

Eunmi Pak; Juan de Dios Pérez; Young Jin Suh

Generalized Tanaka-Webster and Levi-Civita connections for normal Jacobi operator in complex two-plane Grassmannians

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 65 (2015), No. 2, 569–577

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144290>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2015

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

GENERALIZED TANAKA-WEBSTER AND LEVI-CIVITA
CONNECTIONS FOR NORMAL JACOBI OPERATOR
IN COMPLEX TWO-PLANE GRASSMANNIANS

EUNMI PAK, Daegu, JUAN DE DIOS PÉREZ, Granada, YOUNG JIN SUH, Daegu

(Received December 22, 2014)

Abstract. We study classifying problems of real hypersurfaces in a complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. In relation to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection, we consider that the generalized Tanaka-Webster derivative of the normal Jacobi operator coincides with the covariant derivative. In this case, we prove complete classifications for real hypersurfaces in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ satisfying such conditions.

Keywords: real hypersurface; complex two-plane Grassmannian; Hopf hypersurface; Levi-Civita connection; generalized Tanaka-Webster connection; normal Jacobi operator

MSC 2010: 53C40, 53C15

1. INTRODUCTION

In complex projective spaces or in quaternionic projective spaces, many differential geometers studied real hypersurfaces with parallel curvature tensor ([7], [13]). From a new perspective, it is investigated to classify real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with parallel normal Jacobi operator, that is, $\nabla \bar{R}_N = 0$ (see [5], [6], [12]).

As a prevailing notion, in a Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, \bar{g}) , a vector field X along a geodesic γ of \bar{M} is called a *Jacobi field* if it satisfies the following second order Jacobi equation

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}}^2 X + \bar{R}(X, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma} = 0,$$

This work was supported by grant Proj. No. NRF-2015-R1A2A1A-01002459 from National Research Foundation. The second author is partially supported by MCT-FEDER Grant MTM2010-18099.

where $\dot{\gamma}$ is the vector tangent to γ . For any tangent vector field X at $x \in \overline{M}$, the Jacobi operator \overline{R}_X is defined by

$$(\overline{R}_X Y)(x) = (\overline{R}(Y, X)X)(x),$$

for any vector field $Y \in T_x \overline{M}$.

On the other hand, let us put a unit normal vector field N to a hypersurface M into the curvature tensor \overline{R} of the ambient space \overline{M} . Then for any tangent vector field X on M , the *normal Jacobi operator* \overline{R}_N is defined by

$$\overline{R}_N(X) = \overline{R}(X, N)N.$$

Our ambient space, a complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ consists of all complex two-dimensional linear subspaces in \mathbb{C}^{m+2} . This Riemannian symmetric space is the unique compact irreducible Riemannian manifold being equipped with both a Kähler structure J and a quaternionic Kähler structure \mathfrak{J} not containing J . Then, naturally we could consider two geometric conditions for hypersurfaces M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ that the 1-dimensional distribution $[\xi] = \text{span}\{\xi\}$ and the 3-dimensional distribution $\mathfrak{D}^\perp = \text{span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ are both invariant under the shape operator A of M (see [3]), where the *Reeb vector field* ξ is defined by $\xi = -JN$, N denotes a local unit normal vector field of M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ and the *almost contact 3-structure* vector fields ξ_ν are defined by $\xi_\nu = -J_\nu N$ ($\nu = 1, 2, 3$).

By using the result in Alekseevskii [1], Berndt and Suh [3] proved the following:

Theorem A. *Let M be a connected orientable real hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$. Then both $[\xi]$ and \mathfrak{D}^\perp are invariant under the shape operator of M if and only if*

- (A) *M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$,*
or
- (B) *m is even, say $m = 2n$, and M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{H}P^n$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$.*

Besides, the Reeb vector field ξ is said to be *Hopf vector field* if it is invariant under the shape operator A . The one dimensional foliation of M by the integral manifolds of the Reeb vector field ξ is said to be a *Hopf foliation* of M . We say that M is a *Hopf hypersurface* in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ if and only if the Hopf foliation of M is totally geodesic. Using the formulas in ([5], Section 3) it can be easily checked that M is Hopf if and only if the Reeb vector field ξ is Hopf.

