

Mei-Ying Ren; Xiao-Ming Zeng

King type modification of q -Bernstein-Schurer operators

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 63 (2013), No. 3, 805–817

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143491>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2013

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

KING TYPE MODIFICATION OF
 q -BERNSTEIN-SCHURER OPERATORS

MEI-YING REN, Wuyishan, XIAO-MING ZENG, Xiamen

(Received June 4, 2012)

Abstract. Very recently the q -Bernstein-Schurer operators which reproduce only constant function were introduced and studied by C. V. Muraru (2011). Inspired by J. P. King, Positive linear operators which preserve x^2 (2003), in this paper we modify q -Bernstein-Schurer operators to King type modification of q -Bernstein-Schurer operators, so that these operators reproduce constant as well as quadratic test functions x^2 and study the approximation properties of these operators. We establish a convergence theorem of Korovkin type. We also get some estimations for the rate of convergence of these operators by using modulus of continuity. Furthermore, we give a Voronovskaja-type asymptotic formula for these operators.

Keywords: King type operator; q -Bernstein-Schurer operator; Korovich type approximation theorem; rate of convergence; Voronovskaja-type result; modulus of continuity

MSC 2010: 41A10, 41A25, 41A36

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $q > 0$. For each nonnegative integer k , the q -integer $[k]_q$ and the q -factorial $[k]_q!$ are defined by

$$[k]_q := \begin{cases} (1 - q^k)/(1 - q), & q \neq 1, \\ k, & q = 1 \end{cases}$$

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61170324), the Class A Science and Technology Project of Education Department of Fujian Province of China (Grant No. JA12324), and the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (Grant No. 2010J01012).

and

$$[k]_q! := \begin{cases} [k]_q [k-1]_q \dots [1]_q, & k \geq 1, \\ 1, & k = 0, \end{cases}$$

respectively.

Then for $q > 0$ and integers $n, k, n \geq k \geq 0$, we have

$$[k+1]_q = 1 + q[k]_q \quad \text{and} \quad [k]_q + q^k [n-k]_q = [n]_q.$$

For the integers $n, k, n \geq k \geq 0$, the q -binomial coefficients are defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}.$$

Let $q > 0$. For a nonnegative integer n , the q -analogue of $(x-a)^n$ is defined by

$$(x-a)_q^n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ (x-a)(x-qa) \dots (x-q^{n-1}a), & n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

All of the previous concepts can be found in [7], [9].

In 1997 Phillips [15] introduced and studied the q analogue of Bernstein polynomials. After this, the applications of q -calculus in the approximation theory became one of the main areas of research, and many authors studied new classes of q -generalized operators (for instance, see [1], [2], [5], [8], [6], [11], [12], [14]). Very recently Muraru [13] introduced and studied the following q -Bernstein-Schurer operators for any fixed $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$:

$$(1.1) \quad S_{n,p}(f; q; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+p} \begin{bmatrix} n+p \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q x^k (1-x)_q^{n+p-k} f([k]_q/[n]_q),$$

where $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < q < 1$ and $f \in C[0, 1+p]$.

The moments of these operators $S_{n,p}(f; q; x)$ were obtained as follows (see [13]):

Remark 1.1. For $S_{n,p}(t^j; q; x)$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, we have

$$S_{n,p}(1; q; x) = 1, \quad S_{n,p}(t; q; x) = \frac{[n+p]_q x}{[n]_q},$$

$$S_{n,p}(t^2; q; x) = \frac{[n+p]_q}{[n]_q^2} ([n+p]_q x^2 + x(1-x)).$$

It is well known that the classical Bernstein polynomials preserve constant as well as linear functions. To make the convergence faster, King [10] proposed a method of modified Bernstein polynomials as follows:

$$V_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (r_n^*(x))^k (1-r_n^*(x))^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right),$$

where $f \in C[0, 1]$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, and $r_n^*(x): [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ are defined by

$$r_n^*(x) = \begin{cases} x^2, & n = 1, \\ -\frac{1}{2(n-1)} + \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}x^2 + \frac{1}{4(n-1)^2}}, & n = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

For $e_i(x) = x^i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, these operators $V_n(f; x)$ preserve the test functions e_0, e_2 and $V_n(f; x) = r_n^*(x)$ holds. Replacing $r_n^*(x)$ by e_1 , one reobtains Bernstein polynomials.

