}))} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

and for $p = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{W\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega_2(x, r)^{-1} r^n \int_r^\infty \|f\|_{L_1(B(x,t))} \frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \\ &\approx \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega_2(x, r)^{-1} r^n \int_0^{r^{-n}} \|f\|_{L_1(B(x,t^{-n}))} dt \\ &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega_2(x, r^{-1/n})^{-1} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \|f\|_{L_1(B(x,t^{-1/n}))} dt \\ &\lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \omega_1(x, r^{-1/n})^{-1} \|f\|_{L_1(B(x,r^{-1/n}))} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.4. *Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{p,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for $p > 1$, T is bounded from $\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for $p = 1$, T is bounded from \mathcal{M}_{1,ω_1} to $W\mathcal{M}_{1,\omega_2}$.*

Note that Theorem 2.7 and Corollary 4.4 coincide.

5. ESTIMATES FOR UNIFORMLY ELLIPTIC OPERATORS ON
GENERALIZED MORREY SPACES

In this section we consider the uniformly elliptic operators

$$L = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j) + V(x)$$

with non-negative potentials V on \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$) which belong to a certain reverse Hölder class. We show several estimates for VL^{-1} , $V^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla L^{-1}$ and $\nabla^2 L^{-1}$ on generalized Morrey spaces under certain assumptions on $a_{ij}(x)$, V and p . Our results generalize some results of K. Kurata and S. Sugano [13].

For the Schrödinger operators $-\Delta + V(x)$ with nonnegative polynomials V , several authors ([21], [24], [25]) studied L_p boundedness for $1 < p < \infty$ of $\nabla(-\Delta + V)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $(-\Delta + V)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla$, and $\nabla(-\Delta + V)^{-1}\nabla$, $V^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla(-\Delta + V)^{-1}$, and $\nabla^2(-\Delta + V)^{-1}$. In particular, J. Zhong [25] proved that if V is a non-negative polynomial, then $\nabla^2(-\Delta + V)^{-1}$, $\nabla(-\Delta + V)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, and $\nabla(-\Delta + V)^{-1}\nabla$ are Calderón-Zygmund operators. Recently, Z. Shen [20] generalized these results. He proved that $\nabla(-\Delta + V)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $(-\Delta + V)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\nabla$, and $\nabla(-\Delta + V)^{-1}\nabla$ are Calderón-Zygmund operators, provided V belongs to the reverse Hölder class B_n (see Definition 6.1), which includes non-negative polynomials and allows some non-smooth potentials. Moreover, Z. Shen also showed L_p boundedness for $V(-\Delta + V)^{-1}$, and for $\nabla^2(-\Delta + V)^{-1}$ when $V \in B_{n/2}$ and for $V^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla(-\Delta + V)^{-1}$ when $V \in B_n$.

In this section we consider uniformly elliptic operators

$$L = L_0 + V(x) = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i(a_{ij}(x)\partial_j) + V(x)$$

with certain non-negative potentials V on \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$), where $a_{ij}(x)$ is a measurable function satisfying the conditions:

(A₁) There exists a constant $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$a_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}(x), \quad \lambda|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \leq \lambda^{-1}|\xi|^2, \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(A₂) There exist constants $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$\|a_{ij}\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq K.$$

Throughout this section we use the following notation:

$$\partial_j = \nabla_j = \nabla_{x_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad |\nabla u(x)|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\nabla_j u(x)|^2.$$

The purpose of this section is to show boundedness of the operators $T_1 = VL^{-1}$, $T_2 = V^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla L^{-1}$ and $T_3 = \nabla^2 L^{-1}$ from one generalized Morrey space \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_1} to another \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_2} . Although it is known that T_1 and T_3 are Calderón-Zygmund operators for the case $L = -\Delta + V$ with non-negative polynomials V , it is not known whether T_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) are Calderón-Zygmund operators or not, under the general condition $V \in B_\infty$. We show, under the same conditions as in [20] for V , boundedness of $T_1 = VL^{-1}$ and $T_2 = V^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla L^{-1}$ on generalized Morrey spaces $\mathcal{M}_{p,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Actually, we use pointwise estimates of $T_k f(x)$, $k = 1, 2$, by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function (see [13], Theorem 1.3). We also show boundedness of $T_3 = \nabla^2 L^{-1}$ on generalized Morrey spaces under the additional assumption

(A₃) There exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$a_{ij} \in C^{1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad a_{ij}(x+z) = a_{ij}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

and

$$\sum_i^n \partial_i(a_{ij}(x)) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Here L^{-1} is the integral operator with the fundamental solution (or the minimal Green function (see e.g. [16])) of L as its integral kernel. We can also define $L^{-1}f$ for $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the unique solution of $Lu = f$ on certain generalized Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_{2,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and can see it is a bounded operator on certain generalized Morrey spaces $\mathcal{M}_{2,\omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see e.g. [21]).

