

Hyunjin Lee; Seonhui Kim; Young Jin Suh

Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with certain commuting condition

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 62 (2012), No. 3, 849–861

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143029>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2012

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

REAL HYPERSURFACES IN COMPLEX TWO-PLANE
GRASSMANNIANS WITH CERTAIN COMMUTING CONDITION

HYUNJIN LEE, SEONHUI KIM, YOUNG JIN SUH, Daegu

(Received August 8, 2011)

Abstract. In this paper, first we introduce a new notion of commuting condition that $\varphi\varphi_1A = A\varphi_1\varphi$ between the shape operator A and the structure tensors φ and φ_1 for real hypersurfaces in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. Surprisingly, real hypersurfaces of type (A), that is, a tube over a totally geodesic $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ in complex two plane Grassmannians $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ satisfy this commuting condition. Next we consider a complete classification of Hopf hypersurfaces in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ satisfying the commuting condition. Finally we get a characterization of Type (A) in terms of such commuting condition $\varphi\varphi_1A = A\varphi_1\varphi$.

Keywords: real hypersurface, complex two-plane Grassmannians, Hopf hypersurface, commuting shape operator

MSC 2010: 53C50, 53C55

INTRODUCTION

We denote by $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ the set of all complex two-dimensional linear subspaces in \mathbb{C}^{m+2} . This Riemannian symmetric space $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ has a remarkable geometric structure. It is the unique compact irreducible Riemannian manifold with both a Kähler structure J and a quaternionic Kähler structure \mathfrak{J} not containing J . Namely, $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ is a unique compact, irreducible, Kähler, quaternionic Kähler manifold which is not a hyper-Kähler manifold. Accordingly, in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ we have the two natural geometric conditions for real hypersurfaces M that the 1-dimensional distribution $[\xi] = \text{Span}\{\xi\}$ and the 3-dimensional distribution $\mathfrak{D}^\perp = \text{Span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ are invariant under the shape operator A of M (see [2], [3] and [4]).

This work was supported by grant Proj. No. NRF-2011-220-C00002 from National Research Foundation of Korea. The first author by grant Proj. No. BSRP-2012-002031 and the third by BSRP-2012-0007402 and KNU 2012.

The almost contact structure vector field ξ defined by $\xi = -JN$ is said to be a Reeb vector field, where N denotes a local unit normal vector field of M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. The almost contact 3-structure vector fields $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ for the 3-dimensional distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp of M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ are defined by $\xi_\nu = -J_\nu N$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3$), where J_ν denotes a canonical local basis of a quaternionic Kähler structure \mathfrak{J} and $T_x M = \mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\perp$, $x \in M$.

By using the two invariant conditions mentioned above and the result in Alekseevskii [1], Berndt and Suh [3] proved the following:

Theorem A. *Let M be a connected orientable real hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$. Then both $[\xi]$ and \mathfrak{D}^\perp are invariant under the shape operator of M if and only if*

- (A) *M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, or*
- (B) *m is even, say $m = 2n$, and M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{H}P^n$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$.*

The Reeb vector field ξ is said to be Hopf if it is invariant under the shape operator A . The 1-dimensional foliation of M by the integral manifolds of the Reeb vector field ξ is said to be a Hopf foliation of M . We say that M is a Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ if and only if the Hopf foliation of M is totally geodesic. By the formulas in Section 2 it can be easily checked that M is Hopf if and only if the Reeb vector field ξ is Hopf.

On the other hand, we say that the Reeb flow on M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ is isometric, when the Reeb vector field ξ on M is Killing. In [4], Berndt and Suh gave some equivalent conditions for isometric Reeb flow. Among them, we want to introduce a commuting condition between the shape operator A and the structure tensor φ , that is, $A\varphi = \varphi A$. By such a commuting condition, a characterization of real hypersurfaces of Type (A) in Theorem A was given in terms of the Reeb flow on M as follows:

Theorem B. *Let M be a connected orientable real hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$. Then the Reeb flow on M is isometric if and only if M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$.*

In [7], Suh considered a condition that the almost contact 3-structure tensors $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3\}$ commute with the shape operator A of real hypersurface M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, and he proved that there does not exist any real hypersurface M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ with $A\varphi_\nu X = \varphi_\nu AX$, $\nu = 1, 2, 3$, for any tangent vector field X on M . In addition, he gave a characterization of real hypersurface of Type (B) under assumption that M is a Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ with $A\varphi_\nu X = \varphi_\nu AX$,

$\nu = 1, 2, 3$, for any tangent vector field X on T_0 . Here, the distribution T_0 is defined by $T_0 = \{X \in T_p M \mid \xi \perp X\}$ (see [7]).

