

# Applications of Mathematics

---

Ta Cong Son; Dang Hung Thang; Le Van Dung

Complete convergence in mean for double arrays of random variables with values in Banach spaces

*Applications of Mathematics*, Vol. 59 (2014), No. 2, 177--190

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143628>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2014

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

COMPLETE CONVERGENCE IN MEAN FOR DOUBLE ARRAYS  
OF RANDOM VARIABLES WITH VALUES IN BANACH SPACES

TA CONG SON, DANG HUNG THANG, Hanoi, LE VAN DUNG, Da Nang

(Received July 22, 2012)

*Abstract.* The rate of moment convergence of sample sums was investigated by Chow (1988) (in case of real-valued random variables). In 2006, Rosalsky et al. introduced and investigated this concept for case random variable with Banach-valued (called complete convergence in mean of order  $p$ ). In this paper, we give some new results of complete convergence in mean of order  $p$  and its applications to strong laws of large numbers for double arrays of random variables taking values in Banach spaces.

*Keywords:* complete convergence in mean; double array of random variables with values in Banach space; martingale difference double array; strong law of large numbers;  $p$ -uniformly smooth space

*MSC 2010:* 60B11, 60B12, 60F15, 60F25

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a real separable Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  a sequence of random variables taking values in  $\mathbb{E}$  ( $\mathbb{E}$ -valued r.v.'s for short). Recall that  $X_n$  is said to converge completely to 0 in mean of order  $p$  if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\|X_n\|^p < \infty.$$

This mode of convergence was investigated for the first time by Chow [2] for the sequence of real-valued random variables and by Rosalsky et al. [6] for the sequence

---

The research of the first author (grant no. 101.03-2013.02), second author (grant no. 101.03-2013.02) and third author (grant no. 10103-2012.17) have been partially supported by Vietnams National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOS-TED). The research of the first author has been partially supported by project TN-13-01.

of random variables taking values in a Banach space. In this paper, we introduce and study the complete convergence in mean of order  $p$  to 0 of double arrays of  $\mathbb{E}$ -random variables. In Section 3 some properties of the complete convergence in mean of order  $p$  are given and a new characterization of a  $p$ -uniformly smooth Banach space  $\mathbb{E}$  in terms of the complete convergence in mean of order  $p$  of double arrays of  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued r.v.'s is obtained. These results are used in Section 4 to obtain some strong laws of large numbers for martingale difference double arrays of random variables taking values in Banach spaces.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND SOME USEFUL LEMMAS

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\max\{a, b\}$  will be denoted by  $a \vee b$ . Throughout this paper, the symbol  $C$  will denote a generic constant ( $0 < C < \infty$ ) which is not necessarily the same in each appearance. The set of all non-negative integers will be denoted by  $\mathbb{N}$  and the set of all positive integers by  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . For  $(k, l)$  and  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , the notation  $(k, l) \preceq (m, n)$  (or  $(m, n) \succeq (k, l)$ ) means that  $k \leq m$  and  $l \leq n$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a real separable Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $\{S_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  be an array of  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued r.v.'s.

- (1)  $S_{mn}$  is said to *converge completely to 0* and we write  $S_{mn} \xrightarrow{c} 0$  if

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\|S_{mn}\| > \varepsilon) < \infty \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

- (2)  $S_{mn}$  is said to *converge to 0 in mean of order  $p$*  (or in  $\mathcal{L}_p$  for short) as  $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$  and we write  $S_{mn} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_p} 0$  as  $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$  if

$$E\|S_{mn}\|^p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$S_{mn}$  is said to *converge completely to 0 in mean of order  $p$*  and we write  $S_{mn} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0$  if

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\|S_{mn}\|^p < \infty.$$

- (3)  $S_{mn}$  is said to *converge almost surely to 0* as  $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$  and we write  $S_{mn} \rightarrow 0$  a.s. as  $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$  if

$$P\left(\lim_{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_{mn}\| = 0\right) = 1.$$

It is clear that  $S_{mn} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0$  implies  $S_{mn} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_p} 0$  as  $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$ . By the Markov inequality

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{\|S_{mn}\| > \varepsilon\} < \infty \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0$$

we also see that  $S_{mn} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0$  implies  $S_{mn} \xrightarrow{c} 0$  and  $S_{mn} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ .

For an  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued r.v.  $X$  and sub  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ , the conditional expectation  $E(X | \mathcal{G})$  is defined and enjoys the usual properties (see [7]).

A real separable Banach space  $\mathbb{E}$  is said to be *p-uniformly smooth* ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ) if there exists a finite positive constant  $C$  such that for any  $L^p$  integrable  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued martingale difference sequence  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ ,

$$E \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right\|^p \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n E \|X_i\|^p.$$

Clearly every real separable Banach space is 1-uniformly smooth and every Hilbert space is 2-uniformly smooth. If a real separable Banach space is  $p$ -uniformly smooth for some  $1 < p \leq 2$  then it is  $r$ -uniformly smooth for all  $r \in [1, p)$ . For more details, the reader may refer to Pisier [5].

Let  $\{X_{mn}, (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  be a double array of  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued r.v.'s, let  $\mathcal{F}_{ij}$  be the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the family of  $\mathbb{E}$ -random variables  $\{X_{kl}; k < i \text{ or } l < j\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{11} = \{\emptyset; \Omega\}$ .

The array of  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued r.v.'s  $\{X_{mn}, (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  is said to be an  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued *martingale difference double array* if  $E(X_{mn} | \mathcal{F}_{mn}) = 0$  for all  $(m, n) \succeq (1, 1)$ .

The following lemmas are necessary for proving the main results in the paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a  $p$ -uniformly smooth Banach space for some  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and let  $\{X_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  be a double array of  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued r.v.'s satisfying  $E(X_{ij} | \mathcal{F}_{ij})$  which is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_{mn}$  for all  $(i, j) \preceq (m, n)$ . Then*

$$E \max_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq m \\ 1 \leq l \leq n}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l (X_{ij} - E(X_{ij} | \mathcal{F}_{ij})) \right\|^p \leq C \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n E \|X_{ij}\|^p,$$

where the constant  $C$  is independent of  $m$  and  $n$ .

**Proof.** The proof is completely similar to that of Lemma 2 of Dung et al. [3] after replacing  $S_{kl} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l V_{ij}$  by  $S_{kl} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l (X_{ij} - E(X_{ij} | \mathcal{F}_{ij}))$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is a version of Lemma 3 of Adler and Rosalsky [1] for arrays of positive constants.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $p > 0$  and let  $\{b_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  be an array of positive constants with  $b_{ij}^p/ij \leq b_{mn}^p/mn$  for all  $(i, j) \preceq (m, n)$  and  $\lim_{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} b_{mn}^p/mn = \infty$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_{ij}^p} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{mn}{b_{mn}^p}\right) \quad \text{as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$$

if and only if

$$\liminf_{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{rm,sn}^p}{b_{mn}^p} > rs \quad \text{for some integers } r, s \geq 2.$$

*Proof.* Set  $c_{mn} = \frac{b_{mn}^p}{mn}$ ,  $(m, n) \preceq (1, 1)$  then  $c_{ij} \leq c_{mn}$  for all  $(i, j) \preceq (m, n)$  and  $\lim_{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} c_{mn} = \infty$ . It is required to show that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ijc_{ij}} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c_{mn}}\right) \quad \text{as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$$

if and only if

$$(2.2) \quad \liminf_{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{rm,sn}}{c_{mn}} > 1 \quad \text{for some integers } r, s \geq 2.$$

If (2.2) holds, then exists  $\delta > 1$  and  $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $c_{rm,sn} \geq \delta c_{mn}$  for all  $m \vee n \geq n_o$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ijc_{ij}} &\leq \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=mr^k}^{mr^{k+1}-1} \sum_{j=ns^l}^{ns^{l+1}-1} \frac{1}{klc_{kl}} \leq \sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(r-1)(s-1)}{c_{mr^k,ns^l}} \\ &\leq (r-1)(s-1) \frac{1}{c_{mn}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\delta^k} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have (2.1).