It can be observed from the above Remark 1.1 that these operators $S_{n,p}(f; q; x)$ reproduce only constant functions. Inspired by King, to make the convergence faster, we can modify these operators so that they reproduce constant as well as quadratic test functions e_2 . For this purpose we propose the modification of these operators which were defined above by (1.1) to be

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+p} \binom{n+p}{k}_q r_{n,p}^k(q, x) (1 - r_{n,p}(q, x))_q^{n+p-k} f([k]_q/[n]_q),$$

where $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < q < 1$, $f \in C[0, 1 + p]$, $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ is fixed,

$$r_{1,p}(q, x) = \begin{cases} x^2, & \text{if } p = 0, \\ \frac{-[1+p]_q + \sqrt{[1+p]_q^2 + 4[1+p]_q([1+p]_q - 1)x^2}}{2[1+p]_q([1+p]_q - 1)}, & \text{if } p = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

$$r_{n,p}(q, x) = \frac{-[n+p]_q + \sqrt{[n+p]_q^2 + 4[n]_q^2[n+p]_q([n+p]_q - 1)x^2}}{2[n+p]_q([n+p]_q - 1)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Note that $0 \leq r_{n,p}(q, x) \leq 1$ for $0 < q < 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in [0, 1]$ and fixed $p \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

The aim of the present article is to study approximation properties of these operators $\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x)$ and to estimate the rate of convergence by using modulus of continuity. Furthermore, we give the quantitative Voronovskaja-type asymptotic formula.

In the paper, C is a positive constant. In different places, the value of C may be different. For $f \in C[0, 1 + p]$, we denote $\|f\| = \max\{|f(x)|; x \in [0, 1 + p]\}$.

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In the sequel, we shall need the following auxiliary results.

Lemma 2.1. For $\tilde{S}_{n,p}(t^j; q; x)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, we have

- (i) $\tilde{S}_{n,p}(1; q; x) = 1;$
- (ii) $\tilde{S}_{n,p}(t; q; x) = [n + p]_q r_{n,p}(q, x) / [n]_q;$
- (iii) $\tilde{S}_{n,p}(t^2; q; x) = ([n + p]_q / [n]_q^2) [[n + p]_q r_{n,p}^2(q, x) + r_{n,p}(q, x)(1 - r_{n,p}(q, x))] = x^2;$
- (iv) $\tilde{S}_{n,p}(t^3; q; x) = ([n + p]_q / [n]_q^3) r_{n,p}(q, x) + ((2q + q^2) / [n]_q^3) [n + p]_q [n + p - 1]_q \times r_{n,p}^2(q, x) + (q^3 / [n]_q^3) [n + p]_q [n + p - 1]_q [n + p - 2]_q r_{n,p}^3(q, x)$, for $n + p \geq 2;$
- (v) $\tilde{S}_{n,p}(t^4; q; x) = ([n + p]_q / [n]_q^4) r_{n,p}(q, x) + ((3q + 3q^2 + q^3) / [n]_q^4) [n + p]_q [n + p - 1]_q r_{n,p}^2(q, x) + ((3q^3 + 2q^4 + q^5) / [n]_q^4) [n + p]_q [n + p - 1]_q [n + p - 2]_q r_{n,p}^3(q, x) + (q^6 / [n]_q^4) [n + p]_q [n + p - 1]_q [n + p - 2]_q [n + p - 3]_q r_{n,p}^4(q, x)$, for $n + p \geq 3.$

Proof. In view of the definition given by (1.2) and Remark 1.1, we can easily obtain that identities (i), (ii), (iii) hold.