Summing up these statements, naturally we ask what can we say about the commuting condition between the shape operator A and the two structure tensors φ and φ_1 . According to such a problem, in this paper we consider a new condition that the shape operator A commutes with two kinds of structure tensors φ and φ_1 for a real hypersurface M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ as follows:

$$(*) \quad \varphi\varphi_1 AX = A\varphi_1\varphi X$$

for any tangent vector field X on M .

Suprisingly, by Proposition A in Section 3, we know that real hypersurfaces of Type (A) in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ in Theorem A satisfy the formula (*). From such a point of view, we give another characterization of real hypersurface of Type (A) in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ as follows:

Main Theorem. *Let M be a connected orientable Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$. Then the shape operator satisfies the commuting condition (*) if and only if M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$.*

1. RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY OF $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$

In this section we summarize basic material about $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, for details we refer to [2], [3] and [4]. By $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ we denote the set of all complex two-dimensional linear subspaces in \mathbb{C}^{m+2} . The special unitary group $G = SU(m+2)$ acts transitively on $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ with stabilizer isomorphic to $K = S(U(2) \times U(m)) \subset G$. Then $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ can be identified with the homogeneous space G/K , which we equip with the unique analytic structure for which the natural action of G on $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ becomes analytic. Denote by \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} the Lie algebra of G and K , respectively, and by \mathfrak{m} the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{g} with respect to the Cartan-Killing form B of \mathfrak{g} . Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ is an $Ad(K)$ -invariant reductive decomposition of \mathfrak{g} . We put $o = eK$ and identify $T_o G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ with \mathfrak{m} in the usual manner. Since B is negative definite on \mathfrak{g} , its negative restricted to $\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}$ yields a positive definite inner product on \mathfrak{m} . By $Ad(K)$ -invariance of B this inner product can be extended to a G -invariant Riemannian metric g on $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. In this way $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ becomes a Riemannian homogeneous space, even a Riemannian symmetric space. For computational reasons we normalize g such that the maximal sectional curvature of $(G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}), g)$ is eight.

When $m = 1$, $G_2(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is isometric to the two-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with constant holomorphic sectional curvature eight.

When $m = 2$, we note that the isomorphism $\text{Spin}(6) \simeq \text{SU}(4)$ yields an isometry between $G_2(\mathbb{C}^4)$ and the real Grassmann manifold $G_2^+(\mathbb{R}^6)$ of oriented two-dimensional linear subspaces in \mathbb{R}^6 . In this paper, we will assume $m \geq 3$.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} has the direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{su}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{A}$, where \mathfrak{A} denotes the center of \mathfrak{k} . Viewing \mathfrak{k} as the holonomy algebra of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, the center \mathfrak{A} induces a Kähler structure J and the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -part a quaternionic Kähler structure \mathfrak{J} on $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. If J_ν is any almost Hermitian structure in \mathfrak{J} , then $JJ_\nu = J_\nu J$, and JJ_ν is a symmetric endomorphism with $(JJ_\nu)^2 = I$ and $\text{tr}(JJ_\nu) = 0$ for $\nu = 1, 2, 3$.

A canonical local basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of \mathfrak{J} consists of three local almost Hermitian structures J_ν in \mathfrak{J} such that $J_\nu J_{\nu+1} = J_{\nu+2} = -J_{\nu+1} J_\nu$, where the index ν is taken modulo three. Since \mathfrak{J} is parallel with respect to the Riemannian connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ of $(G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}), g)$, there exist for any canonical local basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ of \mathfrak{J} three local one-forms q_1, q_2, q_3 such that

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X J_\nu = q_{\nu+2}(X)J_{\nu+1} - q_{\nu+1}(X)J_{\nu+2}$$

for all vector fields X on $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$.

The Riemannian curvature tensor \tilde{R} of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ is locally given by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(JY, Z)JX \\ &\quad - g(JX, Z)JY - 2g(JX, Y)JZ \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \left\{ g(J_\nu Y, Z)J_\nu X - g(J_\nu X, Z)J_\nu Y - 2g(J_\nu X, Y)J_\nu Z \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \left\{ g(J_\nu JY, Z)J_\nu JX - g(J_\nu JX, Z)J_\nu JY \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ denotes a canonical local basis of \mathfrak{J} .