Conversely, assume that (2.2) does not hold. Then  $\liminf_{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} c_{rm,sn}/c_{mn} = 1$  for any  $r, s \geq 2$ , then  $c_{rm,sn} < 2c_{mn}$  for any  $r, s \geq 2$  and an infinite numbers pair of values of  $(m, n)$  and so,

$$\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{ijc_{ij}} > \sum_{i=m}^{mr} \sum_{j=n}^{ns} \frac{1}{ijc_{ij}} \geq \frac{(\log r)(\log s)}{c_{rm,sn}} > \frac{(\log r)(\log s)}{2c_{m,n}}.$$

Since  $r, s$  is arbitrary, (2.1) does not hold as well. □

### 3. THE COMPLETE CONVERGENCE IN MEAN

From now on,  $\mathbb{E}$  be a real separable Banach space and for each double array of  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued r.v.'s  $\{X_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$ ; we always denote  $\mathcal{F}_{ij}$  is  $\sigma$ -field generated by the family of  $\mathbb{E}$ -random variables  $\{X_{kl}; k < i \text{ or } l < j\}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{11} = \{\emptyset; \Omega\}$ ,

$$S_{kl} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l X_{ij} \text{ and } S_{kl}^* = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l (X_{ij} - \mathbb{E}(X_{ij} | \mathcal{F}_{ij}));$$

$\{b_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  be a sequence of positive constants satisfying  $b_{ij} \leq b_{mn}$  for all  $(i, j) \preceq (m, n)$  and  $\lim_{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} b_{mn} = \infty$ .

Firstly, we show a condition under which the complete convergence in mean order  $p$  implies the convergence a.s. and the convergence in  $\mathcal{L}_p$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\{X_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  be a double array of  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued r.v.'s. Suppose that*

$$(3.1) \quad M = \sup_{m, n} \frac{b_{2^{m+1}2^{n+1}}}{b_{2^m 2^n}} < \infty.$$

If

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\max_{(k, l) \preceq (m, n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{(mn)^{1/p} b_{mn}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0 \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq p \leq 2,$$

then

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\max_{(k, l) \preceq (m, n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{b_{mn}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. and in } \mathcal{L}_p \text{ as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Set  $A_{mn} = \{(k, l), (2^n, 2^m) \preceq (k, l) \prec (2^{m+1}, 2^{n+1})\}$ . We see that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{(m, n) \succeq (0, 0)} E \left( \frac{\max_{(k, l) \preceq (2^m, 2^n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{b_{2^m 2^n}} \right)^p \\ & \leq \sum_{(m, n) \succeq (0, 0)} E \left( \frac{M \max_{(k, l) \preceq (2^m, 2^n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{b_{2^{m+1} 2^{n+1}}} \right)^p \\ & \leq M^p \sum_{(m, n) \succeq (0, 0)} \min_{(k, l) \in A_{mn}} E \left( \frac{\max_{(i, j) \preceq (k, l)} \|S_{ij}\|}{b_{kl}} \right)^p \\ & \leq M^p \sum_{(m, n) \succeq (0, 0)} \sum_{(k, l) \in A_{mn}} \frac{1}{2^m 2^n} E \left( \frac{\max_{(i, j) \preceq (k, l)} \|S_{ij}\|}{b_{kl}} \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq M^p \sum_{(m,n) \succeq (0,0)} \sum_{(k,l) \in A_{mn}} \frac{4}{kl} E \left( \frac{\max_{(i,j) \preceq (k,l)} \|S_{ij}\|}{b_{kl}} \right)^p \\
&\leq 4M^p \sum_{(m,n) \succeq (1,1)} \frac{1}{mn} E \left( \frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\|^p}{b_{mn}^p} \right) \\
&\leq 4M^p \sum_{(m,n) \succeq (1,1)} E \left( \frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{(mn)^{1/p} b_{mn}} \right)^p < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(3.5) \quad E \left( \frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (2^m, 2^n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{b_{2^m 2^n}} \right)^p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now for  $(k, l) \in A_{nm}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad E \left( \frac{\max_{(i,j) \preceq (k,l)} \|S_{ij}\|}{b_{kl}} \right)^p &\leq E \left( \frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (2^{m+1}, 2^{n+1})} \|S_{kl}\|}{b_{kl}} \right)^p \\
&\leq E \left( \frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (2^{m+1}, 2^{n+1})} \|S_{kl}\|}{b_{2^{m+1} 2^{n+1}}} \right)^p \leq M^p E \left( \frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (2^{m+1}, 2^{n+1})} \|S_{kl}\|}{b_{2^{m+1} 2^{n+1}}} \right)^p.
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.5) and (3.6) we conclude that  $\left( \sup_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^l X_{ij} \right\| \right) / b_{mn} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_p} 0$  as  $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$ .

