

Octavia Bolojan; Gennaro Infante; Radu Precup
Existence results for systems with nonlinear coupled nonlocal initial conditions

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 140 (2015), No. 4, 371–384

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144455>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2015

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

EXISTENCE RESULTS FOR SYSTEMS WITH NONLINEAR
COUPLED NONLOCAL INITIAL CONDITIONS

OCTAVIA BOLOJAN, Cluj-Napoca, GENNARO INFANTE, Cosenza,
RADU PRECUP, Cluj-Napoca

(Received September 15, 2013)

Abstract. The purpose of the present paper is to study the existence of solutions to initial value problems for nonlinear first order differential systems subject to nonlinear nonlocal initial conditions of functional type. The approach uses vector-valued metrics and matrices convergent to zero. Two existence results are given by means of Schauder and Leray-Schauder fixed point principles and the existence and uniqueness of the solution is obtained via a fixed point theorem due to Perov. Two examples are given to illustrate the theory.

Keywords: nonlinear differential system; nonlocal boundary condition; nonlinear boundary condition; fixed point; vector-valued norm; matrix convergent to zero

MSC 2010: 34A34, 34A12, 34B10, 47H10

1. INTRODUCTION

Nonlocal problems for different classes of differential equations and systems are intensively studied in the literature by a variety of methods (see for example [2], [4], [5], [6], [10]–[17], [21], [23], [24], [28], [30], [33], [35]–[38], [41], [42], [46], [48]–[54] and the references therein). For problems with nonlinear boundary conditions we refer the reader to [3], [18]–[20], [22], [25]–[27], [29], [31], [32], [34], [44] and the references therein.

The first author was supported by the Sectorial Operational Programme for Human Resources Development 2007-2013, co-financed by the European Social Fund, under the project POSDRU/159/1.5/S/137750 - “Doctoral and postdoctoral programs - support for increasing research competitiveness in the field of exact Sciences“ and by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS – UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0094. The third author was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS – UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0094

In the recent papers [8], [9], [39], [40], a new method based on vector-valued norms and matrices convergent to zero was used for the treatment of first order differential systems under nonlocal conditions expressed by *linear* functionals. The aim of this paper is to extend the use of that technique to nonlocal conditions given by *nonlinear* functionals.

We shall consider the problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} x'(t) = f_1(t, x(t), y(t)), \\ y'(t) = f_2(t, x(t), y(t)), & \text{a.e. on } [0, 1], \\ x(0) = \alpha[x, y], \\ y(0) = \beta[x, y]. \end{cases}$$

Here, $f_1, f_2: [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are L^1 -Carathéodory functions, $\alpha, \beta: (C[0, 1])^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are nonlinear continuous functionals, and the solution (x, y) is sought in $W^{1,1}(0, 1; \mathbb{R}^2)$. The technique we use differs from that in [9], [39], [40] by the necessity of working with nonlinear operators on the product space $C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$. This way, the nonlinear functionals expressing the nonlocal conditions become part of the nonlinear operators associated to the problem. More exactly, we rewrite the problem (1.1) as a system of the form

$$\begin{aligned} x_a &= \left(a + \int_0^t f_1(s, x(s), y(s)) \, ds, \alpha[x, y] \right), \\ y_b &= \left(b + \int_0^t f_2(s, x(s), y(s)) \, ds, \beta[x, y] \right), \end{aligned}$$

where by x_a, y_b we mean the pairs $(x, a), (y, b) \in C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$. This, in turn, can be viewed as a fixed point problem in $(C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})^2$ for the completely continuous operator

$$T = (T_1, T_2): (C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})^2 \rightarrow (C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})^2,$$

where T_1 and T_2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_1[x_a, y_b] &= \left(a + \int_0^t f_1(s, x(s), y(s)) \, ds, \alpha[x, y] \right), \\ T_2[x_a, y_b] &= \left(b + \int_0^t f_2(s, x(s), y(s)) \, ds, \beta[x, y] \right). \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we introduce some notations, definitions and basic results which are used throughout this paper. Three different fixed point principles are used in order to prove the existence of solutions for the problem (1.1), namely the fixed point principles of Perov, Schauder and Leray-Schauder (see [45], [46]). The technique that makes use of the vector-valued metrics and matrices convergent to zero has an

essential role in all three cases. Therefore, we recall the fundamental results that are used in the next sections (see [1], [43], [46]).

