Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica

Tamalika Dutta; Nirabhra Basu; Arindam BHATTACHARYYA Conformal Ricci Soliton in Lorentzian α -Sasakian Manifolds

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 55 (2016), No. 2, 57–70

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/146061

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 2016

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

Conformal Ricci Soliton in Lorentzian α -Sasakian Manifolds

Tamalika DUTTA $^{1a\,*},$ Nirabhra BASU 2, Arindam BHATTACHARYYA 1b

¹Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India ^a e-mail: tamalika.bagnan@gmail.com ^b e-mail: bhattachar1968@yahoo.co.in

²Department of Mathematics, Bhowanipur Education Society College, Kolkata-700020, India e-mail: nirabhra.basu@hotmail.com

(Received February 4, 2016)

Abstract

In this paper we have studied conformal curvature tensor, conharmonic curvature tensor, projective curvature tensor in Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds admitting conformal Ricci soliton. We have found that a Weyl conformally semi symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting conformal Ricci soliton is η -Einstein manifold. We have also studied conharmonically Ricci symmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting conformal Ricci soliton. Similarly we have proved that a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M with projective curvature tensor admitting conformal Ricci soliton is η -Einstein manifold. We have also established an example of 3-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold.

Key words: Conformal Ricci soliton, conformal curvature tensor, conharmonic curvature tensor, Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds, projective curvature tensor.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 53C44; Secondary 53D10, 53C25

1 Introduction

In 1982 Hamilton [11] introduced the concept of Ricci flow and proved its existence. This concept was developed to answer Thurston's geometric conjecture

^{*}Author is supported by 'DST Inspire fellowship' of India. Reference no: IF140748.

which says that each closed three manifold admits a geometric decomposition. Hamilton also [12] classified all compact manifolds with positive curvature operator in dimension four. Since then, the Ricci flow has become a powerful tool for the study of Riemannian manifolds, especially for those manifolds with positive curvature.

The Ricci flow equation is given by

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -2S \tag{1.1}$$

on a compact Riemannian manifold M with Riemannian metric g. Ricci soliton emerges as the limit of the solutions of Ricci flow. A solution to the Ricci flow is called a Ricci soliton if it moves only by a one-parameter group of diffeomorphism and scaling. Ramesh Sharma [28] started the study of Ricci soliton in contact manifolds and after him M. M. Tripathi [31], Bejan, Crasmareanu [4] studied Ricci soliton in contact metric manifolds. The Ricci soliton equation is given by

$$\pounds_X g + 2S + 2\lambda g = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where \pounds_X is the Lie derivative, S is Ricci tensor, g is Riemannian metric, X is a vector field and λ is a scalar. The φ - vector fields are special type Ricci soliton studied in [14, 15].

In 2005, A.E. Fischer [9] introduced a new concept called conformal Ricci flow which is a variation of the classical Ricci flow equation that modifies the unit volume constraint of that equation to a scalar curvature constraint. Since the conformal geometry plays an important role to constrain the scalar curvature and the equations are the vector field sum of a conformal flow equation and a Ricci flow equation, the resulting equations are named as the conformal Ricci flow equations. These new equations are given by

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + 2\left(S + \frac{g}{n}\right) = -pg \tag{1.3}$$

and R(g) = -1, where p is a scalar non-dynamical field(time dependent scalar field), R(g) is the scalar curvature of the manifold and n is the dimension of manifold.

In 2015, N. Basu and A. Bhattacharyya [3] introduced the notion of conformal Ricci soliton and the equation is as follows

$$\pounds_X g + 2S = \left[2\lambda - \left(p + \frac{2}{n}\right)\right]g. \tag{1.4}$$

The equation is the generalization of the Ricci soliton equation and it also satisfies the conformal Ricci flow equation.

