

Juan Fernández-Sánchez; Manuel Úbeda-Flores

Proving the characterization of Archimedean copulas via Dini derivatives

*Kybernetika*, Vol. 52 (2016), No. 5, 785–790

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/145968>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Information Theory and Automation AS CR, 2016

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## PROVING THE CHARACTERIZATION OF ARCHIMEDEAN COPULAS VIA DINI DERIVATIVES

JUAN FERNÁNDEZ-SÁNCHEZ AND MANUEL ÚBEDA-FLORES

In this note we prove the characterization of the class of Archimedean copulas by using Dini derivatives.

*Keywords:* Archimedean copula, derived number, Dini derivative

*Classification:* 60E05, 62E10

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Copulas are  $n$ -dimensional distribution functions that concentrate the probability mass on  $[0, 1]^n$  and whose univariate margins are uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ . A (bivariate) *copula* is a function  $C: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  which satisfies:

- (C1) the boundary conditions  $C(t, 0) = C(0, t) = 0$  and  $C(t, 1) = C(1, t) = t$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (C2) the *2-increasing property*, i. e.,  $C(u_2, v_2) - C(u_2, v_1) - C(u_1, v_2) + C(u_1, v_1) \geq 0$  for all  $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2$  in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $u_1 \leq u_2$  and  $v_1 \leq v_2$ .

In particular, copulas are Lipschitz continuous functions in each variable with constant 1.

The importance of copulas comes from *Sklar's Theorem* [17], which shows that the joint distribution  $H$  of a pair of random variables and the corresponding marginal distributions  $F$  and  $G$  are linked by a copula  $C$  in the following manner:  $H(x, y) = C(F(x), G(y))$  for all  $x, y$  in  $[-\infty, \infty]$ . If  $F$  and  $G$  are continuous, then the copula is unique; otherwise, the copula is uniquely determined on  $\text{Range } F \times \text{Range } G$  [2]. For a complete review on copulas and some of their applications, we refer to [6, 9, 15].

Let  $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a continuous strictly decreasing function such that  $\varphi(1) = 0$ , and let  $\varphi^{[-1]}$  be the *pseudo-inverse* of  $\varphi$ , i. e.,  $\varphi^{[-1]}(x) = \varphi^{-1}(\min(\varphi(0), x))$  for  $x \in [0, \infty]$ , and consider the function given by

$$C_\varphi(u, v) = \varphi^{[-1]}(\varphi(u) + \varphi(v)), \quad (u, v) \in [0, 1]^2. \tag{1}$$

The following result provides a characterization of the function given by (1) to be a copula [16].

**Theorem 1.1.** The function  $C_\varphi$  given by (1) is a copula if, and only if,  $\varphi$  is convex.

Copulas given by (1) are called *Archimedean* – the name is due to a property of associative operations [10, 15] – and  $\varphi$  is the *generator* of  $C_\varphi$  – for another different characterization of Archimedean copulas, see [10]. Archimedean copulas became popular since they model the dependence structure between risk factors, and are used in many applications, such as finance, insurance, or reliability (see, for example, [4, 13]) due to their simple forms and nice properties.

In [18], the author provides three characterizations of  $n$ -dimensional Archimedean copulas: algebraic, differential and diagonal. Our purpose in this note is to provide a new proof of Theorem 1.1 (Section 3) by using Dini derivatives, a known result of Lebesgue from Real Analysis (Section 2) – which allow to reconstruct a function from the Dini derivative  $D^+f$  when this is finite – and a characterization of copulas given by Jaworski and Durante [5].

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS FROM REAL ANALYSIS

Derived numbers play an important role in several results on the differentiability of monotone functions. We recall their definition [14].

**Definition 2.1.** The number  $\lambda$  (finite or infinite) is said to be a *derived number* of the function  $f$  at the point  $x_0$  if there exists a sequence  $h_1, h_2, h_3, \dots$  ( $h_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ ) such that  $h_n \rightarrow 0$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_0 + h_n) - f(x_0)}{h_n} = \lambda.$$

Symbolically, we say  $\lambda = Df(x_0)$ . If the (finite or infinite) derivative  $f'(x_0)$  exists at the point  $x_0$ , then it will be a derived number  $Df(x_0)$ , and in this case, the function  $f$  will have no other derived numbers at the point  $x_0$ .