By (3.4) and the Markov inequality, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{(m,n) \succeq (0,0)} P \left( \max_{(k,l) \preceq (2^m, 2^n)} \|S_{kl}\| \geq \varepsilon b_{2^m 2^n} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{4M^p}{\varepsilon^p} \sum_{(m,n) \succeq (1,1)} E \left( \frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{(mn)^{1/p} b_{mn}} \right)^p < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies by the Borel-Cantelli lemma that

$$\frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (2^m, 2^n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{b_{2^m 2^n}} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0 \quad \text{as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the same argument as in (3.6), we have

$$\frac{\sup_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^l X_{ij} \right\|}{b_{mn}} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0 \quad \text{as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof of the theorem is completed.  $\square$

The following theorem shows that the rate of the convergence of strong laws of large numbers may be obtained as a consequence of the complete convergence in mean.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\{X_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  be a double array of  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued r.v.'s. If

$$\frac{1}{(m^\alpha n^\beta)^{1/p} b_{mn}} \max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\| \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0 \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq p \leq 2,$$

then

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{(m,n) \succeq (1,1)} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} P\left(b_{mn}^{-1} \max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\| > \varepsilon\right) < \infty \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

In the case of  $\alpha < 1, \beta < 1$  and  $\{b_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  satisfying (3.1), (3.7) implies

$$P\left(\sup_{(k,l) \succeq (m,n)} \frac{\|S_{kl}\|}{b_{kl}} > \varepsilon\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{m^{1-\alpha} n^{1-\beta}}\right) \quad \text{as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

*Proof.* By Markov inequality, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(m,n) \succeq (1,1)} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} P\left(b_{mn}^{-1} \max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\| \geq \varepsilon\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \sum_{(m,n) \succeq (1,1)} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} E\left(\frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{b_{mn}}\right)^p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have (3.7).