(iv) When $j = 3$ and $n + p \geq 2$, in view of $[k + 1]_q = 1 + q[k]_q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{S}_{n,p}(t^3; q; x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+p} \begin{bmatrix} n+p \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q r_{n,p}^k(q, x) (1 - r_{n,p}(q, x))_q^{n+p-k} \left(\frac{[k]_q}{[n]_q} \right)^3 \\
 &= \frac{1}{[n]_q^3} \sum_{k=0}^{n+p-1} \frac{[n+p]_q! (1 + 2q[k]_q + q^2[k]_q^2)}{[k]_q! [n+p-k-1]_q!} r_{n,p}^{k+1}(q, x) (1 - r_{n,p}(q, x))_q^{n+p-k-1} \\
 &= \frac{[n+p]_q}{[n]_q^3} r_{n,p}(q, x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{2q + q^2}{[n]_q^3} \sum_{k=1}^{n+p-1} \frac{[n+p]_q!}{[k-1]_q! [n+p-k-1]_q!} r_{n,p}^{k+1}(q, x) (1 - r_{n,p}(q, x))_q^{n+p-k-1} \\
 &\quad + \frac{q^3}{[n]_q^3} \sum_{k=2}^{n+p-1} \frac{[n+p]_q!}{[k-2]_q! [n+p-k-1]_q!} r_{n,p}^{k+1}(q, x) (1 - r_{n,p}(q, x))_q^{n+p-k-1} \\
 &= \frac{[n+p]_q}{[n]_q^3} r_{n,p}(q, x) + \frac{2q + q^2}{[n]_q^3} [n+p]_q [n+p-1]_q r_{n,p}^2(q, x) \\
 &\quad + \frac{q^3}{[n]_q^3} [n+p]_q [n+p-1]_q [n+p-2]_q r_{n,p}^3(q, x).
 \end{aligned}$$

(v) When $j = 4$ and $n + p \geq 3$, similarly to the case of $j = 3$ and $n + p \geq 2$, by simple calculation we can get the stated result. \square

Lemma 2.2. Let $0 < q < 1$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \geq 2$ we have

- (i) $0 \leq x - \tilde{S}_{n,p}(t; q; x) \leq [n+p]_q / (2[n]_q([n+p]_q - 1))$;
- (ii) $\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; q; x) \leq [n+p]_q / ([n]_q([n+p]_q - 1))$.

Proof. (i) For $0 < q < 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in [0, 1]$, by simple calculation we can easily obtain $x - \tilde{S}_{n,p}(t; q; x) = x - ([n+p]_q / [n]_q) r_{n,p}(q, x) \geq 0$.

On the other hand, for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x - \tilde{S}_{n,p}(t; q; x) &= x - \frac{[n+p]_q}{[n]_q} r_{n,p}(q, x) \\ &= \frac{2[n]_q([n+p]_q - 1)x + [n+p]_q - \sqrt{[n+p]_q^2 + 4[n]_q^2[n+p]_q([n+p]_q - 1)x^2}}{2[n]_q([n+p]_q - 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2[n]_q([n+p]_q - 1)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{4[n]_q[n+p]_q([n+p]_q - 1)x - 4[n]_q^2([n+p]_q - 1)x^2}{2[n]_q([n+p]_q - 1)x + [n+p]_q + \sqrt{[n+p]_q^2 + 4[n]_q^2[n+p]_q([n+p]_q - 1)x^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2[n]_q([n+p]_q - 1)} \cdot \frac{4[n]_q[n+p]_q([n+p]_q - 1)}{2[n]_q([n+p]_q - 1) + \sqrt{4[n]_q^2([n+p]_q - 1)^2}} \\ &= \frac{[n+p]_q}{2[n]_q([n+p]_q - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) In view of Lemma 2.1 and (i) above, for $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; q; x) &= \tilde{S}_{n,p}(t^2; q; x) - 2x\tilde{S}_{n,p}(t; q; x) + x^2 \\ &= 2x(x - \tilde{S}_{n,p}(t; q; x)) \leq \frac{[n+p]_q}{[n]_q([n+p]_q - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. For $f \in C[0, 1+p]$, $x \in [0, 1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x)| \leq \|f\|.$$

Proof. In view of the definition given by (1.2) and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x)| \leq \tilde{S}_{n,p}(1; q; x)\|f\| = \|f\|.$$

□

Let $W^2 = \{g \in C[0, 1 + p]: g', g'' \in C[0, 1 + p]\}$. For $\delta > 0$, $f \in C[0, 1 + p]$, Peetre's K -functional is defined as

$$K_2(f, \delta) = \inf\{\|f - g\| + \delta\|g''\|: g \in W^2\}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$, $f \in C[0, 1 + p]$. The second order modulus of smoothness for f is defined as

$$\omega_2(f, \sqrt{\delta}) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \sqrt{\delta}} \sup_{x, x+2h \in [0, 1+p]} |f(x+2h) - 2f(x+h) + f(x)|,$$

the usual modulus of continuity for f is defined as

$$\omega(f, \delta) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \sup_{x, x+h \in [0, 1+p]} |f(x+h) - f(x)|.$$

