

Santu DEY; Arindam BHATTACHARYYA

Some Properties of Lorentzian α -Sasakian Manifolds with Respect to Quarter-symmetric
Metric Connection

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 54 (2015),
No. 2, 21–40

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144761>

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 2015

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized
documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these
Terms of use.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and
stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital
Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

Some Properties of Lorentzian α -Sasakian Manifolds with Respect to Quarter-symmetric Metric Connection

Santu DEY ^{a,*}, Arindam BHATTACHARYYA ^b

*Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University,
Kolkata-700032, India*

^a *e-mail: santu.mathju@gmail.com*

^b *e-mail: bhattachar1968@yahoo.co.in*

(Received June 22, 2015)

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study generalized recurrent, generalized Ricci-recurrent, weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci-symmetric, semi-generalized recurrent, semi-generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. Finally, we give an example of 3-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection.

Key words: Quarter-symmetric metric connection, Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, generalized recurrent manifold, generalized Ricci-recurrent manifold, weakly symmetric manifold, weakly Ricci-symmetric manifold, semi-generalized recurrent manifold, Einstein manifold.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25, 53C15

1 Introduction

The idea of a semi-symmetric linear connection on a differentiable manifold was introduced by Friedmann and Schouten [5]. Further, Hayden [7], introduced the idea of metric connection with torsion on a Riemannian manifold. In [32], Yano studied some curvature conditions for semi-symmetric connections in Riemannian manifolds.

*First author is supported by DST/INSPIRE Fellowship/2013/1041, Govt. of India.

In 1975, Golab [6] defined and studied a quarter-symmetric connection in a differentiable manifold.

A linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is said to be a *quarter-symmetric connection* [6] if its torsion tensor \tilde{T} defined by

$$\tilde{T}(X, Y) = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y X - [X, Y], \quad (1.1)$$

is of the form

$$\tilde{T}(X, Y) = \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y, \quad (1.2)$$

where η is a non-zero 1-form and ϕ is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$. In addition, if a quarter-symmetric linear connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfies the condition

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, where $\chi(M)$ is the set of all differentiable vector fields on M , then $\tilde{\nabla}$ is said to be a quarter-symmetric metric connection. In particular, if $\phi X = X$ and $\phi Y = Y$ for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, then the quarter-symmetric connection reduces to a semi-symmetric connection [5].

M. M. Tripathi [29] studied semi-symmetric metric connections in a Kenmotsu manifolds. In [31], the semi-symmetric non-metric connection in a Kenmotsu manifold was studied by M. M. Tripathi and N. Nakkar. Also in [30], M. M. Tripathi proved the existence of a new connection and showed that in particular cases, this connection reduces to semi-symmetric connections; even some of them are not introduced so far.

In 2005, Yildiz and Murathan [36] studied Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds and proved that conformally flat and quasi conformally flat Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds are locally isometric with a sphere. In 2012, Yadav and Suthar [34] studied Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds.

After Golab [6], Rastogi ([22], [23]) continued the systematic study of quarter-symmetric metric connection. In 1980, Mishra and Pandey [8] studied quarter-symmetric metric connection in a Riemannian, Kaehlerian and Sasakian manifold. In 1982, Yano and Imai [33] studied quarter-symmetric metric connection in Hermitian and Kaehlerian manifolds. In 1991, Mukhopadhyay et al. [16] studied quarter-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold with an almost complex structure ϕ .

On the other hand, De and Guha introduced generalized recurrent manifold with the non-zero 1-form α_1 and another non-zero associated 1-form β_1 . Such a manifold has been denoted by GK_n . If the associated 1-form becomes zero, then the manifold GK_n reduces to a recurrent manifold introduced by Ruse [24] which is denoted by K_n . The idea of Ricci-recurrent manifold was introduced by Patterson [17]. He denoted such a manifold by R^n . Ricci-recurrent manifolds have been studied by many authors ([3], [18], [35], [9], [10], [11], [12]).

A non-flat n -dimensional differentiable manifold M , $n > 3$, is called *generalized recurrent* if its curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)W = \alpha_1(X)R(Y, Z)W + \beta_1(X)[g(Z, W)Y - g(Y, W)Z], \quad (1.4)$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection and α_1 and β_1 are two 1-forms ($\beta_1 \neq 0$) defined by

$$\alpha_1(X) = g(X, A), \quad \beta_1(X) = g(X, B), \quad (1.5)$$

and A, B are vector fields related with 1-forms α_1 and β_1 respectively. A non-flat n -dimensional differentiable manifold M , $n > 3$, is called *generalized Ricci-recurrent* if its Ricci tensor S satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z)W = \alpha_1(X)S(Y, Z)W + (n - 1)\beta_1(X)g(Y, Z), \quad (1.6)$$

where α_1 and β_1 defined as (1.5).

The notions of weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci-symmetric manifolds were introduced by L. Tamassy and T. Q. Binh in ([27], [28]).

A non-flat n -dimensional differentiable manifold M , $n > 3$, is called *pseudosymmetric* if there is a 1-form α_1 on M such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)V &= 2\alpha_1(X)R(Y, Z)V + \alpha_1(Y)R(X, Z)V + \alpha_1(Z)R(Y, X)V \\ &+ \alpha_1(V)R(Y, Z)X + g(R(Y, Z)V, X)A, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection and X, Y, Z, V are vector fields on M . $A \in \chi(M)$ is the vector field associated with 1-form α_1 which is defined by $g(X, A) = \alpha_1(X)$ in [1]. Later R. Deszcz [4] started to use "pseudosymmetric" term in different sense, see([11], [12] [13]).