Let X be a nonempty set.

Definition 1.1. By a *vector-valued metric* on X we mean a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^n$ such that

- (i) $d(u, v) \geq 0$ for all $u, v \in X$ and if $d(u, v) = 0$ then $u = v$;
- (ii) $d(u, v) = d(v, u)$ for all $u, v \in X$;
- (iii) $d(u, v) \leq d(u, w) + d(w, v)$ for all $u, v, w \in X$.

Here, if $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, by $x \leq y$ we mean $x_i \leq y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We call the pair (X, d) a *generalized metric space*. For such a space convergence and completeness are similar to those in usual metric spaces.

Definition 1.2. A square matrix M with nonnegative elements is said to be *convergent to zero* if

$$M^k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

The property of being convergent to zero is equivalent to each of the following conditions from the characterization lemma below (see [7], pages 9, 10, [45], [46], [47], pages 12, 88):

Lemma 1.1. *Let M be a square matrix of nonnegative numbers. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is a matrix convergent to zero;
- (ii) $I - M$ is nonsingular and $(I - M)^{-1} = I + M + M^2 + \dots$ (where I stands for the unit matrix of the same order as M);
- (iii) the eigenvalues of M are located inside the unit disc of the complex plane;
- (iv) $I - M$ is nonsingular and $(I - M)^{-1}$ has nonnegative elements.

Note that, according to the equivalence of the statements (i) and (iv), a matrix M is convergent to zero if and only if the matrix $I - M$ is *inverse-positive*. Also, the equivalence of (i) and (iii) shows that a matrix M is convergent to zero if and only if $\varrho(M) < 1$, where $\varrho(M)$ is the spectral radius of M .

The following lemma is a consequence of the previous characterizations.

Lemma 1.2. *Let A be a matrix that is convergent to zero. Then for each matrix B of the same order whose elements are nonnegative and sufficiently small, the matrix $A + B$ is also convergent to zero.*

Definition 1.3. Let (X, d) be a generalized metric space. An operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *contractive* (with respect to the vector-valued metric d on X) if there exists a convergent to zero (Lipschitz) matrix M such that

$$d(T(u), T(v)) \leq Md(u, v) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in X.$$

Theorem 1.1 (Perov). *Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and $T: X \rightarrow X$ a contractive operator with Lipschitz matrix M . Then T has a unique fixed point u^* and for each $u_0 \in X$ we have*

$$d(T^k(u_0), u^*) \leq M^k(I - M)^{-1}d(u_0, T(u_0)) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem 1.2 (Schauder). *Let X be a Banach space, $D \subset X$ a nonempty closed bounded convex set and $T: D \rightarrow D$ a completely continuous operator (i.e., T is continuous and $T(D)$ is relatively compact). Then T has at least one fixed point.*

Theorem 1.3 (Leray-Schauder). *Let $(X, |\cdot|_X)$ be a Banach space, $R > 0$ and $T: \bar{b}_X(0; R) \rightarrow X$ a completely continuous operator. If $|u|_X < R$ for every solution u of the equation $u = \lambda T(u)$ and any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then T has at least one fixed point.*

In this paper, by $|x|_C$, where $x \in C[0, 1]$, we mean

$$|x|_C = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x(t)|.$$

Also, the notation $|x|_{L^1}$ will stand for the L^1 -norm in $L^1(0, 1)$.

2. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF THE SOLUTION

In this section we show that the existence of solutions to the problem (1.1) follows from Perov's fixed point theorem in case that the nonlinearities f_1, f_2 and the functionals α, β satisfy Lipschitz conditions of the type:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} |f_1(t, x, y) - f_1(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y})| \leq a_1|x - \bar{x}| + b_1|y - \bar{y}| \\ |f_2(t, x, y) - f_2(t, \bar{x}, \bar{y})| \leq a_2|x - \bar{x}| + b_2|y - \bar{y}|, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$, and

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} |\alpha[x, y] - \alpha[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]| \leq A_1|x - \bar{x}|_C + B_1|y - \bar{y}|_C \\ |\beta[x, y] - \beta[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]| \leq A_2|x - \bar{x}|_C + B_2|y - \bar{y}|_C, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in C[0, 1]$.

