

S. M. Bahri

On the localization of the spectrum for quasi-selfadjoint extensions of a Carleman operator

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 137 (2012), No. 3, 249–258

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142892>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2012

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE LOCALIZATION OF THE SPECTRUM FOR
QUASI-SELFADJOINT EXTENSIONS OF A CARLEMAN OPERATOR

S. M. BAHRI, Mostaganem

(Received August 19, 2010)

Abstract. In the present work, using a formula describing all scalar spectral functions of a Carleman operator A of defect indices $(1, 1)$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(X, \mu)$ that we obtained in a previous paper, we derive certain results concerning the localization of the spectrum of quasi-selfadjoint extensions of the operator A .

Keywords: defect indices, integral operator, quasi-selfadjoint extension, spectral theory

MSC 2010: 45P05, 47B25, 58C40

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let A be a closed symmetric operator with a dense domain $D(A)$ in a separable Hilbert space H endowed with an inner product (\cdot, \cdot) .

Let \mathfrak{M}_λ denote the range of the operator $(A - \lambda I)$, then its orthogonal complement in H

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\bar{\lambda}} = H \ominus \mathfrak{M}_\lambda$$

coincides with the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $\bar{\lambda}$ of the operator A^* . The sets $D(A)$, \mathfrak{N}_λ and $\mathfrak{N}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ ($\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$) are linearly independent, hence according to von Neumann (see [1], [11]), the domain of the adjoint operator A^* admits the representation

$$(1.1) \quad D(A^*) = D(A) \oplus \mathfrak{N}_\lambda \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{\lambda}},$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad A^*f = Af_0 + \lambda\varphi_\lambda + \bar{\lambda}\varphi_{\bar{\lambda}}$$

The work was supported by the NADUR (National Agency for the Development of University Research).

with $f_0 \in D(A)$, $\varphi_\lambda \in \mathfrak{N}_\lambda$ and $\varphi_{\bar{\lambda}} \in \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{\lambda}}$. The numbers $m = \dim \mathfrak{N}_\lambda$ and $n = \dim \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ do not change when λ belongs to the half-plane $\text{Im } \lambda > 0$. Then A is said to be of defect indices (m, n) . The formulas (1.1) and (1.2) show that A is selfadjoint iff it is of defect indices $(0, 0)$.

Further, let M and \widetilde{M} be two subspaces of H such that $M \subset \widetilde{M}$. The number n is called the dimension of \widetilde{M} modulo M (denoted $\dim_M \widetilde{M}$, i.e. $\dim \widetilde{M} = n \pmod{M}$) if there is n , and no more than n vectors f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n in \widetilde{M} such that

$$\alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2 + \dots + \alpha_n f_n \in M$$

implies that

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0.$$

A quasi-selfadjoint extension of A of defect indices (m, m) ($m < \infty$) is an arbitrary linear operator B which satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} A &\subset B \subset A^*, \\ \dim D(B) &= m \pmod{D(A)} \end{aligned}$$

but is not a selfadjoint extension of the operator A .

For simplicity we restrict ourselves to the case of operators of defect indices $(1, 1)$. We shall assume that the operator A is simple (i.e. there exists no subspace invariant under A such that the restriction of A to this subspace is selfadjoint).

We recall that a number λ is called a regular point of the operator A if the operator $(A - \lambda I)^{-1}$ (I denotes the identity operator in H) exists, is bounded, and is defined in the whole space. The spectrum of the operator A is defined as the complement of the set of its regular points. In ([1], Appendix I, Section 5), it is proved that the spectrum of a quasi-selfadjoint extension B of a simple symmetric operator A of defect indices $(1, 1)$ consists of the spectral kernel (i.e., the complement of the set of all points of regular type) of A and the eigenvalues, and the set of the eigenvalues lies wholly either in the upper or in the lower half-plane.

2. CARLEMAN OPERATORS OF SECOND CLASS

One can find necessary information about Carleman operators, for example, in [7], [13], [18], [19], [20]. Let X be an arbitrary set, μ a σ -finite measure on X (μ is defined on a σ -algebra of subsets of X , we do not indicate this σ -algebra), $L^2(X, \mu)$ the Hilbert space of square integrable functions with respect to μ . For short, instead of writing ‘ μ -measurable’, ‘ μ -almost everywhere’ and ‘ $d\mu(x)$ ’ we write ‘measurable’, ‘a.e.’ and ‘ dx ’.

