

Sergey Labovskiy; Mário Frengue Getimane
On discreteness of spectrum of a functional differential operator

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 139 (2014), No. 2, 213--229

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143850>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2014

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON DISCRETENESS OF SPECTRUM OF A FUNCTIONAL
DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

SERGEY LABOVSKIY, Moskva, MÁRIO FRENGUE GETIMANE, Maputo

(Received September 24, 2013)

Abstract. We study conditions of discreteness of spectrum of the functional-differential operator

$$\mathcal{L}u = -u'' + p(x)u(x) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u(x) - u(s)) \, d_s r(x, s)$$

on $(-\infty, \infty)$. In the absence of the integral term this operator is a one-dimensional Schrödinger operator. In this paper we consider a symmetric operator with real spectrum. Conditions of discreteness are obtained in terms of the first eigenvalue of a truncated operator. We also obtain one simple condition for discreteness of spectrum.

Keywords: spectrum; functional differential operator

MSC 2010: 34K06, 34L05

1. THE PROBLEM

1.1. Introduction. The first result about discreteness of the spectrum for the Schrödinger operator

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_0 u = -u'' + pu$$

where $u(x)$ is defined on the whole axis $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ and $p(x)$ assumed to be continuous (and its n -dimensional variant) was obtained by K. Friedrichs [4], [5]. The spectrum is discrete and bounded from below if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} p(x) = +\infty$. A necessary and sufficient condition of discreteness of spectrum for the differential operator (1.1) was obtained by A. M. Molchanov [14]. The spectrum is discrete and bounded from below if and only if for any $a > 0$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_x^{x+a} p(t) \, dt = +\infty.$$

Note the result of R. S. Ismagilov [8]: let $\lambda(\Delta)$ be the minimal eigenvalue of the operator $-u'' + pu$ considered on the segment Δ with Dirichlet conditions on Δ . For discreteness and boundedness from below of the spectrum of the operator \mathcal{L}_0 a necessary and sufficient condition is that $\lambda(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty$ when Δ moves to ∞ conserving its length. But the same result can be seen in the article of A. M. Molchanov [14]. Molchanov called this the *principle of localization*.

For further generalizations see for example [13] and references therein.

Here we study the functional differential operator

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{L}u(x) = -u''(x) + p(x)u(x) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u(x) - u(s)) d_s r(x, s)$$

on $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. This expression contains an expression with deviating argument as a special case:

$$-u'' + p(x)u(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i(x)(u(x) - u(h_i(x))).$$

Expression (1.2) is not only a generalization but may perhaps also have applications in quantum mechanics. In the case of finite interval $[0, l]$ this operator describes the behavior of a loaded string. The singular problem

$$-(pu')' + qu + \int_0^l (u(x) - u(s)) d_s r(x, s) = \lambda qu$$

with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions is studied in [11], [12]. A particular case

$$\mathcal{L}_1 u = -u'' + p(x)u(x) + q(x)(u(x) - u(x - \delta)) + q(x + \delta)(u(x) - u(x + \delta))$$

of (1.2) is considered in [7].

Our aim is to generalize the principle of localization. However, for the operator (1.2) it cannot be obtained directly. This is a special feature of an ordinary differential operator. We introduce a pseudo eigenvalue $\tilde{\mu}(\Delta)$, and use it to compare it with the eigenvalues of the *truncated* problem.

1.2. Results. This subsection summarizes the main results of the paper. Assume that the function p in (1.2) is locally integrable (Lebesgue integrable on any segment), and essentially bounded from below. We can assume that $p(x) \geq 1$. The function $r(x, s)$ is nondecreasing in s on \mathbb{R} for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, measurable and locally integrable in x for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We also assume that the function $\xi(x, s) = \int_0^x r(t, s) dt$ is symmetric: $\xi(x, s) = \xi(s, x)$, $x, s \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote $q(x) = r(x, \infty) - r(x, -\infty)$.

Let $\Delta = [a, b] \subset (-\infty, \infty)$, and

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_\Delta u = -u'' + p(x)u(x) + \int_a^b (u(x) - u(s)) d_s r(x, s).$$

It may be called a *truncated* operator. Consider two eigenvalue problems

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{L}_\Delta u = \lambda u, \quad u(a) = u(b) = 0$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{L}_\Delta u = \mu u, \quad u'(a) = u'(b) = 0.$$

Let $\lambda(\Delta)$ be the minimal eigenvalue of the problem (1.4), and $\mu(\Delta)$ the minimal eigenvalue of the problem (1.5).

