Changjin Xu; Peiluan Li
Dynamics in a discrete predator-prey system with infected prey

*Mathematica Bohemica*, Vol. 139 (2014), No. 3, 511--534

Persistent URL: [http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143939](http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143939)

**Terms of use:**

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2014

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use.*

This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library [http://project.dml.cz](http://project.dml.cz)
DYNAMICS IN A DISCRETE PREDATOR-PREY SYSTEM WITH INFECTED PREY

CHANGJIN XU, GUIYANG, PEILUAN LI, LUOYANG

(Received November 17, 2012)

Abstract. In this paper, a discrete version of continuous non-autonomous predator-prey model with infected prey is investigated. By using Gaines and Mawhin’s continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory and the method of Lyapunov function, some sufficient conditions for the existence and global asymptotical stability of positive periodic solution of difference equations in consideration are established. An example shows the feasibility of the main results.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, qualitative research and analysis of population dynamical models, especially predator-prey models, has been an important and interesting problem which has attracted a great deal of attention. Many authors have explored the dynamics of predator-prey systems [1], [2], [5], [6], [9], [14], [18], [21], [23], [31]. For example, Liu and Chen [8] discussed complex dynamics of Holling type II Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model with impulsive perturbations on the predator. Song and Li [21] studied the linear stability of the trivial periodic solution and semi-trivial periodic solutions and the permanence of the periodic predator-prey model with modified Leslie-Gower system.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS(t)}{dt} &= r_1 S(t)(1 - S(t) - I(t)) - \frac{a S(t) Z(t)}{1 + b(S(t) + I(t))} \\
\frac{dI(t)}{dt} &= r_2 I(t)(1 - S(t) - I(t)) - \frac{a I(t) Z(t)}{1 + b(S(t) + I(t))} + \frac{\alpha S(t) I(t)}{S(t) + I(t)} - m_2 I(t), \\
\frac{dZ(t)}{dt} &= \frac{a(S(t) + I(t)) Z(t)}{1 + b(S(t) + I(t))} - m_3 Z(t),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( S(t) \) and \( I(t) \) are the susceptible phytoplankton population and the infected phytoplankton population, \( Z(t) \) grazes on both the susceptible and infected phytoplankton. Frequency-dependent transmission rate \( \alpha > 0 \) as well as an additional disease-induced mortality of infected prey (virulence) with rate \( m_2 \) is assumed. Then \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are the intrinsic growth rates of susceptible and infected population, respectively. Rate \( m_3 \) represents the natural mortality rate of zooplankton. Further \( a, b \) are positive constants. In detail, one can see [9], [20].

Noting that any biological or environmental parameters are naturally subject to fluctuation in time, we think that it is necessary and important to consider models with periodic ecological parameters. Thus the assumption of periodicity of the parameters is a way of incorporating the periodicity of the environment. Then the system (1.1) can be modified to the form
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS(t)}{dt} &= r_1(t)S(t)(1 - S(t) - I(t)) - \frac{a(t)S(t)Z(t)}{1 + b(t)(S(t) + I(t))} \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha(t)S(t)I(t)}{S(t) + I(t)}, \\
\frac{dI(t)}{dt} &= r_2(t)I(t)(1 - S(t) - I(t)) - \frac{a(t)I(t)Z(t)}{1 + b(t)(S(t) + I(t))} \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha(t)S(t)I(t)}{S(t) + I(t)} - m_2(t)I(t), \\
\frac{dZ(t)}{dt} &= a(t)(S(t) + I(t))Z(t) \\
&\quad + b(t)(S(t) + I(t)) - m_3(t)Z(t).
\end{align*}
\]

Many authors have argued that discrete time models governed by difference equations are more appropriate for describing the dynamics relationship among populations than the continuous ones when the populations have non-overlapping generations. Moreover, discrete time models can also provide efficient models of continuous ones for numerical simulation. Therefore, it is reasonable to study time predator-prey systems governed by difference equations.

In implementing the continuous-time predator-prey model for simulation or computational purposes, in this paper we formulate a discrete-time system which is an analogue of the continuous-time model \((1.2)\). We know that the discrete-time analogue when derived as a numerical approximation of \((1.2)\) can preserve the dynamical behavior of the continuous-time model. Once this is established, the discrete-time analogue can be used without loss of functional similarity to the continuous-time model and it preserves any biological reality that the continuous-time model has. There are several schemes how to obtain the discrete-time analogues of continuous-time models.

We consider the autonomous differential equation
\[
\frac{du(t)}{dt} = f(u(t)), \quad t \geq 0.
\]
The first-order derivative is approximated by the forward Euler expression
\[
\frac{du(t)}{dt} \rightarrow \frac{u(k+1) - u(k)}{\varphi},
\]
with the denominator function \(\varphi\) such that
\[
\varphi(h) = h + O(h^2),
\]
where \(h = 1/m\) denotes the step-size and \(u(k)\) denotes the approximate value to \(u(kh)\). Then we get
\[
u(k + 1) - u(k) = \varphi(h)f(u(k)).
\]
This method can be seen as the forward Euler method.
The principle object of this article is to propose a discrete analogue system (1.2) by using the Euler’s method and explore its dynamics. That is, following the methods in [5], [24], we derive a discrete analogue of (1.2), apply Mawhin’s continuous theorem [8] and the method of Lyapunov function to study the existence and globally asymptotic stability of positive periodic solutions of the discrete analogue of (1.2). There are some papers which deal with this topic, see [3], [4], [9], [22], [23], [25], [26], [27], [28].

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, by means of differential equations with piecewise constant arguments, we first propose a discrete analogue of system (1.2). In Section 3, based on the coincidence degree and the related continuation theorem, some sufficient conditions for the existence of positive periodic solution of difference equations are established. Using the method of Lyapunov function, some sufficient conditions for the globally asymptotical stability of the system under consideration are obtained in Section 4. The paper ends with an example which shows the feasibility of the main results.