A non-flat n -dimensional differentiable manifold M , $n > 3$, is called *weakly symmetric* ([27], [28]) if there are 1-forms $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1, \sigma_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)V &= \alpha_1(X)R(Y, Z)V + \beta_1(Y)R(X, Z)V + \gamma_1(Z)R(Y, X)V \\ &+ \sigma_1(V)R(Y, Z)X + g(R(Y, Z)V, X)A \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, V on M . A weakly symmetric manifold M is pseudosymmetric if $\beta_1 = \gamma_1 = \sigma_1 = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1$ and $P = A$, locally symmetric if $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \gamma_1 = \sigma_1 = 0$ and $P = 0$. A weakly symmetric manifold is said to be proper if at least one of the 1-forms $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1$ and σ_1 is not zero or $P \neq 0$.

A non-flat n -dimensional differentiable manifold M , $n > 3$, is called *weakly Ricci-symmetric* ([27], [28]) if there are 1-forms ρ, μ, ν such that

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = \rho(X)S(Y, Z) + \mu(Y)S(Y, Z) + \nu(Z)S(X, Y) \quad (1.9)$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, V on M . If $\rho = \mu = \nu$, then M is called pseudo Ricci-symmetric (see [2]).

If M is weakly symmetric, from (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) &= \alpha_1(X)S(Z, V) + \beta_1(R(X, Z)V) + \gamma_1(Z)S(X, V) \\ &+ \sigma_1(V)S(X, Z) + p(R(X, V)Z), \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

where p is defined by $p(X) = g(X, P)$ for any $X \in \chi(M)$ in [28].

Generalizing the notion of recurrency, the author Khan [21] introduced the notion of generalized recurrent Sasakian manifolds. In the paper B. Prasad [19] introduced the notion of semi-generalized recurrent manifold and obtained few interesting results. L. Rachůnek and J. Mikeš studied the similar problems in ([14], [15], [25]).

A Riemannian manifold is called a *semi-generalized recurrent manifold* if its curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)W = \alpha_1(X)R(Y, Z)W + \beta_1(X)g(Z, W)Y, \quad (1.11)$$

where α_1 and β_1 defined as (1.5).

A Riemannian manifold is called a semi-generalized Ricci-recurrent manifold if its curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = \alpha_1(X)S(Y, Z) + n\beta_1(X)g(Y, Z), \quad (1.12)$$

where α_1 and β_1 defined as (1.5).

Motivated by the above studies, in the present paper we have proved that $\beta_1 = (\alpha - \alpha^2)\alpha_1$ holds on both generalized recurrent and generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection. We also show that there is no weakly symmetric or weakly Ricci-symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection, $n > 3$, unless $\alpha_1 + \sigma_1 + \gamma_1$ or $\rho + \mu + \nu$ is everywhere zero, respectively. We have also studied semi-generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection.

2 Preliminaries

A $n(=2m+1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold M is said to be a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold if it admits a $(1, 1)$ tensor field ϕ , a contravariant vector field ξ , a covariant vector field η and Lorentzian metric g which satisfy the following conditions

$$\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\eta(\xi) = -1, \phi\xi = 0, \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (2.3)$$

$$g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad (2.4)$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = \alpha\{g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X\} \quad (2.5)$$

$\forall X, Y \in \chi(M)$ and for non-zero smooth functions α on M , ∇ denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to Lorentzian metric g ([20], [37]). For a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, it can be shown that ([20], [37]):

$$\nabla_X \xi = \alpha\phi X, \quad (2.6)$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = \alpha g(\phi X, Y) \quad (2.7)$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$.

Further on a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, the following relations hold [20]

$$g(R(X, Y)Z, \xi) = \eta(R(X, Y)Z) = \alpha^2[g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)], \quad (2.8)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = \alpha^2[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X], \quad (2.9)$$

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \alpha^2[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \quad (2.10)$$

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = \alpha^2[X + \eta(X)\xi], \quad (2.11)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = S(\xi, X) = (n - 1)\alpha^2\eta(X), \quad (2.12)$$

$$S(\xi, \xi) = -(n - 1)\alpha^2, \quad (2.13)$$

$$Q\xi = (n - 1)\alpha^2\xi, \quad (2.14)$$

where Q is the Ricci operator, i.e.,

$$g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y). \quad (2.15)$$

If ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection manifold M , then quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ in M is denoted by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)\phi(X). \quad (2.16)$$

3 Curvature tensor and Ricci tensor of Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

Let $\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z$ and $R(X, Y)Z$ be the curvature tensors with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and with respect to the Riemannian connection ∇ respectively on a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M . A relation between the curvature tensors $\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z$ and $R(X, Y)Z$ on M is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + \alpha[g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X] \\ &\quad + \alpha\eta(Z)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Also from (3.1), we obtain

$$\tilde{S}(X, Y) = S(X, Y) + \alpha[g(X, Y) + n\eta(X)\eta(Y)], \quad (3.2)$$

where \tilde{S} and S are the Ricci tensor with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ respectively. Contracting (3.2), we obtain,

$$\tilde{r} = r, \quad (3.3)$$

where \tilde{r} and r are the scalar curvature tensor with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ and ∇ respectively.

