

Piotr Kokocki

Invariant sets and connecting orbits for nonlinear evolution equations at resonance

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 140 (2015), No. 4, 447–455

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144462>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2015

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

INVARIANT SETS AND CONNECTING ORBITS FOR NONLINEAR
EVOLUTION EQUATIONS AT RESONANCE

PIOTR KOKOCKI, Toruń

(Received October 2, 2013)

Abstract. We study the problem of existence of orbits connecting stationary points for the nonlinear heat and strongly damped wave equations being at resonance at infinity. The main difficulty lies in the fact that the problems may have no solutions for general nonlinearity. To address this question we introduce geometrical assumptions for the nonlinear term and use them to prove index formulas expressing the Conley index of associated semiflows. We also prove that the geometrical assumptions are generalizations of the well known Landesman-Lazer and strong resonance conditions. Obtained index formulas are used to derive criteria determining the existence of orbits connecting stationary points.

Keywords: semigroup; evolution equation; invariant set; Conley index; resonance

MSC 2010: 37B30, 35L10, 35P05

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the differential equations

$$(1.1) \quad \dot{u}(t) = -Au(t) + \lambda u(t) + F(u(t)), \quad t > 0,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \ddot{u}(t) = -Au(t) - cA\dot{u}(t) + \lambda u(t) + F(u(t)), \quad t > 0$$

where $A: D(A) \rightarrow X$ is a positive sectorial operator on a Banach space X and $F: X^\alpha \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map on the fractional space $X^\alpha := D(A^\alpha)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Our objective is to study the existence of orbits connecting stationary points for these equations in the case of *resonance at infinity*, i.e.,

$$\text{Ker}(\lambda I - A) \neq \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad F \text{ is bounded.}$$

The research is supported by the NCN Grant No. 2011/01/N/ST1/05245.

The main difficulty lies in the fact that, in the presence of resonance, even the problem of existence of bounded orbits may have no solution for general nonlinearity F . This fact is explained in detail in Remark 3.1. Our aim is to overcome this difficulty by proving theorems determining the existence of orbits connecting stationary points for equations (1.1) and (1.2), in terms of appropriate geometrical assumptions imposed on the nonlinearity F . To this end we formulate below assumptions (G1) and (G2) and use them to prove the two main results: Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 which express the Conley index of the invariant set contained in a sufficiently large ball in terms of assumptions (G1) and (G2). These theorems are complements of results from [10], [12], where the parabolic equation with non-resonance conditions at infinity is considered.

Finally, we provide applications for particular partial differential equations. First of all, in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, we prove that if F is a Nemytskii operator associated with a map $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then the well known Landesman-Lazer (see e.g. [8]) and strong resonance conditions (see e.g. [1]) are actually particular cases of assumptions (G1) and (G2). Then we derive criteria determining the existence of orbits connecting stationary points for the heat and strongly damped wave equations.

2. SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION

Let $A: D(A) \rightarrow X$ be a positive sectorial operator on a Banach space X such that:

- (A1) the operator A has compact resolvents,
- (A2) there is a Hilbert space H endowed with a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ and norm $\|\cdot\|_H$ and a continuous injective map $i: X \hookrightarrow H$,
- (A3) there is a linear self-adjoint operator $\widehat{A}: H \supset D(\widehat{A}) \rightarrow H$ such that $\text{Gr}(A) \subset \text{Gr}(\widehat{A})$, where the graph inclusion is understood in the sense of the product map $X \times X \xrightarrow{i \times i} H \times H$.

Remark 2.1. One can prove (see e.g. [5], Remark 3.1) that the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ consists of the sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_i < \lambda_{i+1} < \dots$ which is finite or $\lambda_i \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $\dim \text{Ker}(\lambda_i I - A) < \infty$ for $i \geq 1$.

In the following theorem we obtain spectral decomposition for the operator A .

