

Tomáš Kepka; Petr Němec

(Pre)order preserving additive homomorphisms of (pre)ordered commutative semigroups into real numbers

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 53 (2012), No. 1, 3--32

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143686>

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 2012

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

(PRE)ORDER PRESERVING ADDITIVE HOMOMORPHISMS OF (PRE)ORDERED COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUPS INTO REAL NUMBERS I

TOMÁŠ KEPKA, PETR NĚMEC

Praha

Received June 3, 2011

Various necessary and/or sufficient conditions assuring the existence of various additive homomorphisms of commutative semigroups into real numbers are studied.

The aim of the present pseudo-expository note is to collect and order many scattered results concerning additive homomorphisms of commutative semigroups into real numbers. Similar topics were investigated e.g. in [1]–[20]. A kind reader should keep in mind that all the formulated results are fairly basic, and henceforth not attributed to any particular source.

1. Introduction

First, by a *preordering* (or *quasiordering*) we mean any reflexive and transitive relation defined on a set S . Thus $\text{id}_S = \{(a, a) \mid a \in S\}$ is the smallest and $S \times S$ the largest preordering on S . An *equivalence* is a symmetric preordering and if ϱ is a preordering then the *symmetric core* (or *kernel*) $\ker(\varrho)$ of ϱ (we have $(a, b) \in \ker(\varrho)$ iff $(a, b) \in \varrho$ and $(b, a) \in \varrho$) is an equivalence. It is the largest equivalence contained in ϱ . If $\ker(\varrho) = \text{id}_S$ then the preordering ϱ is antisymmetric and it is called *ordering*.

Department of Algebra, MFF UK, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic (T. Kepka)
Department of Mathematics, Czech University of Life Sciences, Kamýcká 129, 165 21 Praha 6 – Suchbát, Czech Republic (P. NĚmec)

Supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic, grant GAČR 201/09/0296; the first author was also supported by the institutional grant MSM 0021620839.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F05, 20M14

Key words and phrases. Commutative semigroup, additive homomorphism, real numbers

E-mail address: keпка@karlin.mff.cuni.cz, nemeц@tf.czu.cz

Let ϱ be a preordering defined on a set S . A subset T of S is said to be *right* (*left*, resp.) *cofinal* in S if for every $a \in S$ there is at least one $v \in T$ such that $(a, v) \in \varrho$ ($(v, a) \in \varrho$, resp.).

1.1 Remark. Let ϱ be a preordering defined on a set S . Then $\sigma = (\varrho \setminus \ker(\varrho)) \cup \text{id}_S$ is an ordering and $\sigma \subseteq \varrho$ (of course, $\sigma = \varrho$ iff ϱ is an ordering). Notice that $\sigma = \text{id}_S$ iff ϱ is an equivalence.

In the remaining part of this section, let $A = A(+)$ be a commutative semigroup and ϱ be a preordering defined on A . Further, $0_A \in A$ means that the semigroup A has the neutral element 0_A .

1.2 Lemma. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $(a + c, b + c) \in \varrho$ for all $(a, b) \in \varrho$ and $c \in A$ (i.e., ϱ is stable).
- (ii) $(a + c, b + d) \in \varrho$ for all $(a, b) \in \varrho$ and $(b, d) \in \varrho$ (i.e., ϱ is it compatible).

Proof. It is easy. □

The preordering ϱ is called *cancellative* if $(a, b) \in \varrho$ whenever $a, b, c \in A$ and $(a + c, b + c) \in \varrho$. Thus ϱ is both stable and cancellative if and only if $(a, b) \in \varrho \Leftrightarrow (a + c, b + c) \in \varrho$.

1.3 Lemma. (i) *If ϱ is stable then $\ker(\varrho)$ is a congruence of the semigroup A .*
(ii) *If ϱ is stable and cancellative then $\ker(\varrho)$ is a cancellative congruence of A .*

Proof. It is easy. □

1.4 Lemma. *Assume that ϱ is cancellative. If $a, b, c \in A$ are such that $a + c = b + c$ then $(a, b) \in \ker(\varrho)$.*

Proof. It is easy. □

1.5 Lemma. *If ϱ is a cancellative ordering then the semigroup A is cancellative.*

Proof. Use 1.4 □

1.6 Remark. Assume that ϱ is stable and cancellative. Then $\sigma = (\varrho \setminus \ker(\varrho)) \cup \text{id}_A$ (see 1.1) is a stable ordering on the semigroup A . If A is cancellative (cf. 1.5) then σ is cancellative as well.

An element $a \in A$ will be called *almost (ϱ)-positive* (*negative*, resp.) if $(x, x+a) \in \varrho$ ($(x+a, x) \in \varrho$, resp.) for every $x \in A$.

1.7 Lemma. (i) *The set of almost positive* (*negative*, resp.) *elements is either empty or a subsemigroup of A .*
(ii) *If $0_A \in A$ then 0_A is both almost positive and almost negative.*
(iii) *If $a \in A$ is both almost positive and almost negative then $(x + a, x) \in \ker(\varrho)$ for every $x \in A$. If, moreover ϱ is an ordering then $a = 0_A$.*
(iv) *If ϱ is cancellative, $u \in A$ is almost negative and $v \in A$ is almost positive then $(u, v) \in \varrho$.*

Proof. It is easy. □

An element $a \in A$ will be called *right (left, resp.) (ϱ -)archimedean* if the one-generated (or cyclic) subsemigroup $\mathbb{N}a$ of A generated by the element a (here, \mathbb{N} denotes the semiring of positive integers) is right (left, resp.) cofinal in A . This means that for every $b \in A$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(b, ma) \in \varrho$ ($(ma, b) \in \varrho$, resp.).

1.8 Lemma. *If $a \in A$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that ma is right (left, resp.) archimedean then a is such.*

Proof. It is obvious. □

1.9 Lemma. *Assume that ϱ is stable. Let $a \in A$ be right (left, resp.) archimedean and let $(a, b) \in \varrho$ ($(b, a) \in \varrho$, resp.). Then b is right (left, resp.) archimedean.*

Proof. It is easy. □

1.10 Lemma. *Assume that ϱ is stable and A contains at least one almost positive (negative, resp.) element. If $a \in A$ is right (left, resp.) archimedean then ma is almost positive (negative, resp.) for at least one $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $v \in A$ be almost positive. Then $(x, x + v) \in \varrho$ for every $x \in A$ and there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(v, ma) \in \varrho$. Now, $(x + v, x + ma) \in \varrho$, $(x, x + ma) \in \varrho$ and we see that ma is almost positive. □

1.11 Lemma. *Assume that ϱ is stable and cancellative. Let $a \in A$ be left (right, resp.) archimedean and almost positive (negative, resp.). Then:*

(i) *Every element from A is almost positive (negative, resp.).*

(ii) *$(a, x) \in \varrho$ ($(x, a) \in \varrho$, resp.) for every $x \in A$.*

(iii) *If ϱ is an ordering then a is the smallest (largest, resp.) element in A .*

Proof. Given $x \in A$, we have $(x, x + a) \in \varrho$ and there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ that is the smallest one with the property that $(ma, x) \in \varrho$. Now, $(ma, x + a) \in \varrho$ and, since ϱ is cancellative, we get $m = 1$. Thus $(a, x + a) \in \varrho$ for every $x \in A$. Consequently, $(y + a, y + x + a) \in \varrho$ and $(y, z + x) \in \varrho$ for every $y \in A$. The rest is clear. □

An element $a \in A$ will be called *right (left, resp.) (ϱ -)regular* if $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(ma, na) \in \varrho$ implies $m \leq n$ ($n \leq m$, resp.).

1.12 Lemma. *If $a \in A$ and $\mathbb{N}a$ is finite then a is neither left nor right regular.*

Proof. It is easy. □

1.13 Lemma. *An element $a \in A$ is both right and left regular if and only if $\mathbb{N}a$ is infinite (equivalently, $\mathbb{N}a \cong \mathbb{N}$) and $\varrho|_{\mathbb{N}a} = \text{id}$.*

Proof. It is easy. □

1.14 Lemma. *Assume that every element from A is either right or left regular. Then the semigroup A is pretorsionfree (i.e., $\mathbb{N}a \cong \mathbb{N}$ is infinite for every $a \in A$).*

Proof. Use 1.12. □

1.15 Lemma. *Let $a \in A$ be right (left, resp.) regular. Then, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the element ma is not almost negative (positive, resp.).*

Proof. If ma is almost negative then $((m+1)a, a) \in \varrho$ and $m+1 > 1$. Thus a is not right regular. □

1.16 Lemma. *Assume that ϱ is stable. Let $a \in A$ be right (left, resp.) archimedean and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that ma is almost negative (positive, resp.). Then no element from A is right (left, resp.) regular.*

Proof. Given $b \in A$, we have $(b, ma) \in \varrho$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since ma is almost negative, we have $(ma + b, b) \in \varrho$. Now, $(mb, mna) \in \varrho$, $(mna + nb, nb) \in \varrho$, $((m+n)b, mna + nb) \in \varrho$, $((m+n)b, nb) \in \varrho$ and $m+n > n$. □

1.17 Lemma. *Assume that ϱ is stable and cancellative. If $a \in A$ is not right (left, resp.) regular then ma is almost negative (positive, resp.) for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We have $(ka, la) \in \varrho$, where $k > l$. Then $(ka + x, la + x) \in \varrho$ and $((k-l)a + x, x) \in \varrho$ for every $x \in A$ and it suffices to put $m = k - l$. □

1.18 Lemma. *Assume that ϱ is stable and cancellative. Let $a \in A$ be right (left, resp.) archimedean and not right (left, resp.) regular. Then no element from A is right (left, resp.) regular.*

Proof. Combine 1.17 and 1.16. □

1.19 Lemma. *Assume that ϱ is stable and cancellative. Let $a \in A$ be right (left, resp.) archimedean and not right (left, resp.) regular. Then there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that mx is almost negative (positive, resp.) for every $x \in A$.*

Proof. By 1.18, no element from A is right regular. By 1.17, for every $x \in A$ there is $m_x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_x x$ is almost negative. Put $m = m_a$. Since a is right archimedean, we have $(x, n_x a) \in \varrho$ for some $n_x \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, $(mx, mn_x a) \in \varrho$, $(mx + mn_x a, mx) \in \varrho$, since $mn_x a$ is almost negative, and $(mx + mn_x a, mn_x a) \in \varrho$. Then $(mx + y = mn_x a, mn_x a + y) \in \varrho$ and $(mx + y, y) \in \varrho$ for every $y \in A$. Thus mx is almost negative. □

1.20 Lemma. *Assume that ϱ is stable and cancellative. Let $a \in A$ be neither left nor right regular. Then there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that ma is both almost positive and almost negative (i.e., $(ma + x, x) \in \ker(\varrho)$ for every $x \in A$).*

Proof. The result follows easily from 1.17. □

1.21 Lemma. *Assume that ϱ is stable and cancellative and that no element from A is right or left regular. Then the factor semigroup $A/\ker(\varrho)$ is a torsion group.*

Proof. By 1.20, for every $a \in A$ there is $m_a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(m_a a + x, x) \in \ker(\varrho)$ for every $x \in A$. It follows that $0_{\bar{A}} \in \bar{A}$ and $m_a \bar{a} = 0_{\bar{A}}$. Then, of course, \bar{A} is a torsion group. \square

1.22 Proposition. *Assume that ϱ is stable and cancellative and that the factorsemigroup $A/\ker(\varrho)$ is not a torsion group. Then every right (left, resp.) archimedean element from A is right (left, resp.) regular, provided that at least one of the following six conditions is satisfied:*

- (1) *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $v \in A$ such that mv is not almost negative (positive, resp.);*
- (2) *At least one element from A is right (left, resp.) regular;*
- (3) *At least one element from A is not left (right, resp.) regular;*
- (4) *There are $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in A$ such that $k \geq 2$ and $(a, ka) \in \varrho$ ($(ka, a) \in \varrho$, resp.);*
- (5) *At least one element from A is almost positive (negative, resp.);*
- (6) *There are $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in A$ such that $l \geq 2$ and la is right (left, resp.) archimedean.*

Proof. If (1) is true then the result follows from 1.19. If (2) is true then 1.18 yields our result. If (3) is true then, by 1.21, at least one element from A is right regular and (2) is satisfied. The condition (4) is equivalent to (3) and (5) implies (4). Finally, (6) implies (4). \square

1.23 Lemma. *Let $a \in A$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that ma is right (left, resp.) ϱ -regular. Then a is right (left, resp.) ϱ -regular.*

Proof. It is easy. \square

2. Extensions of homomorphisms – introduction

Throughout this section, let $A = A(+)$ be a commutative semigroup and let ϱ be a cancellative and stable preordering defined on A (i.e., for all $a, b, c \in A$ we have $(a, b) \in \varrho$ if and only if $(a + c, b + c) \in \varrho$). Furthermore, let B be a subsemigroup of A and let $h : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an additive homomorphism such that $h(a) \leq h(b)$ whenever $(a, b) \in \varrho$.

For every $w \in A$ put

$$(2.1) \quad (\underline{p}(w, A, B, h) =) \underline{p}(w) = \sup \left\{ \frac{h(a) - h(b)}{m} \mid a, b \in B, m \in \mathbb{N}, (a, b + mw) \in \varrho \right\}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad (\underline{q}(w, A, B, h) =) \underline{q}(w) = \inf \left\{ \frac{h(c) - h(d)}{n} \mid c, d \in B, n \in \mathbb{N}, (d + nw, c) \in \varrho \right\}.$$

- 2.1 Lemma.** (i) $-\infty \leq \underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w) \leq +\infty$.
(ii) $\underline{p}(v) = h(v) = \underline{q}(v)$ for every $v \in B$.