Let  $\alpha < 1, \beta < 1$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and set  $A_{mn} = \{(k, l), (2^{n-1}, 2^{m-1}) \prec (k, l) \preceq (2^m, 2^n)\}$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(m,n) \succeq (1,1)} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} P\left(\sup_{(k,l) \succeq (m,n)} b_{kl}^{-1} \|S_{kl}\| > \varepsilon\right) \\ & = \sum_{(i,j) \succeq (1,1)} \sum_{m=2^{i-1}}^{2^i-1} \sum_{n=2^{j-1}}^{2^j-1} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} P\left(\sup_{(k,l) \succeq (m,n)} b_{kl}^{-1} \|S_{kl}\| > \varepsilon\right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{(i,j) \succeq (1,1)} \sum_{m=2^{i-1}}^{2^i-1} \sum_{n=2^{j-1}}^{2^j-1} 2^{-i\alpha} 2^{-j\beta} P\left(\sup_{(k,l) \succeq (2^{i-1}, 2^{j-1})} b_{kl}^{-1} \|S_{kl}\| > \varepsilon\right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{(i,j) \succeq (1,1)} 2^{i(1-\alpha)} 2^{j(1-\beta)} P\left(\sup_{(u,v) \succeq (i,j)} \max_{(k,l) \in A_{uv}} b_{kl}^{-1} \|S_{kl}\| > \varepsilon\right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{(i,j) \succeq (1,1)} 2^{i(1-\alpha)} 2^{j(1-\beta)} \sum_{(u,v) \succeq (i,j)} P\left(b_{2^{u-1} 2^{v-1}}^{-1} \max_{(k,l) \preceq (2^u, 2^v)} \|S_{kl}\| > \varepsilon\right) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \sum_{(u,v) \succeq (1,1)} P\left(b_{2^{u-1}2^{v-1}}^{-1} \max_{(k,l) \preceq (2^u, 2^v)} \|S_{kl}\| > \varepsilon\right) \sum_{(i,j) \preceq (u,v)} 2^{i(1-\alpha)} 2^{j(1-\beta)} \\
&\leq C \sum_{(u,v) \succeq (1,1)} 2^{u(1-\alpha)} 2^{v(1-\beta)} P\left(b_{2^u 2^v}^{-1} \max_{(k,l) \preceq (2^u, 2^v)} \|S_{kl}\| > \frac{\varepsilon}{M}\right) \\
&\leq C \sum_{(m,n) \succeq (1,1)} m^{-\alpha} n^{-\beta} P\left(b_{mn}^{-1} \max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\| > \frac{\varepsilon}{M}\right) < \infty \quad (\text{by (3.7)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{P(\sup_{(k,l) \succeq (m,n)} b_{kl}^{-1} \|S_{kl}\| > \varepsilon), (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^{*2}\}$  are non-increasing in  $(m,n)$  for order relationship  $\preceq$  in  $\mathbb{N}^{*2}$ , it follows that

$$P\left(\sup_{(k,l) \succeq (m,n)} b_{kl}^{-1} \|S_{kl}\| > \varepsilon\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{m^{1-\alpha} n^{1-\beta}}\right) \quad \text{as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

□

Now we establish sufficient conditions for complete convergence in mean of order  $p$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a  $p$ -uniformly smooth Banach space for some  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . Let  $\{X_{mn}; (m,n) \succeq (1,1)\}$  be a double array of  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued r.v.'s such that  $E(X_{ij} | \mathcal{F}_{ij})$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_{mn}$  for all  $(i,j) \preceq (m,n)$ . Suppose that*

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{mn}^{-p} < \infty.$$

If

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(m,n) E \|X_{mn}\|^p < \infty,$$

where  $\varphi(m,n) = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_{ij}^{-p}$ , then

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{1}{b_{mn}} \max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}^*\| \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E \frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}^*\|^p}{b_{mn}^p} &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n E \|X_{ij}\|^p}{b_{mn}^p} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.1}) \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E \|X_{ij}\|^p \left( \sum_{m=i}^{\infty} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_{mn}^p} \right) \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(i,j) E \|X_{ij}\|^p < \infty \quad (\text{by (3.9)}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

A characterization of  $p$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces in terms of the complete convergence in mean of order  $p$  is presented in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a real separable Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathbb{E}$  is of  $p$ -uniformly smooth.
- (ii) For every double array of random variables  $\{X_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  with values in  $\mathbb{E}$  such that  $E(X_{ij} | \mathcal{F}_{ij})$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_{mn}$  for all  $(i, j) \preceq (m, n)$ , and every double array of positive constants  $\{b_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  with  $b_{ij} \leq b_{mn}$  for all  $(i, j) \preceq (m, n)$  and satisfying