Theorem 2.2 ([4], Theorem 2.3). *If $\lambda = \lambda_k$ for some $k \geq 1$ is the k -th eigenvalue of the operator A and $X_0 := \text{Ker}(\lambda I - A)$, then there are closed subspaces X_+ , X_- of X such that $X = X_+ \oplus X_- \oplus X_0$ and the following assertions hold:*

- (i) We have inclusions $X_- \subset D(A)$, $A(X_-) \subset X_-$, $A(X_+ \cap D(A)) \subset X_+$ and, furthermore, X_- is a finite dimensional space such that $X_- = \{0\}$ if $k = 1$ and $X_- = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{Ker}(\lambda_i I - A)$ if $k \geq 2$.
- (ii) If $A_+ : X_+ \supset D(A_+) \rightarrow X_+$ and $A_- : X_- \supset D(A_-) \rightarrow X_-$ are parts of the operator A in X_+ and X_- , respectively, then $\sigma(A_+) = \{\lambda_i; i \geq k + 1\}$ and $\sigma(A_-) = \emptyset$ if $k = 1$ and $\sigma(A_-) = \{\lambda_i; i = 1, \dots, k - 1\}$ if $k \geq 2$.
- (iii) The spaces X_0, X_-, X_+ are mutually orthogonal, that is, $\langle i(u_l), i(u_m) \rangle_H = 0$ for $l \neq m$, where $u_i \in X_i$ for $i \in \{0, -, +\}$.

3. INDEX FORMULAS FOR INVARIANT SETS

Let $A : D(A) \rightarrow X$ be a positive sectorial operator on a Banach space X satisfying assumptions (A1), (A2), (A3) and let $F : X^\alpha \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map on the fractional space $X^\alpha := D(A^\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Assume that

- (F1) for every $x \in X^\alpha$ there is an open neighborhood $V \subset X^\alpha$ of x and a constant $L > 0$ such that for $x_1, x_2 \in V$ we have $\|F(x_1) - F(x_2)\| \leq L\|x_1 - x_2\|_\alpha$,
- (F2) there is a constant $m > 0$ such that $\|F(x)\| \leq m$ for $x \in X^\alpha$,
- (F3) F is *completely continuous*, that is, for any bounded set $V \subset X^\alpha$ the set $F(V)$ is relatively compact in X .

We recall that a *mild solution* of the equation (1.1) starting at x is a continuous map $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X^\alpha$ such that

$$u(t) = e^{\lambda t} S_A(t)x + \int_0^t e^{\lambda(t-s)} S_A(t-s) F(u(s)) ds \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

It is the standard theory (see e.g. [3], Theorem 3.3.3, Corollary 3.3.5) that under the above assumptions, for any $x \in X^\alpha$, there is a unique mild solution $u(\cdot; x) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X^\alpha$ of (1.1) such that $u(0; x) = x$. Therefore we are able to define the *semiflow* $\Phi : [0, \infty) \times X^\alpha \rightarrow X^\alpha$ for the equation (1.1) by $\Phi(t, x) := u(t; x)$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$, $x \in X^\alpha$. Furthermore, note that equation (1.2) may be written as

$$(3.1) \quad \dot{w}(t) = -\mathbf{A}w(t) + \mathbf{F}(w(t)), \quad t > 0,$$

where $\mathbf{A} : \mathbf{E} \supset D(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ is the linear operator on $\mathbf{E} := X^\alpha \times X$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathbf{A}) &:= \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}; x + cy \in D(A)\}, \\ \mathbf{A}(x, y) &:= (-y, A(x + cy) - \lambda x) \quad \text{for } D(\mathbf{A}), \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbf{F}: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ is given by $\mathbf{F}(x, y) := (0, F(x))$ for $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}$. Since A is a sectorial operator we can prove that \mathbf{A} is also a sectorial operator (see e.g. [2], [9]). Therefore, just as before we can define the semiflow $\Phi: [0, \infty) \times \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ for the equation (1.2) by $\Phi(t, x) := w(t; (x, y))$ for $t \in [0, \infty)$, $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}$, where $w(\cdot; (x, y)): [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ is a solution for (3.1) starting at $(x, y) \in \mathbf{E}$.