Proof. (i) If either $\underline{p}(w) = -\infty$ or $\underline{q}(w) = +\infty$ then there is nothing to prove. On the other hand, if $(a, b + mw) \in \varrho$ and $(d + nw, c) \in \varrho$ for some $a, b, c, d \in B$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $(na, nb + nmw) \in \varrho$, $(md + mnw, mc) \in \varrho$, $(na + md + mnw, nb + mc + mnw) \in \varrho$ and, since ϱ is cancellative, we get $(na + md, nb + mc) \in \varrho$. Then $nh(a) + mh(d) \leq nh(b) + mh(c)$ and $\frac{h(a)-h(b)}{m} \leq \frac{h(c)-h(d)}{n}$. The rest is clear.

(ii) We have $(2v, v + 1v) = (2v, 2v) \in \varrho$ and $(v + 1v, 2v) \in \varrho$. Consequently, using (i), we get $h(v) = \frac{h(2v)-h(v)}{1} \leq \underline{p}(v) \leq \underline{q}(v) \leq h(v)$. Thus $h(v) = \underline{p}(v) = \underline{q}(v)$. \square

2.2 Lemma. (i) *If B is right (left, resp.) ϱ -cofinal in A then $\underline{q}(w) < +\infty$ ($-\infty < \underline{p}(w)$, resp.) for every $w \in A$.*

(ii) *If at least one element from B is right (left, resp.) ϱ -archimedean in A then $\underline{q}(w) < +\infty$ ($-\infty < \underline{p}(w)$, resp.) for every $w \in A$.*

Proof. (i) For every $a \in B$ there is $b \in B$ with $(a + w, b) \in \varrho$ ($(b, a + w) \in \varrho$, resp.). Now, $\underline{q}(w) \leq h(b) - h(a)$ ($h(b) - h(a) \leq \underline{p}(w)$, resp.).

(ii) This follows immediately from (i). \square

2.3 Lemma. *Assume that for all $u, v \in A$ such that $(u, v) \notin \varrho$ there are $a, b \in B$ with $(u + a, v + b) \in \varrho$. Then $-\infty < \underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w) < +\infty$ for every $w \in A$.*

Proof. Take any $c \in B$. Then there are $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in B$ such that $(c + a_1, w + b_1) \in \varrho$ and $(w + a_2, c + b_2) \in \varrho$. Now, we have $-\infty < h(c) + h(a_1) - h(b_1) \leq \underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w) \leq h(c) + h(b_2) - h(a_2) < +\infty$ (use 2.1(i)). \square

2.4 Remark. Assume that B is both left and right ϱ -cofinal in A . Then, choosing $u, v \in A$, we can find $a, b \in B$ such that $(u, b) \in \varrho$ and $(a, v) \in \varrho$. Thus $(u + a, v + b) \in \varrho$ and 2.3 takes place (cf. 2.2(i)).

2.5 Lemma. *Let $w \in A$ be right (left, resp.) ϱ -archimedean. Then:*

(i) $-\infty < \underline{p}(w)$ ($\underline{q}(w) < +\infty$, resp.).

(ii) *If $h(a) \geq 0$ ($h(a) \leq 0$, resp.) for at least one $a \in B$ then $\underline{p}(w) \geq 0$ ($\underline{q}(w) \leq 0$, resp.).*

(iii) *If $h(a) > 0$ ($h(a) < 0$, resp.) for at least one $a \in B$ then $\underline{p}(w) > 0$ ($\underline{q}(w) < 0$, resp.).*

Proof. For every $a \in A$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a, mw) \in \varrho$. Then $(2a, a + mw) \in \varrho$ and $\frac{h(a)}{m} \leq \underline{p}(w)$ due to (2.1). Thus $-\infty < \underline{p}(w)$ and, if $h(a) \geq 0$ or $h(a) > 0$ then $\underline{p}(w) \geq 0$ or $\underline{p}(w) > 0$. The other case is dual. \square

2.6 Lemma. *Let $w \in A$ be such that kw is almost ϱ -positive (almost ϱ -negative, resp.) for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\underline{p}(w) \geq 0$ ($\underline{q}(w) \leq 0$, resp.)*

Proof. We have $(a, a + kw) \in \varrho$ for every $a \in A$, and hence $0 = \frac{h(a)-h(a)}{k} \leq \underline{p}(w)$ by 2.1. The other case is dual. \square

In the sequel, we put

$$(2.3) \quad (\underline{W}(A, B, h) =) \underline{W} = \{ w \in A \mid -\infty < \underline{q}(w) \text{ and } \underline{p}(w) < +\infty \}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad (\underline{V}(A, B, h) =) \underline{V} = \{w \in A \mid -\infty < \underline{p}(w) \text{ and } \underline{q}(w) < +\infty\}.$$

2.7 Lemma. $w \in \underline{W}$ if and only if $\underline{p}(w) \leq r \leq \underline{q}(w)$ for at least one $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We have $\underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w)$ by 2.1(i) and our assertion follows from (2.3). \square

2.8 Remark. The semigroup A is the disjoint union $A = \underline{W} \cup W_1 \cup W_2$, where $W_1 = \{w \in A \mid \underline{p}(w) = +\infty\}$ and $W_2 = \{w \in A \mid \underline{q}(w) = -\infty\}$. Of course, if $w \in W_1$ then $\underline{q}(w) = +\infty$ and $(d + nw, c) \notin \varrho$ for all $c, d \in B$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, if $w \in W_2$ then $\underline{p}(w) = -\infty$ and $(a, b + mw) \notin \varrho$ for all $a, b \in B$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (see (2.1) and (2.2)).

2.9 Lemma. $\underline{V} = \{w \in W \mid \underline{p}(w) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \underline{q}(w) \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Proof. The result follows by an easy combination of (2.4) and 2.1(i). \square

2.10 Lemma. $B \subseteq \underline{V} \subseteq \underline{W}$.

Proof. First, $B \subseteq \underline{V}$ follows from 2.9 and 2.1(i). Next, $\underline{V} \subseteq \underline{W}$ follows from 2.7 and 2.1(i). \square

2.11 Lemma. Let C be a subsemigroup of A such that $B \subseteq C$ and h extends to an additive homomorphism $g : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(a) \leq g(b)$ whenever $a, b \in C$ and $(a, b) \in \varrho$. Then $C \subseteq \underline{W}$ and $\underline{p}(c) \leq g(c) \leq \underline{q}(c)$ for every $c \in C$.

Proof. If $a, b \in A$, $c \in C$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $(a, b + mc) \in \varrho$ then $h(a) = g(a) \leq g(b + mc) = g(b) + mg(c) = h(b) + mg(c)$, and therefore $\frac{h(a) - h(b)}{m} \leq g(c)$. Thus $\underline{p}(c) \leq g(c)$ and, dually, $g(c) \leq \underline{q}(c)$. By 2.7, $c \in \underline{W}$. \square

2.12 Corollary. Assume that h extends to an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(u) \leq f(v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \varrho$. Then $\underline{W} = A$. \square

2.13 Lemma. Assume that B is right (left, resp.) ϱ -cofinal in A (see 2.2). Then:

- (i) $\underline{W} = \{w \in A \mid \underline{q}(w) > -\infty\}$ ($\underline{W} - \{w \in A \mid \underline{p}(w) < +\infty\}$, resp.).
- (ii) $\underline{V} = \{w \in A \mid \underline{p}(w) > -\infty\}$ ($\underline{V} = \{w \in A \mid \underline{q}(w) < +\infty\}$, resp.).
- (iii) If $w \in A$ is right (left, resp.) ϱ -archimedean then $w \in \underline{V}$.
- (iv) If $w \in A$ is such that kw is almost ϱ -positive (almost ϱ -negative, resp.) for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then $w \in \underline{V}$.

Proof. (i) By 2.2(i), $\underline{q}(W) < +\infty$ for every $w \in A$. Since $\underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w)$, we get $\underline{p}(w) < +\infty$ as well and the result follows from (2.3).

(ii) Again, $\underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w) < +\infty$ and the result follows from (2.4).

(iii) Combine (ii) and 2.5.

(iv) Combine (ii) and 2.6. \square

2.14 Lemma. Let $w \in A$ be right (left, resp.) ϱ -archimedean. Then:

- (i) $w \in \underline{W}$ if and only if $\underline{p}(w) < +\infty$ ($-\infty < \underline{q}(w)$, resp.).
- (ii) $w \in \underline{V}$ if and only if $\underline{q}(w) < +\infty$ ($-\infty < \underline{p}(w)$, resp.).

Proof. We have $-\infty < \underline{p}(w)$ ($\underline{q}(w) < +\infty$, resp.) by 2.5(i) and it remains to take into account (2.3) and (2.4). \square

2.15 Lemma. *Let $w \in A$ be such that kw is almost ϱ -positive (almost ϱ -negative, resp.) for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then:*

- (i) $w \in \underline{W}$ if and only if $\underline{p}(w) < +\infty$ ($-\infty < \underline{q}(w)$, resp.).
- (ii) $w \in \underline{V}$ if and only if $\underline{p}(w) < +\infty$ ($-\infty < \underline{q}(w)$, resp.).
- (ii) $w \in \underline{V}$ if and only if $\underline{q}(w) < +\infty$ ($-\infty < \underline{p}(w)$, resp.).

Proof. We have $\underline{p}(w) \geq 0$ ($\underline{q}(w) \leq 0$, resp.) by 2.6 and it remains to take into account (2.3) and (2.4). \square

2.16 Proposition. $\underline{W} = A$ in each of the following five cases:

- (1) B is right ϱ -cofinal in A and $\underline{q}(w) > -\infty$ for every $w \in A$;
- (2) B is left ϱ -cofinal in A and $\underline{p}(w) < +\infty$ for every $w \in A$;
- (3) If $w \in A \setminus B$ then $\underline{p}(w) < +\infty$ and either w is right ϱ -archimedean or kw is almost ϱ -positive for at least one $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (4) If $w \in A \setminus B$ then $-\infty < \underline{q}(w)$ and either w is left ϱ -archimedean or kw is almost ϱ -negative for at least one $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (5) If $w \in A \setminus B$ then at least one of the following four subcases takes place:
 - (5a) $\underline{p}(w) < +\infty$ and w is right ϱ -archimedean;
 - (5b) $-\infty < \underline{q}(w)$ and w is left ϱ -archimedean;
 - (5c) $\underline{p}(w) < +\infty$ and kw is almost ϱ -positive for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
 - (5d) $-\infty < \underline{q}(w)$ and kw is almost ϱ -negative for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Combine 2.13, 2.14 and 2.15. \square

2.17 Proposition. $\underline{V} = A$ in each of the following six cases:

- (1) B is both left and right ϱ -cofinal in A ;
- (2) For all $u, v \in A$ such that $(u, v) \notin \varrho$ there are $a, b \in B$ with $(u + a, v + b) \in \varrho$;
- (3) B is right ϱ -cofinal in A and for every $w \in A \setminus B$ at least one of the following three subcases takes place:
 - (3a) $(a, b + mw) \in \varrho$ for some $a, b \in B$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
 - (3b) w is right ϱ -archimedean;
 - (3c) kw is almost ϱ -positive for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (4) B is left ϱ -cofinal in A and for every $w \in A \setminus B$ at least one of the following three subcases takes place:
 - (4a) $(d + nw, c) \in \varrho$ for some $c, d \in B$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
 - (4b) w is left ϱ -archimedean;
 - (4c) kw is almost ϱ -negative for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (5) Every element from A is right ϱ -archimedean;
- (6) Every element from A is left ϱ -archimedean.

Proof. Combine 2.3, 2.13, 2.14 and 2.15. \square

2.18 Remark. Let $w \in A$. If $\varrho|\mathbb{N} = \text{id}$ then w is apparently both left and right ϱ -regular. Now, assume that $\varrho|\mathbb{N} \neq \text{id}$. If w is not right ϱ -regular then $(nw, mw) \in \varrho$ for $n > m$, $((n - m)w + a, a) \in \varrho$ for every $a \in B$ and $\underline{q}(w) \leq 0$. Consequently, if $\underline{q}(w) > 0$ then w is right ϱ -regular. Similarly, if $\underline{p}(w) < 0$ then w is left ϱ -regular. Finally, if w is neither left nor right ϱ -regular then $\underline{p}(w) = 0 = \underline{q}(w)$.

2.19 Lemma. Let $w \in A$ be an idempotent (i.e., $2w = w$). Then $\underline{p}(w) = 0 = \underline{q}(w)$.

Proof. We have $(v + w, v + 2w) \in \varrho$ for every $v \in A$. Then $(v, v + w) \in \varrho$, since ϱ is cancellative. Similarly, $(v + w, v) \in \varrho$ and we have $9v + w, v) \in \ker(\varrho)$. The equalities $\underline{p}(w) = 0 = \underline{q}(w)$ are now clear from (2.1) and (2.2). \square

2.20 Lemma. Let $w \in A$ be such that $mw = w$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$. Then $\underline{p}(w) = 0 = \underline{q}(w)$.

Proof. We proceed similarly as in the proof of 2.19. \square

2.21 Lemma. Let $w \in A$ be such that $mw = nw$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > n$. Then $\underline{p}(w) = 0 = \underline{q}(w)$.

Proof. Proceeding similarly as in the proof of 2.19, we show that $(v + (m - n)w, v) \in \ker(\varrho)$ for every $v \in A$. The rest is clear from (2.1) and (2.2). \square

2.22 Lemma. Let $w_1, w_2 \in A$ be such that $-\infty < \underline{p}(w_1)$ and $-\infty < \underline{p}(w_2)$. Then $\underline{p}(w_1 + w_2) \geq \underline{p}(w_1) + \underline{p}(w_2)$.

Proof. Let $(a_1, b_1 + m_1 w_1) \in \varrho$ and $(a_2, b_2 + m_2 w_2) \in \varrho$, where $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in B$ and $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(m_2 a_1, m_2 b_1 + m_1 m_2 w_1) \in \varrho$, $(m_1 a_2, m_1 b_2 + m_1 m_2 w_2) \in \varrho$ and $(m_2 a_1 + m_1 a_2, m_2 b_1 + m_1 b_2 + m_1 m_2 (w_1 + w_2)) \in \varrho$. Consequently, $\underline{p}(w_1 + w_2) \geq \frac{h(m_2 a_1 + m_1 a_2) - h(m_2 b_1 + m_1 b_2)}{m_1 m_2} = \frac{h(a_1) - h(b_1)}{m_1} + \frac{h(a_2) - h(b_2)}{m_2}$ and the rest is clear. \square

2.23 Lemma. Let $w_1, w_2 \in A$ be such $\underline{p}(w_1) < +\infty$ and $\underline{p}(w_2) < +\infty$. Then $\underline{p}(w_1 + w_2) \geq \underline{p}(w_1) + \underline{p}(w_2)$.