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_{ij}^p} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{mn}{b_{mn}^p}\right),$$

the condition

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} mn \frac{E\|X_{mn}\|^p}{b_{mn}^p} < \infty$$

implies

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{1}{b_{mn}} \max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}^*\| \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0.$$

- (iii) For every double array of random variables  $\{X_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  with values in  $\mathbb{E}$  such that  $E(X_{ij} | \mathcal{F}_{ij})$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_{mn}$  for all  $(i, j) \preceq (m, n)$ , the condition

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X_{mn}\|^p}{(nm)^p} < \infty$$

implies

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}^*\|}{(mn)^{(p+1)/p}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0.$$

**Proof.** (i)→(ii), because by (3.11) and (3.12) we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(m, n) E\|X_{mn}\|^p < \infty,$$

which implies by Theorem 3.3 that (3.13) holds.

(ii)→(iii): we choose  $b_{mn} = (mn)^{(p+1)/p}$ , then

$$\liminf_{m \vee n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{km,ln}^p}{b_{mn}^p} = (kl)^{p+1} > kl \quad (k \geq 2, l \geq 2)$$

and, by Lemma 2.2, (3.11) holds and by (3.14), (3.12) holds. Thus by (ii), we have the conclusion (3.15).

(iii)→(i): let  $\{X_n, \mathcal{G}_n, n \geq 1\}$  be an arbitrary martingale differences sequence such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X_n\|^p}{n^p} < \infty.$$

For  $n \geq 1$ , set  $X_{mn} = X_n$  if  $m = 1$ , and  $X_{mn} = 0$  if  $m \geq 2$ . Then  $\{X_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  is an array of random variables with

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X_{mn}\|^p}{(mn)^p} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X_n\|^p}{n^p} < \infty.$$

By (iii) and noting that  $\mathcal{F}_{1n} = \sigma\{X_i; i < n\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$  for all  $n > 1$ , hence  $E(X_{mn} | \mathcal{F}_{mn}) = 0$  for all  $(m, n) \succeq (1, 1)$ , we have

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{(mn)^{(p+1)/p}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0,$$

and by Theorem 3.1 (with  $b_{mn} = mn$ ) then  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right)/mn \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$  as  $m \vee n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Taking  $m = 1$  and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain that  $1/n \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow 0$  a.s.

Then by Theorem 2.2 in [4],  $\mathbb{E}$  is  $p$ -uniformly smooth. □

For  $b_{mn} = m^{\alpha+1/p}n^{\beta+1/p}$  ( $\alpha, \beta > 0$ ), from (ii) of Theorem 3.4 we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a  $p$ -uniformly smooth Banach space for some  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  and let  $\{X_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  be an array of  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued r.v.'s such that  $E(X_{ij} | \mathcal{F}_{ij})$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_{mn}$  for all  $(i, j) \preceq (m, n)$ . If*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X_{mn}\|^p}{n^{\alpha p} m^{\beta p}} < \infty,$$

then

$$\frac{\sup_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}^*\|}{m^{\alpha+1/p} n^{\beta+1/p}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0.$$

#### 4. APPLICATIONS TO THE STRONG LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS

By applying the theorems about complete convergence in mean in Section 3 we establish some results on strong laws of large numbers for double arrays of martingale differences with values in  $p$ -uniformly smooth Banach spaces.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a  $p$ -uniformly smooth Banach space for some  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and let  $\{X_{mn}, (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  be an  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued martingale differences double array. If*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X_{mn}\|^p}{n^{\alpha p} m^{\beta p}} < \infty,$$

then

$$\frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. and in } \mathcal{L}_p \text{ as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.1, we have

$$\frac{\sup_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{m^{\alpha+1/p} n^{\beta+1/p}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0.$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 with  $b_{mn} = m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}$ , we have

$$\frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. and in } \mathcal{L}_p \text{ as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