For a given number $\theta > 0$, denote

$$\begin{aligned} m_{11}(\theta) &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta}, a_1 + \theta A_1 \right\}, & m_{12}(\theta) &= b_1 + \theta B_1, \\ m_{21}(\theta) &= a_2 + \theta A_2, & m_{22}(\theta) &= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta}, b_2 + \theta B_2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that f_1, f_2 satisfy the Lipschitz conditions (2.1) and α, β satisfy the conditions (2.2). In addition assume that for some $\theta > 0$, the matrix*

$$(2.3) \quad M_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11}(\theta) & m_{12}(\theta) \\ m_{21}(\theta) & m_{22}(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$

is convergent to zero. Then the problem (1.1) has a unique solution.

Proof. We shall apply Perov's fixed point theorem in $(C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})^2$ endowed with the vector-valued norm $\|\cdot\|_{(C[0,1] \times \mathbb{R})^2}$,

$$\|u\|_{(C[0,1] \times \mathbb{R})^2} = \begin{bmatrix} |x_a| \\ |y_b| \end{bmatrix},$$

for $u = (x_a, y_b)$. Here

$$|x_a| = |(x, a)| = |x|_C + \theta|a|,$$

which represents a norm on $C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$.

We have to prove that T is contractive with respect to the convergent to zero matrix M_θ , more exactly that

$$\|T(u) - T(\bar{u})\|_{(C[0,1] \times \mathbb{R})^2} \leq M_\theta \|u - \bar{u}\|_{(C[0,1] \times \mathbb{R})^2},$$

for all $u = (x_a, y_b)$, $\bar{u} = (\bar{x}_a, \bar{y}_b) \in (C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})^2$.

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & |T_1[x_a, y_b] - T_1[\bar{x}_a, \bar{y}_b]| \\
 & \leq \left| \int_0^t |f_1(s, x(s), y(s)) - f_1(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{y}(s))| \, ds \right|_C + |a - \bar{a}| \\
 & \quad + \theta |\alpha[x, y] - \alpha[\bar{x}, \bar{y}]| \\
 & \leq \left| a_1 \int_0^t |x(s) - \bar{x}(s)| \, ds + b_1 \int_0^t |y(s) - \bar{y}(s)| \, ds \right|_C + \theta A_1 |x - \bar{x}|_C \\
 & \quad + \theta B_1 |y - \bar{y}|_C + |a - \bar{a}| \\
 & \leq (a_1 + \theta A_1) |x - \bar{x}|_C + (b_1 + \theta B_1) |y - \bar{y}|_C + \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot \theta |a - \bar{a}| \\
 & \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta}, a_1 + \theta A_1 \right\} |x_a - \bar{x}_a| + (b_1 + \theta B_1) |y_b - \bar{y}_b| \\
 & = m_{11}(\theta) |x_a - \bar{x}_a| + m_{12}(\theta) |y_b - \bar{y}_b|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad & |T_2[x_a, y_b] - T_2[\bar{x}_a, \bar{y}_b]| \\
 & \leq (a_2 + \theta A_2) |x_a - \bar{x}_a| + \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta}, b_2 + \theta B_2 \right\} |y_b - \bar{y}_b| \\
 & = m_{21}(\theta) |x_a - \bar{x}_a| + m_{22}(\theta) |y_b - \bar{y}_b|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, both inequalities (2.4), (2.5) can be put together and be rewritten equivalently as

$$\begin{bmatrix} |T_1[x_a, y_b] - T_1[\bar{x}_a, \bar{y}_b]| \\ |T_2[x_a, y_b] - T_2[\bar{x}_a, \bar{y}_b]| \end{bmatrix} \leq M_\theta \begin{bmatrix} |x_a - \bar{x}_a| \\ |y_b - \bar{y}_b| \end{bmatrix}$$

or using the vector-valued norm

$$\|T(u) - T(\bar{u})\|_{(C[0,1] \times \mathbb{R})^2} \leq M_\theta \|u - \bar{u}\|_{(C[0,1] \times \mathbb{R})^2},$$

where M_θ is given by (2.3) and assumed to be convergent to zero. The result follows now from Perov's fixed point theorem. \square