We note that in Definition 2.1 it is possible to use the term *derived number to the right* by imposing  $h_n > 0$ .

There are some particularly important derived numbers, the Dini derivatives, whose definition we recall now [11].

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function, with  $a < b$ , and let  $x$  be a point in  $[a, b[$ . The limit

$$D^+f(x) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

is called the (*rightside upper*) *Dini derivative* of  $f$  at  $x$ . When it is substituted  $\limsup$  by  $\liminf$ , we obtain the (*rightside lower*) *Dini derivative*  $D_+f$ .

The following result provides conditions for which a function can be recovered as a definite integral of one of its Dini derivatives [8].

**Lemma 2.3.** If  $f$  is a continuous function that has a finite Dini derivative  $D^+f(x)$  at every point  $x$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$f(b) - f(a) = \int_a^b D^+f(x) \, dx$$

for each interval  $[a, b]$ .

Observe that, as an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.3, we have that if  $D^+f \equiv 0$  then  $f$  is constant.

We understand a *strictly increasing (decreasing) singular function* as a continuous and strictly increasing (decreasing) function with derivative zero almost everywhere. Since the Dini derivatives of a decreasing function cannot be positive, Lemma 2.3 implies that a strictly decreasing singular function on an interval has a dense set of points in which  $D^+f$  is equal to  $-\infty$ . Both Lemma 2.3 and these last observations remain true if we replace  $D^+f$  by  $D_+f$ . Furthermore, we have the following lemma [3].

**Lemma 2.4.** If  $f$  is a strictly singular function, then the inverse  $f^{-1}$  is also strictly singular.

### 3. A NEW PROOF OF THE CHARACTERIZATION OF ARCHIMEDEAN COPULAS

We begin this section with some additional notation. For every function  $K: [x_1, x_2] \times [y_1, y_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and every  $y \in [y_1, y_2]$ , let  $K_y$  denote the function from  $[x_1, x_2]$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$  given by  $K_y(x) = K(x, y)$ .

The following result – whose proof can be found in [5] – provides a characterization of copulas in terms of Dini derivatives.

**Lemma 3.1.** A function  $C: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a copula if, and only if,  $C$  satisfies (C1) and the following conditions:

1.  $C$  is continuous;
2. there exists a countable set  $S \subset [0, 1]$  such that, for every  $u \in [0, 1] \setminus S$ , the following conditions hold:
  - (a)  $D^+C_v(u)$  is finite for every  $v \in [0, 1]$ ;
  - (b)  $D^+C_{v_1}(u) \leq D^+C_{v_2}(u)$  whenever  $0 \leq v_1 \leq v_2 \leq 1$ .

We are now in position to provide a new proof of Theorem 1.1 by using Dini derivatives and derived numbers – compare, for example, with the ones given in [1, 7, 12].

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Suppose  $C_\varphi$  is a copula given by (1). Since  $\varphi$  is monotone, we have that  $\varphi$  is derivable almost everywhere. Let  $u \in ]0, 1[$  be a point such that  $\varphi'(u)$  exists and  $\varphi'(u) \neq 0$ , and suppose  $(C_\varphi)_v(u) \neq 0$ . By writing

$$\frac{(C_\varphi)_v(u+h) - (C_\varphi)_v(u)}{h} = \frac{(C_\varphi)_v(u+h) - (C_\varphi)_v(u)}{\varphi(u+h) - \varphi(u)} \cdot \frac{\varphi(u+h) - \varphi(u)}{h},$$

and by taking supremum limits when  $h \rightarrow 0^+$  in both sides, the existence of the derivative of  $\varphi$  in  $u$  assures