For $f \in C[0, 1 + p]$, following [4, p. 177, Theorem 2.4], there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad K_2(f, \delta) \leq C\omega_2(f, \sqrt{\delta}).$$

□

Lemma 2.4. *Let $q_n \in (0, 1)$, $q_n \rightarrow 1$ and $q_n^n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For every $x \in (0, 1]$ we have*

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} \tilde{S}_{n,p}(t-x; q_n; x) = (x-1)/2$;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} \tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; q_n; x) = x(1-x)$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} \tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^4; q_n; x) = 0$.

Proof. Assume that $n \geq 3$, $q_n \in (0, 1)$, $q_n \rightarrow 1$ and $q_n^n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(i) Denote

$$A_n(q_n; x) = \sqrt{[n+p]_{q_n}^2 + 4[n]_{q_n}^2 [n+p]_{q_n} ([n+p]_{q_n} - 1)x^2},$$

$$B_n(q_n; x) = 2[n]_{q_n} ([n+p]_{q_n} - 1)x + [n+p]_{q_n}.$$

For every $x \in (0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} \tilde{S}_{n,p}(t-x; q_n; x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([n+p]_{q_n} r_{n,p}(q_n, x) - [n]_{q_n} x) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_n(q_n; x) - B_n(q_n; x)}{2([n+p]_{q_n} - 1)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2[n]_{q_n}^2 x^2 - 2[n]_{q_n} [n+p]_{q_n} x}{A_n(q_n; x) + B_n(q_n; x)} = \frac{x-1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Since $[n]_{q_n} \tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; q_n; x) = -2x[n]_{q_n} \tilde{S}_{n,p}(t-x; q_n; x)$, so, by (i) above we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} \tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; q_n; x) = x(1-x)$.

(iii) In view of Lemma 2.1, using $[n+p]_{q_n} = [n]_{q_n} + q_n^n [p]_{q_n}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n,p}(q_n, x) = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ([n+p]_{q_n} r_{n,p}(q_n, x) - [n]_{q_n} x) = (x-1)/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} \tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^4; q_n; x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} [\tilde{S}_{n,p}(t^4; q_n; x) - 4x \tilde{S}_{n,p}(t^3; q_n; x) \\
& \quad + 6x^2 \tilde{S}_{n,p}(t^2; q_n; x) - 4x^3 \tilde{S}_{n,p}(t; q_n; x) + x^4] \\
& = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{[n+p]_{q_n} ([n+p]_{q_n} - 1) ([n+p]_{q_n} - [2]_{q_n})}{[n]_{q_n}^2} r_{n,p}^4(q_n, x) \right. \\
& \quad - 4x \frac{[n+p]_{q_n} ([n+p]_{q_n} - 1)}{[n]_{q_n}} r_{n,p}^3(q_n, x) + 6x^2 \frac{[n+p]_{q_n}^2}{[n]_{q_n}} r_{n,p}^2(q_n, x) \\
& \quad \left. - 4x^3 [n+p]_{q_n} r_{n,p}(q_n, x) + x^4 [n]_{q_n} \right] - x^4 - 3apx^4 \\
& = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{[n+p]_{q_n} ([n+p]_{q_n} - 1) [n+p]_{q_n}}{[n]_{q_n}^2} r_{n,p}^4(q_n, x) - 4x \frac{[n+p]_{q_n}^2}{[n]_{q_n}} r_{n,p}^3(q_n, x) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 6x^2 \frac{[n+p]_{q_n}^2}{[n]_{q_n}} r_{n,p}^2(q_n, x) - 4x^3 [n+p]_{q_n} r_{n,p}(q_n, x) + x^4 [n]_{q_n} \right] + x^4 - 3apx^4 \\
& = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{[n+p]_{q_n} ([n+p]_{q_n} - 1)}{[n]_{q_n}^2} ([n+p]_{q_n} r_{n,p}(q_n, x) - [n]_{q_n} x) r_{n,p}^3(q_n, x) \right. \\
& \quad - 3x \frac{[n+p]_{q_n}^2}{[n]_{q_n}} r_{n,p}^3(q_n, x) + 6x^2 \frac{[n+p]_{q_n}^2}{[n]_{q_n}} r_{n,p}^2(q_n, x) \\
& \quad \left. - 4x^3 [n+p]_{q_n} r_{n,p}(q_n, x) + x^4 [n]_{q_n} \right] - 3apx^4 \\
& = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[-3x \frac{[n+p]_{q_n}}{[n]_{q_n}} ([n+p]_{q_n} r_{n,p}(q_n, x) - [n]_{q_n} x) r_{n,p}^2(q_n, x) \right. \\
& \quad - 3[n+p]_{q_n} x^2 r_{n,p}^2(q_n, x) + 6x^2 \frac{[n+p]_{q_n}}{[n]_{q_n}} ([n+p]_{q_n} r_{n,p}(q_n, x) - [n]_{q_n} x) r_{n,p}(q_n, x) \\
& \quad \left. + 2[n+p]_{q_n} x^3 r_{n,p}(q_n, x) + x^4 [n]_{q_n} \right] - 3apx^4 + \frac{x-1}{2} x^3 \\
& = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [-3x^2 ([n+p]_{q_n} r_{n,p}(q_n, x) - [n]_{q_n} x) r_{n,p}(q_n, x) \\
& \quad - x^3 ([n+p]_{q_n} r_{n,p}(q_n, x) - [n]_{q_n} x)] + 2x^4 - 2x^3 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. MAIN RESULTS