3. EXISTENCE OF AT LEAST ONE SOLUTION

In the beginning of this section, we give an application of Schauder's fixed point theorem. More precisely, we show that the existence of solutions to the problem (1.1) follows from Schauder's fixed point theorem in case that f_1, f_2 satisfy a relaxed growth condition of the type:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} |f_1(t, x, y)| \leq a_1|x| + b_1|y| + c_1(t), \\ |f_2(t, x, y)| \leq a_2|x| + b_2|y| + c_2(t), \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$, where $c_1, c_2 \in L^1(0, 1; \mathbb{R}_+)$. In addition, we assume that

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} |\alpha[x, y]| \leq A_1|x|_C + B_1|y|_C + C_1, \\ |\beta[x, y]| \leq A_2|x|_C + B_2|y|_C + C_2, \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in C[0, 1]$.

Theorem 3.1. *If the conditions (3.1), (3.2) hold and the matrix M_θ defined in (2.3) is convergent to zero for some $\theta > 0$, then the problem (1.1) has at least one solution.*

Proof. In order to apply Schauder's fixed point theorem, we look for a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset B of $(C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R})^2$ so that $T(B) \subset B$. Let x_a, y_b be any elements of $C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$. Then, using the same norm on $C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$ as in the proof of the previous theorem, we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |T_1[x_a, y_b]| &= \left| a + \int_0^t f_1(s, x(s), y(s)) \, ds \right|_C + \theta |\alpha[x, y]| \\ &\leq |a| + \left| \int_0^t (a_1|x(s)| + b_1|y(s)| + c_1(s)) \, ds \right|_C + \theta A_1|x|_C + \theta B_1|y|_C + \theta C_1 \\ &\leq a_1|x|_C + b_1|y|_C + |c_1|_{L^1} + \theta A_1|x|_C + \theta B_1|y|_C + \theta C_1 + |a| \\ &= (a_1 + \theta A_1)|x|_C + (b_1 + \theta B_1)|y|_C + \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot \theta |a| + |c_1|_{L^1} + \theta C_1 \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta}, a_1 + \theta A_1 \right\} |x_a| + (b_1 + \theta B_1)|y_b| + c_0 \\ &= m_{11}(\theta)|x_a| + m_{12}(\theta)|y_b| + c_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_0 := |c_1|_{L^1} + \theta C_1$. Similarly

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} |T_2[x_a, y_b]| &\leq (a_2 + \theta A_2)|x_a| + \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta}, b_2 + \theta B_2 \right\} |y_b| + C_0 \\ &= m_{21}(\theta)|x_a| + m_{22}(\theta)|y_b| + C_0, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 := |c_2|_{L^1} + \theta C_2$. Now, from (3.3), (3.4) we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} |T_1[x_a, y_b]| \\ |T_2[x_a, y_b]| \end{bmatrix} \leq M_\theta \begin{bmatrix} |x_a| \\ |y_b| \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ C_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where M_θ is given by (2.3) and is assumed to be convergent to zero. Next we look for two positive numbers R_1, R_2 such that if $|x_a| \leq R_1$ and $|y_b| \leq R_2$, then $|T_1[x_a, y_b]| \leq R_1, |T_2[x_a, y_b]| \leq R_2$. To this end it is sufficient that

$$M_\theta \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ C_0 \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

whence

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ R_2 \end{bmatrix} \geq (I - M_\theta)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} c_0 \\ C_0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that $I - M_\theta$ is invertible and its inverse $(I - M_\theta)^{-1}$ has nonnegative elements since M_θ is convergent to zero. Thus, if $B = B_1 \times B_2$, where

$$B_1 = \{x_a \in C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} : |x_a| \leq R_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad B_2 = \{y_b \in C[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} : |y_b| \leq R_2\},$$

then $T(B) \subset B$. Also, the operator T is completely continuous since f_1, f_2 have been assumed to be L^1 -Carathéodory. Thus Schauder's fixed point theorem can be applied. \square