Now, we consider another one instead of Levi-Civita connection for real hypersurfaces in Kähler manifolds, namely, the *generalized Tanaka-Webster connection* (in

short, the g-Tanaka-Webster connection) $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ for a non-zero real number k ([4], [8]). The *Tanaka-Webster connection* ([14], [16]) is a unique affine connection on a non-degenerate CR -manifold. Tanno [15] introduced the notion of generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ for contact metric manifolds by the canonical connection which coincides with the Tanaka-Webster connection if the associated CR -structure is integrable. In particular, if the shape operator of a real hypersurface in Kähler manifolds satisfies $\varphi A + A\varphi = 2k\varphi$, $k \neq 0$, then the g-Tanaka-Webster connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ coincides with the Tanaka-Webster connection. Cho [4] defined the g-Tanaka-Webster connection by

$$\widehat{\nabla}_X^{(k)} Y = \nabla_X Y + F_X^{(k)} Y,$$

where the operator $F^{(k)}$ is given by

$$F_X^{(k)} Y = g(\varphi AX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi AX - k\eta(X)\varphi Y, \quad k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$$

and this is said to be *g-Tanaka-Webster operator*.

Using this g-Tanaka-Webster connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)}$, we have proved a non-existence theorem about parallelism of the normal Jacobi operator \overline{R}_N (see [11]). In this paper, let us consider a new notion between the g-Tanaka-Webster connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{(k)}$ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ for the normal Jacobi operator \overline{R}_N as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad (\widehat{\nabla}_X^{(k)} \overline{R}_N) Y = (\nabla_X \overline{R}_N) Y,$$

$$(1.2) \quad (\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp}^{(k)} \overline{R}_N) Y = (\nabla_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp} \overline{R}_N) Y,$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad (\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{(k)} \overline{R}_N) Y = (\nabla_{\mathfrak{D}} \overline{R}_N) Y,$$

for any vector field $Y \in TM$, where \mathfrak{D} and \mathfrak{D}^\perp denote the distributions defined by $T_x \overline{M} = \mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\perp$, $x \in M$, and $\mathfrak{D}^\perp = \text{span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$. The condition (1.1) means that the g-Tanaka-Webster covariant derivative and the Levi derivative of the normal Jacobi operator \overline{R}_N coincide with each other on the tangent bundle TM . As a further generalization, the condition (1.2) (or (1.3)) has a weakened meaning that the two derivatives coincide on the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp (or \mathfrak{D} , respectively) of the tangent bundle TM in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$.

In this paper, related to the conditions (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) mentioned above, we want to study some non-existence properties of the normal Jacobi operator. First we give the following

Theorem 1.1. *There does not exist any Hopf hypersurface in a complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$, if the g -Tanaka-Webster connection of the normal Jacobi operator coincides with the Levi-Civita connection.*

As a generalization of Theorem 1.1, we give two theorems on the distributions \mathfrak{D} and \mathfrak{D}^\perp for the bundle TM of real hypersurfaces M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *There does not exist any Hopf hypersurface in a complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$, if the g -Tanaka-Webster connection of the normal Jacobi operator coincides with the Levi-Civita connection on the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp .*

Theorem 1.3. *There does not exist any Hopf hypersurface in a complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$, if the g -Tanaka-Webster connection of the normal Jacobi operator coincides with the Levi-Civita connection on the distribution \mathfrak{D} .*

On the other hand, this condition (1.1) has a geometric meaning that the g -Tanaka-Webster operator $F_X^{(k)}$ and the normal Jacobi operator \bar{R}_N commute with each other, that is, $F_X^{(k)}(\bar{R}_N Y) = \bar{R}_N(F_X^{(k)} Y)$. Then the conditions (1.2) and (1.3) also have the meaning that $F_X^{(k)}(\bar{R}_N Y) = \bar{R}_N(F_X^{(k)} Y)$ holds for any $X \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$ and $X \in \mathfrak{D}$, respectively.

In Section 2 we introduce a key lemma being used to solve theorems. In Section 3 we will give a complete proof of the theorems. In this paper, we refer to [1], [2], [3], [5], [9] for Riemannian geometric structures of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ and its geometric quantities, respectively.