2. Discrete analogue of system (1.2)

In the following, we will discretize the system (1.2). Following the lines of [5], [24], we assume that the average growth rates in system (1.2) change at regular intervals of time. We can incorporate this aspect in (1.2) and obtain the following modified system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{S(t)} \frac{dS(t)}{dt} &= r_1([t])(1 - S([t]) - I([t])) - \frac{a([t])Z([t])}{1 + b([t])(S([t]) + I([t]))} \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha([t])I([t])}{S([t]) + I([t])}, \\
\frac{1}{I(t)} \frac{dI(t)}{dt} &= r_2([t])(1 - S([t]) - I([t])) - \frac{a([t])Z([t])}{1 + b([t])(S([t]) + I([t]))} \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha([t])S([t])}{S([t]) + I([t])} - m_2([t]), \\
\frac{1}{Z(t)} \frac{dZ(t)}{dt} &= \frac{a([t])(S([t]) + I([t]))}{1 + b([t])(S([t]) + I([t]))} - m_3([t]),
\end{align*}
\]

(2.1)

where \( t \neq 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), \([t]\) denotes the integer part of \( t \), \( t \in (0, \infty) \). Equations of type (2.1) are known as differential equations with piecewise constant arguments and they occupy a position midway between differential equations and difference equations. By a solution of (2.1), we mean a function \( \tilde{x} = (S, I, Z)^T \) which is defined for \( t \in [0, \infty) \) and has the following properties:

1. \( \tilde{x} \) is continuous on \([0, \infty)\).
2. The derivatives dS(t)/dt, dI(t)/dt, dZ(t)/dt exist at each point \( t \in [0, \infty) \) with the possible exception of the points \( t \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \), where left-sided derivatives exist.

3. The equations in (2.1) are satisfied on each interval \([k, k+1]\) with \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\).

We integrate (2.1) on any interval of the form \( \{k, k+1\} \), \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), and obtain for \( k \leq t < k + 1, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)

\[
\begin{align*}
S(t) &= S(k) \exp \left\{ \left[ r_1(k)(1 - S(k) - I(k)) - \frac{a(k)Z(k)}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} \right] \right. \\
& \quad - \left. \frac{\alpha(k)I(k)}{S(k) + I(k)} \right\} (t - k), \\
I(t) &= I(k) \exp \left\{ \left[ r_2(k)(1 - S(k) - I(k)) - \frac{a(k)Z(k)}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} \right] \\
& \quad + \left. \frac{\alpha(k)S(k)}{S(k) + I(k)} - m_2(t) \right\} (t - k), \\
Z(t) &= Z(k) \exp \left\{ \left[ \frac{a(k)(S(k) + I(k))}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} - m_3(k) \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot \left[ \frac{a(k)Z(k)}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} - \frac{\alpha(k)I(k)}{S(k) + I(k)} \right] \right\} (t - k).
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( t \to k + 1 \), then (2.2) takes the following form:

\[
\begin{align*}
S(k + 1) &= S(k) \exp \left\{ r_1(k)(1 - S(k) - I(k)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{a(k)Z(k)}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} - \frac{\alpha(k)I(k)}{S(k) + I(k)} \right\}, \\
I(k + 1) &= I(k) \exp \left\{ r_2(k)(1 - S(k) - I(k)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{a(k)Z(k)}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} + \frac{\alpha(k)S(k)}{S(k) + I(k)} - m_2(k) \right\}, \\
Z(k + 1) &= Z(k) \exp \left\{ \frac{a(k)(S(k) + I(k))}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} - m_3(k) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{a(k)Z(k)}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} - \frac{\alpha(k)I(k)}{S(k) + I(k)} \right\},
\end{align*}
\]

which is a discrete time analogue of system (1.2). Here \( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \)

3. Existence of positive periodic solutions

For convenience and simplicity in our discussion, we use the following notation throughout the paper:

\[
I_\omega := \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, \omega - 1\}, \quad \bar{f} := \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} f(k), \quad f^L := \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\{f(k)\}, \quad f^M := \max_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\{f(k)\},
\]
where \( f(k) \) is an \( \omega \)-periodic sequence of real numbers defined for \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). We always assume that

\[
\text{(H1)} \quad a, b, \alpha, m_2, m_3, r_1, r_2 : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ are } \omega\text{-periodic, i.e.,}
\]
\[
a(k + \omega) = a(t), \quad b(k + \omega) = b(k), \quad \alpha(k + \omega) = \alpha(k), \quad m_2(k + \omega) = m_2(k),
\]
\[
m_3(k + \omega) = m_3(k), \quad r_1(k + \omega) = r_1(k), \quad r_2(k + \omega) = r_2(k) \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

In order to explore the existence of positive periodic solutions of (2.3) and for the reader’s convenience, we shall first introduce a few concepts and results without proof, borrowing them from [8].

Let \( X, Y \) be normed vector spaces, \( L : \text{Dom} L \subset X \to Y \) a linear mapping, \( N : X \to Y \) a continuous mapping. The mapping \( L \) will be called a Fredholm mapping of index zero if \( \dim \ker L = \text{codim} \text{Im} L < \infty \) and \( \text{Im} L \) is closed in \( Y \). If \( L \) is a Fredholm mapping of index zero and there exist continuous projectors \( P : X \to X \) and \( Q : Y \to Y \) such that \( \text{Im} P = \ker L \), \( \text{Im} L = \ker Q = \text{Im}(I - Q) \), it follows that \( L : \text{Dom} L \cap \ker P : (I - P)X \to \text{Im} L \) is invertible. We denote the inverse of that map by \( K_P \). If \( \Omega \) is an open bounded subset of \( X \), the mapping \( N \) will be called \( L\)-compact on \( \overline{\Omega} \) if \( QN(\overline{\Omega}) \) is bounded and \( K_P(I - Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \to X \) is compact. Since \( \text{Im} Q \) is isomorphic to \( \ker L \), there exists an isomorphism \( J : \text{Im} Q \to \ker L \).

**Lemma 3.1** ([8], Continuation theorem). Let \( L \) be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and let \( N \) be \( L\)-compact on \( \overline{\Omega} \). Suppose

(a) for each \( \lambda \in (0,1) \), every solution \( x \) of \( Lx = \lambda Nx \) is such that \( x \notin \partial\Omega \);

(b) \( QNx \neq 0 \) for each \( x \in \ker L \cap \partial\Omega \), and \( \deg\{JQN, \Omega \cap \ker L, 0\} \neq 0 \).

Then the equation \( Lx = Nx \) has at least one solution lying in \( \text{Dom} L \cap \overline{\Omega} \).