In what follows, we give an application of the Leray-Schauder principle and we assume that the nonlinearities f_1, f_2 and also the functionals α, β satisfy more general growth conditions, namely:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} |f_1(t, x, y)| \leq \omega_1(t, |x|, |y|), \\ |f_2(t, x, y)| \leq \omega_2(t, |x|, |y|), \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $t \in [0, 1]$, and

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} |\alpha[x, y]| \leq \omega_3(|x|_C, |y|_C), \\ |\beta[x, y]| \leq \omega_4(|x|_C, |y|_C), \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y \in C[0, 1]$. Here ω_1, ω_2 are L^1 -Carathéodory functions on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}_+^2$, non-decreasing in their second and third arguments, and ω_3, ω_4 are continuous functions on \mathbb{R}_+^2 , nondecreasing in both variables.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that the conditions (3.5), (3.6) hold. In addition assume that there exists $R_0 = (R_1^0, R_2^0) \in (0, \infty)^2$ such that for $\varrho = (\varrho_1, \varrho_2) \in (0, \infty)^2$

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \int_0^1 \omega_1(s, \varrho_1, \varrho_2) \, ds + \omega_3(\varrho_1, \varrho_2) \geq \varrho_1 \\ \int_0^1 \omega_2(s, \varrho_1, \varrho_2) \, ds + \omega_4(\varrho_1, \varrho_2) \geq \varrho_2 \end{cases} \quad \text{implies } \varrho \leq R_0.$$

Then the problem (1.1) has at least one solution.

Proof. The result follows from the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem once we have proved the boundedness of the set of all solutions of the equation $u = \lambda T(u)$, for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Let $u = (x_a, y_b)$ be such a solution. Then $x_a = \lambda T_1(x_a, y_b)$ and $y_b = \lambda T_2(x_a, y_b)$, or equivalently

$$\begin{cases} (x, a) = \lambda \left(a + \int_0^t f_1(s, x(s), y(s)) \, ds, \alpha[x, y] \right), \\ (y, b) = \lambda \left(b + \int_0^t f_2(s, x(s), y(s)) \, ds, \beta[x, y] \right). \end{cases}$$

First, we obtain that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |x(t)| &= \lambda \left| a + \int_0^t f_1(s, x(s), y(s)) \, ds \right| \leq |a| + \int_0^t |f_1(s, x(s), y(s))| \, ds \\ &\leq |a| + \int_0^1 \omega_1(s, |x(s)|, |y(s)|) \, ds \leq |a| + \int_0^1 \omega_1(s, \varrho_1, \varrho_2) \, ds \end{aligned}$$

where $\varrho_1 = |x|_C$, $\varrho_2 = |y|_C$. Also

$$(3.9) \quad |a| = |\lambda \alpha[x, y]| \leq \omega_3(\varrho_1, \varrho_2).$$

Similarly, we have

$$(3.10) \quad |y(t)| \leq |b| + \int_0^1 \omega_2(s, \varrho_1, \varrho_2) \, ds$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad |b| \leq \omega_4(\varrho_1, \varrho_2).$$

Then from (3.8)–(3.11), we deduce

$$\begin{cases} \varrho_1 \leq \int_0^1 \omega_1(s, \varrho_1, \varrho_2) \, ds + \omega_3(\varrho_1, \varrho_2), \\ \varrho_2 \leq \int_0^1 \omega_2(s, \varrho_1, \varrho_2) \, ds + \omega_4(\varrho_1, \varrho_2). \end{cases}$$

This by (3.7) guarantees that

$$(3.12) \quad \varrho \leq R_0.$$

It follows that

$$(3.13) \quad |a| \leq \omega_3(R_0) =: R_1^1, \quad |b| \leq \omega_4(R_0) =: R_2^1.$$

Finally (3.12) and (3.13) show that the solutions $u = (x_a, y_b)$ are a priori bounded independently of λ . Also, the operator T is completely continuous since ω_1, ω_2 have been assumed to be L^1 -Carathéodory.