Definition 5.1. Let $V(x) \geq 0$.

(1) A nonnegative locally L_q integrable function V on \mathbb{R}^n is said to belong to the reverse Hölder class B_q ($1 < q < \infty$) if there exists $C > 0$ such that the reverse Hölder inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V(x)^q dx \right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{C}{|B|} \int_B V(x) dx$$

holds for every ball B in \mathbb{R}^n .

(2) We say $V \in B_\infty$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|V\|_{L_\infty(B)} \leq \frac{C}{|B|} \int_B V(x) dx$$

holds for every ball B in \mathbb{R}^n .

Clearly, $B_\infty \subset B_q$ for $1 < q < \infty$. But it is important that the B_q class has a property of “self-improvement”; that is, if $V \in B_q$, then $V \in B_{q+\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ (see [18]).

K. Kurata and S. Sugano [13] proved the following pointwise estimate for T_1 and T_2 which generalize the results in [25], Lemma 3.2 to uniformly elliptic operators with general potentials $V \in B_\infty$.

Theorem A. *Suppose that $A(x)$ satisfies (A₁) for T_1 , (A₁)–(A₂) for T_2 , and $V \in B_\infty$. Then there exist positive constants C_k , $k = 1, 2$ such that*

$$|T_k f(x)| \leq M f(x), \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Hence Theorem A and Theorem 3.4 in Section 2 imply

Corollary 5.2. *Let $A(x)$ and $V(x)$ satisfy the same assumptions as in Theorem A.*

- (1) *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$. Then VL^{-1} and $V^{\frac{1}{2}}\nabla L^{-1}$ are bounded from \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_1} to \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_2} .*
- (2) *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$ and (A₃) for $A(x)$. Then $\nabla^2 L^{-1}$ is bounded from \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_1} to \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_2} .*

Theorem B. (1) *Suppose $A(x)$ satisfies (A₁) and $V \in B_q$, $q > n/2$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$|T_1^* f(x)| \leq CM(|f|^{q'})^{1/q'}(x), \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $1/q + 1/q' = 1$.

(2) *Suppose $A(x)$ satisfies (A₁)–(A₂). When $V \in B_q$ with $n > q > n/2$ we have*

$$|T_2^* f(x)| \leq CM(|f|^{p_1})^{1/p_1}(x), \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $1/p_1 = 1 + (1/n) - (3/2q)$.

When $V \in B_q$ with $q > n$ we have

$$|T_2^* f(x)| \leq CM(|f|^{p_1})^{1/p_1}(x), \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $1/p_1 = 1 - (1/2q)$.

Hence Theorem B and Theorems 3.4 and 4.3 imply

Corollary 5.3. Suppose $A(x)$ satisfies (A_1) . Suppose $V \in B_q$ with $q > n/2$, and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$ and $q' < p < \infty$. Then T_1 is bounded from \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_1} to \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_2} .

(2) Suppose $A(x)$ satisfies (A_1) – (A_2) . Suppose $V \in B_q$ with $n/2 < q < n$, $p_1 < p < \infty$, $1/p_1 = 1 + 1/n - 3/(2q)$ and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$. Then T_2^* is bounded from \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_1} to \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_2} .

(3) Suppose $A(x)$ satisfies (A_1) – (A_2) . Suppose $V \in B_q$ with $q > n$, $p_1 < p < \infty$, $1/p_1 = 1 - 1/(2q)$ and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{Z}_{0,n}$. Then T_2^* is bounded from \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_1} to \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_2} .

(4) Suppose $A(x)$ satisfies (A_1) – (A_3) , $1 < p < \infty$ and $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{Z}_{p,n}$. Then $\nabla^2 L^{-1}$ is bounded from \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_1} to \mathcal{M}_{p,ω_2} .

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Dr. A. Gogatishvili for valuable comments. The authors also thank the referees for careful reading the paper and useful suggestions.