Theorem 1.1. *For discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{L} it is sufficient that one of the following conditions holds:*

- ▷ *spectrum of \mathcal{L}_0 is discrete,*
- ▷ *for any sequence of segments Δ_n of fixed length that tend to infinity,*

$$(1.6) \quad \lim \mu(\Delta_n) = \infty.$$

Thus, if $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_x^{x+a} p(t) dt = \infty$ for any $a > 0$, then the spectrum of operator (1.2) is discrete.

Let us introduce the following condition:

$$(1.7) \quad M = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} < \infty.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose (1.7) holds. For discreteness of the spectrum of (1.2) it is necessary that the relation*

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\Delta_n) = \infty$$

holds for any sequence of segments Δ_n of fixed length that tend to infinity.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose the condition (1.7) holds, then the spectra of both the operators \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}_0 are discrete or neither of them is discrete.*

2. ABSTRACT SCHEME

We use a simple scheme, sufficient for our purpose. In contrast to the general spectral theory [1], [2], we avoid the use of unbounded operators. But actually this scheme is the same as that in [2], Chapter 10, except for notation. We also find it convenient explicitly use the *embedding* T from W to H (see below). This scheme is also used in [10], [11], [12].

Let W and H be Hilbert spaces with inner products $[u, v]$ and (f, g) , respectively. Let $T: W \rightarrow H$ be a linear bounded operator. The equation

$$(2.1) \quad [u, v] = (f, Tv), \quad \forall v \in W,$$

has a unique solution $u = T^*f$ for any $f \in H$, where T^* is the adjoint operator. Let $D_{\mathcal{L}} = T^*(H)$. Assume that

- (1) the image $T(W)$ of the operator T is dense in H ,
- (2) $\dim \ker T = 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *If the image $T(W)$ of the operator T is dense in H , then T^* is an injection.*

Proof. Suppose $T^*f = 0$ for a $f \in H$. Then for any $g \in T(W)$

$$(f, g) = (f, Tu) = [T^*f, u] = 0.$$

Since $T(W)$ is dense in H , $f = 0$. □

Corollary 2.1 (Euler equation). *The operator T^* has an inverse \mathcal{L} defined on the set $D_{\mathcal{L}}$. The equation (2.1) is equivalent to*

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{L}u = f.$$

The spectral problem for the operator \mathcal{L} we write in the form

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{L}u = \lambda Tu.$$

Let λ_0 be the greatest lower bound of the spectrum of \mathcal{L} . It is well known (see for example [2], Chapter 6) that

$$\lambda_0 = \inf_{u \neq 0} \frac{(\mathcal{L}u, Tu)}{(Tu, Tu)}.$$

Since $(\mathcal{L}u, Tu) = [T^*\mathcal{L}u, u] = [u, u]$,

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_0 = \inf_{u \neq 0} \frac{[u, u]}{(Tu, Tu)} = \|T\|^{-2}.$$

Since the equation (2.3) is equivalent to $u = \lambda T^*Tu$, discreteness of the spectrum of the problem (2.3) is equivalent to compactness of T^*T . However, both the operators T^*T and T^* are compact [2], Chapter 10. Thus the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.1. *The spectrum of \mathcal{L} is discrete if and only if T is compact.*

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose T is compact. Then the equation (2.3) has a nonzero solution u_n only in the case of $\lambda = \lambda_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, i.e.*

$$\mathcal{L}u_n = \lambda_n Tu_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

The system u_n forms an orthogonal basis in W . The sequence λ_n forms a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers

$$0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$$

and $\lim \lambda_n = \infty$.

Remark 2.1. The minimal eigenvalue satisfies the equality (2.4).

3. NOTATION AND IMPORTANT RELATIONS

According to the scheme in Section 2, we introduce two spaces W and H .