**Lemma 3.2** ([5]). Let \( g : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R} \) be \( \omega\)-periodic, i.e., \( g(k + \omega) = g(k) \), then for any fixed \( k_1, k_2 \in I_\omega \) and any \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), one has

\[
g(k) \leq g(k_1) + \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |g(s+1) - g(s)|,
\]
\[
g(k) \geq g(k_2) - \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |g(s+1) - g(s)|.
\]

Define
\[
l_3 = \{ y = \{y(k)\} : y(k) = (y_1(k), y_2(k), y_3(k))^T \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ k \in \mathbb{Z} \}.
\]

Let \( l^\omega \subset l_3 \) denote the subspace of all \( \omega\)-periodic sequences equipped with the usual supremum norm \( ||\cdot|| \), i.e., \( ||y|| = |y_1(k)| + |y_2(k)| + |y_3(k)| \) for any \( y = \{y(k) : k \in \mathbb{Z} \} \in l^\omega \). It is easy to show that \( l^\omega \) is a finite-dimensional Banach space.
Suppose that conditions (3.1) hold. Then system (3.2) can be reformulated as

\[ l^\omega = \left\{ y = \{y(k)\} \in l^\omega : \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} y(k) = 0 \right\}, \]
\[ l_c^\omega = \left\{ y = \{y(k)\} \in l^\omega : y(k) = h \in \mathbb{R}^3, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \]

Then it follows that both \( l_0^\omega \) and \( l_c^\omega \) are closed linear subspaces of \( l^\omega \) and

\[ l^\omega = l_0^\omega + l_c^\omega, \quad \dim l_c^\omega = 3. \]

Now we are ready to establish our result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( S_1, \tilde{S}_1, S_2 \) and \( \tilde{S}_2 \) be defined by (3.16), (3.33), (3.20) and (3.29), respectively, and set

\[ K_1 = \tilde{r}_1(\exp\{S_1\} + \exp\{S_2\}), \]
\[ K_2 = \tilde{r}_2(\exp\{-S_1\} + \exp\{-S_2\}) - \tilde{a} \exp\{S_1\}, \]
\[ K_3 = \tilde{r}_2(\exp\{\tilde{S}_1\} + \exp\{\tilde{S}_2\}), \]
\[ K_4 = \tilde{r}_2(\exp\{-\tilde{S}_1\} + \exp\{-\tilde{S}_2\}) - \tilde{a} \exp\{\tilde{S}_1\}. \]

Suppose that conditions

(H2) \[ \overline{m}_3 > \max\{\tilde{a} \exp\{S_1\}, \tilde{a} \exp\{\tilde{S}_1\}\}, \]
(H3) \[ \tilde{r}_2 - \overline{m}_3 > \max\{K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4\} \]

hold. Then system (2.3) has at least one \( \omega \)-periodic solution.

**Proof.** First of all, we make the change of variables

\[ x_1(k) = \exp\{u_1(k)\}, \quad x_2(k) = \exp\{u_2(k)\}, \quad z(k) = \exp\{u_3(k)\}, \]

then (2.3) can be reformulated as

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_1(k+1) - u_1(k) &= r_1(k)(1 - \exp\{u_1(k)\} - \exp\{u_2(k)\}) - \frac{a(k) \exp\{u_3(k)\}}{1 + b(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})} \\
    &\quad - \frac{\alpha(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}}, \quad (3.3) \\
    u_2(k+1) - u_2(k) &= r_2(k)(1 - \exp\{u_1(k)\} - \exp\{u_2(k)\}) - \frac{a(k) \exp\{u_3(k)\}}{1 + b(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})} \\
    &\quad - \frac{\alpha(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\}}{\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} - m_2(k), \\
    u_3(k+1) - u_3(k) &= \frac{a(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})}{1 + b(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})} - m_3(k).
\end{align*}
\]
Let $X = Y = l^\omega$, 

(3.4) \[(Lu)(k) = u(k + 1) - u(k) = \left( \begin{array}{c}
    u_1(k + 1) - u_1(k) \\
    u_2(k + 1) - u_2(k) \\
    u_3(k + 1) - u_3(k)
\end{array} \right), \]

(3.5) \[(Nu)(k) = \left( \begin{array}{c}
    f_1(u_1(k), u_2(k), u_3(k)) \\
    f_2(u_1(k), u_2(k), u_3(k)) \\
    f_3(u_1(k), u_2(k), u_3(k))
\end{array} \right), \]

where $u \in X, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and 

\[
f_1(u_1(k), u_2(k), u_3(k)) = r_1(k)(1 - \exp\{u_1(k)\} - \exp\{u_2(k)\}) \]
\[
- \frac{a(k)\exp\{u_3(k)\}}{1 + b(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})}, \]

\[
f_2(u_1(k), u_2(k), u_3(k)) = r_2(k)(1 - \exp\{u_1(k)\} - \exp\{u_2(k)\}) \]
\[
- \frac{a(k)\exp\{u_3(k)\}}{1 + b(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})} + \frac{\alpha(k)\exp\{u_1(k)\}}{\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} - m_2(k), \]

\[
f_3(u_1(k), u_2(k), u_3(k)) = \frac{a(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})}{1 + b(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})} - m_3(k). \]

Then it is trivial to see that $L$ is a bounded linear operator and 

\[
\text{Ker} L = l^\omega_c, \quad \text{Im} L = l^\omega_0, \]

and 

\[
\dim \text{Ker} L = 3 = \text{codim} \text{Im} L. \]

Then it follows that $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Define 

\[
P y = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} y(s), \quad y \in X, \quad Q z = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} z(s), \quad z \in Y. \]

It is not difficult to show that $P$ and $Q$ are continuous projectors such that 

\[
\text{Im} P = \text{Ker} L, \quad \text{Im} L = \text{Ker} Q = \text{Im}(I - Q). \]

Furthermore, the generalized inverse (to $L$) $K_P$: $\text{Im} L \to \text{Ker} P \cap \text{Dom} L$ exists and is given by 

\[
K_P(z) = \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} z(s) - \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} (\omega - s) z(s). \]
Obviously, $QN$ and $K_P(I - Q)N$ are continuous. Since $X$ is a finite-dimensional Banach space, using the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem it is not difficult to show that $K_P(I - Q)N\overline{\Omega}$ is compact for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$. Moreover, $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded. Thus, $N$ is $L$-compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$.

Now we are at the point to search for an appropriate open, bounded subset $\Omega$ for the application of the continuation theorem. Corresponding to the operator equation $Lu = \lambda Nu, \lambda \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
u_1(k + 1) - u_1(k) = \lambda f_1(u_1(k), u_2(k), u_3(k)), \\
u_2(k + 1) - u_2(k) = \lambda f_2(u_1(k), u_2(k), u_3(k)), \\
u_3(k + 1) - u_3(k) = \lambda f_3(u_1(k), u_2(k), u_3(k)).
\end{array} \right.
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.6)

Suppose that $u(k) = (u_1(k), u_2(k), u_3(k))^T \in X$ is an arbitrary solution of system (3.6) for a certain $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Summing both sides of (3.6) from 0 to $\omega - 1$ with respect to $k$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ r_1(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}) + \frac{a(k)\exp\{u_3(k)\}}{1 + b(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})} \right] = \tilde{r}_1\omega, \\
&\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ r_2(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}) + \frac{a(k)\exp\{u_3(k)\}}{1 + b(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})} \right] = (\tilde{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3)\omega, \\
&\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})}{1 + b(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})} \right] = \bar{m}_3\omega.
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.7)