The following theorem is a Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type law of large numbers for double arrays of martingale differences.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $1 \leq r \leq s < q < p \leq 2$ , let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a  $p$ -uniformly smooth Banach space. Suppose that  $\{X_{mn}, (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  is an  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued martingale differences double array which is stochastically dominated by an  $\mathbb{E}$ -random variable  $X$  in the sense that for some  $0 < C < \infty$ ,*

$$P\{\|X_{mn}\| \geq x\} \leq CP\{\|X\| \geq x\}$$

for all  $(m, n) \succeq (1, 1)$  and  $x > 0$ .

If  $E(X_{ij} I(\|X_{ij}\| \leq i^{1/q} j^{1/r}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{ij})$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_{mn}$  for all  $(i, j) \preceq (m, n)$  and  $E\|X\|^q < \infty$  then

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{\max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\|}{m^{1/q} n^{1/r}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. and in } \mathcal{L}_s \text{ as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. For each  $(m, n) \succeq (1, 1)$  set

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{mn} &= X_{mn}I(\|X_{mn}\| \leq m^{1/q}n^{1/r}), \quad Z_{mn} = X_{mn}I(\|X_{mn}\| > m^{1/q}n^{1/r}), \\ U_{mn} &= Y_{mn} - E(Y_{mn} | \mathcal{F}_{mn}), \quad V_{mn} = Z_{mn} - E(Z_{mn} | \mathcal{F}_{mn}). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $X_{mn} = U_{mn} + V_{mn}$ .

First,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|Y_{mn}\|^p}{(m^{1/q}n^{1/r})^p} &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m^{1/q}n^{1/r})^p} \int_0^{m^{1/q}n^{1/r}} px^{p-1}P\{\|X_{mn}\| > x\} dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m^{1/q}n^{1/r})^p} \int_0^{m^{1/q}n^{1/r}} px^{p-1}P\{\|X\| > x\} dx \\ &= C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 P\{\|X\| > t^{1/p}m^{1/q}n^{1/r}\} dt \\ &= C \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P\left\{ \frac{\|X\|}{t^{1/p}n^{1/r}} > m^{1/q} \right\} \right) \right) dt \\ &= CE(\|X\|^q) \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{t^{q/p}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{q/r}} \right) dt < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By applying Corollary 3.1, it follows that

$$\frac{\sup_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l U_{ij}}{m^{1/q+1/p}n^{1/r+1/p}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0,$$

and by Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\frac{\sup_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l U_{ij}}{m^{1/q}n^{1/r}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}_p \text{ as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\sup_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l U_{ij}}{m^{1/q}n^{1/r}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}_s \text{ as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|Z_{mn}\|^s}{(m^{1/q}n^{1/r})^s} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s/q}n^{s/r}} \int_0^{\infty} sx^{s-1}P\{\|Z_{mn}\| > x\} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s/q} n^{s/r}} \int_0^{m^{1/q} n^{1/r}} s x^{s-1} P\{\|X_{mn}\| > m^{1/q} n^{1/r}\} dx \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s/q} n^{s/r}} \int_{m^{1/q} n^{1/r}}^{\infty} s x^{s-1} P\{\|X_{mn}\| > x\} dx \\
&\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s/q} n^{s/r}} \int_0^{m^{1/q} n^{1/r}} x^{s-1} P\{\|X\| > m^{1/q} n^{1/r}\} dx \\
&\quad + C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s/q} n^{s/r}} \int_{m^{1/q} n^{1/r}}^{\infty} x^{s-1} P\{\|X\| > x\} dx \\
&= C \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P\left\{ \frac{\|X\|}{n^{1/r}} > m^{1/q} \right\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_1^{\infty} t^{s-1} P\{\|X\| > tm^{1/q} n^{1/r}\} dt \right) \\
&\leq C \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X\|^q}{n^{q/r}} + \int_1^{\infty} t^{s-1} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P\left\{ \frac{\|X\|}{n^{1/r}t} > m^{1/q} \right\} \right) dt \right) \\
&\leq C \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X\|^q}{n^{q/r}} + \int_1^{\infty} t^{s-1} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X\|^q}{n^{q/r}t^q} \right) dt \right) \\
&\leq CE\|X\|^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{q/r}} \left( \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{q-s+1}} dt + 1 \right) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying Corollary 3.1, it follows that