Proof. The result follows from 2.22. If, say, $\underline{p}(w_1) = -\infty$ then $\underline{p}(w_1) + \underline{p}(w_2) = -\infty$ and there is nothing to prove. \square

2.24 Lemma. Let $w_1, w_2 \in A$ be such that $\underline{q}(w_1) < +\infty$ and $\underline{q}(w_2) < +\infty$. Then $\underline{q}(w_1 + w_2) \leq \underline{q}(w_1) + \underline{q}(w_2)$.

Proof. This is dual to 2.22. \square

2.25 Lemma. Let $w_1, w_2 \in A$ be such that $-\infty < \underline{q}(w_1)$ and $-\infty < \underline{q}(w_2)$. Then $\underline{q}(w_1 + w_2) \leq \underline{q}(w_1) + \underline{q}(w_2)$.

Proof. This is dual to 2.23. \square

2.26 Proposition. Let $w_1, w_2 \in \underline{W}$. Then $\underline{p}(w_1) + \underline{p}(w_2) \leq \underline{p}(w_1 + w_2) \leq \underline{q}(w_1 + w_2) \leq \underline{q}(w_1) + \underline{q}(w_2)$.

Proof. By (2.3), we have $\underline{p}(w_1) < +\infty$, $\underline{p}(w_2) < +\infty$, $-\infty < \underline{q}(w_1)$, $-\infty < \underline{q}(w_2)$ and it remains to use 2.23 and 2.25. \square

2.27 Proposition. \underline{V} is a subsemigroup of A .

Proof. Combine 2.22 and 2.24. \square

3. Extensions of homomorphisms – continued

This section immediately continues the preceding one. All the notation is fully kept.

3.1 Lemma. Let $w \in A$, $a, b \in B$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\underline{p}(w) \leq r$ ($r \leq \underline{q}(w)$, resp.) and $(b, a + kw) \in \varrho$ ($(b + kw, a) \in \varrho$, resp.). Then $h(b) \leq \underline{h}(a) + kr$ ($h(b) + kr \leq h(a)$, resp.).

Proof. Since $(b, a + kw) \in \varrho$, by (2.1) we have $\frac{h(b) - h(a)}{k} \leq \underline{p}(w) \leq r$. Thus $h(b) \leq \underline{h}(a) + kr$. The other case is dual. \square

3.2 Lemma. Let $w \in A$, $a, b \in B$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\underline{p}(w) \leq r \leq \underline{q}(w)$ and $(b + lw, a + kw) \in \varrho$. Then $lr + h(b) \leq kr + h(a)$.

Proof. First, if $l < k$ then $(v, a + (k - l)w) \in \varrho$, since the preordering ϱ is cancellative, and $lr + h(b) \leq kr + h(a)$ by 3.1. Next, if $k < l$ then $(b + (l - k)w, a) \in \varrho$ and our result follows from 3.1 again. Finally, if $k = l$ then $(b, a) \in \varrho$ and $h(b) \leq h(a)$. \square

3.3 Lemma. Let $w \in A$, $a \in B$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\underline{p}(w) \leq r$ ($r \leq \underline{q}(w)$, resp.) and $(a, kw) \in \varrho$ ($(kw, a) \in \varrho$, resp.). Then $h(a) \leq kr$ ($kr \leq h(a)$, resp.).

Proof. Since $(a, kw) \in \varrho$, we have $(2a, a + kw) \in \varrho$ and $2h(a) = h(2a) \leq h(a) + kr$ by 3.1. Thus $h(a) \leq kr$. The other case is dual. \square

3.4 Lemma. Let $w \in A$, $a \in B$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\underline{p}(w) \leq r \leq \underline{q}(w)$ and $(lw, a + kw) \in \varrho$ ($(lw + a, kw) \in \varrho$, resp.). Then $lr \leq h(a) + kr$ ($lr + h(a) \leq kr$, resp.).

Proof. We have $(lw + a, 2a + kw) \in \varrho$ and 3.3 applies. The other case is dual. \square

3.5 Lemma. Let $w \in A$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\underline{p}(w) \leq r \leq \underline{q}(w)$ and $(lw, kw) \in \varrho$. Then $lr \leq kr$.

Proof. Taking any $a \in B$, we get $(a + lw, a + kw) \in \varrho$ and the result follows from 3.2. \square

3.6 Proposition. Let $w \in A$ and let $B\langle w \rangle$ be the subsemigroup of A generated by $B \cup \{w\}$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $w \in \underline{W}$ (see(2.3)).

- (ii) *There is at least one $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\underline{p}(w) \leq r \leq \underline{q}(w)$ and for any such r there exists (just one) additive homomorphism $h_{w,r} : B\langle w \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $h_{w,r}$ extends h , $h_{w,r}(w) = r$ and $h_{w,r}(u) \leq h_{w,r}(v)$ whenever $u, v \in B\langle w \rangle$ and $(u, v) \in \varrho$.*
- (iii) *There is at least one subsemigroup C of A such that $B \subseteq C$, $w \in C$ (then $B\langle w \rangle \subseteq C$) and h extends to an additive homomorphism $g : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(u) \leq g(v)$ whenever $u, v \in C$ and $(u, v) \in \varrho$.*

Proof. (i) implies (ii). Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\underline{p}(w) \leq r \leq \underline{q}(w)$ (see 2.7). If $v \in B\langle w \rangle$ then either $v = a + kw$ for some $a \in B$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and we put $h_{w,r}(v) = h(a) + kr$, or $v \in B$ and we put $h_{w,r}(v) = h(v)$, or, finally $v = kw$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and we put $h_{w,r}(v) = kr$. It follows from 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 that the definition is correct and if $u, v \in B\langle w \rangle$ are such that $(u, v) \in \varrho$ then $h_{w,r}(u) \leq h_{w,r}(v)$.

(ii) implies (iii). This implication is trivial.

(iii) implies (i). By 2.11, $C \subseteq \underline{W}$. Consequently, $w \in \underline{W}$. □

In what follows, let $(\underline{\mathcal{W}}(A, B, h) =) \underline{\mathcal{W}}$ denote the set of ordered pairs (C, g) , where C is a subsemigroup of A with $B \subseteq C$ and $g : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an additive homomorphism extending h such that $g(u) \leq g(v)$ whenever $u, v \in C$ and $(u, v) \in \varrho$. The set $\underline{\mathcal{W}}$ is ordered by inclusion and we denote by $\underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\max}$ ($= \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\max}(A, B, h)$) the set of maximal pairs from $\underline{\mathcal{W}}$.

3.7 Proposition. *Let $(C, g) \in \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\max}(A, B, h)$. Then:*

(i) $B \subseteq \underline{V}(A, B, h) \subseteq C \subseteq \underline{W}(A, B, h)$.

(ii) $C = \underline{W}(A, C, g) = \underline{V}(A, C, g)$.

(iii) *If $w \in A \setminus C$ then either $\underline{p}(w, A, C, g) = \underline{q}(w, A, C, g) = +\infty$ or $\underline{p}(w, A, C, g) = \underline{q}(w, A, C, g) = -\infty$.*

Proof. (i) By 2.10, $B \subseteq \underline{V}(A, B, h)$ and, by 2.11, $C \subseteq \underline{W}(A, B, h)$. On the other hand, if $w \in \underline{V}(A, B, h)$ then $-\infty < \underline{p}(w, A, B, h) \leq \underline{p}(w, A, C, g) \leq \underline{q}(w, A, C, g) \leq \underline{q}(w, A, B, h) < +\infty$ (see (2.1), (2.2) and 2.1(i)). Consequently, $w \in \underline{V}(A, C, g)$. But $\underline{V}(A, C, g) = C$ by 3.6.

(ii) This assertion follows from 3.6 (where B is replaced by C).

(iii) This follows from the equality $C = \underline{W}(A, C, g)$. □

3.8 Proposition. *For every $w \in \underline{W}(A, B, h)$ there is at least one pair $(C, g) \in \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\max}(A, B, h)$ such that $w \in C$.*

Proof. The assertion follows from 3.6. □

3.9 Proposition. *Assume that $\underline{V}(A, B, h) = A$. Then h can be extended to an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(u) \leq f(v)$ whenever $(u, v) \in \varrho$. Furthermore, $(A, f) \in \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\max}(A, B, h)$, and if $(C, g) \in \underline{\mathcal{W}}_{\max}(A, B, h)$ then $C = A$.*

Proof. The result follows easily from 3.7. □

3.10 Remark. Various conditions that are sufficient for the equality $\underline{V}(A, B, h) = A$ are formulated in 2.17.

3.11 Proposition. Assume that B is right (left, resp.) ϱ -cofinal in A and that for every $w \in A \setminus B$ there are $a, b \in B$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(a, b+mw) \in \varrho$ ($(b+mw, a) \in \varrho$, resp.). Then h extends to an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(u) \leq f(v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \varrho$.

Proof. By 2.17, $\underline{V}(A, B, h) = A$ and 3.9 applies. \square

3.12 Proposition. Assume that every element from A is right (left, resp.) ϱ -archimedean and that $h(B) \neq 0$. Then $h(B) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ ($h(B) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^-$, resp.) and h extends to an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ($f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^-$, resp.) such that $f(u) \leq f(v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \varrho$.

Proof. First, for every $a \in B$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a, 2ma) \in \varrho$, hence $h(a) \leq 2mh(a)$, $(2m - 1)h(a) \geq 0$ and $h(a) \geq 0$. Thus $h(B) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Since $h(B) \neq 0$, we have $h(a_0)$ for at least one $a_0 \in B$. Given $b \in B$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a_0, nb) \in \varrho$. Then $0 < h(a_0) \leq nh(b)$ and $h(b) > 0$. Thus $h(B) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$. Furthermore, by 2.17, $\underline{V}(A, B, h) = A$ and, by 3.9, h extends to an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Proceeding similarly as above, we show that $f(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$. \square

3.13 Proposition. Assume that B is right (left, resp.) ϱ -cofinal in A and that for every $w \in B \setminus A$ (that is not right ϱ -archimedean) there is at least one $m_w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_w w$ is almost ϱ -positive (almost ϱ -negative, resp.). Then h extends to an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(A \setminus B) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0^+$ ($f(A \setminus B) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0^-$, resp.) and $f(u) \leq f(v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \varrho$. If $h(B) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0^+$ ($h(B) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0^-$, resp.) then $f(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0^+$ ($f(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0^-$, resp.).

Proof. It follows easily from 3.11 that h extends to an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ preserving the preordering. If $w \in A \setminus B$ and $a \in B$ then $(a, a + m_w w) \in \varrho$, so that $f(a) \leq f(a) + m_w f(w)$ and $0 \leq f(w)$. \square

4. Extensions of homomorphisms of one-generated subsemigroups – introduction

Throughout this section, let A be a commutative semigroup, ϱ be a cancellative and stable preordering defined on A and $z \in A$ be right ϱ -regular. Then $B = \mathbb{N}z \cong \mathbb{N}$ and $(h_z =)h : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, where $h(nz) = n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is an injective additive homomorphism such that $h(z) = 1$ and $h(a) \leq h(b)$ whenever $a, b \in B$ and $(a, b) \in \varrho$.

4.1 Lemma. Let $w \in A$. Then:

- (i) $\underline{p}(w) = \sup \{ \frac{k-1}{m} \mid k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}, (kz, lz + mw) \in \varrho \}$.
- (ii) $\underline{q}(w) = \inf \{ \frac{k-1}{n} \mid k, l, n \in \mathbb{N}, (nw + lz, kz) \in \varrho \}$.
- (iii) $-\infty \leq \underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w) \leq +\infty$.
- (iv) $\underline{p}(mz) = \underline{q}(mz) = m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We have $B = \mathbb{N}z$ and the rest is clear from (2.1), (2.2) and 2.1. \square

4.2 Lemma. Assume that at least one of the following three conditions is satisfied for $w \in A$:

- (1) w is right ϱ -archimedean in A ;
- (2) $(k_0z, l_0z + m_0w) \in \varrho$ for some $k_0, l_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_0 > l_0$;
- (3) $\underline{p}(w) > 0$.

Then $\underline{p}(w) = \sup \{ \frac{k}{m} \mid k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (kz, mw) \in \varrho \} > 0$.

Proof. Clearly, (1) implies (2) and (2) is equivalent to (3). Now, if (2) is true then $\underline{p}(w) = \sup \{ \frac{k-1}{m} \mid k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}, k > 1, (kz, lz + mw) \in \varrho \}$ and our assertion follows from the fact that ϱ is cancellative. \square

4.3 Lemma. Assume that $\underline{p}(w) \geq 0$ (e.g. if m_0w is almost ϱ -positive for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$). Then $\underline{p}(w) = \sup (\{0\} \cup \{ \frac{k}{m} \mid k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (kz, mw) \in \varrho \}) \geq 0$.

Proof. Clearly, $\underline{p}(w) = \sup \{ \frac{k-1}{m} \mid k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1, (kz, lz + mw) \in \varrho \}$ and the rest is clear. \square

4.4 Lemma. Assume that $\underline{q}(w) > 0$. Then $\underline{q}(w) = \inf \{ \frac{1}{n} \mid 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, (nw, lz) \in \varrho \}$.

Proof. Since $\underline{q}(w) > 0$, we have $k > l$ whenever $k, l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $(nw + lz, kz) \in \varrho$. Then $(nw, (k-l)z) \in \varrho$ and our result follows. \square

4.5 Proposition. If $\underline{p}(w) > 0$ then $\underline{p}(w) = \sup \{ \frac{k}{m} \mid k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (kz, mw) \in \varrho \}$ and $\underline{q}(w) = \inf \{ \frac{1}{n} \mid 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, (nw, lz) \in \varrho \}$.