From (3.7) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |u_1(k + 1) - u_1(k)| &\leq 2\tilde{r}_1\omega, \\
\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |u_2(k + 1) - u_2(k)| &\leq 2(\tilde{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3)\omega, \\
\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} |u_3(k + 1) - u_3(k)| &\leq 2\bar{m}_3\omega.
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.8) (3.9) (3.10)

In view of the hypothesis that $u = \{u(k)\} \in X$, there exist $\xi_i, \eta_i \in I_\omega$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_i(\xi_i) = \min_{k \in I_\omega}\{u_i(k)\}, &\quad u_i(\eta_i) = \max_{k \in I_\omega}\{u_i(k)\} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).
\end{aligned}
$$

(3.11)
From the first equation of (3.7), we have
\[ \bar{r}_1 \omega > \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} r_1(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} \geq \bar{r}_1 \omega \exp(u_1(\xi_1)), \]
\[ \bar{r}_1 \omega > \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} r_1(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\} \geq \bar{r}_1 \omega \exp(u_2(\xi_2)), \]
which leads to
\[ (3.12) \quad u_1(\xi_1) < 0, \quad u_2(\xi_2) < 0. \]

In the sequel, we consider two cases.

**Case (a).** If \( u_1(\eta_1) \geq u_2(\eta_2) \), then it follows from the third equation of (3.7) that
\[ \bar{m}_3 \omega \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} [a(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})] \]
\[ \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} [2a(k) \exp\{u_1(\eta_1)\}] = 2\bar{\omega}\exp\{u_1(\eta_1)\}. \]

Then
\[ (3.13) \quad u_1(\eta_1) \geq \ln \left[ \frac{\bar{m}_3}{2\bar{\omega}} \right]. \]

Therefore
\[ (3.14) \quad u_1(k) \leq u_1(\xi_1) + \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |u_1(s+1) - u_1(s)| \leq 2\bar{r}_1 \omega := M_1, \]
\[ (3.15) \quad u_1(k) \geq u_1(\eta_1) - \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |u_1(s+1) - u_1(s)| \geq \ln \left[ \frac{\bar{m}_3}{2\bar{\omega}} \right] - 2\bar{r}_1 \omega := m_1. \]

Thus
\[ (3.16) \quad \max_{k \in I_\omega}\{u_1(k)\} \leq \max\{|m_1|, |M_1|\} := S_1. \]

By the third equation of (3.7) again, we get
\[ \bar{m}_3 \omega \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} [a(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})] \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} [a(k)(\exp\{S_1\} + \exp\{u_2(\eta_2)\})]. \]
Then
\begin{equation}
(3.17) \quad u_2(\eta_2) \geq \ln \left[ \frac{\overline{m}_3 - \overline{a} \exp\{S_1\}}{\overline{a}} \right].
\end{equation}

From Lemma 3.2, (3.12) and (3.17), we get
\begin{equation}
(3.18) \quad u_2(k) \leq u_2(\xi_2) + \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |u_2(s+1) - u_2(s)| \leq 2(\tilde{r}_2 - \overline{m}_3)\omega := M_2,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
(3.19) \quad u_2(k) \geq u_2(\eta_2) - \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |u_2(s+1) - u_2(s)| \geq \ln \left[ \frac{\overline{m}_3 - \overline{a} \exp\{S_1\}}{\overline{a}} \right] - 2(\tilde{r}_2 - \overline{m}_3)\omega := m_2.
\end{equation}

Then
\begin{equation}
(3.20) \quad \max_{k \in L_w} \{u_2(k)\} \leq \max\{|m_2|, |M_2|\} := S_2.
\end{equation}

From the second equation of (3.7), we obtain
\[\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} [r_2(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}] + a(k) \exp\{u_3(k)\}] > (\tilde{r}_2 - \overline{m}_3)\omega\]
and
\[\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ r_2(k) \exp\{-S_1\} + \exp\{-S_2\} \right] + \alpha(k) \exp\{u_3(\eta_3)\}] < (\tilde{r}_2 - \overline{m}_3)\omega,\]
hence
\[\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} [r_2(k) \exp\{S_1\} + \exp\{S_2\}] + a(k) \exp\{u_3(\eta_3)\}] > (\tilde{r}_2 - \overline{m}_3)\omega\]
and
\[\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ r_2(k) \exp\{-S_1\} + \exp\{-S_2\} \right] + \alpha(k) \exp\{S_1\}] < (\tilde{r}_2 - \overline{m}_3)\omega.\]
Then

$$u_3(\xi_3) < \ln \left[ \frac{(\bar{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3) - \bar{r}_2(\exp\{S_1\} + \exp\{S_2\})}{\bar{a}} \right],$$

(3.21)

$$u_3(\eta_3) > \ln \left[ \frac{\Theta_1 \Theta_2}{\bar{a}} \right],$$

(3.22)

where

$$\Theta_1 = (\bar{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3) - \bar{r}_2(\exp\{-S_1\} + \exp\{-S_2\}) + \bar{a} \exp\{S_1\},$$

$$\Theta_2 = 1 + b^M(\exp\{S_1\} + \exp\{S_2\}).$$

From Lemma 3.2, (3.21) and (3.22), we derive

$$u_3(k) \leq u_3(\xi_3) + \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |u_3(s+1) - u_3(s)|$$

$$\leq \ln \left[ \frac{(\bar{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3) - \bar{r}_2(\exp\{S_1\} + \exp\{S_2\})}{\bar{a}} \right] + 2\bar{m}_3 \omega := M_3^*,$$

(3.23)

$$u_3(k) \geq u_3(\eta_3) - \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |u_3(s+1) - u_3(s)|$$

$$\geq \ln \left[ \frac{\Theta_1 \Theta_2}{\bar{a}} \right] - 2\bar{m}_3 \omega := m_3^*.$$

Then

$$\max_{k \in I_\omega} \{u_3(k)\} \leq \max\{|m_3^*|, |M_3^*|\} := S_3.$$

(3.25)

**Case (b).** If $u_1(\eta_1) < u_2(\eta_2)$, then it follows from the second equation of (3.7) that

$$\bar{m}_3 \omega \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} [a(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})]$$

$$< \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} [2a(k) \exp\{u_2(\eta_2)\}] = 2\bar{a} \omega \exp\{u_2(\eta_2)\}.$$ 

Then

$$u_2(\eta_2) \geq \ln \left[ \frac{m_3}{2\bar{a}} \right].$$

(3.26)
Therefore

\[(3.27) \quad u_2(k) \leq u_2(\xi_2) + \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |u_2(s+1) - u_2(s)| \leq 2(\bar{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3)\omega := \tilde{M}_2,\]

\[(3.28) \quad u_2(k) \geq u_2(\eta_2) - \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |u_2(s+1) - u_2(s)| \geq \ln \left[ \frac{\bar{m}_3}{2\bar{a}} \right] - 2(\bar{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3)\omega := \bar{m}_2.\]