3.1. Basic notation. Let $L_2(S, p)$ be the space¹ of square integrable on S with the weight p functions, $L_2(S) = L_2(S, 1)$. Let $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$, let $L_2 = L_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the Hilbert space of functions measurable and square integrable on \mathbb{R} with scalar product

$$(3.1) \quad (f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x) dx.$$

Let us consider real functions having in view complex functions involved in the spectral problem. Let

$$(3.2) \quad [u, v] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u'v' + pu v) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (u(x) - u(s))(v(x) - v(s)) d\xi,$$

¹ where S is a measurable space; we accept also the measure, instead of the weight

where the function $\xi(x, s) = \int_0^x r(t, s) dt$ defines a measure on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to see that this form is symmetric independently of the symmetry of ξ .

Let W be the set of all functions u absolutely continuous on any segment $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $[u, u] < \infty$. Then W is a Hilbert space with inner product $[u, v]$ (Lemma 5.1). Let $T: W \rightarrow L_2$ be the operator defined by the equality $Tu(x) = u(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This operator is continuous (Lemma 5.2).

We can now use the scheme from Section 2. Lemma 5.5 asserts that the operator \mathcal{L} (see (1.2)) is associated with the form (3.2):

$$\boxed{\text{form (3.2)}} \rightarrow \boxed{\text{operator (1.2)}}.$$

Thus from Theorem 2.1 we have

Theorem 3.1. *The spectrum of \mathcal{L} is discrete if and only if the operator T is compact.*

3.2. More notation. We need the analogous notation for a finite interval. Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable subset (we will use mainly a segment $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$), and

$$(f, g)_\Delta = \int_\Delta f(x)g(x) dx.$$

Introduce two *truncated* forms. For $u, v \in W$

$$[u, v]_\Delta = \int_\Delta (u'v' + puv) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta \times \mathbb{R}} (u(x) - u(s))(v(x) - v(s)) d\xi.$$

Integration on $\Delta \times \mathbb{R}$ signifies that one variable is in Δ but the other is in \mathbb{R} (for example, $x \in \Delta$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$). Note that if $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$, $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = \emptyset$, then

$$(3.3) \quad [u, u]_\Delta = [u, u]_{\Delta_1} + [u, u]_{\Delta_2}.$$

The second *truncated* form is only for functions defined on a segment $\Delta = [a, b]$:

$$[u, v]_\Delta^* = \int_\Delta (u'v' + puv) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta \times \Delta} (u(x) - u(s))(v(x) - v(s)) d\xi.$$

Let W_Δ be the set of functions absolutely continuous on Δ , satisfying the inequality

$$[u, u]_\Delta^* < \infty.$$

The same abstract scheme from Section 2 can be applied to the form $[u, v]^*$. So, this corresponds to the operator \mathcal{L}_Δ (see (1.3)):

$$[u, u]_\Delta^* \rightarrow \text{operator } \mathcal{L}_\Delta.$$

We use two different spaces, the actual W_Δ and the subspace $\{u \in W_\Delta: u(a) = u(b) = 0\}$. For each of these spaces the scheme from Section 2 can be used. For the former we have the corresponding spectral problem (1.5), for the latter it is (1.4). Thus, from (2.4) we have the equalities

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda(\Delta) = \inf_{\substack{u \in W_\Delta, u \neq 0 \\ u(a)=u(b)=0}} \frac{[u, u]_\Delta^*}{(Tu, Tu)_\Delta},$$

$$(3.5) \quad \mu(\Delta) = \inf_{u \in W_\Delta, u \neq 0} \frac{[u, u]_\Delta^*}{(Tu, Tu)_\Delta}.$$

We also need similar eigenvalues for the ordinary operator \mathcal{L}_0 to be considered on the segment Δ only. Let

$$[u, v]_\Delta^0 = \int_\Delta (u'v' + puv) dx$$

and let W_Δ^0 be the set of functions absolutely continuous on Δ , satisfying the inequality

$$[u, u]_\Delta^0 < \infty.$$

Denote the corresponding minimal eigenvalues of the operator \mathcal{L}_0 on Δ by $\lambda_0(\Delta)$ and $\mu_0(\Delta)$. Then

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda_0(\Delta) = \inf_{\substack{u \in W_\Delta^0, u \neq 0 \\ u(a)=u(b)=0}} \frac{[u, u]_\Delta^0}{(Tu, Tu)_\Delta},$$

$$(3.7) \quad \mu_0(\Delta) = \inf_{u \in W_\Delta^0, u \neq 0} \frac{[u, u]_\Delta^0}{(Tu, Tu)_\Delta}.$$