Proof. We have $\underline{q}(w) \geq \underline{p}(w)$ and it suffices to use 4.2 and 4.4. \square

4.6 Proposition. Assume that $\underline{p}(w) = 0$. Then:

- (i) $k \geq l$ whenever $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $(lz, lz + mw) \in \varrho$.
- (ii) There are $k_0, l_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_0 \leq l_0$ and $(k_0z, l_0z + m_0w) \in \varrho$. If $k_0 = l_0$ then m_0w is almost ϱ -positive.
- (iii) Suppose that m_1w is not almost ϱ -positive for any $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $0 = \underline{p}(w) = \sup \{ \frac{1-t}{m} \mid t, m \in \mathbb{N}, t \geq 2, (z, tz + mw) \in \varrho \}$ and $(t-1)z + mw$ is almost ϱ -positive.

Proof. (i) This follows from 4.1(i).

(ii) The existence of the numbers k_0, l_0, m_0 follows from 4.1(1) and the fact that $\underline{p}(w) = 0$. Furthermore, if $k_0 = l_0$ then $(v + k_0z, v + l_0z + m_0w) \in \varrho$ for every $v \in A$. Since ϱ is cancellative, we get $(v, v + m_0w) \in \varrho$ and this means that m_0w is almost ϱ -positive.

(iii) If $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $(kz, lz + mw) \in \varrho$ then from (i) and (ii) follows that $k < l$ and we get $(z, (l-k+1)z + mw) \in \varrho$, $t = l-k+1 \geq 2$. The rest is clear from 4.1(i). \square

4.7 Proposition. (cf. 4.5 and 4.6) Assume that $\underline{q}(w) = 0$. Then at least one of the following two cases holds:

- (1) $\underline{q}(w) = \inf \{ \frac{1}{n} \mid 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, (nw, lz) \in \varrho \}$;

- (2) $k = l$ whenever $k, l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $(mw + lz, kz) \in \varrho$, and there are $n_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n_0w + k_0z, k_0z) \in \varrho$ and n_0w is almost ϱ -positive (then $(n_0w + z, z) \in \varrho$).

Proof. Assume that (1) is not true. We have $\underline{q}(w) = 0$ and it follows that $k \geq l$ whenever $k, l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $(nw + lz, kz) \in \varrho$. If $k > l$ then $(nw, (k-l)z) \in \varrho$. Now, since (1) is not true, there are $n_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(n_0w + k_0z, k_0z) \in \varrho$. Then n_0w is almost ϱ -negative and $(n_0w + z, z) \in \varrho$. Put $\alpha = \inf \{ \frac{k-l}{n} \mid k, l, n \in \mathbb{N}, k > l, (nw + lz, kz) \in \varrho \} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0^+ \cup \{+\infty\}$. Since (1) is not true, we have $\alpha > 0$. If $\alpha = +\infty$ then (2) is true. Consequently, assume finally that $\alpha < +\infty$. Since $\alpha > 0$, there is $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $tk \geq n + tl$ whenever $k, l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $k > l$ and $(nw + lz, kz) \in \varrho$. Furthermore, since $\alpha < +\infty$, $(n_1w + l_1z, k_1z) \in \varrho$ for some $k_1, l_1, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_1 > l_1$. We have $p = tk_1 - tl_1 - n_1 \geq 0$ and there is $q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $qn_0 > p$. However, qn_0w is almost ϱ -negative, and hence $((n_1 + qn_0)w + (l_1 + 1)z, (k_1 + 1)z) \in \varrho$. Now, $t(k_1 + 1) \geq n_1 + qn_0 + t(l_1 + 1)$ and then $p = tk_1 - tl_1 - n_1 \geq qn_0$, a contradiction. \square

4.8 Proposition. Assume that $\underline{q}(w) > 0$ and that mw is not almost ϱ -negative for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\underline{q}(w) = \inf \{ \frac{1}{n} \mid 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, (nw, lz) \in \varrho \}$.

Proof. Combine 4.4 and 4.7. \square

4.9 Remark. Assume that $\underline{p}(w) = 0$ (see 4.6) and 4.7(2) is true. Then n_0w is almost ϱ -negative for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, $(k_0z, l_0z + m_0w) \in \varrho$ for some $k_0, l_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_0 \leq l_0$. If $k_0 = l_0$ then m_0w is almost ϱ -positive. In such a case, the element tw , where $t = n_0m_0$, is both almost ϱ -positive and almost ϱ -negative. Consequently, $(v, v + tw) \in \ker(\varrho)$ for every $v \in A$ (if ϱ is an ordering then $tw = 0_A \in A$).

- 4.10 Proposition.** (i) If z is right ϱ -archimedean then $\underline{q}(w) < +\infty$ for every $w \in A$.
(ii) If z is left ϱ -archimedean then $1 \leq \underline{p}(w)$ for every $w \in A$.
(iii) If $w \in A$ is right ϱ -archimedean then $\underline{p}(w) > 0$.
(iv) If $w \in A$ is left ϱ -archimedean then $\underline{q}(w) \leq 1$.
(v) If mw is almost ϱ -positive for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\underline{p}(w) \geq 0$.
(vi) If nw is almost ϱ -negative for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\underline{q}(w) \leq 0$.

Proof. (i) There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(w, mz) \in \varrho$. Then $(w + z, (m+1)z) \in \varrho$ and $\underline{q}(w) \leq m$ by 4.1(ii).

(ii) There is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(nz, w) \in \varrho$. Then $((n+1)z, z + w) \in \varrho$ and $\underline{p}(w) \geq n$ by 4.1(i).

(iii) There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(z, mw) \in \varrho$. Then $(2z, z + mw) \in \varrho$ and $\underline{p}(w) \geq \frac{1}{m} > 0$ by 4.1(i).

(iv) There is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(nw, z) \in \varrho$. Then $(nw + z, 2z) \in \varrho$ and $\underline{q}(w) \leq \frac{1}{n} \leq 1$ by 4.1(ii).

(v) We have $(z, z + mw) \in \varrho$, and hence $\underline{p}(w) \geq 0$ by 4.1(i).

(vi) We have $(nz + z, z) \in \varrho$, and hence $\underline{q}(w) \leq 0$ by 4.1(ii). \square

4.11 Proposition. (i) If $w \in A$ is right ϱ -archimedean then $0 < \sup \{ \frac{k}{m} | k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (kz, mw) \in \varrho \} = \underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w) = \inf \{ \frac{1}{n} | 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, (nw, lz) \in \varrho \}$. If, moreover, z is right ϱ -archimedean then $\underline{q}(w) < +\infty$. If z is left ϱ -archimedean then $1 \leq \underline{p}(w)$.
(ii) If both z and w are left ϱ -archimedean then $\sup \{ \frac{k}{m} | k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (kz, mw) \in \varrho \} = \underline{p}(w) = 1 = \underline{q}(w) - \inf \{ \frac{1}{n} | 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, (nw, lz) \in \varrho \}$.

Proof. (i) By 4.10(iii), we have $\underline{p}(w) > 0$ and the rest follows from 4.2, 4.4, 4.10(i) and 4.10 (iv).

(ii) We have $1 \leq \underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w) \leq 1$ by 4.10(ii),(iv). Thus $\underline{p}(w) = 1 = \underline{q}(w)$ and the rest follows from 4.2 and 4.4. \square

4.12 Proposition. Let $w \in A$ be such that m_0w is almost ϱ -positive for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then at least one of the following four cases holds:

- (1) $0 < \sup \{ \frac{k}{m} | k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (kz, mw) \in \varrho \} = \underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w) = \inf \{ \frac{1}{n} | 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, (nw, lz) \in \varrho \}$.
- (2) $0 = \underline{p}(w) < \underline{q}(w) = \inf \{ \frac{1}{n} | 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, (nw, lz) \in \varrho \}$.
- (3) $0 = \underline{p}(w) = \underline{q}(w) = \inf \{ \frac{1}{n} | 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, (nw, lz) \in \varrho \}$.
- (4) $\underline{p}(w) = 0 = \underline{q}(w)$ and there is $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that tw is both almost ϱ -positive and almost ϱ -negative (i.e., $(tw + v, v) \in \ker(\varrho)$ for every $v \in A$).

Proof. We have $\underline{p}(w) \geq 0$ by 4.10(v). The rest follows from 4.2, 4.4 and 4.8. \square

4.13 Remark. Let $z_1 \in A$ be right ϱ -regular. Put $p_1 = \underline{p}(z_1, A, \mathbb{N}z, h)$, $q_1 = \underline{q}(z_1, A, \mathbb{N}z, h)$, $p_2 = \underline{p}(z, A, \mathbb{N}z_1, h_{z_1})$, $q_2 = \underline{q}(z, A, \mathbb{N}z_1, h_{z_1})$ (see (2.1) and (2.2)).

(i) Now, assume that $0 < p_1$ and $0 < q_2$. Then $p_1 = \sup \{ \frac{k}{m} | k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (kz, mz_1) \in \varrho \}$ and $q_2 = \inf \{ \frac{m}{k} | k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (kz, mz_1) \in \varrho \}$. Since $p_1 > 0$, we have $q_2 < +\infty$ and, since $q_2 > 0$, we have $p_1 < +\infty$. Using this, we calculate easily that $p_1q_2 = 1$. Similarly, if $0 < p_2$ and $0 < q_1$ then $p_2q_1 = 1$. (Notice that $0 < p_1$ implies $0 < q_1$ and $0 < p_2$ implies $0 < q_2$. Thus $0 < p_1$ and $0 < p_2$ implies $q_2 = \frac{1}{p_1}$ and $q_1 = \frac{1}{p_2}$.)

(ii) If $p_1 = 1$ and $0 < q_2$ then $q_2 = 1$. If $q_2 = 1$ and $0 < p_1$ then $p_1 = 1$. If $p_2 = 1$ and $0 < q_1$ then $q_1 = 1$. If $q_1 = 1$ and $0 < p_2$ then $p_2 = 1$. If $p_1 = 1 = q_1$ and $0 < p_2$ then $p_2 = 1 = q_2$. If $p_2 = 1 = q_2$ and $0 < p_1$ then $p_1 = 1 = q_1$.

4.14 Remark. Let $w \in A$ be such that $\underline{p}(w) = 1 = \underline{q}(w)$. Then $\sup \{ \frac{k}{m} | k, m \in \mathbb{N}, (kz, mw) \in \varrho \} = 1 = \inf \{ \frac{1}{n} | 1, n \in \mathbb{N}, (nw, lz) \in \varrho \}$. Furthermore, suppose that $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an additive homomorphism such that $f(u) \leq f(v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \varrho$. Then $\frac{kf(z)}{m} \leq f(w) \leq \frac{lf(z)}{n}$ and we conclude that $f(z) = f(w)$.

4.15 Proposition. Let $w \in A$ Then:

- (i) If $\underline{q}(w) > 0$ then w is right ϱ -regular.
- (ii) If $\underline{p}(w) < 0$ then w is left ϱ -regular.
- (iii) If w is neither right nor left ϱ -regular then $\varrho \mathbb{N}w \neq \text{id}$ and $\underline{p}(w) = 0 = \underline{q}(w)$.

Proof. See 2.18. \square

5. Local summary

As usual in this paper, let ϱ be a stable and cancellative preordering defined on a commutative semigroup A .

5.1 Theorem. *Let $z \in A$ be right ϱ -archimedean and right ϱ -regular (cf. 1.22, 5.4). Suppose that for every $w \in A$ there are positive integers m, n such that $nz + mw$ or mw is almost ϱ -positive. Then there is an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(z) = 1$ and $f(u) \leq f(v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \varrho$.*

Proof. Put $B = \mathbb{N}z$ and $h(kz) = k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since z is right ϱ -regular, $B \cong \mathbb{N}$ and h is an injective additive homomorphism of B into \mathbb{R} such that $h(z) = 1$ and $h(a) \leq h(b)$ for all $a, b \in B$ such that $(a, b) \in \varrho$. If $nz + mw$ is almost ϱ -positive then $(z, (n+1)z + mw) \in \varrho$ and $-\frac{n}{m} \leq \underline{p}(w)$ by 4.1(i). If mw is almost ϱ -positive then $(z, z + mw) \in \varrho$ and $0 \leq \underline{p}(w)$ by 4.1(i). Since z is right ϱ -archimedean, we have $\underline{q}(w) < +\infty$ by 4.10(i). Thus $-\infty < \underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w) < +\infty$ for every $w \in A \setminus B$ and it follows from 2.9 and 2.10 that $A = \underline{V}(A, B, h)$. Now it remains to use 3.9. \square

5.2 Theorem. *Let $z \in A$ be right ϱ -archimedean and right ϱ -regular (cf. 1.22, 5.4). Suppose that for every $w \in B \setminus A$ (such that w is not right ϱ -archimedean) there is a positive integer m such that mw is almost ϱ -positive. Then there is an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ such that $f(z) = 1$ and $f(u) \leq f(v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \varrho$.*

Proof. Put $B = \mathbb{N}z$ and $h(kz) = k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since z is right ϱ -regular, $B \cong \mathbb{N}$ and h is an injective additive homomorphism such that $h(z) = 1$ and $h(a) \leq h(b)$ for all $a, b \in B$, $(a, b) \in \varrho$. If mw is almost ϱ -positive then $(z, z + mw) \in \varrho$ and $\underline{p}(w) \geq 0$ by 4.1(i). If w is right ϱ -archimedean then $\underline{p}(w) > 0$ by 4.10(iii). Furthermore, since z is right ϱ -archimedean, we have $\underline{q}(w) < +\infty$ by 4.10(i). Thus $0 \leq \underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w) < +\infty$ for every $w \in A \setminus B$ and it remains to use 3.13. \square

5.3 Theorem. (cf. 5.4) *Assume that every element from A is right ϱ -archimedean. Then, for every right ϱ -regular element $z \in A$, there is an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $f(z) = 1$ and $f(u) \leq f(v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \varrho$.*

Proof. Again, put $B = \mathbb{N}z$, $h(nz) = z$ and use 3.12. \square

5.4 Remark. Assume that $A/\ker(\varrho)$ is not a torsion group. Let $z \in A$ be such that z is right ϱ -archimedean, but not right ϱ -regular. By 1.22, every element from A is neither right ϱ -regular nor almost ϱ -positive. Besides, for all $a \in A$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$, the element ma is not right ϱ -archimedean.