First we give the following convergence theorem for the sequence $\{\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q)\}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $q_n \in (0, 1)$. Then the sequence $\{\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q_n)\}$ converges to f uniformly on $[0, 1]$ for any $f \in C[0, 1 + p]$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = 1$.*

Proof. Let $q_n \in (0, 1)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = 1$, then we have $[n]_{q_n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see [16]). Thus, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(e_j; q_n; \cdot) - e_j\|_{C[0,1]} = 0$ for $e_j(x) = x^j$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, where $\|f\|_{C[0,1]} = \max\{|f(x)|; x \in [0, 1]\}$. According to the well-known Bohman-Korovkin theorem ([3, p. 40, Theorem 1.9]), we get that the sequence $\{\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q_n)\}$ converges to f uniformly on $[0, 1]$ for any $f \in C[0, 1 + p]$.

We prove the converse result by contradiction. If $\{q_n\}$ does not tend to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then it must contain a subsequence $\{q_{n_k}\} \subset (0, 1)$ with $n_k \geq 2$, such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} q_{n_k} = q_0 \in [0, 1)$. Thus

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[n_k]_{q_{n_k}}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - q_{n_k}}{1 - (q_{n_k})^{n_k}} = 1 - q_0.$$

Taking $n = n_k$, $q = q_{n_k}$ in $\tilde{S}_{n,p}(t; q; x)$, by Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{n_k,p}(t; q_{n_k}; x) &= \frac{[n_k + p]_{q_{n_k}} r_{n_k,p}(q_{n_k}, x)}{[n_k]_{q_{n_k}}} \\ &= \frac{-[n_k + p]_{q_{n_k}} + \sqrt{[n_k + p]_{q_{n_k}}^2 + 4[n_k]_{q_{n_k}}^2 [n_k + p]_{q_{n_k}} ([n_k + p]_{q_{n_k}} - 1)x^2}}{2[n_k]_{q_{n_k}} ([n_k + p]_{q_{n_k}} - 1)} \\ &\rightarrow -\frac{1 - q_0}{2q_0} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1 - q_0}{2q_0}\right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{q_0}} \neq x, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to a contradiction, hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = 1$. Theorem is proved. □

Next we estimate the rate of convergence.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f \in C[0, 1 + p]$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \geq 2$, $q \in (0, 1)$ we have $|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) - f(x)| \leq 2\omega(f, \delta_n)$, where $\delta_n = \sqrt{[n + p]_q / ([n]_q([n + p]_q - 1))}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) - f(x)| = |\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f(t) - f(x); q; x)| \leq \tilde{S}_{n,p}(|f(t) - f(x)|; q; x).$$