A linear operator $A: D(A) \longrightarrow L^2(X, \mu)$, where the domain $D(A)$ is a dense linear manifold in $L^2(X, \mu)$, is said to be integral if there exists a measurable function K on $X \times X$, a kernel, such that, for every $f \in D(A)$,

$$(2.1) \quad Af(x) = \int_X K(x, y)f(y) \, dy \quad \text{a.e.}$$

A kernel K on $X \times X$ is a Carleman kernel if $K(x, y) \in L^2(X, \mu)$ for almost every fixed x , that is to say

$$\int_X |K(x, y)|^2 \, dy < \infty \quad \text{a.e.}$$

The integral operator A defined by (2.1) is called a Carleman operator if K is a Carleman kernel. Since the closure of a Carleman operator always exists and is itself a Carleman operator [20], we can suppose also that A is closed.

Now we consider the Carleman integral operators (2.1) of second class that were introduced in [7], [3] generated by symmetric kernels of the form

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p \psi_p(x) \overline{\psi_p(y)},$$

where the overbar denotes complex conjugation. Here $\{\psi_p(x)\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal sequence in $L^2(X, \mu)$ such that

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} |\psi_p(x)|^2 < \infty \quad \text{a.e.},$$

and $\{a_p\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ is a real number sequence verifying

$$\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p^2 |\psi_p(x)|^2 < \infty \quad \text{a.e.}$$

We call $\{\psi_p(x)\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ a Carleman sequence (we refer for instance to [20], Section 6.2).

Moreover, we assume that there exists a number sequence $\{\gamma_p\}_{p=0}^{\infty} \neq 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \gamma_p \psi_p(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\gamma_p}{a_p - \lambda} \right|^2 < \infty.$$

Under the conditions (2.2) and (2.3), the symmetric operator $A = (A^*)^*$ is of defect indices $(1, 1)$ (see [3]) with

$$A^* f(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p(f, \psi_p) \psi_p(x),$$

$$D(A^*) = \left\{ f \in L^2(X, \mu) : \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p(f, \psi_p) \psi_p(x) \in L^2(X, \mu) \right\}.$$

Moreover, in [4], we saw that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_\lambda(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_p}{a_p - \lambda} \psi_p(x) \in \mathfrak{N}_{\bar{\lambda}}, & \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq a_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \varphi_{a_k}(x) = \psi_k(x) \end{cases}$$

with $\mathfrak{N}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ the defect space of A .

We denote by \mathfrak{L}_ψ the sub-space of $L^2(X, \mu)$ generated by the sequence $\{\psi_p(x)\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$. It is clear that the orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{L}_\psi^\perp = L^2(X, \mu) \ominus \mathfrak{L}_\psi$ is contained in $D(A)$ and cancels the operator A . As \mathfrak{L}_ψ is reduced by A (see [1]), we consider A on \mathfrak{L}_ψ . Then we have (see [4]) for all $f \in \mathfrak{L}_\psi$ and for almost all $x \in X$:

$$(2.4) \quad f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(f, \varphi_\sigma) \varphi_\sigma(x)}{(\sigma^2 + 1) |(\varphi_\sigma, \overset{\circ}{\varphi}_i)|} d\varrho(\sigma),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|f\|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|(f, \varphi_\sigma)|^2}{(\sigma^2 + 1) |(\varphi_\sigma, \overset{\circ}{\varphi}_i)|} d\varrho(\sigma),$$

with

$$\overset{\circ}{\varphi}_i = \frac{\varphi_i}{\|\varphi_i\|} (\varphi_i \in \mathfrak{N}_{-i}),$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \varrho(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +0} \int_0^\sigma \Re \frac{1 + \omega(t + i\tau) C(t + i\tau)}{1 - \omega(t + i\tau) C(t + i\tau)} dt,$$

were $\omega(\lambda)$ is an analytical function on the upper half-plane Π^+ with $|\omega(\lambda)| \leq 1$ ($\text{Im } \lambda > 0$) ($\text{Im } \lambda$ the imaginary part of λ) and $C(\lambda)$ is the function

$$C(\lambda) = \frac{[1 - \omega(\lambda)](f, \varphi_{\bar{\lambda}})}{[\omega(\lambda)\chi(\lambda) - 1](\lambda + i)(\varphi_\lambda, \varphi_i)} \quad (\text{Im } \lambda > 0)$$

with $\chi(\lambda)$ the characteristic function of A (see [4], [1]).