Also we have

$$\tilde{R}(\xi, X)Y = -\tilde{R}(X, \xi)Y = \alpha^2[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] + \alpha\eta(Y)[X + \eta(X)\xi], \quad (3.4)$$

$$\eta(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z) = \alpha^2[g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)], \quad (3.5)$$

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \quad (3.6)$$

$$\tilde{S}(X, \xi) = \tilde{S}(\xi, X) = (n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\tilde{S}(\xi, \xi) = -(n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\tilde{Q}X = QX - \alpha(n - 1)X, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\tilde{Q}\xi = (n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\xi, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\tilde{R}(\xi, X)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[X + \eta(X)\xi]. \quad (3.11)$$

4 Generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

A non-flat n -dimensional differentiable manifold M , $n > 3$, is called generalized recurrent with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection if its curvature tensor \tilde{R} satisfies the condition

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(Y, Z)W = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{R}(Y, Z)W + \beta_1(X)[g(Z, W)Y - g(Y, W)Z] \quad (4.1)$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M)$, where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the quarter-symmetric metric connection and \tilde{R} is the curvature tensor of $\tilde{\nabla}$.

A non-flat n -dimensional differentiable manifold M , $n > 3$, is called generalized Ricci-recurrent with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection if its Ricci tensor \tilde{S} satisfies the condition

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, Z) = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(Y, Z) + (n - 1)\beta_1(X)g(Y, Z) \quad (4.2)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$.

In [26] Sular studied that if M be a generalized recurrent Kenmotsu manifold and generalized Ricci recurrent Kenmotsu manifold respect to semi-symmetric metric connection, then $\beta_1 = 2\alpha_1$ holds on M .

Now we consider generalized recurrent and generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection.

We start with the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *If a generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M admits quarter-symmetric metric connection, then $\beta_1 = (\alpha - \alpha^2)\alpha_1$ holds on M .*

Proof. Suppose that M is a generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection. Taking $Y = W = \xi$ in (4.1), we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{R}(\xi, Z)\xi + \beta_1(X)[g(Z, \xi)\xi + Z]. \quad (4.3)$$

By using the equation (2.4), (2.10) and (3.6) in (4.3), we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = [\alpha_1(X)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) + \beta_1(X)]\{\eta(Z)\xi + Z\}. \quad (4.4)$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R}(\xi, Z)\xi - \tilde{R}(\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi, Z) - \tilde{R}(\xi, \tilde{\nabla}_X Z) - \tilde{R}(\xi, Z)\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi \quad (4.5)$$

Now using the equation (2.10) and (3.6) in (4.5), we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Hence comparing the right hand sides of the equations (4.4) and (4.6) we obtain

$$[\alpha_1(X)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) + \beta_1(X)]\{\eta(Z)\xi + Z\} = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

which imply

$$\beta_1(X) = (\alpha - \alpha^2)\alpha_1(X) \quad (4.8)$$

for any vector field $X \in M$. So our theorem is proved.

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be a generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting quarter-symmetric metric connection, then $\beta_1 = (\alpha - \alpha^2)\alpha_1$ holds on M .*

Proof. Suppose that M is a generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian Manifold M with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection. Now putting $Z = \xi$ in (4.2), we get

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, \xi) = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(Y, \xi) + (n-1)\beta_1(X)g(Y, \xi). \quad (4.9)$$

Then by using the equation (2.4), (2.12) and (3.7) in (4.9), we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, \xi) = \alpha_1(X)[(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Y) + (n-1)\beta_1(X)\eta(Y)]. \quad (4.10)$$

On the other hand, by using the definition of covariant derivative of \tilde{S} with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection, it is well-known that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, \xi) = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S}(Y, \xi) - \tilde{S}(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, \xi) - \tilde{S}(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi) \quad (4.11)$$

Now using the equation (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), (2.16), (3.2) and (3.7) in (4.11), we obtain

$$(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\alpha g(Y, \phi X) - (\alpha - 1)[S(Y, \phi X) + \alpha g(Y, \phi X)]. \quad (4.12)$$

Hence comparing the right hand sides of the equations (4.10) and (4.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(X)[(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Y) + (n-1)\beta_1(X)\eta(Y)] \\ = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\alpha g(Y, \phi X) \\ - (\alpha - 1)[S(Y, \phi X) + \alpha g(Y, \phi X)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Now putting $Y = \xi$ in (4.13), we get

$$\beta_1(X) = (\alpha - \alpha^2)\alpha_1(X) \quad (4.14)$$

for any vector field $X \in M$. So this completes the proof.

5 Weakly symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

A non-flat n -dimensional differentiable manifold M , $n > 3$, is called weakly symmetric with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection if there are 1-forms $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1, \sigma_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(Y, Z)V &= \alpha_1(X)\tilde{R}(Y, Z)V + \beta_1(Y)\tilde{R}(X, Z)V + \gamma_1(Z)\tilde{R}(Y, X)V \\ &+ \sigma_1(V)\tilde{R}(Y, Z)X + g(\tilde{R}(Y, Z)V, X)A \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, V on M .