$$\frac{\sup_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l V_{ij}}{m^{1/q+1/s} n^{1/r+1/s}} \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_s} 0$$

and by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\sup_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l V_{ij}}{m^{1/q} n^{1/r}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. and in } \mathcal{L}_s \text{ as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (4.2), (4.3) and since the inequality  $E\|X+Y\|^s \leq 2^{s-1}(E\|X\|^s + E\|Y\|^s)$  holds for  $1 \leq s \leq 2$  we have (4.1). The proof is completed.  $\square$

Finally, we establish the rate of convergence in the strong law of large numbers.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $0 < r < p$ ,  $0 < s < p$ , let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a  $p$ -uniformly smooth Banach space for some  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $\{X_{mn}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$  an  $\mathbb{E}$ -valued martingale differences double array. If*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\|X_{mn}\|^p}{n^{p-r} m^{p-s}} < \infty,$$

then

$$(4.4) \quad P\left(\sup_{(k,l) \succeq (m,n)} \frac{\|S_{kl}\|}{kl} > \varepsilon\right) = o\left(\frac{1}{m^r n^s}\right) \text{ as } m \vee n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

**Proof.** By (ii) in Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 2.2 (with  $\{b_{mn} = m^{1+(1-r)/p} \times n^{1+(1-s)/p}; (m, n) \succeq (1, 1)\}$ ), we have

$$\frac{1}{m^{1+(1-r)/p} n^{1+(1-s)/p}} \max_{(k,l) \preceq (m,n)} \|S_{kl}\| \xrightarrow{c, \mathcal{L}_p} 0,$$

and by Theorem 3.2 (with  $\alpha = 1 - r, \beta = 1 - s$ ), we have (4.4).  $\square$

### References

- [1] *A. Adler, A. Rosalsky*: Some general strong laws for weighted sums of stochastically dominated random variables. *Stochastic Anal. Appl.* 5 (1987), 1–16.
- [2] *Y. S. Chow*: On the rate of moment convergence of sample sums and extremes. *Bull. Inst. Math., Acad. Sin.* 16 (1988), 177–201.
- [3] *L. V. Dung, T. Ngamkham, N. D. Tien, A. I. Volodin*: Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund type law of large numbers for double arrays of random elements in Banach spaces. *Lobachevskii J. Math.* 30 (2009), 337–346.
- [4] *J. Hoffmann-Jørgensen, G. Pisier*: The law of large numbers and the central limit theorem in Banach spaces. *Ann. Probab.* 4 (1976), 587–599.
- [5] *G. Pisier*: Martingales with values in uniformly convex spaces. *Isr. J. Math.* 20 (1975), 326–350.
- [6] *A. Rosalsky, L. V. Thanh, A. I. Volodin*: On complete convergence in mean of normed sums of independent random elements in Banach spaces. *Stochastic Anal. Appl.* 24 (2006), 23–35.
- [7] *F. S. Scalora*: Abstract martingale convergence theorems. *Pac. J. Math.* 11 (1961), 347–374.

*Authors' addresses: Ta Cong Son, Dang Hung Thang, Hanoi University of Science, Hanoi, Vietnam, e-mails: congson82@gmail.com, hungthang.dang@gmail.com; Le Van Dung, Da Nang University of Education, Da Nang, Vietnam, e-mail: lvdunght@gmail.com.*