5.5 Theorem. *Let $z \in A$ be right ϱ -regular. Suppose that for all $u_1, v_1 \in A$ such that $(u_1, v_1) \notin \varrho$ there is a positive integer m such that either $(u_1 + mz, v_1) \in \varrho$ or $(u_1, v_1 + mz) \in \varrho$. Then there is an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(z) = 1$ and $f(u) \leq f(v)$ for all $(u, v) \in \varrho$.*

Proof. Put $B = \mathbb{N}z$ and $h(nz) = n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $u_1, v_1 \in A$ are such that $(u_1, v_1) \notin \varrho$ then $(u_1 + mz, v_1) \in \varrho$ ($(u_1, v_1 + z) \in \varrho$, resp.) for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and we get $(u_1 + (m+1)z, v_1 + z) \in \varrho$ ($(u_1 + z, v_1 + (m+1)z) \in \varrho$, resp.). Consequently, the condition 2.17(2) is satisfied and it remains to use 3.9. \square

5.6 Proposition. *Let $z \in A$ be right ϱ -regular, $B = \mathbb{N}z$ and $h(mz) = m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\underline{V}(A, B, h) = A$ if and only if every element $w \in A$ ($w \in A \setminus B$) satisfies at least one of the following four conditions:*

- (1) $(n_1z, m_1w) \in \varrho$ and $(m_2w, n_2z) \in \varrho$ for some $n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ (then $(n_1m_2z, m_1m_2w) \in \varrho$, $(m_1m_2w, n_2m_1z) \in \varrho$, $(n_1m_2z, n_2m_2z) \in \varrho$, $n_1m_2 \leq n_2m_1$, $(n_1m_2w, n_1n_2z) \in \varrho$, $(n_1n_2z, n_2m_1w) \in \varrho$, $(n_1m_2w, n_2m_1w) \in \varrho$ and $0 < \underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w) < +\infty$);
- (2) There are $n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_1w + n_1z$ is almost ϱ -positive and $(m_2w, n_2z) \in \varrho$ (then $(m_1m_2w, n_2m_1z) \in \varrho$, $m_1m_2w + n_1m_2z$ is almost ϱ -positive, $(m_1m_2w + n_1m_2z, (n_1m_2 + n_2m_1)z) \in \varrho$ and $(n_1m_2 + n_2m_1)z$ is almost ϱ -positive);
- (3) mw is both almost ϱ -positive and almost ϱ -negative for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (then $(x, x + mw) \in \ker(\rho)$ for every $x \in A$ and $\underline{p}(w) = 0 = \underline{q}(w)$);
- (4) $mw + nz$ is both almost ϱ -positive and almost ϱ -negative for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ (then $\underline{p}(w) = -\frac{n}{m} = \underline{q}(w) < 0$).

Proof. (i) Let $w \in \underline{V}(A, B, h)$. Then we have $-\infty < \underline{p}(w) \leq \underline{q}(w) < +\infty$ and, according to 4.1(i),(ii), there are $k_1, k_2, l_1, l_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(k_1z, l_1z + m_1w) \in \varrho$ and $(m_2w + l_2z, k_2z) \in \varrho$. Now, we have to distinguish the following eight cases:

(i1) Let $k_1 > l_1$ and $k_2 > l_2$. Since ϱ is cancellative, we get $(n_1z, m_1w) \in \varrho$ and $(m_2w, n_2z) \in \varrho$, where $n_1 = k_1 - l_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_2 = k_2 - l_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus (1) is true.

(i2) Let $k_1 > l_2$ and $k_2 \leq l_2$. Then $(n_1z, m_1w) \in \varrho$ and $(m_2w + n_2z, z) \in \varrho$, where $n_1 = k_1 - l_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_2 = l_2 - k_2 + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $(n_1m_2z, m_1m_2w) \in \varrho$, $(m_1m_2w + m_1n_2z, m_1z) \in \varrho$, $((n_1m_2 + m_1n_2)z, m_1m_2w + m_1n_2z) \in \varrho$, $((n_1m_2 + m_1n_2)z, m_1z) \in \varrho$ and $n_1m_2 + m_1n_2 \leq m_1$, since z is right ϱ -regular. But this is a contradiction.

(i3) Let $k_1 = l_1$ and $k_2 > l_2$. Then $(z, z + m_1w) \in \varrho$ and $(m_2w, n_2z) \in \varrho$, where $n_2 = k_2 - l_2 + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, m_1w is almost ϱ -positive, m_1m_2w is almost ϱ -positive, n_2m_1z is almost ϱ -positive and, finally, $m_1w + n_2m_1z$ is almost ϱ -positive. Thus (2) is true.

(i4) Let $k_1 = l_1$ and $k_2 = l_2 + 1$. Then $(z, z + m_1w) \in \varrho$, $(m_2w + z, z) \in \varrho$, m_1w is almost ϱ -positive and m_2w is almost ϱ -negative. Now, m_1m_2w is both almost ϱ -positive and almost ϱ -negative and (4) is true.

(i5) Let $k_1 = l_1$ and $k_2 < l_2$. Then $(z, z + m_1w) \in \varrho$ and $(m_2w + n_2z, z) \in \varrho$, where $n_2 = l_2 - k_2 + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_2 \geq 2$. Now, m_1w is almost ϱ -positive, m_1m_2w is almost ϱ -positive, $(m_1z, m_1z + m_1m_2w) \in \varrho$, $(m_1m_2w + m_1n_2z, m_1z) \in \varrho$, $(m_1m_2w + w + m + +ln_2z, m_1m_2w + m_1z) \in \varrho$, $(m_1n_2z, m_1z) \in \varrho$, $m_1n_2 \leq m_1$ and $n_2 \leq 1$ since z is right ϱ -regular, but this is a contradiction.

(i6) Let $k_1 < l_1$ and $k_2 > l_2$. Then $(z, k_1z + m_1w) \in \varrho$ and $(m_2w, n_2z) \in \varrho$, where $n_2 = k_2 - l_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $k_3 = l_1 - k_1 + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $k_3 \geq 2$ and $n_1z + m_1w$ is almost ϱ -positive, where $n_1 = k_3 - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus (2) is true.

(i7) Let $k_1 < l_1$ and $k_2 = l_2$. Then $(z, k_3z + m_1w) \in \varrho$, where $k_3 = l_1 - k_1 + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_3 \geq 2$, and $(z + m_2w, z) \in \varrho$. Now, $n_1z + m_1w$ is almost ϱ -positive, where $n_1 = k_3 - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, and m_2w is almost ϱ -negative. Consequently, $n_1m_2z + m_1m_2w$ is almost ϱ -positive and m_1m_2w is almost ϱ -negative. It follows easily that n_1m_2z is almost ϱ -positive. Now, $(m_1m_2w + z, z) \in \varrho$, $(z, (n_1m_2 + z)) \in \varrho$, and hence $(m_1m_2w, m_1m_2z) \in \varrho$. Thus (2) is true.

(i8) Let $k_1 < l_1$ and $k_2 < l_2$. Then $(z, k_3z + m_1w) \in \varrho$, where $k_3 = l_1 - k_1 + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_3 \geq 2$ and $(m_2w + k_4z, z) \in \varrho$, where $k_4 = l_2 - k_2 + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_4 \geq 2$. Now, $n_1z + m_1w$ is almost ϱ -positive and $m_2w + n_2z$ is almost ϱ -negative, where $n_1 = k_3 - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n_2 = k_4 - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $n_1m_2z + m_1m_2w$ is almost ϱ -positive, $n_2m_1z + m_1m_2w$ is almost ϱ -negative, $(z, (n_1m_2 + 1)z + m_1m_2w) \in \varrho$, $((n_2m_1 + 1)z + m_1m_2w, z) \in \varrho$, $((n_2m_1 + 1)z, (n_1m_2 + 1)z) \in \varrho$, $(n_2m_1z, n_1m_2z) \in \varrho$ and $n_2m_1 \leq n_1m_2$ since z is right ϱ -regular.

If $n_2m_1 < n_1m_2$ then $(n_1m_2 - n_2m_1)z$ is almost ϱ -positive. On the other hand, $(n_1m_2 - n_2m_1)n_2m_1z + (n_1m_2 - n_2m_1)m_1m_2w$ is almost ϱ -negative and $(n_1m_2 - n_2m_1)n_2m_1z$ is almost ϱ -positive. Now, it follows easily that the element $(n_1m_2 - n_2m_1)m_1m_2w$ is almost ϱ -negative. Thus (3) is true.

Finally, if $n_2m_1 = n_1m_2$ then $mw + nz$ is both almost ϱ -positive and almost ϱ -negative, where $m = m_1m_2$ and $n = n_1m_2 = n_2m_1$. Thus (4) is true.

(ii) Let $w \in A$ satisfy at least one of the four conditions (1), ..., (4). One checks easily that $-\infty < \underline{p}(w)$ and $\underline{q}(w) < +\infty$. \square

5.7 Proposition. *Assume that no element from A is both almost ϱ -positive and almost ϱ -negative (equivalently, $0 \notin A/\ker(\varrho)$). Let $z \in A$ be right ϱ -regular, $B = \mathbb{N}z$ and $h(mz) = m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\underline{V}(A, B, h) = A$ if and only if every element $w \in A$ ($w \in A \setminus B$) satisfies at least one of the following two conditions:*

- (1) $(n_1z, m_1w) \in \varrho$ and $(m_2w, n_2z) \in \varrho$ for some $n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (2) There are $n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_1w + n_1z$ is almost ϱ -positive and $(m_2w, n_2z) \in \varrho$.

Proof. Use 5.6. \square

5.8 Proposition. *Let $z \in A$ be right ϱ -regular and $B = \mathbb{N}z$. Assume that every element from $A \setminus B$ is almost ϱ -positive. Then $\underline{V}(A, B, h) = A$ if and only if every element $w \in A$ ($w \in A \setminus B$) satisfies at least one of the following two conditions:*

- (1) $(mw, nz) \in \varrho$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ (then nz is almost ϱ -positive);
- (2) mw is both almost ϱ -positive and almost ϱ -negative for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (then $mw \notin B$ and $(x, x + mw) \in \ker(\varrho)$ for every $x \in A$).

Proof. Since every element from $A \setminus B$ is almost ϱ -positive, we have $\underline{p}(w) \geq 0$ for every $w \in A$. Now, $w \in \underline{V}$ if and only if $\underline{q}(w) < +\infty$, i.e., $(mw + lz, kz) \in \varrho$ for some $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that this is true. If $w \in B$ then (1) is true. If $w \notin B$ then w is almost ϱ -positive, and hence $(lz, mw + lz) \in \varrho$. Then $(lz, kz) \in \varrho$ and $l \leq k$, since z is

right ϱ -regular. If $l < k$ then $(mw, nz) \in \varrho$, where $n + k - l \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k = l$ then mw is both almost ϱ -positive and almost ϱ -negative. The converse is obvious. \square

5.9 Proposition. *Let $z \in A$ be right ϱ -regular and $B = \mathbb{N}z$. Assume that every element from $A \setminus B$ is almost ϱ -positive but not almost ϱ -negative. Then $\underline{V}(A, B, h) = A$ if and only if z is right ϱ -archimedean.*

Proof. If $\underline{V}(A, B, h) = A$ and $w \in A$ then $(mw, nz) \in \varrho$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ by 5.8. If $w \notin B$ then w is almost ϱ -positive, $(w, mw) \in \varrho$ and $(w, nz) \in \varrho$. If $w \in B$ then $w = kz$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The rest is obvious. \square

6. The cancellative cover

Let ϱ be a stable preordering defined on a commutative semigroup A . Define a relation $\sigma = \underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho)$ on A by $(a, b) \in \sigma$ if and only if $(a + c, b + c) \in \varrho$ for at least one $c \in A$.

6.1 Proposition. *σ is a stable and cancellative preordering. It is the smallest cancellative relation containing ϱ (the cancellative cover or envelope of ϱ).*

Proof. Since $(2a, 2a) \in \varrho$, we have $(a, a) \in \sigma$ and σ is reflexive. If $(a, b) \in \sigma$ and $(b, c) \in \sigma$ and $(a + c_1, b + c_1) \in \varrho$, $(b + c_2, c + c_2) \in \varrho$ for suitable $c_1, c_2 \in A$ and we get $(a + c_1 + c_2, b + c_1 + c_2) \in \varrho$, $(b + c_1 + c + 2, c + c + 1 + c + 2) \in \varrho$ and $(a + c_1 + c_2, c + c_1 + c_2) \in \varrho$. Thus $(a, c) \in \sigma$ and we see that σ is transitive. It means that σ is a preordering.

If $(a, c) \in \sigma$, $(a + c, b + c) \in \varrho$ and $d \in A$ then $(a + d + c, b + d + c) \in \varrho$ and $(a + d, b + d) \in \sigma$ and $(a + d, b + d) \in \sigma$. It follows that σ is stable.

If $(a + d, b + d) \in \sigma$ then $(a + d + c, b + d + c) \in \varrho$ for some $c \in A$, and hence $(a, b) \in \sigma$. It follows that σ is cancellative.

If $(a, b) \in \varrho$ then $(a + c, b + c) \in \varrho$ for every $c \in A$ and we have $(a, b) \in \sigma$. Thus $\varrho \subseteq \sigma$.