A Riemannian manifold is said to be locally symmetric if its curvature tensor R satisfies $\nabla R = 0$, where ∇ is Levi-Civita connection on the Riemannian manifold. As a generalization of locally symmetric spaces, many geometers have considered semi-symmetric spaces and their generalization. A Riemannian manifold is said to be semi symmetric if its curvature tensor R satisfies R(X, Y).R = 0 for all $X, Y \in TM$, where R(X, Y) acts on R as a derivation. N. S. Sinyukov, J. Mikeš, I. Hinterleitner and others studied geodesic mappings of symmetric and semisymmetric spaces [29, 10, 18, 13, 19, 17, 22, 23, 24, 25, 16]. K. Sekigawa [27], Z. I. Szabo [30] studied Riemannian manifolds or hypersurfaces of such manifold satisfying the condition R(X,Y).R = 0 or condition similar to it. It is easy to see that R(X,Y).R = 0 implies R(X,Y).C = 0. So it is meaningful to undertake the study of manifolds satisfying such type of conditions.

1.1 Definition of Einstein manifold

An Einstein manifold is a Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold with Ricci tensor is proportional to the metric. If M is the underlying *n*-dimensional manifold and g is its metric tensor then the Einstein condition means that

$$S(X,Y) = \lambda g(X,Y),$$

for some constant λ , where S denotes the Ricci tensor of g. Einstein manifolds with $\lambda = 0$ are called Ricci-flat manifolds.

1.2 Definition of η -Einstein manifold

A trans-Sasakian manifold M^n is said to be η -Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S is of the form

$$S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

where a, b are smooth functions.

2 Basic concepts of Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds

A differentiable manifold of dimension (2n+1) is called Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold [1] if it admits a (1, 1) tensor field φ , a vector field ξ and 1-form η and Lorentzian metric g which satisfy on M respectively such that

$$\varphi^2 = I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = -1, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0, \quad \varphi \xi = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = \alpha \varphi X, \quad (\nabla_X \eta) Y = \alpha g(\varphi X, Y), \tag{2.3}$$

where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Lorentzian metric g on M. Geometry of Sasakian spaces was studied in [21, 20, 26, 19].

On an Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M the following relations hold [1]:

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \alpha^2[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \qquad (2.4)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = \alpha^{2}[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X], \qquad (2.5)$$

$$S(X,\xi) = 2n\alpha^2 \eta(X), \tag{2.6}$$

$$Q\xi = 2n\alpha^2\xi,\tag{2.7}$$

$$S(\xi,\xi) = -2n\alpha^2, \tag{2.8}$$

where α is some constant, R is the Riemannian curvature, S is the Ricci tensor and Q is the Ricci operator given by S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y) for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$. Now from definition of Lie derivative we have

$$(\pounds_{\xi}g)(X,Y) = (\nabla_{\xi}g)(X,Y) + g(\alpha\varphi X,Y) + g(X,\alpha\varphi Y)$$

= $2\alpha g(\varphi X,Y), \ [::g(X,\varphi Y) = g(\varphi X,Y)].$ (2.9)

Applying (2.9) in (1.4) we get

$$S(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[2\lambda - \left(p + \frac{2}{n} \right) \right] g(X,Y) - \alpha g(\varphi X,Y)$$

= $Ag(X,Y) - \alpha g(\varphi X,Y),$ (2.10)

where

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \left[2\lambda - \left(p + \frac{2}{n} \right) \right]$$

Since S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y) for the Ricci operator Q, we have

$$g(QX,Y) = Ag(X,Y) - \alpha g(\varphi X,Y)$$

i.e.

$$QX = AX - \alpha \varphi X, \quad \forall Y. \tag{2.11}$$

Also

$$S(Y,\xi) = A\eta(Y), \quad S(\xi,\xi) = -A, \quad Q\xi = A\xi.$$
 (2.12)

If we put $X = Y = e_i$ in (2.10), where $\{e_i\}$ is orthonormal basis of the tangent space TM where TM is a tangent bundle of M and summing over i, we get

$$R(g) = An - \alpha g(\varphi e_i, e_i)$$

As R = -1, we have

$$-1 = An - \alpha.(\operatorname{tr} \varphi)$$
 i.e. $A = \frac{1}{n}(\alpha.(\operatorname{tr} \varphi) - 1).$

2.1 Example of a 3-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold

In this section we construct an example of a 3-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold. To construct this, we consider the three dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z \neq 0\}$ where (x, y, z) are the standard coordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 . The vector fields

$$e_1 = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad e_2 = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 = -e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

are linearly independent at each point of M.