Thus Leray-Schauder's fixed point theorem can be applied. \square

4. EXAMPLES

In what follows, we give two examples that illustrate our theory.

Example 4.1. Consider the nonlocal problem

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} x' = \frac{1}{4} \sin x + ay + g(t) \equiv f_1(t, x, y), \\ y' = \cos \left(ax + \frac{1}{4}y \right) + h(t) \equiv f_2(t, x, y), \\ x(0) = \frac{1}{8} \sin \left(x \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) + y \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) \right), \\ y(0) = \frac{1}{8} \cos \left(x \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) + y \left(\frac{1}{4} \right) \right), \end{cases}$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g, h \in L^1(0, 1)$. We have $a_1 = 1/4$, $b_1 = |a|$, $a_2 = |a|$, $b_2 = 1/4$ and $A_1 = B_1 = A_2 = B_2 = 1/8$. Consider $\theta = 2$. Hence

$$(4.2) \quad M_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & |a| + \frac{1}{4} \\ |a| + \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the eigenvalues of M_θ are $\lambda_1 = -|a| + 1/4$, $\lambda_2 = |a| + 3/4$, the matrix (4.2) is convergent to zero if $|\lambda_1| < 1$ and $|\lambda_2| < 1$. It is also known that a matrix of this type is convergent to zero if $|a| + 1/4 + 1/2 < 1$ (see [45]). Therefore, if $|a| < 1/4$, the matrix (4.2) is convergent to zero and by Theorem 2.1 the problem (4.1) has a unique solution.

Example 4.2. Consider the nonlocal problem

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} x' = \frac{1}{4}x \sin\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) + ay \sin\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) + g(t) \equiv f_1(t, x, y), \\ y' = ax \sin\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) + \frac{1}{4}y \sin\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) + h(t) \equiv f_2(t, x, y), \\ x(0) = \frac{1}{8} \sin\left(x\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + y\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right), \\ y(0) = \frac{1}{8} \cos\left(x\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + y\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\right), \end{cases}$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g, h \in L^1(0, 1)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(t, x, y)| &\leq \frac{1}{4}|x| + |a||y| + |g(t)|, \\ |f_2(t, x, y)| &\leq |a||x| + \frac{1}{4}|y| + |h(t)|, \end{aligned}$$

we are under the assumptions from the first part of Section 3. Also, the matrix M_θ is that from Example 1 if we consider $\theta = 2$. Therefore, according to Theorem 3.1, if that matrix is convergent to zero, then the problem (4.3) has at least one solution. Note that the functions $f_1(t, x, y)$, $f_2(t, x, y)$ from this example do not satisfy Lipschitz conditions in x , y and consequently Theorem 2.1 does not apply.

Acknowledgement. The authors express their thanks to the anonymous referees for careful reading of the manuscript and valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] *R. P. Agarwal, M. Meehan, D. O'Regan*: Fixed Point Theory and Applications. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 141, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [2] *S. Aizicovici, H. Lee*: Nonlinear nonlocal Cauchy problems in Banach spaces. Appl. Math. Lett. 18 (2005), 401–407.
- [3] *E. Alves, T. F. Ma, M. L. Pelicer*: Monotone positive solutions for a fourth order equation with nonlinear boundary conditions. Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods 71 (2009), 3834–3841.
- [4] *G. Avalishvili, M. Avalishvili, D. Gordeziani*: On a nonlocal problem with integral boundary conditions for a multidimensional elliptic equation. Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011), 566–571.
- [5] *G. Avalishvili, M. Avalishvili, D. Gordeziani*: On integral nonlocal boundary value problems for some partial differential equations. Bull. Georgian Natl. Acad. Sci. (N.S.) 5 (2011), 31–37.
- [6] *M. Benchohra, A. Boucherif*: On first order multivalued initial and periodic value problems. Dyn. Syst. Appl. 9 (2000), 559–568.
- [7] *A. Berman, R. J. Plemmons*: Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences. Classics in Applied Mathematics 9, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1994.