Finally, if λ is a cancellative relation defined on A such that $\varrho \subseteq \lambda$ and if $(a + c, b + c) \in \varrho$ then $(a, b) \in \lambda$. Consequently, $\sigma \subseteq \lambda$ and σ is just the smallest cancellative relation containing ϱ . \square

6.2 Corollary. *$\varrho = \sigma$ if and only if ϱ is cancellative.* \square

6.3 Lemma. *$\ker(\sigma) = \underline{\text{cn}}(\ker(\varrho))$ is a cancellative congruence of the semigroup A .*

Proof. If $(a, b) \in \ker(\sigma)$ then $(a + c, b + c) \in \varrho$ and $(b + d, c + d) \in \varrho$ for some $c, d \in A$. Then $(a + c + d, b + c + d) \in \ker(\varrho)$ and $(a, b) \in \underline{\text{cn}}(\ker(\varrho))$. The rest is clear. \square

6.4 Proposition. *$\underline{\text{cn}}(\text{id}_A)$ is the smallest cancellative congruence of the semigroup A .*

Proof. It is obvious. \square

6.5 Lemma. σ is an ordering if and only if ϱ is an ordering and the semigroup A is cancellative.

Proof. If σ is an ordering then $\underline{\text{cn}}(\ker(\varrho)) = \text{id}_A$ by 6.3. Then $\ker(\varrho) = \text{id}_A$, ϱ is an ordering, $\underline{\text{cn}}(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_A$ and A is cancellative. The converse implication is similar. \square

6.6 Lemma. (i) Every almost ϱ -positive (almost ϱ -negative, resp.) element is almost σ -positive (almost σ -negative, resp.).

(ii) Every right (left, resp.) ϱ -archimedean element is right (left, resp.) σ -archimedean.

(iii) Every right (left, resp.) σ -regular element is right (left, resp.) ϱ -regular.

Proof. It is obvious. \square

6.7 Remark. Notice that $A/\ker(\sigma)$ is not a torsion groups if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

(6.1) There is at least one element $w \in A$ such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $v_m \in A$ such that for every $u \in A$ we have either $(mw + v_m + u, v_m + u) \notin \varrho$ or $(v_m + u, mw + v_m + u) \notin \varrho$.

If ϱ is an ordering (i.e., $\ker(\varrho) = \text{id}_A$) then (6.1) is equivalent to

(6.2) There is at least one element $w \in A$ such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $v_m \in A$ such that for every $u \in A$ we have $mw + v_m + u \neq v_m + u$.

6.8 Lemma. An element $a \in A$ is right (left, resp.) σ -regular if and only if $m \leq n$ whenever $(m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in A$ are such that $(ma + b, na + b) \in \varrho$ ($(na + b, ma + b) \in \varrho$, resp.).

Proof. It is obvious. \square

6.9 Remark. Let B be a subsemigroup of A and $h : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an additive homomorphism such that $h(a) \leq h(b)$ whenever $a, b \in B$, $v \in A$ and $(a + v, b + v) \in \varrho$. This means that $h(a) \leq h(b)$ whenever $a, b \in B$ and $(a, b) \in \sigma$. Now, we can make use of all the results from the foregoing four sections. In particular, when $B = \mathbb{N}z$, $z \in A$ being right σ -regular.

6.10 Theorem. Let $z \in A$ be right $\underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho)$ -regular (i.e. $l \leq k$ whenever $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in A$ are such that $(lz + u, kz + u) \in \varrho$). Assume that every element $w \in A$ ($w \in A \setminus \mathbb{N}z$) satisfies at least one of the following three conditions:

- (1) There are $n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u, v \in A$ such that $(n_1z + u, m_1w + u) \in \varrho$ and $(m_2w + v, n_2z + v) \in \varrho$;
- (2) There are $n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u, v \in A$ such that $(z + u, m_1w + n_1z + u) \in \varrho$ and $(m_2w + v, n_2z + v) \in \varrho$;
- (3) $(z + u, mw + nz + u) \in \varrho$ and $(mw + nz + u, z + u) \in \varrho$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in A$.

Then there is an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(z) = 1$ and $f(x) \leq f(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \varrho$.

Proof. As we know, $\sigma = \underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho)$ is a cancellative stable preordering and, since z is right σ -regular, we have $B = \mathbb{N}z \cong \mathbb{N}$ and $h : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $h(mz) = m$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is an additive homomorphism such that $h(a) \leq h(b)$ for all $a, b \in B$, $(a, b) \in \sigma$. In view of 3.9, we have to check that $\underline{V}(A, B, h) = A$ (where ϱ is replaced by σ). Of course, $B \subseteq \underline{V}$. Let $w \in A \setminus B$. If (1) is true then $((n_1 + 1)z, m_1w) \in \sigma$, $\frac{n_1}{m_1} \leq \underline{p}(w)$, $(m_2w + z, (n_2 + 1)z) \in \sigma$, $\underline{q}(w) \leq \frac{n_2}{m_2}$. If (2) is true then $(z, m_1w + n_1z) \in \sigma$, $\frac{1-n_1}{m_1} \leq \underline{p}(w)$, $(m_2w + z, (n_2 + 1)z) \in \sigma$, $\underline{q}(w) \leq \frac{n_2}{m_2}$. If (3) is true then $(z, mw + nz) \in \sigma$, $\frac{1-n}{m} \leq \underline{p}(w)$, $(mu + nz, z) \in \sigma$, $\underline{q}(w) \leq \frac{1-n}{m}$. \square

6.11 Theorem. *Let $z \in A$ be $\underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho)$ -regular (i.e., $l \leq k$ whenever $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in A$ are such that $(lz + u, kz + u) \in \varrho$). Assume that every element $w \in A$ ($w \in A \setminus \mathbb{N}z$) satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (1) $(mw + u, nz + u) \in \varrho$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in A$;
- (2) For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $n_k, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_k \in A$ such that $(z + u_k, m_kw + n_kz + u_k) \in \varrho$ and $m_k \geq k(n_k - 1)$.

Then there is an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ such that $f(z) = 1$ and $f(x) \leq f(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \varrho$.

Proof. By 6.10, there is an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(z) = 1$ and $f(x) \leq f(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \varrho$. Of course, $f(\mathbb{N}z) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$. On the other hand, if $(z + u, m_kw + n_kz + u_k) \in \varrho$ then $1 \leq m_k f(w) + n_k$, and hence $-f(w) \leq \frac{n_k - 1}{m_k} \leq \frac{1}{k}$. Thus $-f(w) \leq 0$ and $0 \leq f(w)$. \square

6.12 Theorem. *Let $z \in A$ be $\underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho)$ -regular (i.e., $l \leq k$ whenever $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in A$ are such that $(lz + u, kz + u) \in \varrho$). Assume that for every $w \in A$ ($w \in A \setminus \mathbb{N}z$) there are $n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u, v \in A$ such that $(n_1z + u, m_1w + u) \in \varrho$ and $(m_2w + v, n_2z + v) \in \varrho$. Then there is an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $f(z) = 1$ and $f(x) \leq f(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \varrho$.*

Proof. By 6.10, there is an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(z) = 1$ and $f(x) \leq f(y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \varrho$. Of course, $f(\mathbb{N}z) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$. On the other hand, if $(n_1z + u, m_1w + u) \in \varrho$ then $n_1 \leq m_1 f(w)$ and $0 < \frac{n_1}{m_1} \leq f(w)$. Thus $f(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$. \square

7. The cancellative factor

In this section, let ϱ be a stable and cancellative preordering defined on a commutative semigroup A . As we know, $\underline{\alpha}_A = \underline{\text{cn}}(\text{id}_A)$ is just the smallest cancellative congruence of A ; we have $\underline{\alpha}_A \subseteq \ker(\varrho)$ and $(a, b) \in \underline{\alpha}_A$ if and only if $a + c = b + c$ for at least one $c \in A$. Now, let $\varphi : A \rightarrow \bar{A} = A/\underline{\alpha}_A$ denote the natural projection. Then \bar{A} is a cancellative semigroup and, for every $a \in A$, we put $\bar{a} = \varphi(a)$.

7.1 Lemma. *Let $a, b, c, d \in A$ be such that $(a, b) \in \varrho$, $\bar{a} = \bar{c}$ and $\bar{b} = \bar{d}$. Then $(c, d) \in \varrho$.*

Proof. We have $a+u = c+u$ and $b+v = d+v$ for some $u, v \in A$. Now, $a+w = c+w$ and $b+w = d+w$, where $w = u+v$, and $(c+w, d+w) = (a+w, b+w) \in \varrho$. Since ϱ is cancellative, we get $(c, d) \in \varrho$. \square

In view of the preceding lemma, we see that ϱ induces a relation $\bar{\varrho} = \varphi(\varrho) = \varrho/\underline{\alpha}_A$ defined on \bar{A} such that $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = (\varphi(a), \varphi(b)) \in \bar{\varrho}$ for all $(a, b) \in \varrho$ (in fact, $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \in \bar{\varrho}$ if and only if $(a, b) \in \varrho$).

7.2 Lemma. $\bar{\varrho}$ is a stable and cancellative preordering defined on the cancellative semigroup \bar{A} .

Proof. It is easy. \square

7.4 Lemma. $\bar{\varrho}$ is an ordering if and only if $\ker(\varrho) = \underline{\alpha}_A$ (i.e., for every $(a, b) \in \ker(\varrho)$ there is $c \in A$ with $a+c, b+c$).

Proof. It is easy. \square

7.5 Remark. Of course, if ϱ is an ordering then $\underline{\alpha}_A = \text{id}_A$ and A is cancellative.

7.6 Lemma. (i) If $a \in A$ is almost ϱ -positive (almost ϱ -negative, resp.) then $\bar{a} \in \bar{A}$ is almost $\bar{\varrho}$ -positive (almost $\bar{\varrho}$ -negative, resp.).

(ii) If $a \in A$ is right (left, resp.) ϱ -archimedean then \bar{a} is right (left, resp.) $\bar{\varrho}$ -archimedean.

(iii) If $a \in A$ is right (left, resp.) ϱ -regular then $\bar{a} \in \bar{A}$ is right (left, resp.) $\bar{\varrho}$ -regular.

Proof. It is obvious. \square

7.7 Remark. Let B be a subsemigroup of A and $h : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an additive homomorphism such that $h(a) \leq h(b)$ for all $a, b \in B$, $(a, b) \in \varrho$. Assume, furthermore, that $h(a_1) = h(b_1)$ whenever $a_1, b_1 \in B$ and $u \in A$ are such that $a_1 + u = b_1 + u$ (i.e., $(a_1, b_1) \in \underline{\alpha}_A$). Then h induces an additive homomorphism $\bar{h} : \bar{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bar{h}(\bar{a}) = h(a)$ for every $a \in A$ and $\bar{h}(\bar{a}_2) \leq \bar{h}(\bar{b}_2)$ for all $\bar{a}_2, \bar{b}_2 \in \bar{B}$, $(\bar{a}_2, \bar{b}_2) \in \bar{\varrho}$.

8. The antisymmetric factor

Let ϱ be a stable preordering defined on a commutative semigroup A . Then $\ker(\varrho)$ is a congruence of A and we put $\tilde{A} = A/\ker(\varrho)$. Let $\psi : A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ be the natural projection. Now, ϱ induces a relation $\tau = \bar{\varrho} = \psi(\varrho) = \varrho/\ker(\varrho)$ on \tilde{A} , where $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) \in \bar{\varrho}$ if and only if $(a, b) \in \varrho$.

8.1 Proposition. τ is a stable ordering defined on the factorsemigroup \tilde{A} .

Proof. It is easy. \square

8.2 Lemma. τ is cancellative if and only if ϱ is such (then $\ker(\varrho)$ is cancellative and \tilde{A} is a cancellative semigroup).

Proof. It is easy. □

8.3 Lemma. (i) If $a \in A$ is almost ϱ -positive (almost ϱ -negative, resp.) then $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$ is almost τ -positive (almost τ -negative, resp.)

(ii) If $a \in A$ is right (left, resp.) ϱ -archimedean then $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$ is right (left, resp.) τ -archimedean.

(iii) If $a \in A$ is right (left, resp.) ϱ -regular then $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$ is right (left, resp.) τ -regular.

Proof. It is obvious. □

8.5 Remark. Let B be a subsemigroup of A and let $h : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an additive homomorphism such that $h(a) \leq h(b)$ for all $a, b \in B$ with $(a, b) \in \varrho$. If $a_1, b_1 \in B$ are such that $(a_1, b_1) \in \ker(\varrho)$ then $h(a_1) = h(b_1)$, and so $\ker(\varrho)|_B \subseteq \ker(h)$. Then, of course, h induces an additive homomorphism $\tilde{h} : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{h}(\tilde{a}) \leq \tilde{h}(\tilde{b})$ for all $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{B}$ with $(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) \in \tau$. We have $h = \tilde{h}\psi$.

8.6 Assume that ϱ is cancellative and put $\sigma = (\varrho \setminus \ker(\varrho)) \cup \text{id}_A$ (see 1.1). Then σ is an ordering and $\sigma \subseteq \varrho$. If $(a, b) \in \sigma$ and $a \neq b$ then $(a, b) \in \varrho$ and $(b, a) \notin \varrho$. Now, $(a+c, b+c) \in \varrho$ and $(b+c, a+c) \notin \varrho$ for every $c \in A$, since ϱ is stable and cancellative. It means that σ is a stable ordering. Similarly, if $(a+c, b+c) \in \varrho$ and $a+c \neq b+c$ then $(b+c, a+c) \notin \varrho$, $(b, a) \notin \varrho$ and $(a, b) \in \sigma$. Thus σ is cancellative, provided that the semigroup A is cancellative.

Let $a \in A$ be almost ϱ -positive. If a is not almost σ -positive then $(u, a+u) \notin \sigma$ for some $u \in A$ and we have $a+u \neq u$, $(a+u, u) \in \varrho$ and $(u, a+u) \in \ker(\varrho)$. Since ϱ is cancellative, we see that a is almost ϱ -negative as well. Thus $a/\ker(\varrho) = 0_{A/\ker(\varrho)}$.