2. SOME FUNDAMENTAL FORMULAS

In this section we derive some basic formulas and the Codazzi equation for a real hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ (see [5], [6] and [7]).

Let M be a real hypersurface of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, that is, a hypersurface of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ with real codimension one. The induced Riemannian metric on M will also be denoted by g , and ∇ denotes the Riemannian connection of (M, g) . Let N be a local unit normal vector field of M and A the shape operator of M with respect to N .

Now let us put

$$(2.1) \quad JX = \varphi X + \eta(X)N, \quad J_\nu X = \varphi_\nu X + \eta_\nu(X)N$$

for any tangent vector field X of a real hypersurface M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, where N denotes a unit normal vector field of M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. From the Kähler structure J of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ there exists an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) induced on M in such a way that

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \varphi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$$

for any vector field X on M . Furthermore, let $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ be a canonical local basis of \mathfrak{J} . Then the quaternionic Kähler structure J_ν of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, together with the condition $J_\nu J_{\nu+1} = J_{\nu+2} = -J_{\nu+1} J_\nu$ in Section 1, induces an almost contact metric 3-structure $(\varphi_\nu, \xi_\nu, \eta_\nu, g)$ on M as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_\nu^2 X = -X + \eta_\nu(X)\xi_\nu, \quad \eta_\nu(\xi_\nu) = 1, \quad \varphi_\nu \xi_\nu = 0, \\ \varphi_{\nu+1} \xi_\nu = -\xi_{\nu+2}, \quad \varphi_\nu \xi_{\nu+1} = \xi_{\nu+2}, \\ \varphi_\nu \varphi_{\nu+1} X = \varphi_{\nu+2} X + \eta_{\nu+1}(X)\xi_\nu, \\ \varphi_{\nu+1} \varphi_\nu X = -\varphi_{\nu+2} X + \eta_\nu(X)\xi_{\nu+1} \end{array} \right.$$

for any vector field X tangent to M . Moreover, from the commuting property of $J_\nu J = J J_\nu$, $\nu = 1, 2, 3$ in Section 1 and (2.1), the relation between these two contact metric structures (φ, ξ, η, g) and $(\varphi_\nu, \xi_\nu, \eta_\nu, g)$, $\nu = 1, 2, 3$, can be given by

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi \varphi_\nu X &= \varphi_\nu \varphi X + \eta_\nu(X)\xi - \eta(X)\xi_\nu, \\ \eta_\nu(\varphi X) &= \eta(\varphi_\nu X), \quad \varphi \xi_\nu = \varphi_\nu \xi. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from the Kähler structure J , that is, $\tilde{\nabla} J = 0$ and the quaternionic Kähler structure J_ν (see (1.1)), together with Gauss and Weingarten formulas it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_X \varphi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \varphi AX,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \nabla_X \xi_\nu = q_{\nu+2}(X)\xi_{\nu+1} - q_{\nu+1}(X)\xi_{\nu+2} + \varphi_\nu AX,$$

$$(2.7) \quad (\nabla_X \varphi_\nu)Y = -q_{\nu+1}(X)\varphi_{\nu+2}Y + q_{\nu+2}(X)\varphi_{\nu+1}Y + \eta_\nu(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi_\nu$$

Summing up these formulas, we find the following

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_X(\varphi_\nu \xi) &= \nabla_X(\varphi \xi_\nu) \\ &= (\nabla_X \varphi)\xi_\nu + \varphi(\nabla_X \xi_\nu) \\ &= q_{\nu+2}(X)\varphi_{\nu+1}\xi - q_{\nu+1}(X)\varphi_{\nu+2}\xi + \varphi_\nu \varphi AX \\ &\quad - g(AX, \xi)\xi_\nu + \eta(\xi_\nu)AX. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above expression (1.2) for the curvature tensor \tilde{R} of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, the equation of Codazzi becomes

$$(2.9) \quad (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \eta(X)\varphi Y - \eta(Y)\varphi X - 2g(\varphi X, Y)\xi \\ + \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ \eta_\nu(X)\varphi_\nu Y - \eta_\nu(Y)\varphi_\nu X - 2g(\varphi_\nu X, Y)\xi_\nu \} \\ + \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ \eta_\nu(\varphi X)\varphi_\nu \varphi Y - \eta_\nu(\varphi Y)\varphi_\nu \varphi X \} \\ + \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ \eta(X)\eta_\nu(\varphi Y) - \eta(Y)\eta_\nu(\varphi X) \} \xi_\nu.$$

3. KEY LEMMAS

Now let us assume that M is a Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ with commuting shape operator, that is, the shape operator A of M commutes with the structures tensors φ and φ_1 as follows:

$$(*) \quad \varphi\varphi_1 AX = A\varphi_1\varphi X$$

for any tangent vector field X on M .