A non-flat n -dimensional differentiable manifold M , $n > 3$, is called weakly Ricci-symmetric with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection if there are 1-forms ρ, μ, ν such that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, Z) = \rho(X)\tilde{S}(Y, Z) + \mu(Y)\tilde{S}(Y, Z) + \nu(Z)\tilde{S}(X, Y) \quad (5.2)$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, V on M . If M is weakly symmetric with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection, by a contraction from (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Z, V) &= \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(Z, V) + \beta_1(\tilde{R}(X, Z)V) + \gamma_1(Z)\tilde{S}(X, V) \\ &+ \sigma_1(V)\tilde{S}(X, Z) + p(\tilde{R}(X, V)Z). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

In [26], Sular studied weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci-symmetric Kenmotsu manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection and obtained some results.

- i) If M be a weakly symmetric Kenmotsu manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection then there is no weakly symmetric $n > 3$, unless $\alpha_1 + \sigma_1 + \gamma_1$ is everywhere zero.
- ii) If M be a weakly Ricci-symmetric Kenmotsu manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection then there is no weakly Ricci-symmetric $n > 3$, unless $\rho + \mu + \nu$ is everywhere zero.

Now we consider weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci-symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection.

We start with the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. *There is no weakly symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $n > 3$, unless $\alpha_1 + \sigma_1 + \gamma_1$ is everywhere zero, provided $\alpha \neq 0, 1$.*

Proof. Let M be a weakly symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. By the covariant differentiation of the Ricci tensor \tilde{S} of the quarter-symmetric metric connection with respect to X , we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Z, V) = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S}(Z, V) - \tilde{S}(\tilde{\nabla}_X Z, V) - \tilde{S}(Z, \tilde{\nabla}_X V). \quad (5.4)$$

Putting $V = \xi$ in (5.4) and using (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), (2.16) and (3.7), it follows that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Z, \xi) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)(\nabla_X \eta)Z - (\alpha-1)\tilde{S}(Z, \phi X). \quad (5.5)$$

Replacing $V = \xi$ in (5.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Z, \xi) &= \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(Z, \xi) + \beta_1(\tilde{R}(X, Z)\xi) + \gamma_1(Z)\tilde{S}(X, \xi) \\ &\quad + \sigma_1(\xi)\tilde{S}(X, Z) + p(\tilde{R}(X, \xi)Z). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Now using (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), (2.16), (3.6) and (3.7) in (5.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Z, \xi) &= \alpha_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Z) \\ &\quad + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(Z)\beta_1(X) - \eta(X)\beta_1(Z)] \\ &\quad + \gamma_1(Z)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X) + \sigma_1(\xi)\tilde{S}(X, Z) \\ &\quad - \alpha^2[g(X, Z)p(\xi) - \eta(Z)p(X)] - \alpha_1\eta(Z)[p(X) \\ &\quad + \eta(X)p(\xi)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Thus, comparing the right hand sides of the equations (5.5) and (5.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)(\nabla_X \eta)Z - (\alpha-1)\tilde{S}(Z, \phi X) &= \alpha_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Z) \\ &\quad + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(Z)\beta_1(X) - \eta(X)\beta_1(Z)] \\ &\quad + \gamma_1(Z)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X) + \sigma_1(\xi)\tilde{S}(X, Z) \\ &\quad - \alpha^2[g(X, Z)p(\xi) - \eta(Z)p(X)] - \alpha_1\eta(Z)[p(X) \\ &\quad + \eta(X)p(\xi)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Then taking $X = Z = \xi$ in (5.8) and using (2.1), (2.2), (2.4), (2.12) and (3.8), we get

$$(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\alpha_1(\xi) + \gamma_1(\xi) + \sigma_1(\xi)] = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Now as $n > 3$ and $\alpha \neq 0, 1$, So,

$$\alpha_1(\xi) + \gamma_1(\xi) + \sigma_1(\xi) = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

Now putting $Z = \xi$ in (5.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(\xi, V) &= \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(\xi, V) + \beta_1(\tilde{R}(X, \xi)V) + \gamma_1(\xi)\tilde{S}(X, V) \\ &\quad + \sigma_1(\xi)\tilde{S}(X, \xi) + p(\tilde{R}(X, V)\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Also putting $Z = \xi$ in (5.4) and using (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), (2.16) and (3.7), it follows that

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(\xi, V) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)(\nabla_X \eta)V - (\alpha-1)\tilde{S}(V, \phi X). \quad (5.12)$$