The equalities (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) immediately imply the inequalities

$$(3.8) \quad \mu(\Delta) \leq \lambda(\Delta), \quad \mu_0(\Delta) \leq \lambda_0(\Delta),$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \lambda_0(\Delta) \leq \lambda(\Delta), \quad \mu_0(\Delta) \leq \mu(\Delta).$$

Introduce one more value, analogous to $\mu(\Delta)$. It is

$$(3.10) \quad \tilde{\mu}(\Delta) = \inf_{u \in W, u \neq 0} \frac{[u, u]_{\Delta}}{(Tu, Tu)_{\Delta}}.$$

For any segment Δ we have

$$(3.11) \quad \mu(\Delta) \leq \tilde{\mu}(\Delta).$$

This follows from the inequality

$$[u, u]_{\Delta}^* = [u, u]_{\Delta} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \Delta)} (u(x) - u(s))^2 d\xi \leq [u, u]_{\Delta}.$$

The principle of localization in our case can be expressed by means of a pseudo-eigenvalue $\tilde{\mu}(\Delta)$ (Corollary 5.1 to Lemma 5.8):

Theorem 3.2. *The spectrum of \mathcal{L} is discrete if and only if $\tilde{\mu}(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty$, when the segment $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$, for Δ of any fixed length.*

To conclude this section we present two auxiliary statements.

3.3. Two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose (1.7) holds. Then for any Δ*

$$(3.12) \quad \lambda(\Delta) \leq (1 + 2M)\lambda_0(\Delta).$$

Proof. Let $u \in W_{\Delta}$. We can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta \times \Delta} (u(x) - u(s))^2 d\xi &\leq \int_{\Delta \times \Delta} (u(x)^2 + u(s)^2) d\xi = 2 \int_{\Delta \times \Delta} u(x)^2 d\xi \\ &= 2 \int_{\Delta} u(x)^2 dx \int_{\Delta} d_s r(x, s) \leq 2 \int_{\Delta} q(x) u(x)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} [u, u]_{\Delta}^* &\leq [u, u]_{\Delta}^0 + 2 \int_{\Delta} q(x) u(x)^2 dx \\ &\leq [u, u]_{\Delta}^0 + 2M \int_{\Delta} p(x) u(x)^2 dx \leq (1 + 2M)[u, u]_{\Delta}^0. \end{aligned}$$

The statement (3.12) follows from (3.4), (3.6). □

Lemma 3.2. Suppose (1.7) holds. Let Δ be a segment, $u \in W$, and $u(x) = 0$ if $x \notin \Delta$. Then

$$(3.13) \quad [u, u]_{\Delta} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}M\right)[u, u]_{\Delta}^*.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \Delta)} (u(x) - u(s))^2 d\xi &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \Delta)} u(x)^2 d\xi = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta} u(x)^2 dx \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Delta} d_s r(x, s) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta} q(x) u(x)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$[u, u]_{\Delta} \leq [u, u]_{\Delta}^* + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Delta} q(x) u(x)^2 dx \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}M\right)[u, u]_{\Delta}^*.$$

□

4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. For discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{L}_0 it is necessary and sufficient that $\mu_0(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty$ when $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ conserving its length [14]. In view of inequalities (3.9) and (3.11) and Corollary 5.1 to Lemma 3.2 operator T is compact. Hence the spectrum of \mathcal{L} is discrete. □

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose T is compact. Let Δ be a segment, and let u be the eigenfunction of the problem (1.4) that corresponds to the eigenvalue $\lambda(\Delta)$. We can define $u(x) = 0$ out of the segment Δ . By virtue of Lemma 3.2

$$\lambda(\Delta) = \frac{[u, u]_{\Delta}^*}{(Tu, Tu)_{\Delta}} \geq \frac{2}{(2+M)} \frac{[u, u]_{\Delta}}{(Tu, Tu)_{\Delta}} \geq \frac{2}{(2+M)} \tilde{\mu}(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{if } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. From Lemma 3.1 and from (3.4), (3.6) it follows that for any segment Δ

$$\lambda(\Delta) \leq (1 + 2M)\lambda_0(\Delta).$$

If the spectrum of \mathcal{L} is discrete then $\lambda(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty$ when $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\lambda_0(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty$. But this is the condition of Ismagilov for discreteness of the spectrum of \mathcal{L}_0 . □