First of all, we establish one of the key lemmas as follows:

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a Hopf hypersurface in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$. If M has commuting shape operator, then the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to either the distribution \mathfrak{D} or the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp .*

Proof. In order to prove our lemma, let us put $\xi = \eta(X_0)X_0 + \eta(\xi_1)\xi_1$ for some unit $X_0 \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $\xi_1 \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$ and $\eta(X_0)\eta(\xi_1) \neq 0$.

From the assumption (*) for $X = \xi$ and (2.2), we have

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi_1 A\xi = \eta(\varphi_1 A\xi)\xi.$$

On the other hand, from the assumption that M is Hopf, we see that

$$(3.2) \quad A\xi = \alpha\xi = \alpha\eta(X_0)X_0 + \alpha\eta(\xi_1)\xi_1.$$

Combining with (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\alpha\eta(X_0)\varphi_1 X_0 = 0,$$

because $\varphi_1 \xi_1 = 0$ and the structure tensor φ_1 is skew-symmetric.

But we see that $\varphi_1 X_0$ is non-vanishing at all points of M . In fact, we obtain

$$\|\varphi_1 X_0\|^2 = g(\varphi_1 X_0, \varphi_1 X_0) = -g(\varphi_1^2 X_0, X_0) = g(X_0, X_0) = 1,$$

where we have used the equation (2.3) and the fact that X_0 is unit.

Then it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha\eta(X_0) = 0.$$

Thus we can consider the following two cases:

Case 1. $\alpha = 0$, that is, $A\xi = 0$. This case is trivial by Lemma 3.1 due to Pérez and Suh [6].

Case 2. $\alpha \neq 0$. From (3.3), we have $\eta(X_0) = 0$. This gives a contradiction.

So we complete the proof of our Lemma. \square

Now, we consider another commuting condition for the shape operator A on M when the Reeb vector ξ belongs to the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp . We prove the following lemma which will be useful in the proof of Lemma 4.2 in Section 4.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a connected orientable Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$ with $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$. If M satisfies the following condition*

$$(**) \quad \varphi\varphi_1 AX = A\varphi\varphi_1 X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp,$$

then the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp is invariant under the shape operator A of M , that is, $g(A\mathfrak{D}^\perp, \mathfrak{D}) = 0$.

Proof. From now on, since $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$, let us put $\xi = \xi_1$. Taking the covariant derivative along any direction $Y \in TM$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \varphi AY = \nabla_Y \xi = \nabla_Y \xi_1 = q_3(Y)\xi_2 - q_2(Y)\xi_3 + \varphi_1 AY.$$

From this, taking the inner product with ξ_2 and ξ_3 , we have

$$(3.5) \quad q_3(Y) = 2g(AY, \xi_3), \quad q_2(Y) = 2g(AY, \xi_2),$$

respectively.

Moreover, applying the structure tensor φ in (3.4), this equation can be written as

$$(3.6) \quad AY = \alpha\eta(Y)\xi + 2g(AY, \xi_2)\xi_2 + 2g(AY, \xi_3)\xi_3 - \varphi\varphi_1 AY, \quad Y \in TM,$$

where we have used that M is Hopf and the formulas (2.2), (2.3) and (3.5).