Similarly using (2.6), (2.7), (2.12), (2.16), (3.6) and (3.7) in (5.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(\xi, V) &= \alpha_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(V) - \alpha^2[g(X, V)\beta_1(\xi) \\ &\quad - \eta(V)\beta_1(X)] - \alpha\eta(V)[\beta_1(X) + \eta(X)\beta_1(\xi)] \\ &\quad + \gamma_1(\xi)\tilde{S}(X, V) + \sigma_1(V)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X) \\ &\quad + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(V)p(X) - \eta(V)p(X)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Thus, comparing the right hand sides of the equations (5.12) and (5.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)(\nabla_X \eta)V - (\alpha - 1)\tilde{S}(V, \phi X) &= \alpha_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(V) \\
&- \alpha^2[g(X, V)\beta_1(\xi) - \eta(V)\beta_1(X)] - \alpha\eta(V)[\beta_1(X) \\
&+ \eta(X)\beta_1(\xi)] + \gamma_1(\xi)\tilde{S}(X, V) + \sigma_1(V)(n-1)(\alpha^2 \\
&- \alpha)\eta(X) + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(V)p(X) \\
&- \eta(V)p(X)]. \tag{5.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Now putting $V = \xi$ in (5.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
-\alpha_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) - (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(X)\beta_1(\xi) + \beta_1(X)] \\
+ (\sigma_1(\xi) + \gamma_1(\xi))(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X) \\
- (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[p(X) + \eta(X)p(\xi)] = 0. \tag{5.15}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $X = \xi$ in (5.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1(\xi)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(V) + \gamma_1(\xi)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(V) \\
- \sigma_1(V)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) + (\alpha^2 \\
- \alpha)[p(V) + \eta(V)p(\xi)] = 0. \tag{5.16}
\end{aligned}$$

In (5.16) taking $V = X$ and summing with (5.15), by virtue of (5.10) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
-(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\alpha_1(X) + \sigma_1(X)] - (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(X)\beta_1(\xi) + \beta_1(X)] \\
+ (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X)\gamma_1(\xi) = 0. \tag{5.17}
\end{aligned}$$

Again putting $X = \xi$ in (5.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1(\xi)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Z) + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(Z)\beta_1(\xi) + \beta_1(Z)] \\
- \gamma_1(Z)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) \\
+ \sigma_1(\xi)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Z) = 0. \tag{5.18}
\end{aligned}$$

Now in the equation (5.18) taking $Z = X$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1(\xi)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X) + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\eta(X)\beta_1(\xi) + \beta_1(X)] \\
- \gamma_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) \\
+ \sigma_1(\xi)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X) = 0. \tag{5.19}
\end{aligned}$$

Then adding (5.17) and (5.19), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X)[\alpha_1(\xi) + \gamma_1(\xi) + \sigma_1(\xi)] - (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\alpha_1(X) \\
+ \gamma_1(X) + \sigma_1(X)] = 0. \tag{5.20}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $n > 3$, $\alpha \neq 0, 1$, and

$$\alpha_1(\xi) + \gamma_1(\xi) + \sigma_1(\xi) = 0,$$

so we get

$$\alpha_1(X) + \gamma_1(X) + \sigma_1(X) = 0$$

for all $X \in M$.

So our proof is completed.

Theorem 5.2. *There is no weakly Ricci-symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $n > 3$, unless $\rho + \mu + v$ is everywhere zero, provided $\alpha \neq 0, 1$.*

Proof. Assume that M is a weakly Ricci-symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. Now taking $Z = \xi$ in (5.2) and using (3.2) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, \xi) &= \rho(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Y) + \mu(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X) \\ &\quad + v(\xi)[S(X, Y) + \alpha\{g(X, Y) + n\eta(X)\eta(Y)\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, \xi) &= (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) - (\alpha - 1)[S(Y, \phi X) \\ &\quad + \alpha g(X, \phi Y)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Now equating (5.21) and (5.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Y) + \mu(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X) + v(\xi)[S(X, Y) \\ + \alpha\{g(X, Y) + n\eta(X)\eta(Y)\}] &= (n-1)(\alpha^2 \\ - \alpha)(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) - (\alpha - 1)[S(Y, \phi X) \\ + \alpha g(X, \phi Y)]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

Now putting $X = Y = \xi$ in (5.23), we find

$$(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)[\rho(\xi) + \mu(\xi) + v(\xi)] = 0. \quad (5.24)$$

As $n > 3$ and $\alpha \neq 0, 1$, So

$$\rho(\xi) + \mu(\xi) + v(\xi) = 0. \quad (5.25)$$

Taking $X = \xi$ in (5.23), we find

$$(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Y)[\rho(\xi) + v(\xi)] + \mu(Y)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) = 0. \quad (5.26)$$

So in view of (5.25), the above equation turns into

$$-\eta(Y)\mu(\xi) = \mu(Y). \quad (5.27)$$

Similarly in (5.23), taking $Y = \xi$, we find

$$-\rho(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) + (\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(X)[\mu(\xi)(n-1) + v(\xi)] = 0. \quad (5.28)$$

So in view of (5.25), we get finally

$$\rho(X) = -\rho(\xi)\eta(X). \quad (5.29)$$

Since $(\tilde{\nabla}_\xi \tilde{S})(Y, \xi) = 0$, then from (5.2), we get

$$[\rho(\xi) + \mu(\xi)]\eta(X) = v(X), \quad (5.30)$$

that is

$$-v(\xi)\eta(X) = v(X). \quad (5.31)$$

Thus replacing Y with X in (5.27) and then summing of the equations (5.27), (5.29) and (5.31) we get

$$\rho(X) + \mu(X) + v(X) = -\eta(X)[\rho(\xi) + \mu(\xi) + v(\xi)]. \quad (5.32)$$

From the equation (5.25), it is clear that

$$\rho(X) + \mu(X) + v(X) = 0 \quad (5.33)$$

for any vector field X holds on M , which means that

$$\rho + \mu + v = 0.$$

Hence our proof is completed.