Since for $t \in [0, 1 + p]$, $x \in [0, 1]$ and any $\delta > 0$ we have

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq (1 + \delta^{-2}(t - x)^2)\omega(f, \delta).$$

We get

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) - f(x)| \leq [\tilde{S}_{n,p}(1; q; x) + \delta^{-2}\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; q; x)]\omega(f, \delta).$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, for $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \geq 2$ we have

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) - f(x)| \leq (1 + \delta^{-2}\delta_n^2)\omega(f, \delta).$$

Taking $\delta = \delta_n$, then from the above inequality we obtain the desired result. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Let $M > 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $f \in \text{Lip}_M^\alpha$ on $[0, 1 + p]$ and $n \geq 2$, $q \in (0, 1)$. Then we have*

$$\|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; \cdot) - f\|_{C[0,1]} \leq 2M\delta_n^\alpha,$$

where δ_n is given in Theorem 3.2.

Proof. Let $M > 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $f \in \text{Lip}_M^\alpha$ on $[0, 1 + p]$. Then we have $f \in C[0, 1 + p]$. For any $\delta > 0$, since $f \in \text{Lip}_M^\alpha$ is equivalent to $\omega(f, \delta) \leq M\delta^\alpha$, thus, by Theorem 3.2, for $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \geq 2$ we have

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) - f(x)| \leq 2\omega(f, \delta_n) \leq 2M\delta_n^\alpha,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $f \in C[0, 1 + p]$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \geq 2$, $q \in (0, 1)$. Then we have*

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) - f(x)| \leq C\omega_2\left(f, \delta_n\sqrt{1 + \frac{\delta_n^2}{4}}\right) + \omega\left(f, \frac{\delta_n^2}{2}\right),$$

where C is a positive constant and δ_n is given in Theorem 3.2.

Proof. For $f \in C[0, 1 + p]$, $x \in [0, 1]$ we define

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) = \tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) - f\left(\frac{[n+p]_q r_{n,p}(q, x)}{[n]_q}\right) + f(x).$$

By Lemma 2.1 we get $\hat{S}_{n,p}(1; q; x) = 1$, $\hat{S}_{n,p}(t; q; x) = x$. Let $g \in W^2$, $t \in [0, 1 + p]$, $x \in [0, 1]$. Then by Taylor's formula

$$g(t) = g(x) + (t-x)g'(x) + \int_x^t (t-u)g''(u) du$$

we obtain

$$\hat{S}_{n,p}(g; q; x) = g(x) + \hat{S}_{n,p} \left(\int_x^t (t-u)g''(u) du; q; x \right).$$

By the definition given by (3.1) and Lemma 2.2, for $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{S}_{n,p}(g; q; x) - g(x)| &\leq \left| \tilde{S}_{n,p} \left(\int_x^t (t-u)g''(u) du; q; x \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_x^{[n+p]_q r_{n,p}(q,x)/[n]_q} \left(\frac{[n+p]_q r_{n,p}(q,x)}{[n]_q} - u \right) g''(u) du \right| \\ &\leq \tilde{S}_{n,p} \left(\left| \int_x^t |t-u| |g''(u)| du \right|; q; x \right) \\ &\quad + \int_{[n+p]_q r_{n,p}(q,x)/[n]_q}^x \left| \frac{[n+p]_q r_{n,p}(q,x)}{[n]_q} - u \right| |g''(u)| du \\ &\leq \left[\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; q; x) + \left(x - \frac{[n+p]_q r_{n,p}(q,x)}{[n]_q} \right)^2 \right] \|g''\| \leq \delta_n^2 \left(1 + \frac{\delta_n^2}{4} \right) \|g''\|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the definition given by (3.1) and Lemma 2.3 we have

$$|\hat{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x)| \leq |\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x)| + 2\|f\| \leq 3\|f\|,$$

thus, for $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) - f(x)| &\leq |\hat{S}_{n,p}(f-g; q; x)| \\ &\quad + |\hat{S}_{n,p}(g; q; x) - g(x)| + |g(x) - f(x)| + \left| f \left(\frac{[n+p]_q r_{n,p}(q,x)}{[n]_q} \right) - f(x) \right| \\ &\leq 4\|f-g\| + \delta_n^2 \left(1 + \frac{\delta_n^2}{4} \right) \|g''\| + \omega \left(f, \frac{\delta_n^2}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking infimum on the right hand side over all $g \in W^2$, we can get