Putting $Y = \xi_2$ in (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} A\xi_2 &= \alpha\eta(\xi_2)\xi + 2g(A\xi_2, \xi_2)\xi_2 + 2g(A\xi_2, \xi_3)\xi_3 - \varphi\varphi_1 A\xi_2 \\ &= 2g(A\xi_2, \xi_2)\xi_2 + 2g(A\xi_2, \xi_3)\xi_3 - \varphi\varphi_1 A\xi_2 \\ &= 2g(A\xi_2, \xi_2)\xi_2 + 2g(A\xi_2, \xi_3)\xi_3 - A\xi_2. \end{aligned}$$

Here from the condition (**) we see that $\varphi\varphi_1 A\xi_2 = A\varphi\varphi_1 \xi_2 = A\xi_2$, because $\xi_2 \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$. Therefore the third equality in the above equation holds. Consequently, it implies

$$(3.7) \quad A\xi_2 = g(A\xi_2, \xi_2)\xi_2 + g(A\xi_2, \xi_3)\xi_3.$$

Similarly, if we consider $Y = \xi_3$ in (3.6), we get

$$(3.8) \quad A\xi_3 = g(A\xi_3, \xi_2)\xi_2 + g(A\xi_3, \xi_3)\xi_3,$$

because $\varphi\varphi_1 A\xi_3 = A\varphi\varphi_1 \xi_3 = A\xi_3$.

From the two equations (3.7), (3.8) and the assumption $A\xi_1 = A\xi = \alpha\xi = \alpha\xi_1$, we have $A\xi_\nu \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$ for any $\nu = 1, 2, 3$. So we conclude that the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp is invariant under the shape operator A of M , that is, $A\mathfrak{D}^\perp \subset \mathfrak{D}^\perp$. This gives a complete proof of our lemma. \square

Before giving the proof of our Main Theorem from the Introduction, let us check whether the shape operator A of real hypersurfaces of Type (A) or of Type (B) in Theorem A satisfies the condition (*) or not.

First let us check for the case that M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of Type (A), an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. We recall a proposition due to Berndt and Suh [3] as follows:

Proposition A. *Let M be a connected real hypersurface of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. Suppose that $A\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{D}$, $A\xi = \alpha\xi$, and ξ is tangent to \mathfrak{D}^\perp . Let $J_1 \in \mathfrak{J}$ be the almost Hermitian structure such that $JN = J_1N$. Then M has three (if $r = \pi/2\sqrt{8}$) or four (otherwise) distinct constant principal curvatures*

$$\alpha = \sqrt{8} \cot(\sqrt{8}r), \quad \beta = \sqrt{2} \cot(\sqrt{2}r), \quad \lambda = -\sqrt{2} \tan(\sqrt{2}r), \quad \mu = 0$$

with some $r \in (0, \pi/\sqrt{8})$. The corresponding multiplicities are

$$m(\alpha) = 1, \quad m(\beta) = 2, \quad m(\lambda) = 2m - 2 = m(\mu),$$

and the corresponding eigenspaces are

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha &= \mathbb{R}\xi = \mathbb{R}JN = \mathbb{R}\xi_1 = \text{Span}\{\xi\} = \text{Span}\{\xi_1\}, \\ T_\beta &= \mathbb{C}^\perp\xi = \mathbb{C}^\perp N = \mathbb{R}\xi_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi_3 = \text{Span}\{\xi_2, \xi_3\}, \\ T_\lambda &= \{X \mid X \perp \mathbb{H}\xi, JX = J_1X\}, \\ T_\mu &= \{X \mid X \perp \mathbb{H}\xi, JX = -J_1X\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{R}\xi$, $\mathbb{C}\xi$ and $\mathbb{H}\xi$ respectively denotes real, complex and quaternionic span of the structure vector field ξ and $\mathbb{C}^\perp\xi$ denotes the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{C}\xi$ in $\mathbb{H}\xi$.

Now let us check case by case whether the two sides in (*) are equal to each other:

Case A-1. $X \in T_\alpha$ (i.e. $X = \xi = \xi_1$). It can easily be checked that the two sides are equal to each other.

Case A-2. $X \in T_\beta$, (i.e. $X = \xi_2$ or $X = \xi_3$). Then we put $A\xi_2 = \beta\xi_2$, $A\xi_3 = \beta\xi_3$, where $\beta = \sqrt{2} \cot(\sqrt{2}r)$. Then by putting $X = \xi_2$ in (*) we have

$$\text{Left-Hand Side} = \varphi\varphi_1 A\xi_2 = \beta\varphi\varphi_1\xi_2 = \beta\varphi\xi_3 = \beta\varphi_3\xi_1 = \beta\xi_2,$$

and

$$\text{Right-Hand Side} = A\varphi_1\varphi\xi_2 = A\varphi_1\varphi_2\xi = A\varphi_1\varphi_2\xi_1 = -A\varphi_1\xi_3 = A\xi_2 = \beta\xi_2.$$

From this we see that both sides are equal to $\beta\xi_2$. Similarly, by putting $X = \xi_3$ in (*) we know that they are equal to $\beta\xi_3$.