We say that a map $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X^\alpha$ or $w: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ is an *orbit* provided

$$\Phi(t, u(s)) = u(t+s) \quad \text{or} \quad \Phi(t, w(s)) = w(t+s), \quad \text{respectively, for } t \geq 0, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We call the set $K \subset X^\alpha$ invariant provided for every $x \in K$ there is an orbit u for the semiflow Φ such that $u(0) \in K$ and $u(\mathbb{R}) \subset K$. Similarly, $K \subset \mathbf{E}$ is an invariant if for every $(x, y) \in K$ there is an orbit w for Φ such that $w(0) \in K$ and $w(\mathbb{R}) \subset K$.

Assume that $\lambda = \lambda_k$ for some $k \geq 1$ and consider the direct sum decomposition $X = X_0 \oplus X_- \oplus X_+$ obtained in Theorem 2.2. Let $Q_1, Q_2, P: X \rightarrow X$ be the continuous projections onto X_-, X_+ and X_0 , respectively. Define

$$X_+^\alpha := X^\alpha \cap X_+, \quad X_-^\alpha := X^\alpha \cap X_- \quad \text{and} \quad Q := Q_1 + Q_2.$$

Remark 3.1. If equation (1.1) is at resonance at infinity then the problem of existence of compact orbits connecting stationary points may have no solution for general nonlinearity F .

To see this it is enough to take $F(x) = y_0$ for $x \in X^\alpha$, where $y_0 \in \text{Ker}(\lambda I - A) \setminus \{0\}$. Indeed, if $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X^\alpha$ is a bounded orbit, then

$$u(t) = e^{\lambda(t-t')} S_A(t-t') u(t') + \int_{t'}^t e^{\lambda(t-\tau)} S_A(t-\tau) y_0 \, d\tau, \quad t > t'.$$

Since $\text{Ker}(\lambda I - A) \subset \text{Ker}(I - e^{\lambda t} S_A(t))$ for $t \geq 0$ it follows that

$$u(t) = e^{\lambda(t-t')} S_A(t-t') u(t') + (t-t') y_0, \quad t > t',$$

and therefore, after acting by the operator P , we have

$$Pu(t) = e^{\lambda(t-t')} S_A(t-t') Pu(t') + (t-t') P y_0 = Pu(t') + (t-t') y_0, \quad t > t',$$

and finally $Pu(h) = Pu(0) + h y_0$ for $h \geq 0$. This contradicts the assumption that u is bounded and proves our assertion.

To overcome this obstacles we introduce the following *geometrical assumptions*:

$$(G1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for every balls } B_1 \subset X_+^\alpha \oplus X_-^\alpha \text{ and } B_2 \subset X_0 \text{ there is } R > 0 \\ \text{such that } \langle F(x+y), x \rangle_H > -\langle F(x+y), z \rangle_H \\ \text{for } (y, z) \in B_1 \times B_2, x \in X_0 \text{ such that } \|x\|_H \geq R, \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$(G2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for every balls } B_1 \subset X_+^\alpha \oplus X_-^\alpha \text{ and } B_2 \subset X_0 \text{ there is } R > 0 \\ \text{such that } \langle F(x+y), x \rangle_H < -\langle F(x+y), z \rangle_H \\ \text{for } (y, z) \in B_1 \times B_2, x \in X_0 \text{ such that } \|x\|_H \geq R. \end{array} \right.$$

With these assumptions we proceed to prove the *index formulas for first and second order equations*. Assume that $\lambda = \lambda_k$ for $k \geq 1$ is an eigenvalue of A and put $d_0 := 0$ and $d_l := \sum_{i=1}^l \dim \text{Ker}(\lambda_i I - A)$ for $l \geq 1$. The following index formula is a tool to determine the Conley index of the maximal invariant set contained in an appropriately large ball for equation (1.1).