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) - f(x)| \leq 4K_2 \left(f, \delta_n^2 \left(1 + \frac{\delta_n^2}{4} \right) \right) + \omega \left(f, \frac{\delta_n^2}{2} \right).$$

By inequality (2.1), for every $q \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) - f(x)| \leq C\omega_2 \left(f, \delta_n \sqrt{1 + \frac{\delta_n^2}{4}} \right) + \omega \left(f, \frac{\delta_n^2}{2} \right).$$

□

Theorem 3.4. Let $f \in C^1[0, 1 + p]$, $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \geq 2$, $q \in (0, 1)$. Then we have

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) - f(x)| \leq \|f'\| \frac{[n+p]_q}{2[n]_q([n+p]_q - 1)} + 2\delta_n \omega(f', \delta_n),$$

where $\|f'\| = \max\{|f'(x)|; x \in [0, 1 + p]\}$, and δ_n is given in Theorem 3.2.

Proof. Let $f \in C^1[0, 1 + p]$. Then for any $t \in [0, 1 + p]$, $x \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$f(t) - f(x) - f'(x)(t - x) = \int_x^t (f'(u) - f'(x)) du.$$

So, for any $\delta > 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - f(x) - f'(x)(t - x)| &\leq \left| \int_x^t |f'(u) - f'(x)| du \right| \leq \omega(f', |t - x|) |t - x| \\ &\leq \omega(f', \delta) (|t - x| + \delta^{-1}(t - x)^2), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f(t) - f(x) - f'(x)(t - x); q; x)| \\ \leq \omega(f', \delta) (\tilde{S}_{n,p}(|t - x|; q; x) + \delta^{-1} \tilde{S}_{n,p}((t - x)^2; q; x)). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$\tilde{S}_{n,p}(|t - x|; q; x) \leq \sqrt{\tilde{S}_{n,p}(1; q; x)} \sqrt{\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t - x)^2; q; x)},$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f(t) - f(x) - f'(x)(t - x); q; x)| \\ \leq \omega(f', \delta) \left(\sqrt{\tilde{S}_{n,p}(1; q; x)} + \delta^{-1} \sqrt{\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t - x)^2; q; x)} \right) \sqrt{\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t - x)^2; q; x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, for $x \in [0, 1]$, $n \geq 2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q; x) - f(x)| &\leq |f'(x)| |\tilde{S}_{n,p}(t - x; q; x)| \\ &\quad + \omega(f', \delta) (1 + \delta^{-1} \sqrt{\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t - x)^2; q; x)}) \sqrt{\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t - x)^2; q; x)} \\ &\leq \|f'\| \frac{[n+p]_q}{2[n]_q([n+p]_q - 1)} + \omega(f', \delta) (1 + \delta^{-1} \delta_n) \delta_n. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\delta = \delta_n$, then from the above inequality we obtain the desired result. \square

Finally, we give the quantitative Voronovskaja-type asymptotic formula.

Theorem 3.5. Let $x \in (0, 1]$, $q_n \in (0, 1)$, $q_n \rightarrow 1$ and $q_n^n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any $f \in C^2[0, 1+p]$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} (\tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q_n; x) - f(x)) = \frac{1}{2}(x-1)(f'(x) - xf''(x))$.

Proof. Let $f \in C^2[0, 1+p]$ and $x \in (0, 1]$ be fixed. For any $t \in [0, 1+p]$, by the Taylor formula we have

$$f(t) - f(x) = f'(x)(t-x) + \frac{f''(x)}{2}(t-x)^2 + r(t,x)(t-x)^2,$$

where $r(t,x) \in C[0, 1+p]$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} r(t,x) = 0$. By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_{n,p}(f; q_n; x) - f(x) &= f'(x)\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x); q_n; x) + \frac{f''(x)}{2}\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; q_n; x) \\ &\quad + \tilde{S}_{n,p}(r(t,x)(t-x)^2; q_n; x). \end{aligned}$$

In view of $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} r(t,x) = 0$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that when $t \in U_x(\delta) = \{t \mid t \in [0, 1+p] \text{ and } |t-x| < \delta\}$, we have $|r(t,x)| < \varepsilon$. Denoting

$$\lambda_\delta(t,x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |t-x| \geq \delta, \\ 0, & |t-x| < \delta. \end{cases}$$

then $|r(t,x)(t-x)^2| \leq \varepsilon(t-x)^2 + \lambda_\delta(t,x)|r(t,x)|(t-x)^2$, $|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(r(t,x)(t-x)^2; q_n; x)| \leq \varepsilon\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; q_n; x) + \tilde{S}_{n,p}(\lambda_\delta(t,x)|r(t,x)|(t-x)^2; q_n; x)$.