Case A-3. $X \in T_\lambda = \{X \mid X \perp \mathbb{H}\xi, \varphi X = \varphi_1 X\}$. For any $X \in T_\lambda$, $\lambda = -\sqrt{2} \tan(\sqrt{2}r)$ we get

$$\varphi\varphi_1 X = \varphi^2 X = -X, \quad \varphi_1\varphi X = \varphi_1^2 X = -X.$$

From this we know that the formula (*) is equal to $-\lambda X$.

Case A-4. $X \in T_\mu = \{X \mid X \perp \mathbb{H}\xi, \varphi X = -\varphi_1 X\}$. We have $\varphi\varphi_1 X = -\varphi^2 X = X$, $\varphi_1\varphi X = -\varphi_1^2 X = X$ for any $X \in T_\mu$. So we know that they are equal to $\mu X = 0$, because $\mu = 0$.

Hence we conclude with a remark as follows:

Remark 3.3. The shape operator A of real hypersurfaces of Type (A) in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ satisfies the condition (*).

Second, let us check whether the shape operator A of real hypersurfaces of Type (B) satisfies the condition (*). As is well known to us, a real hypersurface of Type (B) has five distinct constant principal curvatures as follows [3]:

Proposition B. *Let M be a connected real hypersurface of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. Suppose that $A\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{D}$, $A\xi = \alpha\xi$, and ξ is tangent to \mathfrak{D} . Then the quaternionic dimension m of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ is even, say $m = 2n$, and M has five distinct constant principal curvatures*

$$\alpha = -2 \tan(2r), \quad \beta = 2 \cot(2r), \quad \gamma = 0, \quad \lambda = \cot(r), \quad \mu = -\tan(r)$$

with some $r \in (0, \pi/4)$. The corresponding multiplicities are

$$m(\alpha) = 1, \quad m(\beta) = 3 = m(\gamma), \quad m(\lambda) = 4n - 4 = m(\mu)$$

and the corresponding eigenspaces are

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha &= \mathbb{R}\xi = \text{Span}\{\xi\}, \\ T_\beta &= \mathfrak{J}J\xi = \text{Span}\{\xi_\nu \mid \nu = 1, 2, 3\}, \\ T_\gamma &= \mathfrak{J}\xi = \text{Span}\{\varphi_\nu\xi \mid \nu = 1, 2, 3\}, \\ T_\lambda, \quad T_\mu & \end{aligned}$$

where

$$T_\lambda \oplus T_\mu = (\mathbb{H}\mathbb{C}\xi)^\perp, \quad \mathfrak{J}T_\lambda = T_\lambda, \quad \mathfrak{J}T_\mu = T_\mu, \quad JT_\lambda = T_\mu.$$

Here we suppose that a real hypersurface of Type (B) has the commuting shape operator A , that is, the shape operator A of M satisfies the commuting condition $\varphi\varphi_1AX = A\varphi_1\varphi X$ for any tangent vector field X on M . Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\varphi_1A\xi = A\varphi_1\varphi\xi &\Leftrightarrow \varphi\varphi_1A\xi - A\varphi_1\varphi\xi = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \varphi\varphi_1A\xi = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha\varphi\varphi_1\xi = 0 \quad (\text{because } \xi \in T_\alpha) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha\varphi^2\xi_1 = 0 \quad (\text{by eq: (2.4)}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow -\alpha\xi_1 = 0 \quad (\text{by eq: (2.2)}) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha = 0. \quad (\text{because } \xi_1: \text{unit}) \end{aligned}$$

But this case can not occur for any $r \in (0, \pi/4)$. In fact, $\alpha = -2 \tan(2r)$ is non-vanishing in $(0, \pi/4)$. So we also state the following remark:

Remark 3.4. The shape operators A of real hypersurfaces of Type (B) in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ do not satisfy the commuting condition (*).

4. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section, we assume that M is a Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ with commuting shape operator, that is, the shape operator satisfies the condition (*). Then by Lemma 3.1 we consider the following two cases:

Case I: the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to the distribution \mathfrak{D} ,

Case II: the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp .

First, let us consider Case I, that is, $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}$.