6 On semi-generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is called a semi-generalized recurrent manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection if its curvature tensor \tilde{R} satisfies the condition

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(Y, Z)W = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{R}(Y, Z)W + \beta_1(X)g(Z, W)Y, \quad (6.1)$$

where α_1 and β_1 defined as (1.5) for any vector field and $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the metric.

Taking $Y = W = \xi$ in (6.1), we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{R}(\xi, Z)\xi + \beta_1(X)g(Z, \xi)\xi. \quad (6.2)$$

From (4.5), the left hand side of (6.2) can be written in the form

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = X\tilde{R}(\xi, Z)\xi - \tilde{R}(\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi, Z) - \tilde{R}(\xi, \tilde{\nabla}_X Z) - \tilde{R}(\xi, Z)\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi. \quad (6.3)$$

Now using (2.6), (2.16), (3.4), (3.6) and (3.11), the right hand site of the equation (6.3) becomes

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = -(\alpha^2 - \alpha)(\alpha - 1)\eta(Z)\phi X - (\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Z)\phi X. \quad (6.4)$$

Now using (3.11), the right hand side of (6.2) can be written in the form

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{R})(\xi, Z)\xi = \alpha_1(X)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)[Z + \eta(Z)\xi] + \beta_1(X)\eta(Z)\xi. \quad (6.5)$$

Now from (6.4) and (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -(\alpha^2 - \alpha)(\alpha - 1)\eta(Z)\phi X - (\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Z)\phi X \\ & = \alpha_1(X)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)[Z + \eta(Z)\xi] \\ & + \beta_1(X)\eta(Z)\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Now putting $Z = \xi$ in (6.6), we obtain

$$(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi + \alpha\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -\beta_1(X)\xi, \quad (6.7)$$

that is

$$\alpha^2\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -\beta_1(X)\xi. \quad (6.8)$$

Hence we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1. *If a semi-generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admits quarter-symmetric metric connection, the associated vector field ξ is not constant and $\nabla_X \xi$ is parallel to ξ , provided $\alpha \neq 0$.*

Permutting equation (6.1) with respect to X, Y, Z and adding the three equations and using Bianchi identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1(X)\tilde{R}(Y, Z)W + \beta_1(X)g(Z, W)Y + \alpha_1(Y)\tilde{R}(Z, X)W + \beta_1(Y)g(X, W)Z \\ & + \alpha_1(Z)\tilde{R}(X, Y)W + \beta_1(Z)g(Y, W)X = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Contracting (6.9) with respect to Y , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(Z, W) + n\beta_1(X)g(Z, W) + \tilde{R}'(Z, X, W, A) + \beta_1(Z)g(X, W) \\ & - \alpha_1(Z)\tilde{S}(X, W) + \beta_1(Z)g(X, W) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

In view of $\tilde{S}(Z, W) = g(\tilde{Q}Z, W)$, the equation (6.10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1(X)g(\tilde{Q}Z, W) + n\beta_1(X)g(Z, W) - g(\tilde{R}(Z, X)A, W) + \beta_1(Z)g(X, W) \\ & - \alpha_1(Z)g(\tilde{Q}X, W) + \beta_1(Z)g(X, W) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

From (6.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1(X)\tilde{Q}Z + n\beta_1(X)Z - \tilde{R}(Z, X)A + \beta_1(Z)X \\ & - \alpha_1(Z)\tilde{Q}X + \beta_1(Z)X = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

Contracting (6.12) with respect to Z , we obtain

$$\alpha_1(X)\tilde{r} + (n^2 + 2)\beta_1(X) - 2\tilde{S}(X, A) = 0. \quad (6.13)$$

Putting $X = \xi$ in (6.13), we get

$$\eta(A)\tilde{r} + (n^2 + 2)\eta(B) - 2(n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(A) = 0, \quad (6.14)$$

that is

$$\tilde{r} = \frac{1}{\eta(A)}[2(n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(A) - (n^2 + 2)\eta(B)], \quad (6.15)$$

where \tilde{r} is the scalar curvature with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection.

Hence we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 6.2. *The scalar curvature of a semi-generalized recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection is related in terms of contact forms $\eta(A)$ and $\eta(B)$ as given by (6.15).*

7 On semi-generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is called a semi-generalized Ricci-recurrent manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection if its Ricci tensor S satisfies the condition

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, Z) = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(Y, Z) + n\beta_1(X)g(Y, Z), \quad (7.1)$$

where α_1 and β_1 defined as (1.5).

Taking $Z = \xi$ in (7.1), we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, \xi) = \alpha_1(X)\tilde{S}(Y, \xi) + n\beta_1(X)g(Y, \xi). \quad (7.2)$$

The left hand side of (7.2), clearly can be written in the form

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{S})(Y, \xi) = X\tilde{S}(Y, \xi) - \tilde{S}(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, \xi) - \tilde{S}(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi). \quad (7.3)$$

Using (3.2) and (3.7), the right hand site of the equation (7.3) becomes

$$-\tilde{S}(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi) + (n - 1)\alpha(\alpha^2 - \alpha)g(\phi X, Y). \quad (7.4)$$

The right hand site of (7.2) can be written as using (3.7)

$$\alpha_1(X)(n - 1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)\eta(Y) + n\beta_1(X)\eta(Y). \quad (7.5)$$