2. KEY LEMMA

Let us denote by $\bar{R}(X, Y)Z$ the curvature tensor in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. Then the normal Jacobi operator \bar{R}_N of a real hypersurface M in a complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ can be defined by $\bar{R}_N X = \bar{R}(X, N)N$ for any vector field $X \in T_x M = \mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\perp$, $x \in M$ (see [5]).

In [5], [6], the normal Jacobi operator is obtained as

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{R}_N X = X + 3\eta(X)\xi + 3 \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \eta_\nu(X)\xi_\nu - \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ \varphi_\nu(\xi)\varphi_\nu \varphi X - \eta_\nu(\xi)\eta(X)\xi_\nu - \eta_\nu(\varphi X)\varphi_\nu \xi \}$$

for any tangent vector field X on M .

Recall that the g-Tanaka-Webster operator

$$(2.2) \quad F_X^{(k)}Y = g(\varphi AX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi AX - k\eta(X)\varphi Y,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and a geometric meaning in the introduction

$$(2.3) \quad F_X^{(k)}(\overline{R}_N Y) = \overline{R}_N(F_X^{(k)}Y).$$

From now on, unless otherwise stated in the present section, we may write the Reeb vector field ξ as follows:

$$(*) \quad \xi = \eta(X_0)X_0 + \eta(\xi_1)\xi_1, \quad \eta(X_0)\eta(\xi_1) \neq 0$$

for some unit vector fields $X_0 \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $\xi_1 \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$.

Now, using this fact, we prove the following:

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a Hopf hypersurface in a complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$. If the g-Tanaka-Webster connection of the normal Jacobi operator coincides with the Levi-Civita connection along any vector field X , the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp , or the distribution \mathfrak{D} , then ξ belongs to either the distribution \mathfrak{D} or the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp , respectively.*

Proof. By taking $X = \xi$, $X = \xi_1$ and $X = \varphi\xi_1$ in (2.1) and using the condition (*), we have

$$(2.4) \quad \overline{R}_N \xi = 4\xi + 4\eta_1(\xi)\xi_1,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \overline{R}_N \xi_1 = 4\xi_1 + 4\eta_1(\xi)\xi,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \overline{R}_N(\varphi\xi_1) = 0,$$

respectively.

Putting $Y = \varphi\xi_1$ in (2.2), it becomes

$$(2.7) \quad F_X^{(k)}(\varphi\xi_1) = \sigma\xi + k\eta(X)\xi_1,$$

where $\sigma = \eta_1(AX) - \alpha\eta(\xi_1)\eta(X) - k\eta(X)\eta(\xi_1)$.

Inserting $Y = \varphi\xi_1$ in (2.3) and using (2.6), (2.7), we have

$$(2.8) \quad \overline{R}_N(\sigma\xi + k\eta(X)\xi_1) = 0.$$

Using (2.5), (2.6) and (*) in (2.8), it is written as

$$(2.9) \quad \sigma + k\eta(X)\eta_1(\xi) = 0,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \sigma\eta_1(\xi) + k\eta(X) = 0.$$

Applying $\eta_1(\xi)$ to (2.9) and subtracting (2.10), it follows that

$$(2.11) \quad k\eta(X)\eta^2(X_0) = 0.$$

Now let us check the following three cases:

Case 1: $(\widehat{\nabla}_X^{(k)} \overline{R}_N)Y = (\nabla_X \overline{R}_N)Y$ for all $X, Y \in TM$. Putting $X = \xi$ in (2.11), ξ belongs to the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp , because $k \neq 0$ is a real number.

Case 2: $(\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp}^{(k)} \overline{R}_N)Y = (\nabla_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp} \overline{R}_N)Y$ for all $Y \in TM$. Replacing $X = \xi_1$ in (2.11), ξ belongs to either the distribution \mathfrak{D} or the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp , because of $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Case 3: $(\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{(k)} \overline{R}_N)Y = (\nabla_{\mathfrak{D}} \overline{R}_N)Y$ for all $Y \in TM$. Taking $X = X_0$ in (2.11), we have $k\eta^3(X_0) = 0$. This means that ξ belongs to the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp .

Summing up the above three cases, we can give a complete proof of our lemma. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS

Let us consider a Hopf hypersurface M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. Then by Lemma 2.1 we shall divide our consideration in the cases that the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to either the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp or the distribution \mathfrak{D} .