- [8] *O. Bolojan-Nica, G. Infante, P. Pietramala*: Existence results for impulsive systems with initial nonlocal conditions. *Math. Model. Anal.* 18 (2013), 599–611.
- [9] *O. Bolojan-Nica, G. Infante, R. Precup*: Existence results for systems with coupled nonlocal initial conditions. *Nonlinear Anal.* 94 (2014), 231–242.
- [10] *A. Boucherif*: First-order differential inclusions with nonlocal initial conditions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 15 (2002), 409–414.
- [11] *A. Boucherif*: Nonlocal Cauchy problems for first-order multivalued differential equations. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* (electronic only) 2002 (2002), Article No. 47, 9 pages.
- [12] *A. Boucherif*: Differential equations with nonlocal boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods* 47 (2001), 2419–2430, Proceedings of the Third World Congress of Nonlinear Analysts 47, Part 4, Catania, 2000. Elsevier, Oxford.
- [13] *A. Boucherif, R. Precup*: Semilinear evolution equations with nonlocal initial conditions. *Dynam. Systems Appl.* 16 (2007), 507–516.
- [14] *A. Boucherif, R. Precup*: On the nonlocal initial value problem for first order differential equations. *Fixed Point Theory* 4 (2003), 205–212.
- [15] *L. Byszewski*: Abstract nonlinear nonlocal problems and their physical interpretation. *Biomathematics, Bioinformatics and Applications of Functional Differential Difference Equations* (H. Akca et al., eds.). Akdeniz Univ. Publ., Antalya, Turkey, 1999.
- [16] *L. Byszewski*: Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 162 (1991), 494–505.
- [17] *L. Byszewski, V. Lakshmikantham*: Theorem about the existence and uniqueness of a solution of a nonlocal abstract Cauchy problem in a Banach space. *Appl. Anal.* 40 (1991), 11–19.
- [18] *A. Cabada*: An overview of the lower and upper solutions method with nonlinear boundary value conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* (electronic only) 2011 (2011), Article No. 893753, 18 pages.
- [19] *A. Cabada, F. M. Minhós*: Fully nonlinear fourth-order equations with functional boundary conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 340 (2008), 239–251.
- [20] *A. Cabada, S. Tersian*: Multiplicity of solutions of a two point boundary value problem for a fourth-order equation. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 219 (2013), 5261–5267.
- [21] *K. Deimling*: *Multivalued Differential Equations*. W. de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications 1, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [22] *D. Franco, D. O'Regan, J. Perán*: Fourth-order problems with nonlinear boundary conditions. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 174 (2005), 315–327.
- [23] *M. Frigon*: *Applications of the Theory of Topological Transversality to Nonlinear Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations*. *Diss. Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* 296, 1990. (In French.)
- [24] *M. Frigon, J. W. Lee*: Existence principles for Carathéodory differential equations in Banach spaces. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 1 (1993), 95–111.
- [25] *C. S. Goodrich*: On nonlinear boundary conditions satisfying certain asymptotic behavior. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods* 76 (2013), 58–67.
- [26] *C. S. Goodrich*: On nonlocal BVPs with nonlinear boundary conditions with asymptotically sublinear or superlinear growth. *Math. Nachr.* 285 (2012), 1404–1421.
- [27] *C. S. Goodrich*: Positive solutions to boundary value problems with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods* 75 (2012), 417–432.
- [28] *H.-K. Han, J.-Y. Park*: Boundary controllability of differential equations with nonlocal condition. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 230 (1999), 242–250.