Let g be the Lorentzian metric defined by

$$g(e_1, e_1) = 1, \quad g(e_2, e_2) = 1, \quad g(e_3, e_3) = -1,$$

 $g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_2, e_3) = g(e_3, e_1) = 0.$

Let η be the 1-form which satisfies the relation $\eta(e_3) = -1$. Let φ be the (1, 1) tensor field defined by $\varphi(e_1) = -e_1$, $\varphi(e_2) = -e_2$, $\varphi(e_3) = 0$. Then we have

$$\varphi^2(Z) = Z + \eta(Z)e_3,$$

$$g(\varphi Z, \varphi W) = g(Z, W) + \eta(Z)\eta(W),$$

for any $Z, W \in \chi(M^3)$. Thus for $e_3 = \xi$, (φ, ξ, η, g) defines an almost contact metric structure on M. Now, after calculating we have

$$[e_1, e_3] = -e^{-z}e_1, \quad [e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_2, e_3] = -e^{-z}e_2.$$

The Riemannian connection ∇ of the metric is given by the Koszul's formula which is

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) -g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]).$$
(2.13)

By Koszul's formula we get

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = -e^{-z} e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_1 = 0,$$

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = -e^{-z} e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = 0,$$

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_3 = -e^{-z} e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = -e^{-z} e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0$$

From the above we have found that $\alpha = e^{-z}$ and it can be easily shown that $M^3(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold.

3 Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting conformal Ricci soliton and $R(\xi, X).\tilde{C} = 0$

Let M be an (2n + 1) dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting a conformal Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) . The conformal curvature tensor \tilde{C} on M is defined by [2]

~

$$\tilde{C}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY] + \frac{R}{2n(n-1)}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y], \quad (3.1)$$

where R is scalar curvature.

Now we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. If a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admits conformal Ricci soliton and is Weyl conformally semi summetric i.e. $R(\xi, X).\tilde{C} = 0$, then the manifold is η -Einstein manifold where \tilde{C} is Conformal curvature tensor and $R(\xi, X)$ is derivation of tensor algebra of the tangent space of the manifold.

Proof. Let M be an (2n + 1) dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting a conformal Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) . So we have R = -1 [9].

After putting R = -1 and $Z = \xi$ in (3.1) we have

$$C(X,Y)\xi = R(X,Y)\xi - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(Y,\xi)X - S(X,\xi)Y + g(Y,\xi)QX - g(X,\xi)QY] - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}[g(Y,\xi)X - g(X,\xi)Y].$$
 (3.2)

Using (2.2), (2.4), (2.11) and (2.12) in (3.2) we get

$$\tilde{C}(X,Y)\xi$$

$$= \alpha^{2}[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] - \frac{1}{2n-1}[A\eta(Y)X - A\eta(X)Y$$

$$+ \eta(Y)(AX - \alpha\varphi X) - \eta(X)(AY - \alpha\varphi Y)] - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y].$$
(3.3)

Using (3.1) and after a brief simplification we obtain

$$\tilde{C}(X,Y)\xi = \left[\alpha^2 - \frac{2A}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right](\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y).$$
(3.4)

Considering

$$B = \alpha^2 - \frac{2A}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)},$$

(3.4) becomes

$$\tilde{C}(X,Y)\xi = B[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y]$$
(3.5)

and

$$g(\tilde{C}(X,Y)\xi,Z) = B[\eta(Y)g(X,Z) - \eta(X)g(Y,Z)],$$

which implies

$$-\eta(\tilde{C}(X,Y)Z) = B[\eta(Y)g(X,Z) - \eta(X)g(Y,Z)].$$
(3.6)

Now we consider that the Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M admits conformal Ricci soliton and is Weyl conformally semi symmetric i.e. $R(\xi, X).\tilde{C} = 0$ holds in M (the manifold is locally isometric to the hyperbolic space $H^{n+1}(-\alpha^2)$ [32]), which implies

$$R(\xi, X)(\tilde{C}(Y, Z)W) - \tilde{C}(R(\xi, X)Y, Z)W - \tilde{C}(Y, R(\xi, X)Z)W - \tilde{C}(Y, Z)R(\xi, X)W = 0,$$
(3.7)

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, W on M.