$$D^+(C_\varphi)_v(u) = \lambda \cdot \varphi'(u),$$

where  $\lambda$  is the inverse of a derived number of  $\varphi$  at  $(C_\varphi)_v(u)$ . To be precise, with  $\tilde{h} := (C_\varphi)_v(u+h) - (C_\varphi)_v(u)$ , we get  $\tilde{h} \rightarrow 0^+$  for  $h \rightarrow 0^+$  - as  $C_\varphi$  is non-decreasing - and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(C_\varphi)_v(u+h) - (C_\varphi)_v(u)}{\varphi(u+h) - \varphi(u)} = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(C_\varphi)_v(u+h) - (C_\varphi)_v(u)}{\varphi(u+h) + \varphi(v) - [\varphi(u) + \varphi(v)]} \\ &= \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(C_\varphi)_v(u+h) - (C_\varphi)_v(u)}{\varphi((C_\varphi)_v(u+h)) - \varphi((C_\varphi)_v(u))} \\ &= \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(C_\varphi)_v(u+h) - (C_\varphi)_v(u)}{\varphi((C_\varphi)_v(u) + (C_\varphi)_v(u+h) - (C_\varphi)_v(u)) - \varphi((C_\varphi)_v(u))} \\ &= \limsup_{\tilde{h} \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\tilde{h}}{\varphi((C_\varphi)_v(u) + \tilde{h}) - \varphi((C_\varphi)_v(u))} \\ &= \frac{1}{\liminf_{\tilde{h} \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi((C_\varphi)_v(u) + \tilde{h}) - \varphi((C_\varphi)_v(u))}{\tilde{h}}} = \frac{1}{D_+\varphi((C_\varphi)_v(u))}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1, we have  $D^+(C_\varphi)_{v_1}(u) \leq D^+(C_\varphi)_{v_2}(u)$  as long as  $0 \leq v_1 \leq v_2 \leq 1$ , which implies

$$\frac{\varphi'(u)}{D_+\varphi((C_\varphi)_{v_1}(u))} \leq \frac{\varphi'(u)}{D_+\varphi((C_\varphi)_{v_2}(u))},$$

and therefore  $D_+\varphi((C_\varphi)_{v_1}(u)) \leq D_+\varphi((C_\varphi)_{v_2}(u))$  - since  $\varphi$  is strictly decreasing - i. e.  $D_+\varphi$  is increasing in  $]0, u[$ .

We now prove that there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $\varphi'(u_n) \neq 0$  for every  $n$ . Suppose, on the contrary, this is not true, that is, we have  $\varphi'(u) = 0$  almost everywhere in an interval  $[a_0, 1] \subset [0, 1]$  and  $\varphi$  is not derivable in the rest of the points, i. e.  $\varphi$  is a strictly decreasing singular function. From Lemma 2.4, we have that  $\varphi^{-1}$  is a strictly decreasing singular function in  $[0, \varphi(a_0)]$ . In this case, there exists a set of real points  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  with  $D_+(\varphi^{-1})(x_n) = -\infty$ .

Now, let  $x$  be a real point such that  $D_+(\varphi^{-1})(x) = -\infty$ , and let  $u$  and  $v$  be two real points such that  $\varphi(u) + \varphi(v) = x$ , with  $u$  such that any derived number to the right of  $\varphi$  at  $u$  is different from 0 - we note that the existence of  $u$  and  $v$  is due to the continuity of  $\varphi$  and as a consequence of the fact that the derived numbers to the right cannot be greater than  $D_+\varphi$ .

Since  $(C_\varphi)_v$  verifies the Lipschitz condition with constant 1, we have

$$\beta \cdot D_+\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u) + \varphi(v)) \leq 1,$$

where  $\beta$  is a derived number to the right of  $\varphi$  at  $u$ . Since  $D_+\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(u) + \varphi(v)) = -\infty$  and  $\beta < 0$ , that upper bound is not possible, so we obtain a contradiction; therefore, there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $\varphi'(u_n) \neq 0$  for every  $n$ .

All this reasoning leads to the fact that  $D_+\varphi$  is non-decreasing in  $]0, 1[$ . Therefore, if  $s$  and  $s'$  are two numbers in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $s > s'$ , from Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi(s) + \varphi(s')}{2} - \varphi\left(\frac{s + s'}{2}\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varphi(s) - \varphi\left(\frac{s + s'}{2}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varphi\left(\frac{s + s'}{2}\right) - \varphi(s') \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\frac{s+s'}{2}}^s D_+\varphi(t) dt - \int_{s'}^{\frac{s+s'}{2}} D_+\varphi(t) dt \right) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that  $\varphi$  is convex.