Theorem 3.2 ([5], Theorem 3.4). *There is a closed isolated neighborhood $N \subset X^\alpha$ such that, for $K := \text{Inv}(N, \Phi)$, the following statements hold:*

- (i) *if condition (G1) is satisfied, then $h(\Phi, K) = \Sigma^{d_k}$,*
- (ii) *if condition (G2) is satisfied, then $h(\Phi, K) = \Sigma^{d_{k-1}}$.*

Here h is the Conley index and Σ^m is a homotopy type of pointed m -dimensional sphere (for more information see e.g. [11]). The following theorem is an analogous index formula for equation (1.2).

Theorem 3.3 ([6], Theorem 4.2.2). *Then there is a closed isolated neighborhood $N \subset \mathbf{E}$ such that, for $K := \text{Inv}(N, \Phi)$, we have the following assertions:*

- (i) *if condition (G1) is satisfied, then $h(\Phi, K) = \Sigma^{d_k}$,*
- (ii) *if condition (G2) is satisfied, then $h(\Phi, K) = \Sigma^{d_{k-1}}$.*

4. APPLICATION TO PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open bounded set with C^∞ boundary and consider the equations

$$(4.1) \quad u_t(t, x) = \Delta u(t, x) + \lambda u(t, x) + f(x, u(t, x)),$$

$$(4.2) \quad u_{tt}(t, x) = \Delta u(t, x) + c \Delta u_t(t, x) + \lambda u(t, x) + f(x, u(t, x))$$

where $c > 0$ is a damping factor, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter, Δ is the Laplace operator with Dirichlet conditions, $f: \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous, bounded map satisfying (E1) for every $R > 0$ there is $L > 0$ such that $|f(x, s_1) - f(x, s_2)| \leq L(|s_1 - s_2|)$ for $x \in \Omega$ and $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

(E2) f is a map of class C^1 and there is a constant $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nu = D_s f(x, 0)$ for $x \in \Omega$; furthermore $f(x, 0, 0) = 0$ for $x \in \Omega$.

Put $\alpha \in (3/4, 1)$ and $p \geq 2n$ and let $X := L^p(\Omega)$. Define $A_p: X \supset D(A_p) \rightarrow X$ as the linear operator given by $D(A_p) := W_0^{2,p}(\Omega)$ and $A_p \bar{u} := -\Delta \bar{u}$ for $\bar{u} \in D(A_p)$.

- (1) It is known that A_p , $p \geq 2$, is a positive definite sectorial operator with compact resolvents and one can prove that A_2 is symmetric. Hence (A1) is satisfied.
- (2) Take $H := L^2(\Omega)$ with the standard inner product and norm. Since Ω is bounded and $p \geq 2$, we derive that $i: L^p(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is a continuous embedding. Consequently, we obtain assumption (A2).
- (3) Using again the boundedness of Ω , one can easily prove that for $\tilde{A} := A_2$ the inclusion $A_p \subset \tilde{A}$ is satisfied in the sense of the map $i \times i$. Therefore (A3) holds.

By Remark 2.1 it follows that the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ consists of the sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_i < \lambda_{i+1} < \dots$ and $\dim \text{Ker}(\lambda_i I - A) < \infty$ for $i \geq 1$. The embedding theorem for fractional spaces [3], Theorem 1.6.1, implies that the inclusion $X^\alpha \subset C(\bar{\Omega})$ is continuous. Therefore we can define the *Nemytskii operator* $F: X^\alpha \rightarrow X$ given for every $\bar{u} \in X^\alpha$ by the formula $F(\bar{u})(x) := f(x, \bar{u}(x))$ for $x \in \Omega$.

Under assumptions (E1), (E2) the following assertions hold.

- (i) One can prove that the map F is continuous, bounded and satisfies assumptions (F1), (F2). Therefore, writing equations (4.1) and (4.2) in the abstract form (1.1) and (1.2), respectively, we can associate with them semiflows Φ and Φ .
- (ii) One can also prove that F is differentiable at 0 and its derivative $DF(0) \in L(X^\alpha, X)$ is of the form $DF(0)[\bar{u}] = \nu \bar{u}$ for $\bar{u} \in X^\alpha$.