5. AUXILIARY PROPOSITIONS

5.1. Properties of the space W .

Lemma 5.1. *The space W is a Hilbert space.*

Proof. The integral $\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (u(x) - u(s))(v(x) - v(s)) \, d\xi$ is finite (convergent), if $u, v \in W$. Thus $[u, v]$ in (3.2) is defined correctly. Now we have to show that W is complete. Let u_n be a sequence satisfying

$$(5.1) \quad \|u_n - u_m\|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((u'_n - u'_m)^2 + p(x)(u_n - u_m)^2) \, dx \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} ((u_n(x) - u_m(x)) - (u_n(s) - u_m(s)))^2 \, d\xi \rightarrow 0,$$

when $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exist two functions $u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, p)$ and $\varphi \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R}, p)$ and $u'_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $[a, b]$ be an arbitrary segment. It is clear that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L_2([a, b], p)$ and $u'_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L_2([a, b])$. Let $u'_n = \varphi + \delta_n$. Thus,

$$(5.2) \quad u_n(x) = u_n(a) + \int_a^x \varphi(s) \, ds + \int_a^x \delta_n(s) \, ds.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_a^b p(x) \left(u_n(a) + \int_a^x \varphi(s) \, ds + \int_a^x \delta_n(s) \, ds - u(x) \right)^2 \, dx \rightarrow 0.$$

The third term tends to zero uniformly on $[a, b]$:

$$\left(\int_a^x \delta_n(s) \, ds \right)^2 \leq \int_a^x \delta_n(s)^2 \, ds \cdot \int_a^x 1 \, dx \leq \int_a^b \delta_n(s)^2 \, ds \cdot \int_a^b 1 \, dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, this term converges to zero in $L_2([a, b], p)$ and can be excluded:

$$\int_a^b p(x) \left(u_n(a) + \int_a^x \varphi(s) \, ds - u(x) \right)^2 \, dx \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that there exists $\lim u_n(a) = c$, and

$$c + \int_a^x \varphi(s) \, ds - u(x) = 0, \quad x \in [a, b].$$

Thus, $u(x)$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ and $u'(x) = \varphi(x)$. Since the segment $[a, b]$ is arbitrary, $u'(x) = \varphi(x)$ on the whole axis.

To prove the convergence $u_n - u \rightarrow 0$ in W note that the convergence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((u'_n - u')^2 + p(u_n - u)^2) dx \rightarrow 0$$

follows from the definitions of u and $\varphi = u'$. To show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} ((u_n(x) - u(x)) - (u_n(s) - u(s)))^2 d\xi \rightarrow 0,$$

denote $g(x, s) = u(x) - u(s)$, $g_n(x, s) = u_n(x) - u_n(s)$. From (5.2) it follows that $u_n \rightarrow u$ uniformly on any segment. So, $g_n(x, s) \rightarrow u(x) - u(s)$ for all x, s . By virtue of (5.1), $g_n \rightarrow \tilde{g}$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \xi)$. Thus, $\tilde{g} = u(x) - u(s)$ for ξ -almost all (x, s) . \square

Lemma 5.2. *The operator $T: W \rightarrow L_2$ defined by equality $Tu(x) = u(x)$, $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$, is continuous.*

Proof. This follows immediately from comparison of norms. \square

Lemma 5.3². *Let $h(x)$ be a function square integrable on a segment $[a, b]$. If*

$$\int_a^b h(x)g(x) dx = 0$$

for any function $g(x)$ square integrable on $[a, b]$ such that $\int_a^b g(x) dx = 0$, then $h(x)$ is a constant.

Proof. Choose a constant c such that $\int_a^b (h(x) - c) dx = 0$. According to the requirement of the lemma $\int_a^b h(x)(h(x) - c) dx = 0$. Subtracting from this equality the equality $c \int_a^b (h(x) - c) dx = 0$ we obtain

$$\int_a^b (h(x) - c)^2 dx = 0.$$

Thus, $h = c$. \square

² This is a well known assertion, see for example [6], Chapter 1, Lemma 2; it is also a simple fact in functional analysis.