Let \mathfrak{P} be the set of all functions $\varrho(\sigma)$ defined by (2.6) (see [5]). We call such a function $\varrho(\sigma)$ the scalar spectral function of the operator A . This function characterizes the spectrum of the quasi-selfadjoint extension A_ω of the operator A associated with the analytic function $\omega(\lambda)$. The spectrum of this extension is the set of points of growth of $\varrho(\sigma)$. We recall here (see [5]) that $\varrho(\sigma)$ is called orthogonal scalar spectral function if it corresponds to a constant function $\omega(\lambda)$ with $|\omega| \equiv 1$.

Now let us look more closely at the function $\varrho(\sigma)$ given by (2.6). It is clear that the homographic function $(1+z)/(1-z)$ transforms the circle $|z|=1$ into the real line \mathbb{R} . So if

$$(2.7) \quad \omega(\lambda) = \varkappa$$

with $|\varkappa|=1$, then

$$\Re \frac{1 + \varkappa C(\sigma)}{1 - \varkappa C(\sigma)} = 0$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ except at points σ satisfying

$$1 - \varkappa C(\sigma) = 0.$$

We infer that the function $\varrho(\sigma)$ associated with \varkappa has jumps at points of the spectrum of the selfadjoint extension A_\varkappa associated with \varkappa . This spectrum is formed by the zeros of the equation $C(\sigma) = \bar{\varkappa}$.

We denote by \mathfrak{G}_0 the convex hull of these functions:

$$\mathfrak{G}_0 = \left\{ \varrho(\sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \varrho_{\varkappa_k}, \alpha_k > 0, \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k = 1 \right\},$$

and $\mathfrak{G} = \overline{\mathfrak{G}_0}$, for the convergence at each point of continuity.

For any function $\varrho(\sigma) \in \mathfrak{G}_0$ we have:

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \varrho(\sigma) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +0} \int_0^\sigma \Re \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \frac{1 + \varkappa_k C(\lambda)}{1 - \varkappa_k C(\lambda)} \right] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +0} \int_0^\sigma \Re \left[\frac{1 + \omega(\lambda) C(\lambda)}{1 - \omega(\lambda) C(\lambda)} \right] dt \quad (\lambda = \sigma + i\tau) \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega(\lambda)$ is the analytical function corresponding to $\varrho(\sigma) \in \mathfrak{P}$.

Let now \mathfrak{M} be the set of all analytic functions $\varphi(z)$ on the unit disc $K = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ satisfying, $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$, $z \in K$ and admitting the representation

$$(2.9) \quad \varphi(z) = \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} (1 - ze^{it})^{-1} dS(t)}{\int_0^{2\pi} (1 - ze^{it})^{-1} dS(t)}$$

where $S(t)$ is a monotonic nondecreasing function with total variation equal to one, i.e. $\int_0^{2\pi} dS(t) = 1$. We denote by \mathfrak{M}_0 the set of all functions $\varphi(z) \in \mathfrak{M}$ with $S(t)$ a step function with a finite number of jumps. Consequently, from (2.8) and (2.9), we find easily that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega(\lambda) &= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \varkappa_k (1 - \varkappa_k C(\lambda))^{-1}}{\sum_{k=1}^n (1 - \varkappa_k C(\lambda))^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{it} (1 - C(\lambda)e^{it})^{-1} dS(t)}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 - C(\lambda)e^{it})^{-1} dS(t)} = \varphi(C(\lambda)), \end{aligned}$$

with $\varphi(z) \in \mathfrak{M}_0$.

3. DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECTRUM OF QUASI-SELFADJOINT EXTENSIONS OF A CARLEMAN OPERATOR

In this section we will study the spectrum of the quasi-selfadjoint extension A_ω of the Carleman operator A which equals the set of all points of growth of its spectral scalar function $\varrho(t) \in \mathfrak{G}$ (see [1], [19]). We recall ([5], Theorem 2.1) that for all $\varrho_\omega(t) = \varrho(t) \in \mathfrak{G}$ there corresponds an analytic function $\omega(\lambda) = \varphi(C(\lambda))$ with $\varphi(z) \in \mathfrak{M}$.

In the previous section we have observed that the spectrum of a selfadjoint extension A_\varkappa of the Carleman operator A associated with \varkappa ($|\varkappa| = 1$) coincides with the set of all solutions of the equation

$$(3.1) \quad C(\sigma) = \overline{\varkappa}.$$

Let $\Delta_p = [a_p, a_{i(p)}]$ ($p = 1, 2, \dots$) be the interval of the real line \mathbb{R} such that a_p and $a_{i(p)}$ be consecutive (i.e., exist no other a_k between a_p and $a_{i(p)}$). The characteristic function $C(\lambda)$ applies to each interval, namely, for every p, k and $\zeta \in \Delta_p$ there exists a unique $\eta \in \Delta_k$ such that

$$C(\zeta) = C(\eta).$$

We denote by Γ the spectrum of the quasi selfadjoint extension A_ω of the Carleman operator A whose scalar spectral function is

$$\varrho_\omega(t) = \varrho(t).$$

Theorem 1. (1) If $\varrho(t) \in \mathfrak{G}_0$, then for all p ($p = 1, 2, \dots$) Γ contains only a finite number n of points in each interval Δ_p , i.e.