Now we proceed to examine what assumptions should the mapping f satisfy in order to the associated Nemytskii operator F meets the introduced earlier geometrical assumptions. We start with the following theorem which says that well known *Landesman-Lazer conditions* introduced in [8] are actually particular cases of (G1) and (G2).

Theorem 4.1 ([7], Theorem 5.2). *Assume that $f_+, f_-: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions such that*

$$f_+(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(x, s) \quad \text{and} \quad f_-(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} f(x, s) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega.$$

(i) *If the condition*

$$(LL1) \quad \int_{\{\bar{u} > 0\}} f_+(x) \bar{u}(x) \, dx + \int_{\{\bar{u} < 0\}} f_-(x) \bar{u}(x) \, dx > 0$$

is satisfied for $\bar{u} \in \text{Ker}(\lambda I - A_p) \setminus \{0\}$, then condition (G1) holds.

(ii) *If the condition*

$$(LL2) \quad \int_{\{\bar{u}>0\}} f_+(x)\bar{u}(x) \, dx + \int_{\{\bar{u}<0\}} f_-(x)\bar{u}(x) \, dx < 0$$

is satisfied for $\bar{u} \in \text{Ker}(\lambda I - A_p) \setminus \{0\}$, then condition (G2) holds.

The following lemma proves that conditions (G1) and (G2) are also implicated by the *strong resonance conditions*, studied for example in [1].

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that there is a continuous function $f_\infty: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$f_\infty(x) = \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} f(x, s) \cdot s \quad \text{for } x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

(i) *Condition (G1) is satisfied provided*

$$(SR1) \quad \begin{cases} \text{there is } h \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ such that } f(x, s) \cdot s \geq h(x) \text{ for } (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\ \text{and } \int_{\Omega} f_\infty(x) \, dx > 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *Condition (G2) is satisfied provided*

$$(SR2) \quad \begin{cases} \text{there is } h \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ such that } f(x, s) \cdot s \leq h(x) \text{ for } (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \\ \text{and } \int_{\Omega} f_\infty(x) \, dx < 0. \end{cases}$$

The following theorem is a criterion on existence of orbits connecting stationary points with Landesman-Lazer type conditions.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that $f_+, f_-: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions such that*

$$f_+(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} f(x, s) \quad \text{and} \quad f_-(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} f(x, s) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega.$$

There is a nonzero compact orbit $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X^\alpha$ of equation (4.1) such that either $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} u(t) = 0$ or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0$, provided one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) (LL1) *holds and $\lambda_l < \lambda + \nu < \lambda_{l+1}$, where $\lambda_l \neq \lambda$;*
- (ii) (LL1) *holds and $\lambda + \nu < \lambda_1$;*
- (iii) (LL2) *holds and $\lambda_{l-1} < \lambda + \nu < \lambda_l$, where $\lambda \neq \lambda_l$, $l \geq 2$;*
- (iv) (LL2) *holds and $\lambda + \nu < \lambda_1$, where $\lambda \neq \lambda_1$.*

In the proof of this theorem we need the following propositions.

Proposition 4.4 (see [11], Theorem 3.5, [6], Proposition 4.3.3). *If $\lambda + \mu \notin \sigma(A)$, then $h(\Phi, \{0\}) = h(\Phi, \{0\}) = \Sigma^{b_l}$, where $b_l := 0$ if $\lambda + \mu < \lambda_1$ and $b_l := \sum_{i=1}^l \dim \text{Ker}(\lambda_i I - A)$ if $\lambda_l < \lambda + \mu < \lambda_{l+1}$.*

Proposition 4.5 (see [11], Theorem 11.5).