Since $[0, 1+p] \setminus U_x(\delta)$ is compact, also $r(t,x)$ is bounded on $[0, 1+p]$. So, there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that for any $t \in [0, 1+p]$, we obtain $\lambda_\delta(t,x)|r(t,x)|(t-x)^2 \leq L(t-x)^4$, thus

$$|\tilde{S}_{n,p}(r(t,x)(t-x)^2; q_n; x)| \leq \varepsilon\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; q_n; x) + L\tilde{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^4; q_n; x).$$

Note that $\varepsilon > 0$ being arbitrary, by Lemma 2.4 we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} |\tilde{S}_{n,p}(r(t,x)(t-x)^2; q_n; x)| = 0,$$

so

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n]_{q_n} \tilde{S}_{n,p}(r(t,x)(t-x)^2; q_n; x) = 0.$$

By equalities (3.2), (3.3) and Lemma 2.4 we can obtain the desired result. \square

References

- [1] *O. Agratini, G. Nowak*: On a generalization of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn operators based on q -integers. *Math. Comput. Modelling* *53* (2011), 699–706.
- [2] *A. Aral*: A generalization of Szász-Mirakyan operators based on q -integers. *Math. Comput. Modelling* *47* (2008), 1052–1062.
- [3] *W. Z. Chen*: *Operators Approximation Theory*. Xiamen University Press, Xiamen, 1989. (In Chinese.)
- [4] *R. A. DeVore, G. G. Lorentz*: *Constructive Approximation*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 303. Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [5] *O. Dođru, M. Örkücü*: Statistical approximation by a modification of q -Meyer-König-Zeller operators. *Appl. Math. Lett.* *23* (2010), 261–266.
- [6] *S. G. Gal*: Voronovskaja’s theorem, shape preserving properties and iterations for complex q -Bernstein polynomials. *Stud. Sci. Math. Hung.* *48* (2011), 23–43.
- [7] *G. Gasper, M. Rahman*: *Basic Hypergeometric Series*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications 34. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] *V. Gupta, C. Radu*: Statistical approximation properties of q -Baskakov-Kantorovich operators. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* *7* (2009), 809–818.
- [9] *V. Kac, P. Cheung*: *Quantum Calculus*. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2002.
- [10] *J. P. King*: Positive linear operators which preserve x^2 . *Acta Math. Hung.* *99* (2003), 203–208.
- [11] *N. I. Mahmudov*: Approximation properties of complex q -Szász-Mirakjan operators in compact disks. *Comput. Math. Appl.* *60* (2010), 1784–1791.
- [12] *N. I. Mahmudov*: Approximation by genuine q -Bernstein-Durrmeyer polynomials in compact disks. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* *40* (2011), 77–89.
- [13] *C.-V. Muraru*: Note on q -Bernstein-Schurer operators. *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* *56* (2011), 489–495.
- [14] *S. Ostrovska*: q -Bernstein polynomials of the Cauchy kernel. *Appl. Math. Comput.* *198* (2008), 261–270.
- [15] *G. M. Phillips*: Bernstein polynomials based on the q -integers. *Ann. Numer. Math.* *4* (1997), 511–518.
- [16] *V. S. Videnskii*: On q -Bernstein polynomials and related positive linear operators. *Problems of Modern Mathematics and Mathematical Education*. Herten readings, St.-Petersburg, 2004, pp. 118–126. (In Russian.)

Authors’ addresses: Mei-Ying Ren, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wuyi University, Wuyishan 354300, P. R. China, e-mail: npmeyingr@163.com; Xiao-Ming Zeng, Department of Mathematics, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, P. R. China, e-mail: xmzeng@xmu.edu.cn.