Lemma 5.4. *The image $T(W)$ of the space W is dense in L_2 .*

Proof. Note that $W \subset L_2$ as sets. If the closure \widetilde{W} in L_2 is not the L_2 , there exists a function $h \in L_2$, $h \neq 0$, that is orthogonal to \widetilde{W} :

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x)h(x) dx = 0, \quad \forall u \in W.$$

Consider now an arbitrary segment $[a, b]$ and all functions $u \in W$ that are equal to zero out of the segment $[a, b]$. In this case $u(a) = u(b) = 0$, and

$$0 = \int_a^b u(x)h(x) dx = - \int_a^b H(x)u'(x) dx,$$

where $H(x) = \int_a^x h(s) ds$.

Thus, the last integral is equal to zero for any square integrable function $u'(x)$ that satisfies the condition $\int_a^b u'(x) dx = 0$. According to Lemma 5.3, $H(x)$ is a constant. Thus, $H(x) = 0$ and $h(x) = 0$ on $[a, b]$. The segment $[a, b]$ is arbitrary, therefore $h(x) = 0$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This contradiction shows that $\widetilde{W} = L_2$. \square

5.2. Euler equation. According to Lemma 2.1 the equation

$$[u, v] = (f, Tv), \quad \forall v \in W,$$

has the unique solution $u = T^*f$ and the operator T^* is an injection. Thus, the operator T^* has an inverse $\mathcal{L} = (T^*)^{-1}$ defined on the set $D_{\mathcal{L}} = T^*L_2$.

Lemma 5.5. *The operator \mathcal{L} has the representation (1.2). The domain $D_{\mathcal{L}}$ consists of functions $u \in W$ with locally on \mathbb{R} absolutely continuous derivative, and $u'' \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let u be the solution of $[u, v] = (f, Tv)$. So, for all $v \in W$,

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u'v' + puv) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (u(x) - u(s))(v(x) - v(s)) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f v dx.$$

By virtue of Lemma 5.9 for a ξ -measurable function f we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} f(x, s) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, y) d_s r(x, s).$$

Using this formula and considering the symmetry of ξ one can represent the second term in (5.3) in the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (u(x) - u(s))(v(x) - v(s)) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x) dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(x) - u(s)) d_s r(x, s).$$

Let $[a, b]$ be a segment. Consider all functions $v \in W$ that are equal to zero out of (a, b) : $v = 0$ if $x \notin [a, b]$. Let $h(x) = -pu - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(x) - u(s)) d_s r(x, s) + f$, $H = \int_a^x h(s) ds$. Thus,

$$\int_a^b u' v' dx = \int_a^b h v dx = - \int_a^b H v' dx,$$

or $\int_a^b (u' + H)v' dx = 0$. According to Lemma 5.3 this implies that $u' + H$ is a constant, the derivative u'' exists, and $u'' + h = 0$. Finally, on $[a, b]$

$$-u'' + pu + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(x) - u(s)) d_s r(x, s) = f.$$

Since $[a, b]$ is an arbitrary interval, the left hand side is an expression for the operator \mathcal{L} . From $u'' + h = 0$ it follows that $u'' \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$. \square

5.3. Compactness of the operator T . By virtue of the criterium of Gelfand, (see Theorem 5.1) the necessary and sufficient condition of compactness is the uniform convergence on $\{Tu: [u, u] \leq 1\}$ of any sequence $f_n \in L_2$ that converges for any $z \in L_2$, i.e., $(f_n, z) \rightarrow 0$.

The following theorem [9], page 318, can be used to show compactness.

Theorem 5.1 (Gelfand). *A set E from a separable Banach space X is relatively compact if and only if for any sequence of linear continuous functionals that converge to zero at each point, i.e.*

$$(5.4) \quad f_n(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

the convergence (5.4) is the uniform on E .