Using (2.5) in (3.7) and putting $W = \xi$ we get

$$g(X, \tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi)\xi - \eta(\tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi)X - g(X, Y)\tilde{C}(\xi, Z)\xi + \eta(Y)\tilde{C}(X, Z)\xi - g(X, Z)\tilde{C}(Y, \xi)\xi + \eta(Z)\tilde{C}(Y, X)\xi - g(X, \xi)\tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi + \eta(\xi)\tilde{C}(Y, Z)X = 0.$$
(3.8)

Taking inner product with ξ in (3.8) and using (2.1) we obtain

$$-g(X, \tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi) - g(X, Y)\eta(\tilde{C}(\xi, Z)\xi) + \eta(Y)\eta(\tilde{C}(X, Z)\xi) - g(X, Z)\eta(\tilde{C}(Y, \xi)\xi) + \eta(Z)\eta(\tilde{C}(Y, X)\xi) - \eta(X)\eta(\tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi) - \eta(\tilde{C}(Y, Z)X) = 0.$$
(3.9)

Using (3.5) in (3.9) we have

$$-B\eta(Z)g(X,Y) + B\eta(Y)g(X,Z) - \eta(\tilde{C}(Y,Z)X) = 0.$$
(3.10)

Putting $Z = \xi$ in (3.10) and using (2.1) we get

$$Bg(X,Y) + B\eta(Y)\eta(X) - \eta(\tilde{C}(Y,\xi)X) = 0.$$
(3.11)

Now from (3.1) we can write

$$\tilde{C}(Y,\xi)X = R(Y,\xi)X - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(\xi,X)Y - S(Y,X)\xi + g(\xi,X)QY - g(Y,X)Q\xi] - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}[g(\xi,X)Y - g(Y,X)\xi]. \quad (3.12)$$

Taking inner product with ξ and using (2.1), (2.5), (2.12) in (3.12) we get

$$\eta(\tilde{C}(Y,\xi)X) = \alpha^2 \eta(X)\eta(Y) + \alpha^2 g(X,Y) - \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(X)\eta(Y) - \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Y) - \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(X)\eta(Y) - \frac{A}{2n-1}g(X,Y) - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\eta(X)\eta(Y) - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}g(X,Y).$$
(3.13)

After putting (3.13) in (3.11) the equation reduces to

$$Bg(X,Y) + B\eta(Y)\eta(X) - \alpha^2 \eta(X)\eta(Y) - \alpha^2 g(X,Y) + \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(X)\eta(Y) + \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Y) + \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(X)\eta(Y) + \frac{A}{2n-1}g(X,Y) + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\eta(X)\eta(Y) + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}g(X,Y) = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Simplifying (3.14) we have

$$g(X,Y)\left[B - \alpha^2 + \frac{A}{2n-1} + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right] + \eta(X)\eta(Y)\left[B - \alpha^2 + \frac{2A}{2n-1} + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right] + \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Y) = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

which can be written in the form

$$S(X,Y) = \rho g(X,Y) + \sigma \eta(X)\eta(Y), \qquad (3.16)$$

where

$$\rho = (2n-1)\left(\alpha^2 - B - \frac{A}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right)$$

and

$$\sigma = (2n-1)\left(\alpha^2 - B - \frac{2A}{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right)$$

So from (3.16) we conclude that the manifold becomes η -Einstein manifold. \Box

4 Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting conformal Ricci soliton and $K(\xi, X).S = 0$

Let M be an (2n + 1) dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting a conformal Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) . The conharmonic curvature tensor K on M is defined by [8]

$$K(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY].$$
(4.1)

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, R is the curvature tensor and Q is the Ricci operator. Now we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. If a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admits conformal Ricci soliton and the manifold is conharmonically Ricci symmetric i.e. $K(\xi, X).S = 0$ then the Ricci operator Q satisfies the quadratic equation $FQ^2 + Q - D = 0$ for all $X \in \chi(M)$ where F, D are constants, K is conharmonic curvature tensor and S is a Ricci tensor.