To consider this case, we recall a one theorem by Lee and Suh [5] as follows:

Theorem C. *Let M be a connected orientable Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$. Then the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to the distribution \mathfrak{D} if and only if M is locally congruent to an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{H}P^n$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, where $m = 2n$.*

Then from Theorem C, we see that M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of Type (B) under our assumption. But in Section 3 we have checked that the shape operator A of real hypersurface of Type (B) does not satisfy the condition (*) (see Remark 3.4). From these facts, first we assert the following:

Theorem 4.1. *There does not exist any Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$, with the commuting shape operator $\varphi\varphi_1A = A\varphi_1\varphi$ if the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to the distribution \mathfrak{D} .*

Next we consider the case $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$. Accordingly, we may put $\xi = \xi_1$. Then we have the following:

Lemma 4.2. *Let M be a hypersurface in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$ with $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$. If M has commuting shape operator, that is, the shape operator A on M satisfies the condition (*), then the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp is invariant under the shape operator A on M .*

Proof. Since $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$, let us assume $\xi = \xi_1$. Substituting $X = \xi$ in our assumption (*), we have

$$\varphi\varphi_1A\xi = 0.$$

Applying φ in the above equation, it becomes

$$\varphi_1A\xi = \eta(\varphi_1A\xi)\xi.$$

Taking an inner product with ξ_1 , we obtain $\eta(\varphi_1A\xi)\eta(\xi_1) = 0$. Since $\xi = \xi_1$, it means that $\eta(\varphi_1A\xi) = 0$. So, we have

$$\varphi_1A\xi = 0.$$

From this, we have $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ where $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi_1) = g(A\xi, \xi)$, because $\xi = \xi_1$.

Moreover, from (2.4), we see that

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_1\varphi X &= \varphi\varphi_1X - \eta_1(X)\xi + \eta(X)\xi_1 \\ &= \varphi\varphi_1X \end{aligned}$$

for any tangent vector field X on M .

Thus we can write the condition (*) as

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi\varphi_1AX = A\varphi_1\varphi X = A\varphi\varphi_1X$$

for any tangent vector field X on M .

Now putting $X = \xi_\nu$, $\nu = 2, 3$ in (4.2), this equation can be written as

$$(4.3) \quad \varphi\varphi_1A\xi_\nu = A\varphi\varphi_1\xi_\nu, \quad \nu = 2, 3.$$

From Lemma 3.2, we have $A\xi_\nu \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$, $\nu = 2, 3$ under our assumption. This completes the proof of our Lemma. \square

Therefore from Theorem A in the Introduction, we conclude the following:

Lemma 4.3. *Let M be a connected hypersurface in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$ satisfying the commuting condition (*). If the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp , then M is locally congruent to an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$.*

As mentioned in Remark 3.3 in Section 3, the shape operator A for real hypersurfaces of Type (A) satisfies the commuting condition (*) for any tangent vector field on M . From this fact and Lemma 4.3, we arrive at the following:

Theorem 4.4. *Let M be a connected hypersurface in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$ satisfying the commuting condition (*). Then the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp if and only if M is locally congruent to an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$.*

Summing up Lemma 3.1, and Theorems 4.1 and 4.4, we give a complete proof of our Main Theorem from the Introduction. \square

References

- [1] *D. V. Alekseevskii*: Compact quaternion spaces. *Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.* 2 (1968), 11–20.
- [2] *J. Berndt*: Riemannian geometry of complex two-plane Grassmannian. *Rend. Semin. Mat., Torino* 55 (1997), 19–83.
- [3] *J. Berndt, Y. J. Suh*: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians. *Monatsh. Math.* 127 (1999), 1–14.
- [4] *J. Berndt, Y. J. Suh*: Real hypersurfaces with isometric Reeb flow in complex two-plane Grassmannians. *Monatsh. Math.* 137 (2002), 87–98.
- [5] *H. Lee, Y. J. Suh*: Real hypersurfaces of type *B* in complex two-plane Grassmannians related to the Reeb vector. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 47 (2010), 551–561.
- [6] *J. D. Pérez, Y. J. Suh*: The Ricci tensor of real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 44 (2007), 211–235.
- [7] *Y. J. Suh*: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with commuting shape operator. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 68 (2003), 379–393.

Authors' addresses: Hyunjin Lee, Graduate School of Electrical Engineering University and Computer Science, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea, e-mail: lhjibis@hanmail.net; Seonhui Kim, Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea, e-mail: kimsh0123@hanmail.net; Young Jin Suh, Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea, e-mail: yjsuh@knu.ac.kr.