Thus

\[(3.29) \quad \max_{k \in I_\omega} \{u_2(k)\} \leq \max\{|\bar{m}_2|, |\bar{M}_2|\} := \tilde{S}_2.\]

By the third equation of (3.7) again, we get

\[
\bar{m}_3\omega \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} [a(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\})]
\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} [a(k)(\exp\{u_1(\eta_1)\} + \exp\{\tilde{S}_2\})].
\]

Then

\[(3.30) \quad u_1(\eta_1) \geq \ln \left[ \frac{\bar{m}_3 - \bar{a} \exp\{\tilde{S}_2\}}{\bar{a}} \right].\]

From Lemma 3.2, (3.12) and (3.30), we get

\[(3.31) \quad u_1(k) \leq u_1(\xi_1) + \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |u_1(s+1) - u_1(s)| \leq 2\bar{r}_1\omega := \tilde{M}_1,\]

\[(3.32) \quad u_1(k) \geq u_1(\eta_1) - \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |u_1(s+1) - u_1(s)| \geq \ln \left[ \frac{\bar{m}_3 - \bar{a} \exp\{\tilde{S}_2\}}{\bar{a}} \right] - \bar{r}_1\omega := \bar{m}_1.\]

Then

\[(3.33) \quad \max_{k \in I_\omega} \{u_1(k)\} \leq \max\{|\bar{m}_1|, |\bar{M}_1|\} := \tilde{S}_1.\]

From the second equation of (3.7), we obtain

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} [\bar{r}_2(k)(\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}) + a(k)\exp\{u_3(k)\}] > (\bar{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3)\omega
\]

523
and
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp\{u_3(k)\}}{1 + b(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} - \frac{\alpha(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\}}{1 + b(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right] < (\bar{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3) \omega,$$

hence
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp\{u_3(k)\}}{1 + b(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} - \frac{\alpha(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\}}{1 + b(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right] > (\bar{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3) \omega,$$

and
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp\{u_3(k)\}}{1 + b(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} - \frac{\alpha(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\}}{1 + b(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right] < (\bar{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3) \omega.$$

Then
(3.34) \quad u_3(\xi_3) < \ln \left( \frac{(\bar{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3) - \bar{r}_2 \exp\{\tilde{S}_1\} + \exp\{\tilde{S}_2\}}{\tilde{a}} \right),
(3.35) \quad u_3(\eta_3) > \ln \left( \frac{\tilde{\Theta}_1 \tilde{\Theta}_2}{\tilde{a}} \right),

where
$$\tilde{\Theta}_1 = (\bar{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3) - \bar{r}_2 \exp\{\tilde{S}_1\} + \exp\{\tilde{S}_2\} + \tilde{a} \exp\{\tilde{S}_1\},$$
$$\tilde{\Theta}_2 = 1 + b^M \exp\{\tilde{S}_1\} + \exp\{\tilde{S}_2\}.$$

From Lemma 3.2, (3.21) and (3.22), we derive
(3.36) \quad u_3(k) \leq u_3(\xi_3) + \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |u_3(s+1) - u_3(s)|
\leq \ln \left( \frac{(\bar{r}_2 - \bar{m}_3) - \bar{r}_2 \exp\{\tilde{S}_1\} + \exp\{\tilde{S}_2\}}{\tilde{a}} \right) + 2\bar{m}_3 \omega := \bar{M}_3^*,
(3.37) \quad u_3(k) \geq u_3(\eta_3) - \sum_{s=0}^{\omega-1} |u_3(s+1) - u_3(s)|
\geq \ln \left( \frac{\tilde{\Theta}_1 \tilde{\Theta}_2}{\tilde{a}} \right) - 2\bar{m}_3 \omega := \tilde{m}_3^*. 524
Then
\[
(3.38) \quad \max_{k \in I_2} \{u_3(k)\} \leq \max\{|\bar{m}_3^*|, |\tilde{M}_3^*|\} := \tilde{S}_3.
\]

Obviously, \( m_i, M_i \ (i = 1, 2), m_3^*, M_3^*, \bar{m}_i^* \ (i = 1, 2), \bar{m}_3^* \) and \( \tilde{M}_3^* \) are independent of the choice of \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \). Take \( M = \max\{S_1, \bar{S}_1\} + \max\{S_3, \bar{S}_3\} + M_0 \), where \( M_0 \) is taken sufficiently large such that
\[
\max\{\ln\{u_1^\ast\}, |\ln\{u_2^\ast\}|, |\ln\{u_3^\ast\}|\} < M_0,
\]
where \((u_1^\ast, u_2^\ast, u_3^\ast)^T\) is the unique solution of the system of equations
\[
(3.39) \quad \begin{cases}
\bar{r}_1 - \bar{r}_1 \exp\{u_1(k)\} = 0, \\
\bar{r}_2 - \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp\{u_3(k)\}}{1 + b(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right] = 0, \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{1 + b(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right] - \bar{m}_3 = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Now we will prove that any solution \( u = \{u(k)\} = \{(u_1(k), u_2(k), u_3(k))^T\} \) of (3.6) in \( X \) satisfies \( ||u|| < M, k \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Let \( \Omega := \{u = \{u(k)\} \in X: ||u|| < M\} \), then it is easy to see that \( \Omega \) is an open, bounded set in \( X \) and verifies requirement (a) of Lemma 3.1. When \( u \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L \), \( u = \{(u_1(k), u_2(k), u_3(k))^T\} \) is a constant vector in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with \( ||u|| = |u_1| + |u_2| + |u_3| = M \). Then
\[
(3.40) \quad QNu = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{f}_1 \\ \bar{f}_2 \\ \bar{f}_3 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]
where
\[
\bar{f}_1 = \bar{r}_1(1 - \exp\{u_1(k)\} - \exp\{u_2(k)\}) - \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp\{u_3(k)\}}{1 + b(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right] \\
- \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right],
\]
\[
\bar{f}_2 = \bar{r}_2(1 - \exp\{u_1(k)\} - \exp\{u_2(k)\}) - \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp\{u_3(k)\}}{1 + b(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\}}{\exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right] - \bar{m}_2,
\]
\[
\bar{f}_3 = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}}{1 + b(k) \exp\{u_1(k)\} + \exp\{u_2(k)\}} \right] - \bar{m}_3.
\]
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Now let us consider the homotopy \( \varphi(u_1, u_2, u_3, \mu) = \mu Q Nu + (1 - \mu) Gu, \mu \in [0, 1], \)
where
\[
Gu = \begin{pmatrix}
\bar{r}_1 - \bar{r}_1 \exp \{ u_1(k) \} \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp \{ u_3(k) \}}{1 + b(k)(\exp \{ u_1(k) \} + \exp \{ u_2(k) \})} \right] \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k)(\exp \{ u_1(k) \} + \exp \{ u_2(k) \})}{1 + b(k)(\exp \{ u_1(k) \} + \exp \{ u_2(k) \})} - \bar{m}_3 \right]
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Letting \( J \) be equal to the identity mapping \( I \), by direct calculation we get
\[
\deg \{ JQN(u_1, u_2, u_3)^T; \Omega \cap \text{Ker} \; L; 0 \} = \deg \{ QN(u_1, u_2, u_3)^T; \Omega \cap \text{Ker} \; L; 0 \}
\]
\[
= \deg \{ \varphi(u_1, u_2, u_3, 1); \Omega \cap \text{Ker} \; L; 0 \}
\]
\[
= \deg \{ \varphi(u_1, u_2, u_3, 0); \Omega \cap \text{Ker} \; L; 0 \}
\]
\[
= \text{sign} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
\chi_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
\chi_{21} & \chi_{22} & \chi_{23} \\
\chi_{21} & \chi_{32} & 0
\end{array} \right\}
\]
where
\[
\chi_{11} = \bar{r}_1 \exp \{ u_1^* \} > 0,
\]
\[
\chi_{21} = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k)b(k) \exp \{ u_1^* + u_3^* \}}{[1 + b(k)(\exp \{ u_1^* \} + \exp \{ u_2^* \})]^2} \right] > 0,
\]
\[
\chi_{22} = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp \{ u_2^* + u_3^* \}}{[1 + b(k)(\exp \{ u_1^* \} + \exp \{ u_2^* \})]^2} \right] > 0,
\]
\[
\chi_{23} = -\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp \{ u_1^* \}}{[1 + b(k)(\exp \{ u_1^* \} + \exp \{ u_2^* \})]^2} \right] < 0,
\]
\[
\chi_{31} = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp \{ u_1^* \}}{1 + b(k)(\exp \{ u_1^* \} + \exp \{ u_2^* \})} \right] > 0,
\]
\[
\chi_{32} = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{k=0}^{\omega-1} \left[ \frac{a(k) \exp \{ u_3^* \}}{1 + b(k)(\exp \{ u_1^* \} + \exp \{ u_2^* \})} \right] > 0.
\]