References

- [1] *V. I. Burenkov, H. V. Guliyev*: Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the maximal operator in the local Morrey-type spaces. *Studia Mathematica* 163 (2004), 157–176.
- [2] *V. I. Burenkov, H. V. Guliyev, V. S. Guliyev*: Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the fractional maximal operator in the local Morrey-type spaces. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 208 (2007), 280–301.
- [3] *V. I. Burenkov, V. S. Guliyev, A. Serbetci, T. V. Tararykova*: Necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of genuine singular integral operators in local Morrey-type spaces. *Doklady Ross. Akad. Nauk.* 422 (2008), 11–14.
- [4] *V. I. Burenkov, A. Gogatishvili, V. S. Guliyev, R. Ch. Mustafayev*: Boundedness of the fractional maximal operator in Morrey-type spaces. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* 55 (2010), 739–758.
- [5] *V. Burenkov, A. Gogatishvili, V. Guliyev, R. Mustafayev*: Boundedness of the fractional maximal operator in local Morrey-type spaces. Preprint, Institute of Mathematics, AS CR, Praha, 2008, pp. 20.
- [6] *A. P. Calderón, A. Zygmund*: Singular integral operators and differential equations. *Amer. J. Math.* 79 (1957), 901–921.
- [7] *M. Carro, L. Pick, J. Soria, V. D. Stepanov*: On embeddings between classical Lorentz spaces. *Math. Ineq. & Appl.* 4 (2001), 397–428.
- [8] *F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca*: Morrey spaces and Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. *Rend. Math.* 7 (1987), 273–279.
- [9] *G. D. Fazio, M. A. Ragusa*: Interior estimates in Morrey spaces for strong solutions to nondivergence form equations with discontinuous coefficients. *J. Funct. Anal.* 112 (1993), 241–256.
- [10] *V. S. Guliyev*: Integral operators on function spaces on homogeneous groups and on domains in \mathbb{R}^n . Doctoral dissertation, Moskva, Mat. Inst. Steklov, 1994, pp. 329. (In Russian.)
- [11] *V. S. Guliyev*: Function spaces, integral operators and two weighted inequalities on homogeneous groups. Some applications. Baku, Elm., 1999, pp. 332. (In Russian.)
- [12] *V. S. Guliyev*: Boundedness of the maximal, potential and singular operators in the generalized Morrey spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2009, Art. ID 503948, pp. 20.

- [13] *K. Kurata, S. Sugano*: A remark on estimates for uniformly elliptic operators on weighted L_p spaces and Morrey spaces. *Math. Nachr.* 209 (2000), 137–150.
- [14] *T. Mizuhara*: Boundedness of some classical operators on generalized Morrey spaces. *Harmonic Analysis* (S. Igari, ed.). ICM 90 Satellite Proceedings, Springer, Tokyo, 1991, pp. 183–189.
- [15] *C. B. Morrey*: On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 43 (1938), 126–166.
- [16] *M. Murata*: On construction of Martin boundaries for second order elliptic equations. *Pub. Res. Instit. Math. Sci.* 26 (1990), 585–627.
- [17] *E. Nakai*: Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces. *Math. Nachr.* 166 (1994), 95–103.
- [18] *H. Q. Li*: Estimations L_p des opérateurs de Schrödinger sur les groupes nilpotents. *J. Funct. Anal.* 161 (1999), 152–218.
- [19] *J. Peetre*: On convolution operators leaving $\mathcal{L}^{p,\lambda}$ spaces invariant. *Ann. Mat. Appl. IV. Ser.* 72 (1966), 295–304.
- [20] *Z. W. Shen*: L_p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 45 (1995), 513–546.
- [21] *H. F. Smith*: Parametrix construction for a class of subelliptic differential operators. *Duke Math. J.* 63 (1991), 343–354.
- [22] *E. M. Stein*: *Harmonic analysis: Real variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals.* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [23] *S. Sugano*: Estimates for the operators $V^\alpha(-\Delta + V)^{-\beta}$ and $V^\alpha\nabla(-\Delta + V)^{-\beta}$ with certain nonnegative potentials V . *Tokyo J. Math.* 21 (1998), 441–452.
- [24] *S. Thangavelu*: Riesz transforms and the wave equations for the Hermite operators. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equations* 15 (1990), 1199–1215.
- [25] *J. P. Zhong*: *Harmonic analysis for some Schrödinger type operators.* PhD thesis, Princeton University, 1993.

Authors' addresses: *Ali Akbulut*, Ahi Evran University, Department of Mathematics, Kirsehir, Turkey, e-mail: aakbulut@ahievran.edu.tr; *Vagif Guliyev*, Ahi Evran University, Department of Mathematics, Kirsehir, Turkey, Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan, e-mail: vagif@guliyev.com; *Rza Mustafayev*, Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan, e-mail: rzamustafayev@mail.az.