From (7.4) and (7.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi) + (n-1)\alpha(\alpha^2 - \alpha)g(\phi X, Y) = \alpha_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 \\ - \alpha)\eta(Y) + n\beta_1(X)\eta(Y). \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

Now putting $Y = \xi$ in (7.6), we obtain

$$\alpha_1(X)(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha) + n\beta_1(X) = 0, \quad (7.7)$$

that is

$$\alpha_1(X) = -\frac{n}{(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)}\beta_1(X). \quad (7.8)$$

This leads to the following theorem:

Theorem 7.1. *If a semi-generalized Ricci-Recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admits a quarter-symmetric metric connection, then*

$$\alpha_1(X) = -\frac{n}{(n-1)(\alpha^2 - \alpha)}\beta_1(X)$$

holds, that is, the 1-form α_1 and β_1 are in opposite direction.

A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold (M^n, g) with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection is said to be an Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor \tilde{S} is of the form

$$\tilde{S}(X, Y) = kg(X, Y), \quad (7.9)$$

where k is constant. For an Einstein manifold,

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_U \tilde{S}) = 0$$

$\forall U \in \chi(M)$. From (7.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [k\alpha_1(X) + n\beta_1(X)]g(Y, Z) + [k\alpha_1(y) + n\beta_1(y)]g(Z, X) \\ + [k\alpha_1(Z) + n\beta_1(Z)]g(X, Y) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (7.10) and using (1.5) and (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [k\alpha_1(X) + n\beta_1(X)]\eta(Y) + [k\alpha_1(y) + n\beta_1(y)]\eta(X) \\ + [k\alpha_1(Z) + n\beta_1(Z)]g(X, Y) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (7.11)$$

Now putting $X = Y = \xi$ in (7.11) and using (1.5), (2.2) and (2.4), we obtain

$$k\eta(A) + n\eta(B) = 0, \quad (7.12)$$

that is

$$\eta(A) = -\frac{n}{k}\eta(B). \quad (7.13)$$

Using (1.5) and (2.4) in the above relation, we have

$$\alpha_1(\xi) = -\frac{n}{k}\beta_1(\xi). \quad (7.14)$$

So, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 7.2. *If a semi-generalized Ricci-recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection is an Einstein manifold, then the contact form $\eta(A)$ and $\eta(B)$ and the 1-form α_1 and β_1 are both in opposite direction.*

8 Example of 3-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection

We consider a 3-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, u) \in R^3\}$, where (x, y, u) are the standard coordinates of R^3 . Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be the vector fields on M^3 given by

$$e_1 = e^{-u} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad e_2 = e^{-u} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 = e^{-u} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

Clearly, $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ is a set of linearly independent vectors for each point of M and hence a basis of $\chi(M)$. The Lorentzian metric g is defined by

$$g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_2, e_3) = g(e_1, e_3) = 0,$$

$$g(e_1, e_1) = 1, \quad g(e_2, e_2) = 1, \quad g(e_3, e_3) = -1.$$

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(Z) = g(Z, e_3)$ for any $Z \in \chi(M)$ and the $(1, 1)$ tensor field ϕ is defined by

$$\phi e_1 = e_1, \quad \phi e_2 = e_2, \quad \phi e_3 = 0.$$

From the linearity of ϕ and g , we have

$$\eta(e_3) = -1,$$

$$\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)e_3$$

and

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for any $X \in \chi(M)$. Then for $e_3 = \xi$, the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) defines a Lorentzian paracontact structure on M .

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Lorentzian metric g . Then we have

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_1 e^{-u}, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_2 e^{-u}.$$

Koszul's formula is defined by

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) \\ -g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]).$$

Then from above formula we can calculate the followings:

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = e_3 e^{-u}, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_3 = e_1 e^{-u}, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = e_3 e^{-u}, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = e_2 e^{-u}, \\ \nabla_{e_3} e_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0.$$

From the above calculations, we see that the manifold under consideration satisfies $\eta(\xi) = -1$ and $\nabla_X \xi = \alpha \phi X$ for $\alpha = e^{-u}$.

Hence the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold.

Using (2.16), we find $\tilde{\nabla}$, the quarter-symmetric metric connection on M following:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_1 = e_3 e^{-u}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} e_3 = e_1 (e^{-u} - 1), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_1 = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_2 = e_3 e^{-u}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} e_3 = e_2 (e^{-u} - 1), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_1 = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_2 = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} e_3 = 0.$$

Using (1.2), the torsion tensor T , with respect to quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{T}(e_i, e_i) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \tilde{T}(e_1, e_2) = 0, \quad \tilde{T}(e_1, e_3) = -e_1, \quad \tilde{T}(e_2, e_3) = -e_2.$$

Also,

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1} g)(e_2, e_3) = 0, \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_2} g)(e_3, e_1) = 0, \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_3} g)(e_1, e_2) = 0.$$

Thus M is Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold with quarter-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$.

Acknowledgement The authors wish to express their sincere thanks and gratitude to the referee for valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] Chaki, M. C.: *On pseudo symmetric manifolds*. An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi Sect. I a Mat. **33**, 1 (1987), 53–58.
- [2] Chaki, M. C.: *On pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds*. Bulgar. J. Phys. **15**, 6 (1988), 526–531.
- [3] Chaki, M. C.: *Some theorems on recurrent and Ricci-recurrent spaces*. Rend. Sem. Math. Delta Univ. Padova **26** (1956), 168–176.
- [4] Deszcz, R., Kowalczyk, D.: *On some class of pseudosymmetric warped products*. Colloq. Math. **97**, 1 (2003), 7–22.