Then
\[
\deg \{ JQN(u_1, u_2, u_3)^T; \Omega \cap \text{Ker} \; L; 0 \} = \text{sign} \{ \chi_{11} \chi_{23} \chi_{32} \} = -1 \neq 0.
\]

By now, we have proved that \( \Omega \) verifies all requirements of Lemma 3.1, hence it follows that \( Lu = Nu \) has at least one solution in \( \text{Dom} \; L \cap \overline{\Omega} \), that is to say, (3.3) has at least one \( \omega \)-periodic solution in \( \text{Dom} \; L \cap \overline{\Omega} \), say \( u^* = \{ u_1^*(k) \} = \{ (u_1^*(k), u_2^*(k), u_3^*(k))^T \} \). Let \( x^*(k) = (x_1^*(k), x_2^*(k), z^*(k))^T = (\exp \{ u_1^*(k) \}, \exp \{ u_2^*(k) \}, \exp \{ u_3^*(k) \})^T \), then it follows that \( x^*(k) \) is an \( \omega \)-periodic solution of system (2.3) with strictly positive components. The proof is complete. \( \square \)
4. Global asymptotic stability

In this section, we shall present sufficient conditions for the global asymptotic stability of system (2.3).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $A_1$, $A_2$ and $A_3$ be defined by (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), respectively. Assume that (H1), (H2) and (H3) are satisfied and furthermore suppose that there exist positive constants $v$, $\theta_1$, $\theta_2$ and $\theta_3$ such that

(i) \[ 1 - \max\{M_1, \tilde{M}_1\} + \frac{b(k)\min\{m_3, \tilde{m}_3\}\min\{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\}}{(1 + b(k)(\max\{M_1, M_1\} + \max\{M_2, M_2\}))^2} \]

\[ + \frac{\alpha(k)\min\{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\}\min\{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\}}{(\max\{M_1, M_1\} + \max\{M_2, M_2\})^2} > v, \]

(ii) \[ 1 - \max\{M_2, \tilde{M}_2\} + \frac{b(k)\min\{m_3, \tilde{m}_3\}}{(1 + b(k)(\max\{M_1, M_1\} + \max\{M_2, M_2\}))^2} \]

\[ + \frac{a(k)\min\{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\}}{(\max\{M_1, M_1\} + \max\{M_2, M_2\})^2} \]

and $A_i > 0$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$. Then the positive $\omega$-periodic solution of system (2.3) is globally asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 3.1, there exists a positive periodic solution \( \{S^*(k), I^*(k), Z^*(k)\} \) of system (2.3). Now we prove that it is uniformly asymptotically stable. First, we make the change of variable

(4.1) \[ N_1(k) = S(k) - S^*(k), \quad N_2(k) = I(k) - I^*(k), \quad N_3(k) = Z(k) - Z^*(k). \]

It follows from (2.3) that

(4.2) \[ N_1(k + 1) = S(k + 1) - S^*(k + 1) \]

\[ = S(k)\exp\left[r_1(k)(1 - S(k) - I(k)) - \frac{a(k)Z(k)}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))}\right] \]

\[ - \frac{\alpha(k)I(k)}{S(k) + I(k)} - S^*(k)\exp\left[r_1(k)(1 - S^*(k) - I^*(k))\right] \]

\[ - \frac{a(k)Z^*(k)}{1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))} - \frac{\alpha(k)I^*(k)}{S^*(k) + I^*(k)} \]

\[ = \left\{S(k)\exp\left[-N_1(k) - N_2(k)\right] \right. \]

\[ - \left(\frac{a(k)Z(k)}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} - \frac{a(k)Z^*(k)}{1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))}\right) \]