Proof. Let M be an (2n + 1) dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting a conformal Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) . From (4.1) we can write

$$K(\xi, X)Y = R(\xi, X)Y - \frac{1}{2n-1} [S(X, Y)\xi - S(\xi, Y)X + g(X, Y)Q\xi - g(\xi, Y)QX].$$
(4.2)

Using (2.5), (2.12) in (4.2) we have

$$K(\xi, X)Y = \alpha^{2}[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(X, Y)\xi - A\eta(Y)X + Ag(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)QX].$$
(4.3)

Similarly from (4.2) we get

$$K(\xi, X)Z = R(\xi, X)Z - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(X, Z)\xi - S(\xi, Z)X + g(X, Z)Q\xi - g(\xi, Z)QX] = \alpha^{2}[g(X, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)X] - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(X, Z)\xi - A\eta(Z)X + Ag(X, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)QX]. \quad (4.4)$$

Now we consider that the tensor derivative of S by $K(\xi, X)$ is zero i.e. $K(\xi, X).S = 0$. Then the Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting conformal Ricci soliton is conharmonically Ricci symmetric (the manifold is locally isometric to the hyperbolic space $H^{n+1}(-\alpha^2)$ [32]). It gives

$$S(K(\xi, X)Y, Z) + S(Y, K(\xi, X)Z) = 0.$$
(4.5)

Using (4.3) and (4.4) in (4.5) we get

$$S(\alpha^{2}g(X,Y)\xi - \alpha^{2}\eta(Y)X - \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Y)\xi + \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(Y)X - \frac{A}{2n-1}g(X,Y)\xi + \frac{\eta(Y)}{2n-1}QX,Z) + S(\alpha^{2}g(X,Z)\xi - \alpha^{2}\eta(Z)X - \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Z)\xi + \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(Z)X - \frac{A}{2n-1}g(X,Z)\xi + \frac{\eta(Z)}{2n-1}QX,Y) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Putting $Z = \xi$ and using (2.1), (2.12) in (4.6) we get

$$\left(\frac{A^2}{2n-1} - A\alpha^2\right)g(X,Y) + \alpha^2 S(X,Y) - \frac{1}{2n-1}S(QX,Y) = 0$$

which implies

$$Eg(X,Y) + \frac{1}{2n-1}S(QX,Y) = -\alpha^2 S(X,Y),$$
(4.7)

where $E = \frac{A^2}{2n-1} - A\alpha^2$.

From (4.7) we can write

$$S(X,Y) = Dg(X,Y) - \frac{1}{\alpha^2(2n-1)}S(QX,Y),$$
(4.8)

where $D = -\frac{1}{\alpha^2} E$, which implies

$$QX = DX - FQ^2X \quad \forall Y \in \chi(M), \tag{4.9}$$

where $F = \frac{1}{\alpha^2(2n-1)}$, i.e.

$$FQ^2 + Q - D = 0 \quad \forall X. \tag{4.10}$$

5 Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting conformal Ricci soliton and $P(\xi, X).\tilde{C} = 0$

Let M be an (2n + 1) dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold admitting a conformal Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) . The Weyl projective curvature tensor P on M is given by [2]

$$P(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y].$$

Now we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. If a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M admits conformal Ricci soliton and $P(\xi, X).\tilde{C} = 0$ holds, then the manifold becomes η -Einstein manifold, where P is projective curvature tensor and \tilde{C} is conformal curvature tensor.

Proof. We know from (3.1) that

$$\tilde{C}(\xi, X)Y = R(\xi, X)Y
- \frac{1}{2n-1} [S(X,Y)\xi - S(\xi,Y)X + g(X,Y)Q\xi - g(\xi,Y)QX]
- \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} [g(X,Y)\xi - g(\xi,Y)X],$$
(5.1)

since for conformal Ricci soliton the scalar curvature R = -1 [9].