First of all, we consider the case $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$. Then in this case we want to prove the following

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a Hopf hypersurface in complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \geq 3$, such that the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp . If the g -Tanaka-Webster connection of the normal Jacobi operator coincides with the Levi-Civita connection along any vector field X , the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp , and the distribution \mathfrak{D} , respectively, then the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp is invariant under the shape operator A of M .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may put $\xi = \xi_1$. Now let us take $\xi = \xi_1$ in (2.1), we have

$$(3.1) \quad \overline{R}_N X = X + 4\eta(X)\xi + 3\eta_1(X)\xi_1 + 2\eta_2(X)\xi_2 + 2\eta_3(X)\xi_3 - \varphi_1 \varphi X$$

for any tangent vector field X on M . Substituting X by φAX in (3.1), we get

$$\overline{R}_N(\varphi AX) = \varphi AX + 2\eta_3(AX)\xi_2 - 2\eta_2(AX)\xi_3 + \varphi_1 AX.$$

Using the equation (see [10], (1.8)), it becomes

$$(3.2) \quad \overline{R}_N(\varphi AX) = 2\varphi AX.$$

Putting $X = \xi$ in (3.1), it is written as

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{R}_N(\xi) = 8\xi.$$

On the other hand, inserting $Y = \xi$ in (2.2), we get

$$(3.4) \quad F_X^{(k)}\xi = -\varphi AX.$$

Substituting $Y = \xi$ in (2.3) and using (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), we have $\varphi AX = 0$. Applying the structure tensor field φ , it becomes

$$(3.5) \quad AX = \alpha\eta(X)\xi,$$

for any tangent vector field X in M .

Therefore, we consider the following three cases:

Case 1: $(\widehat{\nabla}_X^{(k)}\bar{R}_N)Y = (\nabla_X\bar{R}_N)Y$ for all $X, Y \in TM$. Since $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$, AX of (3.5) belongs to the distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp .

Case 2: $(\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp}^{(k)}\bar{R}_N)Y = (\nabla_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp}\bar{R}_N)Y$ for all $Y \in TM$. This case has the same result as in Case 1.

Case 3: $(\widehat{\nabla}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{(k)}\bar{R}_N)Y = (\nabla_{\mathfrak{D}}\bar{R}_N)Y$ for all $Y \in TM$. Taking $X \in \mathfrak{D}$ in (3.5), we have $AX = 0$.

So, in any of Cases 1, 2 and 3, we can assert our Lemma 3.1. \square

If $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$, by Theorem A and Lemma 3.1, we can assert that M is locally congruent to a model space of type (A), that is, a tube over a totally geodesic $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. Now let us check whether a model space of type (A) satisfies one of the conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) or not. For a real hypersurface of type (A), detailed information (eigenspaces, corresponding eigenvalues, and multiplicities) was given in [3].

For Cases 1 and 2, putting $X = \xi_2$ in (3.5), we have $\beta\xi_2 = 0$. Since $\beta = \sqrt{2}\cot(\sqrt{2}r)$, $r \in (0, \pi/\sqrt{8})$, this gives $\xi_2 = 0$ which makes a contradiction. For the remaining Case 3, taking non-zero vector field $X \in T_\lambda$ in (3.5), we get $\lambda X = 0$. This gives that $\lambda = 0$. But the eigenvalue is $\lambda = -\sqrt{2}\tan(\sqrt{2}r)$, $r \in (0, \pi/\sqrt{8})$. This gives us a contradiction.

Next, if $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}$, by the assumption of Hopf and using [9], we see that M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type (B), which is a tube over a totally geodesic and totally real quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{H}P^n$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. Hence it remains to check if a model space of type (B) satisfies one of the conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) or not. Now, by using the detailed information for real hypersurfaces of type (B) given in [3], we can check these cases as follows:

Taking $\xi \in \mathfrak{D}$ in (2.1), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \overline{R}_N X = X + 3\eta(X)\xi + 3 \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \eta_\nu(X)\xi_\nu + \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \eta_\nu(\varphi X)\varphi_\nu \xi.$$

In (3.6), let us insert $X = \xi$ and $X = \varphi AX$, respectively, we get

$$(3.7) \quad \overline{R}_N \xi = 4\xi,$$

$$(3.8) \quad \overline{R}_N(\varphi AX) = \varphi AX + 3 \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \eta_\nu(\varphi AX)\xi_\nu - \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \eta_\nu(AX)\varphi_\nu \xi.$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (2.3) and using (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad -3\varphi AX = -3 \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \eta_\nu(\varphi AX)\xi_\nu + \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \eta_\nu(AX)\varphi_\nu \xi.$$

For Cases 1 and 2, we can put $X = \xi_1 \in \mathfrak{D}^\perp$ in (3.9), then we have $4\beta\varphi\xi_1 = 0$. This gives $\beta = 0$, which gives us a contradiction.