- (i) *Let $K \subset X^\alpha$ be an isolated invariant set such that $0 \in K$ and $\Phi(t, 0) = 0$ for $t \geq 0$. If $h(\Phi, K) = \Sigma^m$, where $m \geq 0$ is an integer, $h(\Phi, \{0\}) \neq \bar{0}$ and $h(\Phi, \{0\}) \neq h(\Phi, K)$, then there is a nonzero compact orbit $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow K$ of Φ such that either $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} u(t) = 0$ or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t) = 0$.*
- (ii) *Let $K \subset \mathbf{E}$ be an isolated invariant set such that $0 \in K$ and $\Phi(t, 0) = 0$ for $t \geq 0$. If $h(\Phi, K) = \Sigma^m$, where $m \geq 0$ is an integer, $h(\Phi, \{0\}) \neq \bar{0}$ and $h(\Phi, \{0\}) \neq h(\Phi, K)$, then there is a nonzero compact orbit $w: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow K$ of Φ such that either $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} w(t) = 0$ or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 0$.*

Now Theorem 4.3 is a consequence of Theorems 3.2, 4.1 and Propositions 4.4, 4.5. In a similar way, but using Theorem 3.3 instead of Theorem 3.2 we can obtain the following criterion for the existence of connecting orbits with strong resonance type conditions.

Theorem 4.6. *Assume that there is a continuous function $f_\infty: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$f_\infty(x) = \lim_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} f(x, s) \cdot s \quad \text{for } x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

Then there is a nonzero compact orbit $w: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ of equation (4.2) such that either $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} w(t) = 0$ or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 0$, provided one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) (SR1) holds and $\lambda_l < \lambda + \nu < \lambda_{l+1}$, where $\lambda_l \neq \lambda$;
- (ii) (SR1) holds and $\lambda + \nu < \lambda_1$;
- (iii) (SR2) holds and $\lambda_{l-1} < \lambda + \nu < \lambda_l$, where $\lambda \neq \lambda_l$, $l \geq 2$;
- (iv) (SR2) holds and $\lambda + \nu < \lambda_1$, where $\lambda \neq \lambda_1$.

References

- [1] *P. Bartolo, V. Benci, D. Fortunato*: Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some nonlinear problems with “strong” resonance at infinity. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* 7 (1983), 981–1012.
- [2] *A. wiszewski, K. P. Rybakowski*: Singular dynamics of strongly damped beam equation. *J. Differ. Equations* 247 (2009), 3202–3233.
- [3] *D. Henry*: *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 840, Springer, Berlin, 1981.

- [4] *P. Kokocki*: The averaging principle and periodic solutions for nonlinear evolution equations at resonance. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods* *85* (2013), 253–278.
- [5] *P. Kokocki*: Connecting orbits for nonlinear differential equations at resonance. *J. Differ. Equations* *255* (2013), 1554–1575.
- [6] *P. Kokocki*: Dynamics of Nonlinear Evolution Equations at Resonance, PhD dissertation. Nicolaus Copernicus University, Toruń, 2012.
- [7] *P. Kokocki*: Effect of resonance on the existence of periodic solutions for strongly damped wave equation. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A, Theory Methods* *125* (2015), Article ID 10526, 167–200.
- [8] *E. M. Landesman, A. C. Lazer*: Nonlinear perturbations of linear elliptic boundary value problems at resonance. *J. Math. Mech.* *19* (1969/1970), 609–623.
- [9] *P. Massatt*: Limiting behavior for strongly damped nonlinear wave equations. *Nonlinear phenomena in mathematical sciences, Proc. Int. Conf., Arlington/Tex., 1980. J. Differential Equations* *48* (1982), 334–349.
- [10] *M. Prizzi*: On admissibility for parabolic equations in \mathbb{R}^n . *Fundam. Math.* *176* (2003), 261–275.
- [11] *K. P. Rybakowski*: *The Homotopy Index and Partial Differential Equations*. Universitext, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [12] *K. P. Rybakowski*: Nontrivial solutions of elliptic boundary value problems with resonance at zero. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* *139* (1985), 237–277.

Author's address: *Piotr Kokocki*, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland, e-mail: `pkokocki@mat.umk.pl`.