- [29] *G. Infante*: Nonlocal boundary value problems with two nonlinear boundary conditions. *Commun. Appl. Anal.* *12* (2008), 279–288.
- [30] *G. Infante, F. M. Minhós, P. Pietramala*: Non-negative solutions of systems of ODEs with coupled boundary conditions. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* *17* (2012), 4952–4960.
- [31] *G. Infante, P. Pietramala*: Multiple nonnegative solutions of systems with coupled nonlinear boundary conditions. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* *37* (2014), 2080–2090.
- [32] *G. Infante, P. Pietramala*: A cantilever equation with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* (electronic only) *2009* (2009), Article No. 15, 14 pages.
- [33] *G. Infante, P. Pietramala*: Eigenvalues and non-negative solutions of a system with non-local BCs. *Nonlinear Stud.* *16* (2009), 187–196.
- [34] *G. Infante, P. Pietramala*: Existence and multiplicity of non-negative solutions for systems of perturbed Hammerstein integral equations. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods* *71* (2009), 1301–1310.
- [35] *D. Jackson*: Existence and uniqueness of solutions to semilinear nonlocal parabolic equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *172* (1993), 256–265.
- [36] *T. Jankowski*: Ordinary differential equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Georgian Math. J.* *9* (2002), 287–294.
- [37] *G. L. Karakostas, P. C. Tsamatos*: Existence of multiple positive solutions for a nonlocal boundary value problem. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* *19* (2002), 109–121.
- [38] *O. Nica*: Existence results for second order three-point boundary value problems. *Differ. Equ. Appl.* *4* (2012), 547–570.
- [39] *O. Nica*: Initial-value problems for first-order differential systems with general nonlocal conditions. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* (electronic only) *2012* (2012), Article No. 74, 15 pages.
- [40] *O. Nica*: Nonlocal initial value problems for first order differential systems. *Fixed Point Theory* *13* (2012), 603–612.
- [41] *O. Nica, R. Precup*: On the nonlocal initial value problem for first order differential systems. *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math.* *56* (2011), 113–125.
- [42] *S. K. Ntouyas, P. C. Tsamatos*: Global existence for semilinear evolution equations with nonlocal conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *210* (1997), Article No. ay975425, 679–687.
- [43] *D. O'Regan, R. Precup*: Theorems of Leray-Schauder Type and Applications. *Series in Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 3, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, London, 2001.
- [44] *P. Pietramala*: A note on a beam equation with nonlinear boundary conditions. *Bound. Value Probl.* (electronic only) *2011* (2011), Article No. 376782, 14 pages.
- [45] *R. Precup*: The role of matrices that are convergent to zero in the study of semilinear operator systems. *Math. Comput. Modelling* *49* (2009), 703–708.
- [46] *R. Precup*: *Methods in Nonlinear Integral Equations*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [47] *R. S. Varga*: *Matrix Iterative Analysis*. Springer Series in Computational Mathematics 27, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
- [48] *J. R. L. Webb*: A unified approach to nonlocal boundary value problems. *Dynamic Systems and Applications* 5. Proc. of the 5th International Conf., Morehouse College, Atlanta, 2007 (G. S. Ladde et al., eds.). Dynamic Publishers, Atlanta, 2008, pp. 510–515.
- [49] *J. R. L. Webb, G. Infante*: Semi-positone nonlocal boundary value problems of arbitrary order. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* *9* (2010), 563–581.
- [50] *J. R. L. Webb, G. Infante*: Non-local boundary value problems of arbitrary order. *J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser.* *79* (2009), 238–258.

- [51] *J. R. L. Webb, G. Infante*: Positive solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems involving integral conditions. *NoDEA, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* *15* (2008), 45–67.
- [52] *J. R. L. Webb, K. Q. Lan*: Eigenvalue criteria for existence of multiple positive solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems of local and nonlocal type. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* *27* (2006), 91–115.
- [53] *X. Xue*: Existence of semilinear differential equations with nonlocal initial conditions. *Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser.* *23* (2007), 983–988.
- [54] *X. Xue*: Existence of solutions for semilinear nonlocal Cauchy problems in Banach spaces. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ. (electronic only)* *2005* (2005), Article No. 64, 7 pages.

Authors' addresses: *Octavia Bolojan-Nica*, Universitatea Babeş-Bolyai, Departamentul de Matematică, Mihail Kogălniceanu 1, Cluj-Napoca, 400 084 România, e-mail: octavia.nica@math.ubbcluj.ro; *Gennaro Infante*, Università della Calabria, Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica, 870 36 Arcavacata di Rende, Cosenza, Italy, e-mail: gennaro.infante@unical.it; *Radu Precup*, Universitatea Babeş-Bolyai, Departamentul de Matematică, Mihail Kogălniceanu 1, Cluj-Napoca, 400 084 România, e-mail: r.precup@math.ubbcluj.ro.