For Case 3, we can put $X \in T_\lambda$ in (3.9), we get $\lambda\varphi X = 0$. Since $\beta = 2\cot(2r)$, $r \in (0, \pi/4)$ and $\lambda = \cot(r)$, $r \in (0, \pi/4)$, it gives us also a contradiction.

Hence summing up these assertions, we can give a complete proof of our Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 in the introduction. \square

References

- [1] *D. V. Alekseevskii*: Compact quaternion spaces. Funkts. Anal. Prilozh. 2 (1968), 11–20. (In Russian.)
- [2] *J. Berndt, Y. J. Suh*: Real hypersurfaces with isometric Reeb flow in complex two-plane Grassmannians. Monatsh. Math. 137 (2002), 87–98.
- [3] *J. Berndt, Y. J. Suh*: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians. Monatsh. Math. 127 (1999), 1–14.
- [4] *J. T. Cho*: CR-structures on real hypersurfaces of a complex space form. Publ. Math. 54 (1999), 473–487.
- [5] *I. Jeong, H. J. Kim, Y. J. Suh*: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with parallel normal Jacobi operator. Publ. Math. 76 (2010), 203–218.
- [6] *I. Jeong, Y. J. Suh*: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with \mathfrak{F} -parallel normal Jacobi operator. Kyungpook Math. J. 51 (2011), 395–410.
- [7] *U.-H. Ki, J. D. Pérez, F. G. Santos, Y. J. Suh*: Real hypersurfaces in complex space forms with ξ -parallel Ricci tensor and structure Jacobi operator. J. Korean Math. Soc. 44 (2007), 307–326.
- [8] *M. Kon*: Real hypersurfaces in complex space forms and the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. Proc. of Workshop on Differential Geometry and Related Fields, Taegu, Korea, 2009 (Y. J. Suh et al., eds.). Korean Mathematical Society and Grassmann Research Group, Natl. Inst. Math. Sci., Taegu, 2009, pp. 145–159.

- [9] *H. Lee, Y. J. Suh*: Real hypersurfaces of type B in complex two-plane Grassmannians related to the Reeb vector. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* *47* (2010), 551–561.
- [10] *H. Lee, Y. J. Suh, C. Woo*: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with commuting Jacobi operators. *Houston J. Math.* *40* (2014), 751–766.
- [11] *E. Pak, J. D. Pérez, C. J. G. Machado, C. Woo*: Hopf hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with generalized Tanaka-Webster parallel normal Jacobi operator. *Czech. Math. J.* *65* (2015), 207–218.
- [12] *J. D. Pérez, I. Jeong, Y. J. Suh*: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with commuting normal Jacobi operator. *Acta Math. Hungar.* *117* (2007), 201–217.
- [13] *J. D. Pérez, Y. J. Suh*: Real hypersurfaces of quaternionic projective space satisfying $\nabla_{U_i}R = 0$. *Differ. Geom. Appl.* *7* (1997), 211–217.
- [14] *N. Tanaka*: On non-degenerate real hypersurfaces, graded Lie algebras and Cartan connections. *Jap. J. Math., New Ser.* *2* (1976), 131–190.
- [15] *S. Tanno*: Variational problems on contact Riemannian manifolds. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* *314* (1989), 349–379.
- [16] *S. M. Webster*: Pseudo-Hermitian structures on a real hypersurface. *J. Differ. Geom.* *13* (1978), 25–41.

Authors' addresses: Eunmi Pak, Young Jin Suh, Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University, 80 Daehakro, Bugku, Daegu 702-701, Republic of Korea, e-mail: empak@hanmail.net, yjsuh@knu.ac.kr; Juan de Dios Pérez, Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, Campus de Fuentenueva s/n, 18071 Granada, Spain, e-mail: jdperez@ugr.es.