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose $f_n \in L_2$, and $(f_n, z) \rightarrow 0$ for any $z \in L_2$. For any segment $\Delta = [a, b]$ the convergence $(f_n, Tu)_{\Delta}$ is uniform for $\|u\| \leq 1$.*

Proof. The set $\{u \in W: \|u\| \leq 1\}$ is the set of functions u satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (u'^2 + pu^2) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} (u(x) - u(s))^2 d\xi \leq 1.$$

Since

$$\int_a^b f_n(x) u(x) dx = u(a) \int_a^b f_n(x) dx + \int_a^b f_n(x) \int_a^x u'(s) ds dx$$

and $u(a)$ is bounded (because of $\int_{\mathbb{R}} ((u')^2 + u^2) dx \leq 1$) on the set $\|u\| \leq 1$, it remains to show that

$$\int_a^b f_n(x) \int_a^x u'(s) ds dx \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_a^b f_n(x) \int_a^x u'(s) ds dx \right)^2 &= \left(\int_a^b u'(s) ds \int_s^b f_n(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_a^b u'(s)^2 ds \int_a^b \varphi_n(s)^2 ds \leq \int_a^b \varphi_n(s)^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi_n(s) = \int_s^b f_n(x) dx,$$

it is sufficient to show that $\varphi_n \rightarrow 0$ in the space L_2 . In fact, $\varphi_n \rightarrow 0$ uniformly. To show this consider

$$z_s(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin [s, b], \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in [s, b]. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\varphi_n(s) = f_n(z_s)$$

(on the right hand side f_n is considered as a functional). It is clear that the set $S = \{z_s: s \in [a, b]\}$ is relatively compact in L_2 . By virtue of the same criterium of Gelfand f_n converges uniformly on S . But this is the uniform convergence of $\varphi_n(s)$. \square

By Lemma 5.6 the question about compactness is reduced to the behavior on infinity.

Lemma 5.7. *The operator T is compact if and only if*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{u \in W, u \neq 0} \frac{(Tu, Tu)_{|x| > N}}{[u, u]_{|x| > N}} = 0.$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Let f_n be a sequence $f_n \in L_2$, convergent for any $z \in L_2$, i.e., $(f_n, z) \rightarrow 0$. Then it is bounded, $(f_n, f_n) \leq M$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose N such that

$$\sup_{u \in W, u \neq 0} \frac{(Tu, Tu)_{|x| > N}}{[u, u]_{|x| > N}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}.$$

Then for $\|u\| \leq 1$

$$(f_n, Tu)_{|x| > N}^2 \leq (f_n, f_n)(Tu, Tu)_{|x| > N} \leq M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

On $[-N, N]$ uniform convergence is fulfilled, and for sufficiently large n and all $\|u\| \leq 1$

$$(f_n, Tu)_{[-N, N]}^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Necessity. Suppose T is compact but there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and sequences $N_n \rightarrow \infty$ and u_n such that $[u_n, u_n]_{D_n} = 1$, where $D_n = \{x: |x| > N_n\}$ and

$$(Tu_n, Tu_n)_{D_n} \geq \varepsilon.$$

Let $f_n = \chi_{D_n} Tu_n / \|\chi_{D_n} Tu_n\|$, where χ is the characteristic function of D_n . This sequence converges at any $z \in L_2$:

$$(f_n, z)^2 = (f_n, z)_{D_n}^2 \leq (f_n, f_n)(z, z)_{D_n} = (z, z)_{D_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

However,

$$f_n(Tu_n) = \frac{1}{\|\chi_{D_n} Tu_n\|} (Tu_n, Tu_n)_{D_n} \geq \sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

which contradicts the criterium of compactness of Gelfand. \square

Remark 5.1. From this proof of necessity we can see that instead of $|x| > N$ we can consider any segment Δ . Since $\inf_{u \in W, u \neq 0} [u, u]_{\Delta} / (Tu, Tu)_{\Delta} = \tilde{\mu}(\Delta)$ (see (3.10)), the condition

$$(5.5) \quad \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mu}(\Delta) = \infty$$

is necessary for the compactness of T .

Lemma 5.8. *If the operator T is not compact, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $d > 0$ there exists a sequence of segments Δ_n of length d that tends to infinity and*

$$(5.6) \quad \sup_{u \in W, u \neq 0} \frac{(Tu, Tu)_{\Delta_n}}{[u, u]_{\Delta_n}} \geq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. According to Lemma 5.7, if T is not compact, there exist an $\varepsilon > 0$, a sequence $N_n \rightarrow \infty$ and a sequence u_n such that

$$(5.7) \quad (Tu_n, Tu_n)_{|x| > N_n} \geq \varepsilon [u_n, u_n]_{|x| > N_n}.$$

Let us fix n , $N = N_n$ and $u = u_n$. Divide the set $\{|x| > N\}$ in segments of the length d , then for one segment Δ the inequality (5.6) will be satisfied. If not, we could sum the inequalities

$$(Tu, Tu)_{\Delta} < \varepsilon [u, u]_{\Delta}$$

and obtain a contradiction with (5.7). \square

This together with the remark to Lemma 5.7 yields

Corollary 5.1. *T is compact if and only if $\tilde{\mu}(\Delta) \rightarrow \infty$ when $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ (for Δ of any fixed length).*

5.4. One generalization of the Fubini theorem. Reduction of double integral to repeated integral needs a generalization of the Fubini theorem. We are grateful to I. Shragin who found the relevant source.