Let $a \in A$ be right ϱ -archimedean. If a is not right σ -archimedean then there is $u \in A$ such that $(u, ma) \notin \sigma$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It means that $u \neq ma$ and either $(u, ma) \notin \varrho$ or $(u, ma) \in \ker(\varrho)$. Since a is right ϱ -archimedean, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(u, na) \in \varrho$. Consequently, $u \neq na$ and $(u, na) \in \ker(\varrho)$. Now, assume that a is almost ϱ -positive. Then $(na, 2na) \in \varrho$, $(u, 2na) \in \varrho$, $(u, 2na) \in \ker(\varrho)$, $(na, 2na) \in \ker(\varrho)$ and $na/\ker(\varrho) = 0_{A/\ker(\varrho)}$. If $a/\ker(\varrho) = 0_{A/\ker(\varrho)}$ then a is almost ϱ -negative.

9. The unperforated cover

As always, let ϱ be a stable preordering defined on a commutative semigroup A . The preordering ϱ is called *unperforated* if $(a, b) \in \varrho$ whenever $a, b \in A$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $(ma, mb) \in \varrho$.

9.1 Lemma. If ϱ is unperforated then the factor-semigroup $A/\ker(\varrho)$ is torsionfree and $\ker(\varrho)$ is unperforated.

Proof. If $ma/\ker(\varrho) = mb/\ker(\varrho)$ for some $a, b \in A$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then $(ma, mb) \in \ker(\varrho)$. Since ϱ is unperforated, we have $(a, b) \in \ker(\varrho)$ and $a/\ker(\varrho) = b/\ker(\varrho)$. □

9.2 Lemma. (cf. 1.8 and 1.23) *Assume that ϱ is unperforated. If $a \in A$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that ma is almost ϱ -positive (almost ϱ -negative, resp.) then a is almost ϱ -positive (almost ϱ -negative, resp.).*

Proof. We have $(mx, mx + ma) \in \varrho$ for every $x \in A$. Since ϱ is unperforated, it follows that $x, x + a \in \varrho$. Thus a is almost ϱ -positive. \square

Now, define a relation $\tau = \underline{\text{up}}(\varrho)$ on A by $(a, b) \in \tau$ if and only if $(ma, mb) \in \varrho$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

9.3 Lemma. (i) τ is a stable preordering.
(ii) $\varrho \subseteq \tau$ and τ is unperforated.
(iii) τ is just the smallest unperforated relation containing ϱ (the unperforated cover of ϱ).

Proof. It is easy. \square

9.4 Lemma. (i) $\ker(\tau) = \underline{\text{up}}(\ker(\varrho))$.
(ii) τ is an ordering if and only if ϱ is an ordering and the semigroup A is torsionfree.

Proof. It is easy. \square

9.5 Lemma. *If ϱ is cancellative then τ is cancellative.*

Proof. It is easy. \square

9.6 Lemma. (i) $\lambda = \underline{\text{cn}}(\underline{\text{up}}(\varrho)) = \underline{\text{up}}(\underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho))$ is a stable cancellative unperforated preordering.
(ii) λ is just the smallest cancellative unperforated relation containing ϱ .

Proof. First, let $(a, b) \in \underline{\text{cn}}(\underline{\text{up}}(\varrho))$. Then $(a + c, b + c) \in \underline{\text{up}}(\varrho)$ for some $c \in A$ and there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(ma + mc, mb + mc) \in \varrho$. Consequently, $(ma, mb) \in \underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho)$ and $(a, b) \in \underline{\text{up}}(\underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho))$. Thus $\underline{\text{cn}}(\underline{\text{up}}(\varrho)) \subseteq \underline{\text{up}}(\underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho))$.

Conversely, let $(a, b) \in \underline{\text{up}}(\underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho))$. Then there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(na, nb) \in \underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho)$ and $(na + d, nb + d) \in \varrho$ for some $d \in A$. Consequently, $(na + nd, nb + nd) \in \varrho$, $(a + d, b + d) \in \underline{\text{up}}(\varrho)$ and $(a, b) \in \underline{\text{cn}}(\underline{\text{up}}(\varrho))$. Thus $\underline{\text{up}}(\underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho)) \subseteq \underline{\text{cn}}(\underline{\text{up}}(\varrho))$. \square

9.7 Lemma. (i) $\ker(\lambda) = \underline{\text{cn}}(\underline{\text{up}}(\ker(\varrho))) = \underline{\text{up}}(\underline{\text{cn}}(\ker(\varrho)))$.
(ii) λ is an ordering if and only if ϱ is an ordering and A is a cancellative torsionfree semigroup.

Proof. Use 9.6(i). \square

Put $\beta_{\underline{A}} = \underline{\text{up}}(\text{id}_A)$. As we know, $\beta_{\underline{A}}$ is the smallest congruence of A such that the corresponding factor-semigroup is torsionfree; we have $(a, b) \in \beta_{\underline{A}}$ if and only if $ma = mb$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $\beta_{\underline{A}} = A \times A$ if and only if A is torsion.

Put $\gamma_{\underline{A}} = \underline{\text{cn}}(\underline{\text{up}}(\text{id}_A)) (= \underline{\text{up}}(\underline{\text{cn}}(\text{id}_A)))$. As we know, $\gamma_{\underline{A}}$ is the smallest congruence of A such that the corresponding factor-semigroup is cancellative and torsionfree; we have $(a, b) \in \gamma_{\underline{A}}$ if and only if $ma + c = mb + c$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in A$.

9.8 Remark. Let B be a subsemigroup of A and let $h : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an additive homomorphism such that $h(a) \leq h(b)$ for all $a, b \in B$ with $(a, b) \in \varrho$. If $a_1, b_1 \in B$ are such that $(a_1, b_1) \in \underline{\text{up}}(\varrho)$ then $(ma_1, mb_1) \in \varrho$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $mh(a_1) \leq mh(b_1)$ and $h(a_1) \leq h(b_1)$.

Now, assume that $h(a_2) \leq h(b_2)$ for all $a_2, b_2 \in B$ such that $(a_2, b_2) \in \underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho)$ (cf. 6.9). Then $h(a_3) \leq h(b_3)$ for all $a_3, b_3 \in B$ with $(a_3, b_3) \in \underline{\text{up}}(\underline{\text{cn}}(\varrho))$.

9.9 Remark. Assume that ϱ is unperforated (unperforated and cancellative, resp.) Then ϱ induces an unperforated preordering $\varrho/\underline{\beta}_A$ ($\varrho/\underline{\gamma}_A$, resp.) on the torsionfree (torsionfree and cancellative, resp.) semigroup $A/\underline{\beta}_A$ ($A/\underline{\gamma}_A$, resp.).

9.10 Remark. Assume that ϱ is unperforated (unperforated and cancellative, resp.) (see 9.9). Let $h : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an additive homomorphism such that $h(a_1) = h(b_1)$ whenever $a_1, b_1 \in B$ are such that $(a_1, b_1) \in \underline{\alpha}_A$. Then h induces an additive homomorphism $h/\underline{\beta}_A : B/\underline{\beta}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($h/\underline{\gamma}_A : B/\underline{\gamma}_A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, resp.) and this induced homomorphism preserves the induced preordering (see 9.9). In this situation, notice that $\underline{\beta}_B = \underline{\beta}_A | B \times B$.

10. Homomorphisms into \mathbb{R}

In 10.1 – 10.7, let ϱ be a stable preordering defined on a commutative semigroup A and let $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an additive homomorphism such that $f(a) \leq f(b)$ for all $(a, b) \in \varrho$.

10.1 Lemma. $\ker(\varrho) \cup \underline{\alpha}_A \cup \underline{\beta}_A \subseteq \ker(\varrho) \cup \underline{\gamma}_A \subseteq \ker(\underline{\text{cn}}(\underline{\text{un}}(\varrho))) \subseteq \ker(\varrho)$ and $A/\ker(\varrho) \cong f(A)$ is a cancellative torsionfree semigroup.

Proof. If $(a, b) \in \ker(\underline{\text{cn}}(\underline{\text{un}}(\varrho)))$ then $ma + c = mb + c$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in A$. It follows immediately that $f(a) = f(b)$. The rest is clear. □

10.2 Lemma. If $(a, b) \in \underline{\text{cn}}(\underline{\text{un}}(\varrho))$ then $f(a) \leq f(b)$.

Proof. It is easy. □

10.3 Lemma. If $a \in A$ is almost ϱ -positive (almost ϱ -negative, resp.) then $f(a) \geq 0$ ($f(a) \leq 0$, resp.).

Proof. We have $(a, 2a) \in \varrho$, and so $0 \leq f(a)$. □

10.4 Lemma. Let $a \in A$ be right (left, resp.) ϱ -archimedean.

- (i) If $f(u) > 0$ ($f(u) < 0$, resp.) for at least one $u \in A$ then $f(a) > 0$ ($f(a) < 0$, resp.).
- (ii) If $f(v) \geq 0$ ($f(v) \leq 0$, resp.) for at least one $v \in A$ then $f(a) \geq 0$ ($f(a) \leq 0$, resp.).
- (iii) If $f(a) \in \mathbb{R}^-$ ($f(a) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, resp.) then $f(a)$ is the greatest (the smallest, resp.) number in $f(A)$.

Proof. For every $w \in A$ there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\frac{f(w)}{m} \leq f(a)$. The rest is clear. □

10.5 Lemma. Let $a \in A$ be such that $f(a) > 0$ ($f(a) < 0$, resp.). Then a is right (left, resp.) ϱ -regular.

Proof. It is easy. □

10.6 Define a relation μ on A by $(a, b) \in \mu$ if and only if $f(a) \leq f(b)$. Then $\varrho \subseteq \underline{\text{cn}}(\underline{\text{un}}(\varrho)) \subseteq \mu$ and μ is a stable, cancellative and unperforated preordering defined on the semigroup A . Clearly, $\ker(\mu) = \ker(f)$, and hence μ is an ordering if and only if the homomorphism f is injective.

An element $a \in A$ is almost μ -positive (almost μ -negative, resp.) if and only if $f(a) \geq 0$ ($f(a) \leq 0$, resp.).

If $f(u) > 0$ ($f(u) < 0$, resp.) for at least one $u \in A$ then an element $a \in A$ is right (left, resp.) μ -archimedean if and only if $f(a) > 0$ ($f(a) < 0$, resp.).

If $f(A) \leq 0$ ($0 \leq f(A)$, resp.) and $f(v) = 0$ for at least one $v \in A$ then an element $a \in A$ is right (left, resp.) μ -archimedean if and only if $f(a) = 0$.

If $f(A) < 0$ ($0 < f(A)$, resp.) then an element $a \in A$ is right (left, resp.) μ -archimedean if and only if $f(a)$ is the greatest (the smallest, resp.) number in $F(A)$.

If $f(a) > 0$ ($f(a) < 0$, resp.) then a is right (left, resp.) μ -regular. In fact, we have $(ma, na) \in \mu$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \leq n$ ($n \leq m$, resp.). If $f(a) = 0$ then a is neither right nor left μ -regular.

Finally, notice that $\mu = \text{id}_A$ if and only if $|A| = 1$ and that $\mu = A \times A$ if and only if $f = 0$.

10.7 Define a relation ν on A by $(a, b) \in \nu$ if and only if either $(a, b) \in \ker(\varrho)$ or $f(a) < f(b)$. Then ν is a stable preordering on A and $\nu \subseteq \mu$ (see 10.6). Clearly, $\ker(\nu) = \ker(\varrho)$, and hence ν is an ordering if and only if ϱ is so. If $\ker(\varrho)$ is cancellative then ν is cancellative. If $\ker(\varrho)$ is unperforated then ν is unperforated. If $(a, b) \in \nu$ then $f(a) \leq f(b)$.

If $a \in A$ is such that $f(a) > 0$ ($f(a) < 0$, resp.) then a is almost ν -positive (almost ν -negative, resp.), right (left, resp.) ν -archimedean and right (left, resp.) ν -regular.

Finally, notice that $\nu = \text{id}_A$ if and only if ϱ is an ordering and $f = 0$, and that $\nu = A \times A$ if and only if $\varrho = A \times A$ (and then $f = 0$).

10.8 Let $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-zero additive homomorphism. If $z \in A$ is such that $r = f(z) \neq 0$ then the mapping $g = r^{-1}f$ is again an additive homomorphism from A to \mathbb{R} . Of course, we have $g(z) = 1$.

Define a relation ν on A by $(a, b) \in \nu$ if and only if $f(a) < f(b)$ or $a = b$ (see 10.7). Then ν is a stable ordering on the semigroup A . If A is cancellative then ν is so (in fact, $(a + c, b + c) \in \nu \setminus \text{id}_A$ always implies $(a, b) \in \nu \setminus \text{id}_A$). If A is torsionfree then ν is unperforated (in fact, $(ma, mb) \in \nu \setminus \text{id}_A$ always implies $(a, b) \in \nu \setminus \text{id}_A$).

Put $\nu_1 = \underline{\text{cn}}(\nu)$, $\nu_2 = \underline{\text{un}}(\nu)$ and $\nu_3 = \underline{\text{cn}}(\underline{\text{un}}(\nu))$. Now, $(a, b) \in \nu_1$ iff either $(a, b) \in \nu$ or $a + c = b + c$ for some $c \in A$. Thus $\nu_1 = \nu \cup \underline{\alpha}_A$. Similarly, $\nu_2 = \nu \cup \underline{\beta}_A$ and $\nu_3 = \nu \cup \underline{\gamma}_A$.

Now, choose $z \in A$ with $f(z) > 0$. Then z is almost ν -positive, right ν -archimedean and right ν -regular (in fact, $(mz, nz) \in \nu$ iff $m \leq n$). Moreover, z is right ν_i -regular for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and for every $w \in A$ there are $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w + n_1z$ is almost ν -positive and $(w, n_2z) \in \nu$ (see 5.6).