$$\Gamma \cap \Delta_p = \{\sigma_p^1, \sigma_p^2, \dots, \sigma_p^n\}.$$

(2) If $\varrho(t) \in \mathfrak{G}$, we have for all p ($p = 1, 2, \dots$)

$$(3.2) \quad \{z: z = e^{it}, t \in \Gamma \cap \Delta_p\} = \{z: z = e^{it}, t \in \Gamma\}.$$

If $\varrho(t) \in \mathfrak{G}$, we have for all p ($p = 1, 2, \dots$)

$$(3.3) \quad \{z: z = e^{it}, t \in \Gamma \cap \Delta_p\} = \{z: z = e^{it}, t \in \Gamma\}.$$

Proof. Let $\varrho(t) \in \mathfrak{G}_0$. Then $\omega(t)$ associated with $\varrho(t)$ is the rational function (2.10). Therefore the equation

$$\omega(\lambda)C(\lambda) = 1$$

admits only n solutions in each interval $\Delta_p = [a_p, a_{i(p)}]$, ($p = 1, 2, \dots$). Indeed, as noted earlier in this section, for each p, q and $\sigma_q \in \Delta_q$, there is a single point $\sigma_p^q \in \Delta_p$ such that

$$C(\sigma_p^q) = C(\sigma_q).$$

By applying the function φ to this equality we obtain, using (2.10), that

$$\omega(\sigma_p^q) = \omega(\sigma_q).$$

By (2.7), we have also

$$\omega(\sigma_q) = \varkappa_q.$$

Hence

$$\omega(\sigma_p^q) = \varkappa_q.$$

Now by the equality (3.1) it follows that

$$C(\sigma_p^q) = \overline{\varkappa_q}.$$

Then

$$\omega(\sigma_p^q)C(\sigma_p^q) = |\varkappa_q|^2 = 1 \quad (q = 1, 2, \dots, n; p = 1, 2, \dots),$$

and so

$$\Gamma \cap \Delta_p = \{\sigma_p^1, \sigma_p^2, \dots, \sigma_p^n\}.$$

This proves the first assumption. To see the second point, we argue as follows.

- ▷ First, if $\varrho(t) \in \mathfrak{G}_0$, then equality (3.3) follows from the bijection established by the characteristic function $C(t)$ between Δ_p 's.
- ▷ Now if $\varrho(t) \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $\varrho(t) \notin \mathfrak{G}_0$, then there is a sequence of scalar spectral functions $\varrho_n(t) \in \mathfrak{G}_0$ which converges to $\varrho(t)$. Since equality (3.3) is true for $\varrho_n(t)$ for any n , it is also true for $\varrho(t)$. □

Theorem 2. Let E be a closed set contained in the interval $\Delta_p = [a_p, a_{i(p)}]$. Then there is $\varrho(t) \in \mathfrak{G}$ such that the spectrum Γ of the quasi-selfadjoint extension A_ω of the Carleman operator A having $\varrho(t)$ as the scalar spectral function satisfies the equality

$$\Gamma \cap \Delta_p = E.$$

Proof. We choose a countable set

$$\Omega = \{\sigma_p^1, \sigma_p^2, \dots\} \subset \Delta_p,$$

dense in E . It is clear that if we denote

$$\Omega_n = \{\sigma_p^1, \sigma_p^2, \dots, \sigma_p^n\},$$

then

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n.$$

Let

$$C(\sigma_p^k) = \overline{\varkappa_k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

and for all n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), let us form the spectral function by setting

$$\varrho_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} \varrho_{\varkappa_k}(t) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \varrho_{\varkappa_n}(t),$$

where $\varrho_{\varkappa_k}(t)$ denotes the orthogonal spectral function associated with \varkappa_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

Clearly, $\varrho_n(t) \in \mathfrak{G}_0$. We will show that $\varrho_n(t)$ converges pointwise as n tends to ∞ . We start by introducing the function

$$S_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{d\varrho_n(\sigma)}{\sigma^2 + 1}.$$