Conversely, we only need to follow the same steps backwards, which completes the proof.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the support by the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Spain) under research project MTM2014-60594-P, and two anonymous referees for helpful comments.

(Received July 3, 2016)

#### REFERENCES

- 
- [1] C. Alsina, M. J. Frank, and B. Schweizer: *Associative Functions: Triangular Norms and Copulas*. World Scientific, Singapore 2006. DOI:10.1142/9789812774200
  - [2] E. de Amo, M. Díaz Carrillo, and J. Fernández Sánchez: Characterization of all copulas associated with non-continuous random variables. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* *191* (2012), 103–112. DOI:10.1016/j.fss.2011.10.005
  - [3] L. Berg and M. Krüppel: De Rahm's singular function and related functions. *Z. Anal. Anw.* *19* (2000), 227–237. DOI:10.4171/zaa/947
  - [4] U. Cherubini, E. Luciano, and W. Vecchiato: *Copula Methods in Finance*. Wiley Finance Series, John Wiley and Sons Ltd., Chichester 2004. DOI:10.1002/9781118673331
  - [5] F. Durante and P. Jaworski: A new characterization of bivariate copulas. *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods* *39* (2010), 2901–2912. DOI:10.1080/03610920903151459
  - [6] F. Durante and C. Sempì: *Principles of Copula Theory*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, London 2015. DOI:10.1201/b18674
  - [7] C. Genest and J. MacKay: Copules archimédiennes et familles de lois bidimensionnelles dont les marges sont données. *Canad. J. Statist.* *14* (1986), 145–159. DOI:10.2307/3314660
  - [8] J. W. Hagood and B. S. Thomson: Recovering a function from a Dini derivative. *Amer. Math. Monthly* *113* (2006), 34–46. DOI:10.2307/27641835
  - [9] P. Jaworski, F. Durante, W. Härdle, and T. Rychlik (editors): *Copula Theory and its Applications*. Lecture Notes in Statistics—Proceedings, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg 2010. DOI:10.1007/978-3-642-12465-5
  - [10] C. H. Ling: Representation of associative functions. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* *12* (1965), 189–212.
  - [11] S. Lojasiewicz: *An Introduction to the Theory of Real Functions*. Third Edition. A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley and Sons Ltd., Chichester 1988.

- [12] A. J. McNeil and J. Nešlehová: Multivariate Archimedean copulas,  $d$ -monotone functions and  $l_1$ -norm symmetric distributions. *Ann. Stat.* *37* (2009), 3059–3097. DOI:10.1214/07-aos556
- [13] A. J. McNeil, R. Frey, and P. Embrechts: *Quantitative Risk Management: Concepts, Techniques, and Tools*. Princeton University Press, Princeton 2005.
- [14] L. P. Natanson: *Theory of Functions of a Real Variable*. Vol. I, revised edition. Frederick Ungar Publishing, New York 1961.
- [15] R. B. Nelsen: *An Introduction to Copulas*. Second Edition. Springer, New York 2006. DOI:10.1007/0-387-28678-0
- [16] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar: *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*. North-Holland, New York 1983. Reprinted, Dover, Mineola NY, 2005.
- [17] A. Sklar: Fonctions de répartition à  $n$  dimensions et leurs marges. *Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Paris* *8* (1959), 229–231.
- [18] W. Wysocki: Characterizations of Archimedean  $n$ -copulas. *Kybernetika* *51* (2015), 212–230. DOI:10.14736/kyb-2015-2-0212

*Juan Fernández-Sánchez, Research Group of Mathematical Analysis, University of Almería, Carretera de Sacramento s/n, 04120 Almería. Spain.  
e-mail: juanfernandez@ual.es*

*Manuel Úbeda-Flores, Department of Mathematics, University of Almería, Carretera de Sacramento s/n, 04120 Almería. Spain.  
e-mail: mubeda@ual.es*