10.9 Theorem. *The following conditions are equivalent for a commutative semigroup A :*

- (i) *There is at least one non-zero additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*
- (ii) *There is at least one additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $1 \in f(A)$.*
- (iii) *There is a stable ordering \leq on A such that the following conditions are true:*
 - (iii1) *If $a, b, c \in A$ are such that $a + c \leq b + c$ then either $a \leq b$ or $a + c = b + c$;*
 - (iii2) *If $a, b \in A$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $ma \leq mb$ then either $a \leq b$ or $ma = mb$;*
 - (iii3) *There is at least one right \leq -archimedean and almost \leq -positive element $z \in A$ such that $m \leq n$ whenever $mz + u \leq nz + u$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u \in A$, and for every $w \in A$ there is at least one $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $w = kz$ being almost \leq -positive (we can also assume that $m_1z \leq n_1z$ for all $m_1, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_1 \leq n_1$).*
- (iv) *There is a stable preordering ϱ on A such that at least one element $z \in A$ satisfies the following conditions:*
 - (iv1) *$l \leq k$ whenever $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in A$ are such that $(lz + u, kz + u) \in \varrho$;*
 - (iv2) *For every $w \in A \setminus \mathbb{N}z$ there are $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in A$ such that either $(n_1z + u, m_1w + u) \in \varrho$ and $(m_w + u, n_z + u) \in \varrho$, or $(z + u, m_1w + n_1z + u) \in \varrho$ and $(n_2w + u, n_2z + u) \in \varrho$, or $(z + u, m_1w + n_1z + u) \in \varrho$ and $(m_1w + n_1z + u, z + u) \in \varrho$.*

Proof. (i) implies (ii). See 10.2.

(ii) implies (iii). See 10.3.

(iii) implies (iv). This is clear.

(iv) implies (i). See 6.10. □

10.10 Remark. (i) Let A be a non-trivial cancellative and torsionfree commutative semigroup. The group $G = A - A$ of differences is torsionfree, and hence for every $0 \neq u \in G$ there is an additive homomorphism $g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that $g(u) = 1$. In particular, for every $a \in A$, $a \neq 0_A$, there is an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ with $f(a) = 1$.

(ii) Let A be a commutative semigroup. If $\underline{\gamma}_A = A \times A$ (i.e., no non-trivial homomorphic image of A is a cancellative and torsionfree semigroup) then there is no non-zero additive homomorphism of A into \mathbb{R} . On the other hand, if $\underline{\gamma}_A \neq A \times A$ then $\overline{A} = A/\underline{\gamma}_A$ is a non-trivial cancellative and torsionfree semigroup and it follows from (i) that there are non-zero additive homomorphisms of A into \mathbb{R} . In fact, if $a \in A$ is such that $(a, 2a) \notin \underline{\gamma}_A$ (i.e., $ma + u \neq 2ma + u$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in A$) then there is an additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ with $f(a) = 1$.

(iii) Let A be a commutative semigroup and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be an additive homomorphism such that $f(A) \cap \mathbb{Q}^- \neq \emptyset \neq f(A) \cap \mathbb{Q}^+$. Then $A/\ker(f) \cong f(A)$ is a non-zero torsionfree group.

(iv) Let A be a commutative semigroup such that $\underline{\gamma}_A \neq A \times A$ and no non-trivial homomorphic image of A is a torsionfree group. Then there is at least one non-zero additive

homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_0^+$. Of course, $\underline{\gamma}_A \subseteq \ker(f) \neq A \times A$ and $A/\ker(f) \cong f(A)$ is a cancellative torsionfree semigroup.

(v) Let A be an additive subsemigroup of \mathbb{Q} and let r be a cancellative congruence of A , $r \neq \text{id}_A$. We claim that A/r is a torsion group.

If $A = \{0\}$ then $r = A \times A = \text{id}_A$, a contradiction. If $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_0^-$ then $-A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_0^+$ and $-A$ is an isomorphic copy of A . Thus we can assume that $A \cap \mathbb{Q}^+ \neq \emptyset$. Since $r \neq \text{id}_A$ and $A \cap \mathbb{Q}^+ \neq \emptyset$, there are $p, q \in A \cap \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that $(p, q) \in r$ and $p < q$. We have $p = \frac{m}{n}$, $q = \frac{k}{l}$, $m, n, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $ml < nk$, $t = nk - ml \in \mathbb{N}$ and $nkp/r = mlp/r$ in A/r . Since A/r is a cancellative semigroup, we get $tp/r = tq/r = 0_{A/r}$. Now, given $s \in A$, there is $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 < m_1p + s$. Of course, $(m_1p + s, m_1q + s) \in r$, $m_1p + s < m_1q + s$ and there is $t_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_1(m_1p + s)/r = 0_{A/r}$. Thus $0_{A/r} = tt_1(m_1p + s)/r = tt_1s/r$ and we see that A/r is a torsion group.

(vi) Let A be an additive subsemigroup of \mathbb{Z} and let r be a congruence of A , $r \neq \text{id}_A$. We claim that A/r is a finite semigroup.

We can assume that $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. The semigroup A is finitely generated, and so the same is true for the factor-semigroup A/r . Now, it is enough to prove that every one-generated subsemigroup of A/r is finite. For, let $m \in A$ and $B = \mathbb{N}m$. Since $r \neq \text{id}_A$, we get $s = R|B \times B \neq \text{id}_B$. But $B \cong \mathbb{N}$ and the rest is clear.

(vii) Let A be an additive subsemigroup of \mathbb{Q} and let r be a congruence of A , $r \neq \text{id}_A$. We claim that the factor-semigroup A/r is locally finite (i.e., every finitely generated subsemigroup of A/r is finite).

First, if $A \cap \mathbb{Q}^- \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap \mathbb{Q}^+$ then A is a subgroup of \mathbb{Q} and A/r is a torsion group (see (v)). If $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_0^-$ then $-A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_0^+$ and $-A \cong A$. Consequently, we can assume that $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_0^+$. We have $A \neq \{0\}$ and we put $B = A \cap \mathbb{Q}^+$ and $s = r|B \times B$. Clearly, $s \neq \text{id}_B$. Let C be a finitely generated subsemigroup of B . We can assume that $t = s|C \times C \neq \text{id}_C$ (if $(p, q) \in s$, $p \neq q$ then $C + \mathbb{N}_0p + \mathbb{N}_0q$ is again finitely generated). Since C is finitely generated, $mC \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, $C \cong mC$ and we use (vi) to show that C/t is finite.

(viii) Let A be an additive subsemigroup of \mathbb{Q} . Let r be a congruence of A . If $A \cap \mathbb{Q}^+ \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap \mathbb{Q}^-$ then A is a subgroup of \mathbb{Q} , and hence the factor-semigroup A/r has just one idempotent element, namely the zero element. If $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_0^-$ then for all $a, b \in A$ there are $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $ma = nb$, and hence the factor-semigroup A/r has at most one idempotent element (just one if $r \neq \text{id}_A$). Assume, finally, that $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_0^+$ and $0 \in A$. If $A = \{0\}$ or if $r = \text{id}_A$ then A/r has just one idempotent element, namely $0_{A/r}$. If $(0, a) \in r$ for some $a \in A$, $a > 0$ then A/r is a torsion group. If $A \neq \{0\}$, $r \neq \text{id}_A$ and $(0, b) \notin r$ for every $b \in B = A \setminus \{0\}$ then $r|B \times B \neq \text{id}_B$ and the factor-semigroup A/r has just two idempotent elements.

10.11 Proposition. *The following conditions are equivalent for a commutative semigroup A :*

- (i) *There is at least one non-zero additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.*
- (ii) *There is at least one non-zero additive homomorphism $g : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

- (iii) *There is at least one element $w \in A$ such that $mw + a \neq 2mw + a$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in A$ (then f from (i) can be chosen such that $f(w) = 1$).*

Proof. (i) implies (ii). This implication is trivial.

(ii) implies (iii). Just choose any $w \in A$ with $g(w) \neq 0$.

(iii) implies (i). See 10.10(ii). □

10.12 Remark. Consider the situation from 10.11. If A is cancellative then 10.11(iii) means that $mw \neq 0_A$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus a cancellative semigroup A satisfies the equivalent conditions of 10.11 if and only if A is not a torsion group. A (possibly non-cancellative) semigroup A satisfies the conditions of 10.11 if and only if $A/\underline{\alpha}_A$ is not a torsion group. Notice that if $A/\underline{\alpha}_A$ is finite then it is a torsion group. On the other hand, if A is finitely generated and $A/\underline{\alpha}_A$ is a torsion group then $A/\underline{\alpha}_A$ is finite. Consequently, a finitely generated commutative semigroup A satisfies the equivalent conditions of 10.11 if and only if the factor-semigroup $A/\underline{\alpha}_A$ is not finite.

10.13 Proposition. *The following conditions are equivalent for a commutative semigroup A :*

- (i) *A is isomorphic to an additive subsemigroup of \mathbb{Q}^+ .*
- (ii) *A is cancellative, torsionfree, uniform (i.e., for all $a, b \in A$ there are $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $ma = nb$; it means that the intersection of any two or finitely many subsemigroups of A is non-empty) and $0_A \notin A$ (equivalently, A has no idempotent element).*
- (iii) *A is cancellative, torsionfree, $0_A \notin A$ and if r is a congruence of A such that $r \neq \text{id}_A$ then A/r is locally finite.*
- (iv) *A is cancellative, torsionfree, $0_A \notin A$ and if r is a cancellative congruence of A such $\text{id}_A \neq r \neq A \times A$ then A/r is not torsionfree (A/r is a torsion group).*

Proof. (i) implies (ii). This is easy.

(ii) implies (i). The group $G = A - A$ of differences is a non-trivial torsionfree group. If $a_1, a_2 \in A$ are such that $a_1 \neq a_2$ and $b \in A$ is arbitrary then $ma_1 = n_1b$ and $ma_2 = n_2b$ for some $m, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, $m(a_1 - a_2) = (n_1 - n_2)b$ and $n_1 - n_2 \neq 0$, since $a_1 \neq a_2$. It follows that every non-zero subgroup H of G contains a subsemigroup $B_H \subseteq H \cap A$. Since A is uniform and $0_A \notin A$, we conclude that G is a torsionfree group of rank 1, and G is isomorphic to an additive subgroup of \mathbb{Q} . The rest is clear,

(i) implies (iii). See 10.10(vii).

(iii) implies (i). By 10.10(i), there is at least one non-zero additive homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Clearly, $\ker(f) = \text{id}_A$, and hence A is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of \mathbb{Q} . Since $0_A \notin A$, A is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of \mathbb{Q}^+ .

(i) implies (iv). See 10.10(v).

(iv) implies (i). Use 10.10(i). □

10.14 Remark. Using 10.13, we can formulate various characterizations of additive subsemigroups of \mathbb{Q}^+ and of \mathbb{Q} . Furthermore, taking into account that subsemigroups of \mathbb{Z} are finitely generated, we can obtain characterizations of additive subsemigroups of \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{N}_0 and \mathbb{N} .

The additive group of real numbers is divisible of rank 2^ω . Consequently, a commutative semigroup A is isomorphic to a subsemigroup of \mathbb{R} if and only if A is cancellative, torsionfree and $|A| \leq 2^\omega$.

References

- [1] AUMANN, G.: *Über die Erweiterung von additiven monotonen Funktionen auf regulär geordneten Halbgruppen*, Arch. Math. **8** (1959), 422–427.
- [2] BAUER, H.: *Sur le prolongement des formes linéaires positifs dans un espace vectoriel ordonné*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **244** (1957), 289–292.
- [3] CHRISTLOCK, J.: *The structure of archimedean semigroups*, Thesis, Univ. of California, Davis, 1966.
- [4] COTLAR, M.: *Sobre la teoría algebraica de la medida y el theorem de Hahn-Banach*, Revista un. mat. Argentina **17** (1956), 9–24.
- [5] HAMILTON, H. B., NORDAHL, T. E., TAMURA, T.: *Commutative cancellative semigroups without idempotents*, Pacific J. Math. **61** (1975), 441–456.
- [6] KOBAYASHI, Y.: *Homomorphisms on N -semigroups into \mathbb{R}_+ and the structure of N -semigroups*, J. Math. Tokushima Univ. **7** (1973), 1–20.
- [7] KOBAYASHI, Y.: *Conditions for commutative semigroups to have nontrivial homomorphisms into nonnegative (positive) reals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **65** (1977), 199–203.
- [8] NAMIOKA, I.: *Partially ordered linear topological spaces*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1957).
- [9] PETRICH, M.: *On the structure of a class of commutative semigroups*, Czech. Math. J. **14** (1964), 147–153.
- [10] PLAPPERT, P.: *A sandwich theorem for monotone additive functions*, Semigroup Forum **51** (1995), 347–355.
- [11] PUTCHA, M. AND TAMURA, T.: *Homomorphisms of commutative cancellative semigroups into nonnegative real numbers*, Trans Amer. Math. Soc. **221** (1976), 147–157.
- [12] TAMURA, T.: *Commutative, nonpotent, archimedean semigroups with cancellation law I*, J. Gakugei Tokushima Univ. **8** (1957), 5–11.
- [13] TAMURA, T.: *Notes on commutative archimedean semigroups I*, Proc. Japan Academy **42** (1966), 35–40.
- [14] TAMURA, T.: *Notes on commutative archimedean semigroups II*, Proc. Japan Academy **42** (1966), 545–548.
- [15] TAMURA, T.: *Construction of trees and commutative, archimedean semigroups*, Math. Nachr. **36** (1968), 255–287.
- [16] TAMURA, T.: *\mathfrak{R} -congruences of \mathfrak{R} -semigroups*, J. Algebra **27** (1973), 11–30.
- [17] TAMURA, T.: *Irreducible \mathfrak{R} -semigroups*, Math. Nachr. **63** (1974), 71–88.
- [18] TAMURA, T.: *Basic study of \mathfrak{R} -semigroups and their homomorphisms*, Semigroup Forum **8** (1974), 21–50.
- [19] TAMURA, T.: *\mathfrak{R} -semigroups and their translation semigroups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **24** (1977), 184–202.
- [20] TAMURA, T.: *Commutative cancellative semigroups with nontrivial homomorphisms into nonnegative real numbers*, J. Algebra **76** (1982), 25–41.