\[ \times \left(\frac{\alpha(k)I(k)}{S(k) + I(k)} - \frac{\alpha(k)I^*(k)}{S^*(k) + I^*(k)}\right) S^*(k) - S^*(k) \]
\[
\begin{align*}
N_2(k+1) &= I(k+1) - I^*(k+1) \\
&= I(k) \exp \left[ r_2(k)(1 - S(k) - I(k)) - \frac{a(k)Z(k)}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha(k)S(k)}{S(k) + I(k)} - m_2(k) \right] - I^*(k) \exp \left[ r_2(k)(1 - S^*(k) - I^*(k)) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{a(k)Z^*(k)}{1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))} + \frac{\alpha(k)S^*(k)}{S^*(k) + I^*(k)} - m_2(k) \right] \\
&= \left\{ I(k) \exp \left[ -N_1(k) - N_2(k) - \left( \frac{a(k)Z(k)}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} \right) \right] \right. \\
&\quad - \left. \frac{a(k)Z^*(k)}{1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))} + \left( \frac{\alpha(k)S(k)}{S(k) + I(k)} \right) \right] \right. \\
&\quad - \left. \frac{\alpha(k)S^*(k)}{S^*(k) + I^*(k)} \right] \right. \\
&\quad - \left. I^*(k) \right] \} I^*(k+1) \\
&= \left\{ \left[ 1 - I^* \right] + \frac{b(k)Z^*(k)}{(1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k)))^2} + \frac{\alpha(k)I^*(k)}{(S^*(k) + I^*(k))^2} \right] \\
&\quad \times \frac{N_2(k)}{I^*(k)} + \left[ \frac{b(k)Z^*(k)}{(1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k)))^2} + \frac{\alpha(k)S^*(k)}{(S^*(k) + I^*(k))^2} \right] - 1 \\
&\quad \times N_1(k) - \frac{a(k)}{1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))} \right}\} N_3(k) + f_2 \} I^*(k+1), \\
(4.4) \quad N_3(k+1) &= Z(k+1) - Z^*(k+1) \\
&= Z(k) \exp \left[ \frac{a(k)(S(k) + I(k))}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} - m_3(k) \right] \\
&\quad - Z^*(k) \exp \left[ \frac{a(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))}{1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))} - m_3(k) \right] \\
&= \left\{ Z(k) \exp \left[ \frac{a(k)(S(k) + I(k))}{1 + b(k)(S(k) + I(k))} - \frac{a(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))}{1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))} \right] \right. \\
&\quad - \left. \frac{a(k)}{Z^*(k)} \right] \frac{Z^*(k+1)}{Z^*(k)} \right. \\
&= \left\{ \frac{a(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))}{1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))} \frac{N_3(k)}{Z^*(k)} \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{a(k)}{1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))} \right] Z^*(k) + \frac{a(k)}{(1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k)))^2} \\
&\quad \times N_1(k) + \frac{a(k)}{(1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k)))^2} N_2(k) + f_3 \} Z^*(k+1), \\
\end{align*}
\]
where \( f_i/\|N_i\| \ (i = 1, 2, 3) \) converges, uniformly with respect to \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), to zero as \( \|N\| \to 0 \).

Define a function \( V \) by

\[
V(N(k)) = \theta_1 \left| \frac{N_1(k)}{S^*(k)} \right| + \theta_2 \left| \frac{N_2(k)}{I^*(k)} \right| + \theta_3 \left| \frac{N_3(k)}{Z^*(k)} \right|
\]

where \( \theta_1, \theta_2 \) and \( \theta_3 \) are positive constants given by (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), respectively. Calculating the difference of \( V \) along the solution of system (4.2)–(4.4), in view of (i) and (ii) we get

\[
\Delta V = \theta_1 \left( \left| \frac{N_1(k+1) - N_1(k)}{S^*(k+1) - S^*(k)} \right| + \theta_2 \left| \frac{N_2(k+1) - N_2(k)}{I^*(k+1) - I^*(k)} \right| \right)
\]

\[
\leq -\theta_1 \left[ \frac{b(k)Z^*(k)S^*(k)}{(1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k)))} - \frac{\alpha(k)S^*(k)I^*(k)}{(S^*(k) + I^*(k))^2} \right] |N_1(k)|
\]

\[
+ \theta_1 \left[ 1 + \frac{b(k)Z^*(k)S^*(k)}{(1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k)))} + \frac{\alpha(k)S^*(k)}{(S^*(k) + I^*(k))^2} \right] |N_2(k)|
\]

\[
+ \theta_1 \left[ \frac{a(k)}{1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))} \right] |N_3(k)| + \theta_1 f_1
\]

where \( \theta_1 \), \( \theta_2 \) and \( \theta_3 \) are positive constants given by (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), respectively.

Calculating the difference of \( V \) along the solution of system (4.2)–(4.4), in view of (i) and (ii) we get

\[
\Delta V = \theta_1 \left( \left| \frac{N_1(k+1) - N_1(k)}{S^*(k+1) - S^*(k)} \right| + \theta_2 \left| \frac{N_2(k+1) - N_2(k)}{I^*(k+1) - I^*(k)} \right| \right)
\]

\[
\leq -\theta_1 \left[ \frac{b(k)Z^*(k)S^*(k)}{(1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k)))} - \frac{\alpha(k)S^*(k)I^*(k)}{(S^*(k) + I^*(k))^2} \right] |N_1(k)|
\]

\[
+ \theta_1 \left[ 1 + \frac{b(k)Z^*(k)S^*(k)}{(1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k)))} + \frac{\alpha(k)S^*(k)}{(S^*(k) + I^*(k))^2} \right] |N_2(k)|
\]

\[
+ \theta_1 \left[ \frac{a(k)}{1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))} \right] |N_3(k)| + \theta_1 f_2
\]

where \( \theta_1 \), \( \theta_2 \) and \( \theta_3 \) are positive constants given by (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), respectively.

Calculating the difference of \( V \) along the solution of system (4.2)–(4.4), in view of (i) and (ii) we get

\[
\Delta V = \theta_1 \left( \left| \frac{N_1(k+1) - N_1(k)}{S^*(k+1) - S^*(k)} \right| + \theta_2 \left| \frac{N_2(k+1) - N_2(k)}{I^*(k+1) - I^*(k)} \right| \right)
\]

\[
\leq -\theta_1 \left[ \frac{b(k)Z^*(k)S^*(k)}{(1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k)))} - \frac{\alpha(k)S^*(k)I^*(k)}{(S^*(k) + I^*(k))^2} \right] |N_1(k)|
\]

\[
+ \theta_1 \left[ 1 + \frac{b(k)Z^*(k)S^*(k)}{(1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k)))} + \frac{\alpha(k)S^*(k)}{(S^*(k) + I^*(k))^2} \right] |N_2(k)|
\]

\[
+ \theta_1 \left[ \frac{a(k)}{1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k))} \right] |N_3(k)| + \theta_1 f_3
\]

where \( \theta_1 \), \( \theta_2 \) and \( \theta_3 \) are positive constants given by (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), respectively.
+ \theta_1 \frac{a(k)}{1 + b(k) \min \{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\} + \min \{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\}}|N_3(k)| + \theta_1 f_1