According to the formula (2.5) $S_n(t)$ is a distribution function, i.e.,

$$S_n(+\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} S_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\varrho_n(\sigma)}{\sigma^2 + 1} = \|\varphi_i^\circ\|^2 = 1$$

and

$$S_n(-\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} S_n(t) = 0.$$

Since

$$S_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^k} S_{\varkappa_k}(t) + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} S_{\varkappa_n}(t),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{n+n_0}(t) - S_n(t)| &= \left| \sum_{k=n}^{n+n_0-1} \frac{1}{2^k} S_{\varkappa_k}(t) + \frac{1}{2^{n+n_0-1}} S_{\varkappa_{n+n_0}}(t) - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} S_{\varkappa_n}(t) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{n+n_0-1} \frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{1}{2^{n+n_0-1}} - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that this quantity tends to 0 as n tends to ∞ . Therefore, at each point t , $S_n(t)$ converges to a limit, denoted by $S(t)$.

Thus $\varrho_n(t)$ converges to $\varrho(t)$ as n tends to ∞ and

$$S(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{d\varrho(\sigma)}{\sigma^2 + 1}.$$

The spectrum of $\varrho_n(t)$ is $\{\sigma_\varrho^1, \sigma_\varrho^2, \dots, \sigma_\varrho^n\} = \Omega_n$, consequently the spectrum of $\varrho(t)$ is $\overline{\Omega} = E$. \square

A c k n o w l e d g e m e n t . I acknowledge and thank the anonymous referee for his input and insight that have contributed significantly to improving the paper.

References

- [1] *N. I. Akhiezer, I. M. Glazman*: Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert Space. Dover, New York, 1993.
- [2] *E. L. Aleksandrov*: On the resolvents of symmetric operators which are not densely defined. *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Mat.*, 1970, pp. 3–12. (In Russian.)
- [3] *S. M. Bahri*: On the extension of a certain class of Carleman operators. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* 26 (2007), 57–64.
- [4] *S. M. Bahri*: Spectral properties of a certain class of Carleman operators. *Arch. Math., Brno* 43 (2007), 163–175.
- [5] *S. M. Bahri*: On convex hull of orthogonal scalar spectral functions of a Carleman operator. *Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat.* (3) 26 (2008), 9–18.
- [6] *Yu. M. Berezanskii*: Expansions in Eigenfunctions of Selfadjoint Operators. *Transl. Math. Monogr.*, 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1968.
- [7] *T. Carleman*: Sur les équations intégrales singulières à noyau réel et symétrique. *Almqvist Wiksells Boktryckeri Uppsala*, 1923.
- [8] *F. Gesztesy, K. Makarov, E. Tsekanovskii*: An addendum to Krein's formula. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 222 (1998), 594–606.
- [9] *I. M. Glazman*: On a class of solutions of the classical moment problem. *Zap. Khar'kov. Mat. Obehch* 20 (1951), 95–98.

- [10] *I. M. Glazman, P. B. Naiman*: On the convex hull of orthogonal spectral functions. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR [Soviet Math. Dokl.] *102* (1955), 445–448.
- [11] *M. L. Gorbachuk, V. I. Gorbachuk*: M. G. Krein’s lectures on entire operators. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997.
- [12] *Gut Allan*: Probability: A graduate course. Springer, New York, 2005.
- [13] *V. B. Korotkov*: Integral Operators. Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1983. (In Russian.)
- [14] *P. Kurasov, S. T. Kuroda*: Krein’s formula and perturbation theory. J. Oper. Theory *51* (2004), 321–334.
- [15] *H. Langer, B. Teatorius*: On generalized resolvents and Q-functions of symmetric linear relations (subspaces) in Hilbert space. Pacific J. Math. *72* (1977), 135–165.
- [16] *B. Simon*: Trace Ideals and Their Applications, 2nd edition. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [17] *A. V. Shtraus*: Extensions and generalized resolvents of a symmetric operator which is not densely defined. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. *34* (1970), 175–202; Math. USSR, Izv. *4* (1970), 179–208. (In Russian.)
- [18] *Gy. I. Targonski*: On Carleman integral operators. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *18* (1967), 450–456.
- [19] *J. Weidman*: Carleman operators. Manuscripts Math. *2* (1970), 1–38.
- [20] *J. Weidman*: Linear operators in Hilbert spaces. Springer, New-York, 1980.

Author’s address: *S. M. Bahri*, LMPA, Department of Mathematics, Abdelhamid Ibn Badis University of Mostaganem, PB 227, Mostaganem, 27000, Algeria, e-mail: bahrisidimohamed@univ-mosta.dz; bahrisidimohamed@yahoo.fr.