- [5] Friedmann, A., Schouten, J. A.: *Über die Geometrie der halbsymmetrischen Übertragung.* Math. Zeitschr. **21** (1924), 211–223.
- [6] Golab, S.: *On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric linear connections.* Tensor, N.S. **29** (1975), 249–254.
- [7] Hayden, H. A.: *Subspaces of a space with torsion.* Proc. London Math. Soc. **34** (1932), 27–50.
- [8] Mishra, R. S., Pandey, S. N.: *On quarter-symmetric metric F -connection.* Tensor, N.S. **34** (1980), 1–7.
- [9] Mikeš, J.: *Geodesic Ricci mappings of two-symmetric Riemann spaces.* Math. Notes **28** (1981), 622–624.
- [10] Mikeš, J.: *Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces.* J. Math. Sci. **78**, 3 (1996), 311–333.
- [11] Mikeš, J., Vanžurová, A., Hinterleitner, I.: *Geodesic mappings and some generalizations.* Palacký University, Olomouc, 2009.
- [12] Mikeš et al., J.: *Differential geometry of special mappings.* Palacký University, Olomouc, 2015.
- [13] Mikeš, J.: *Geodesic mappings of special Riemannian spaces.* Topics in differential geometry, Vol. II, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, Debrecen **46** (1984), North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [14] Mikeš, J., Rachůnek, L.: *Torse-forming vector fields in T -semisymmetric Riemannian spaces.* In: Steps in Differential Geometry. Proc. Colloq. Diff. Geometry, Univ. Debrecen, Inst. Math. and Inf., Debrecen, 2001, 219–229.
- [15] Mikeš, J., Rachůnek, L.: *T -semisymmetric spaces and concircular vector fields.* Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Suppl. **69** (2002), 187–193.
- [16] Mukhopadhyay, S., Roy, A. K., Barua, B.: *Some properties of a quartersymmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold.* Soochow J. Math. **17** (1991), 205–211.
- [17] Patterson, K. M.: *Some theorem on Ricci-recurrent spaces.* London Math. Soc. **27** (1952), 287–295.
- [18] Prakash, N.: *A note on Ricci-recurrent and recurrent spaces.* Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society **54** (1962), 1–7.
- [19] Prasad, B.: *On semi-generalized recurrent manifold.* Mathematica Balkanica, New series **14** (2000), 77–82.
- [20] Prakash, D. G., Bagewadi, C. S., Basavarajappa, N. S.: *On pseudosymmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds.* IJPAM **48**, 1 (2008), 57–65.
- [21] Khan, Q.: *On generalized recurrent Sasakian manifolds.* Kyungpook Math. J. **44** (2004), 167–172.
- [22] Rastogi, S. C.: *On quarter-symmetric connection.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Bulgar **31** (1978), 811–814.
- [23] Rastogi, S. C.: *On quarter-symmetric metric connection.* Tensor **44** (1987), 133–141.
- [24] Ruse, H. S.: *A classification of K^* -spaces.* London Math. Soc. **53** (1951), 212–229.
- [25] Rachůnek, L., Mikeš, J.: *On tensor fields semiconjugated with torse-forming vector fields.* Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. Rer. Nat., Math. **44** (2005), 151–160.
- [26] Sular, S.: *Some properties of a Kenmotsu manifold with a semi symmetric metric connection.* Int. Electronic J. Geom. **3** (2010), 24–34.
- [27] Tamassy, L., Binh, T. Q.: *On weakly symmetric and weakly projective symmetric Riemannian manifolds.* Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai **56** (1992), 663–670.
- [28] Tamassy, L., Binh, T. Q.: *On weak symmetries of Einstein and Sasakian manifolds.* Tensor, N.S. **53** (1993), 140–148.

- [29] Tripathi, M. M.: *On a semi-symmetric metric connection in a Kenmotsu manifold*. J. Pure Math. **16** (1999), 67–71.
- [30] Tripathi, M. M.: *A new connection in a Riemannian manifold*. Int. Electronic J. Geom. **1** (2008), 15–24.
- [31] Tripathi, M. M., Nakkar, N.: *On a semi-symmetric non-metric connection in a Kenmotsu manifold*. Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. **16**, 4 (2001), 323–330.
- [32] Yano, K.: *On semi-symmetric metric connections*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **15** (1970), 1579–1586.
- [33] Yano, K., Imai, T.: *Quarter-symmetric metric connections and their curvature tensors*. Tensor, N.S. **38** (1982), 13–18.
- [34] Yadav, S., Suthar, D. L.: *Certain derivation on Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds*. Mathematics and Decision Science **12**, 2 (2012), 1–6.
- [35] Yamaguchi, S., Matsumoto, M.: *On Ricci-recurrent spaces*. Tensor, N.S. **19** (1968), 64–68.
- [36] Yildiz, A., Murathan, C.: *On Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds*. Kyungpook Math. J. **45** (2005), 95–103.
- [37] Yildiz, A., Turan, M., Acet, B. F.: *On three dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds*. Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. **1**, 3 (2009), 90–98.