- \theta_2 \left[ \min \{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\} - \frac{b(k) \max \{M_3, \tilde{M}_3\}}{(1 + b(k) \min \{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\} + \min \{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\})^2} \right] |N_2(k)|

- \theta_2 \left[ 1 + \frac{b(k) \max \{M_3, \tilde{M}_3\}}{(1 + b(k) \min \{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\} + \min \{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\})^2} \right] |N_1(k)|

+ \theta_2 \left[ a(k) \right] |N_3(k)| + \theta_2 f_2

- \theta_3 \left[ 1 - \frac{a(k) \max \{M_1, \tilde{M}_1\} + \max \{M_2, \tilde{M}_2\}}{1 + b(k) \min \{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\} + \min \{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\}} \right] |N_3(k)|

+ \theta_3 \frac{\alpha(k)}{(S^*(k) + I^*(k))^2} |N_1(k)|

+ \theta_3 \frac{a(k)}{(1 + b(k) \min \{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\} + \min \{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\})^2} |N_2(k)| + \theta_3 f_3

= -A_1 |N_1(k)| - A_2 |N_2(k)| - A_3 |N_3(k)| + \sum_{i=1}^3 \theta_i f_i,

where

\begin{align*}
A_1 &= \theta_1 \left[ \frac{b(k) \max \{M_3, \tilde{M}_3\} \max \{M_1, \tilde{M}_1\}}{(1 + b(k) \min \{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\} + \min \{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\})^2} \right]

+ \frac{\alpha(k) \max \{M_1, \tilde{M}_1\} \max \{M_1, \tilde{M}_1\}}{(\min \{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\} + \min \{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\})^2} - \min \{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\} \right]

- \theta_2 \left[ 1 + \frac{b(k) \max \{M_3, \tilde{M}_3\}}{(1 + b(k) \min \{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\} + \min \{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\})^2} \right]

+ \frac{\alpha(k) \max \{M_1, \tilde{M}_1\}}{(\min \{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\} + \min \{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\})^2} \right] - \theta_3 \frac{\alpha(k)}{(S^*(k) + I^*(k))^2},

A_2 &= \theta_2 \left[ I^*(k) - \frac{b(k)Z^*(k)}{(1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k)))^2} - \frac{\alpha(k)I^*(k)}{(S^*(k) + I^*(k))^2} \right]

- \theta_1 \left[ 1 + \frac{b(k)Z^*(k)S^*(k)}{(1 + b(k)(S^*(k) + I^*(k)))^2} + \frac{\alpha(k)S^*(k)}{(S^*(k) + I^*(k))^2} \right]

- \theta_3 \frac{a(k)}{(1 + b(k) \min \{m_1, \tilde{m}_1\} + \min \{m_2, \tilde{m}_2\})^2},
\end{align*}
It follows from conditions (i) and (ii) that there exists a positive constant $\alpha$ such that, if $k$ is sufficiently large and $\|N\| < \alpha$, then

\begin{equation}
\Delta V \leq -\frac{v}{3}\{ |N_1(k)| + |N_2(k)| + |N_3(k)| \} < -\frac{v}{3}\|N(k)\|.
\end{equation}

In view of Freedman [7], we can see that the trivial solution of (4.2)–(4.4) is uniformly asymptotically stable and so is the solution $x^* = \{x^*(k)\} = \{(x_1^*(k), x_2^*(k), z^*(k))^T\}$ of (2.3). Thus we can conclude that the positive periodic solution of (2.3) is globally asymptotically stable. The proof is complete.

5. An example

In this section, we give an example which shows the feasibility of the main results Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 of this paper. Let us consider the following special form of system (2.3):

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
S(k+1) &= S(k) \exp \left\{ [0.0005 + 0.0005 \sin(k\pi/2)] [1 - S(k) - I(k)] \
- \frac{0.0002 + 0.0002 \sin(k\pi/2)}{1 + [0.0005 + 0.0005 \sin(k\pi/2)] [S(k) + I(k)]} Z(k) \right\}, \\
I(k+1) &= I(k) \exp \left\{ [0.0008 + 0.0008 \cos(k\pi/2)] [1 - S(k) - I(k)] \
- \frac{0.0002 + 0.0002 \cos(k\pi/2)}{S(k) + I(k)} I(k) \right\}, \\
Z(k+1) &= Z(k) \exp \left\{ \frac{[0.0002 + 0.0002 \sin(k\pi/2)] [S(k) + I(k)]}{1 + [0.0005 + 0.0005 \sin(k\pi/2)] [S(k) + I(k)]} \right\}.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
Here
\[
\begin{align*}
a(k) &= 0.0002 + 0.0002 \sin(k\pi/2), \quad b(k) = 0.0005 + 0.0005 \sin(k\pi/2), \\
\alpha(k) &= 0.0002 + 0.0002 \cos(k\pi/2), \quad r_1(k) = 0.0005 + 0.0005 \sin(k\pi/2), \\
r_2(k) &= 0.0008 + 0.0008 \cos(k\pi/2), \quad m_3(k) = 0.0004 + 0.0004 \cos(k\pi/2), \\
m_2(k) &= 0.0003 + 0.0003 \cos(k\pi/2), \quad m_3(k) = 0.0004 + 0.0004 \cos(k\pi/2).
\end{align*}
\]
By direct computation by Matlab 7.0 software, we get the following values:
\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{r}_1 &= 0.0005, \quad \bar{r}_2 = 0.0008, \quad \bar{m}_3 = 0.0004, \quad \bar{a} = 0.0002, \quad m_1 = -0.0040, \\
M_1 &= 0.0040, \quad S_1 = 0.0040, \quad m_2 = -0.0032, \quad M_2 = 0.0008, \quad S_2 = 0.0032, \\
m^*_3 &= -0.0028, \quad M^*_3 = 0.0036, \quad S_3 = 0.0028, \quad \bar{m}_2 = -0.0032, \quad \bar{M}_2 = 0.0032, \\
\bar{S}_3 &= 0.0032, \quad \bar{m}_1 = -0.0004, \quad \bar{M}_1 = 0.0004, \quad \bar{S}_1 = 0.0004, \quad \bar{S}_3 = 0.0041, \\
\bar{m}^*_3 &= -0.0038, \quad \bar{M}^*_3 = 0.0041, \quad K_1 = 0.0002, \quad K_2 = 0.00016, \quad K_3 = 0.0004, \\
K_4 &= 0.00008.
\end{align*}
\]
Let \( v = 0.00003, \quad \theta_1 = 0.0004, \quad \theta_2 = 0.0003 \) and \( \theta_3 = 0.0007 \). Then we can verify that all the assumptions in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Thus system (2.3) has a 4-periodic solution which is globally asymptotically stable.
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