Lemma 5.9 ([3]). *Let (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y, \mathcal{B}) be measurable spaces, let μ be a measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) , and $K: X \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ a kernel (i.e. for μ -a.a. $x \in X$, $K(x, \cdot)$ is a measure on (Y, \mathcal{B}) , for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $K(\cdot, B)$ is μ -measurable on X). Then*

(1) *The function ν defined on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ by the equality*

$$\nu(E) = \int_X K(x, E_x) \mu(dx), \quad E_x = \{y: (x, y) \in E\},$$

is a measure,

(2) *if $f: X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is ν -integrable on $X \times Y$, then*

$$\int_{X \times Y} f(x, y) d\nu = \int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y) K(x, dy) \right) \mu(dx).$$

Remark 5.2. The function ν is the Lebesgue expansion from the set of all rectangles

$$\nu(A \times B) = \int_A K(x, B) \mu(dx), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

References

- [1] *N. I. Akhiezer, I. M. Glazman: Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert Space. Translated from the Russian. Dover Publications, New York, 1993.*
- [2] *M. S. Birman, M. Z. Solomjak: Spectral Theory of Self-Adjoint Operators in Hilbert Space. Translated from the Russian. Mathematics and Its Applications. Soviet Series 5, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, 1987.*
- [3] *D. L. Cohn: Measure Theory. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.*
- [4] *K. Friedrichs: Spektraltheorie halbbeschränkter Operatoren und Anwendung auf die Spektralzerlegung von Differentialoperatoren I. Math. Ann. 109 (1934), 465–487.*
- [5] *K. Friedrichs: Spektraltheorie halbbeschränkter Operatoren und Anwendung auf die Spektralzerlegung von Differentialoperatoren II. Math. Ann. 109 (1934), 685–713.*
- [6] *I. M. Gelfand, S. V. Fomin: Calculus of Variations. Translated from the Russian. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1963.*
- [7] *M. Getimane, S. Labovskiy: On discreteness of spectrum of a functional-differential operator. Funct. Differ. Equ. 20 (2013), 109–121.*
- [8] *R. S. Ismagilov: Conditions for semiboundedness and discreteness of the spectrum for one-dimensional differential equations. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 140 (1961), 33–36.*

- [9] *L. V. Kantorovich, G. P. Akilov*: Functional Analysis. Nauka, Moskva, 1984. (In Russian.)
- [10] *S. Labovskii*: Little vibrations of an abstract mechanical system and corresponding eigenvalue problem. *Funct. Differ. Equ.* 6 (1999), 155–167.
- [11] *S. M. Labovskij*: On the Sturm-Liouville problem for a linear singular functional-differential equation. Translated from the Russian. *Russ. Math.* 40 (1996), 50–56.
- [12] *S. Labovskiy*: Small vibrations of mechanical system. *Funct. Differ. Equ.* 16 (2009), 447–468.
- [13] *V. Maz'ya, M. Shubin*: Discreteness of spectrum and positivity criteria for Schrödinger operators. *Ann. Math.* 162 (2005), 919–942.
- [14] *A. M. Molchanov*: On conditions for discreteness of the spectrum of self-adjoint differential equations of the second order. *Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obshch.* 2 (1953), 169–199. (In Russian.)

Authors' addresses: *Sergey Labovskiy*, Statistics and Informatics, Moscow State University of Economics, Nezhinskaya st. 7, 119501 Moscow, Russia, e-mail: labovski@gmail.com; *Mário Frengue Getimane*, Instituto Superior de Transportes e Comunicações, Prolong. da Av. Kim Il Sung (IFT/TDM) - Edifício D1 Caixa Postal, 2088 Maputo, Mozambique, e-mail: mgetimane@isutc.transcom.co.mz.