66

From (2.5), (2.12) and taking inner product with ξ on (5.1) we have

$$\begin{split} \eta(\tilde{C}(\xi,X)Y) &= \alpha^2 g(X,Y)\eta(\xi) - \alpha^2 \eta(Y)\eta(X) \\ &- \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Y)\eta(\xi) + \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(Y)\eta(X) - \frac{A}{2n-1}\eta(\xi)g(X,Y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2n-1}\eta(Y)\eta(QX) - \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}[g(X,Y)\eta(\xi) - \eta(Y)\eta(X)] \\ &= g(X,Y)\left[\frac{A}{2n-1} - \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right] \\ &+ \eta(Y)\eta(X)\left[\frac{2A}{2n-1} - \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2n-1}S(X,Y) = Fg(X,Y) + G\eta(Y)\eta(X) + TS(X,Y), \end{split}$$

where

$$F = \frac{A}{2n-1} - \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)},$$
$$G = \frac{2A}{2n-1} - \alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}$$

and

$$T = \frac{1}{2n-1}$$

Also

$$\eta(\tilde{C}(X,Y)\xi) = B[\eta(Y)\eta(X) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)] = 0$$

and

$$\eta(\tilde{C}(Y,\xi)\xi) = B[\eta(Y)\eta(\xi) - \eta(\xi)\eta(Y)] = 0.$$

Now

$$P(\xi, X)Y = R(\xi, X)Y - \frac{1}{2n}[S(X, Y)\xi - S(\xi, Y)X].$$
(5.2)

Using (2.5), (2.12) in (5.2) we get

$$P(\xi, X)Y = \alpha^2 [g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] - \frac{1}{2n} [S(X, Y)\xi - A\eta(Y)X].$$
(5.3)

Here we consider that the tensor derivative of \tilde{C} by $P(\xi, X)$ is zero i.e. conformally symmetric with respect to projective curvature tensor i.e. $P(\xi, X).\tilde{C} = 0$ holds (the manifold is locally isometric to the hyperbolic space $H^{n+1}(-\alpha^2)$ [32]). So

$$P(\xi, X)\tilde{C}(Y, Z)W - \tilde{C}(P(\xi, X)Y, Z)W - \tilde{C}(Y, P(\xi, X)Z)W - \tilde{C}(Y, Z)P(\xi, X)W = 0,$$
(5.4)

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, W on M.

Using (5.3) in (5.4) and putting $W = \xi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{2}g(X,\tilde{C}(Y,Z)\xi)\xi &- \alpha^{2}\eta(\tilde{C}(Y,Z)\xi)X \\ &- \frac{1}{2n}S(X,\tilde{C}(Y,Z)\xi)\xi + \frac{A}{2n}\eta(\tilde{C}(Y,Z)\xi)X - \alpha^{2}g(X,Y)\tilde{C}(\xi,Z)\xi \\ &+ \alpha^{2}\eta(Y)\tilde{C}(X,Z)\xi + \frac{1}{2n}S(X,Y)\tilde{C}(\xi,Z)\xi - \frac{A}{2n}\eta(Y)\tilde{C}(X,Z)\xi \\ &- \alpha^{2}g(X,Z)\tilde{C}(Y,\xi)\xi + \alpha^{2}\eta(Z)\tilde{C}(Y,X)\xi + \frac{1}{2n}S(X,Z)\tilde{C}(Y,\xi)\xi \\ &- \frac{A}{2n}\eta(Z)\tilde{C}(Y,X)\xi - \alpha^{2}g(X,\xi)\tilde{C}(Y,Z)\xi + \alpha^{2}\eta(\xi)\tilde{C}(Y,Z)X \\ &+ \frac{1}{2n}S(X,\xi)\tilde{C}(Y,Z)\xi - \frac{A}{2n}\eta(\xi)\tilde{C}(Y,Z)X = 0. \end{aligned}$$
(5.5)

Taking inner product with ξ on (5.5) we get

$$-\alpha^2 g(X, \tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi) + \frac{1}{2n} S(X, \tilde{C}(Y, Z)\xi) = 0.$$
(5.6)

From (3.2) and (5.6) we have

$$-\alpha^{2}B\eta(Z)g(X,Y) + \alpha^{2}\eta(Y)Bg(X,Z) + \frac{B}{2n}\eta(Z)S(X,Y) - \frac{B}{2n}\eta(Y)S(X,Z) = 0.$$
(5.7)

Putting $z = \xi$ in (5.7) and using (2.1), (2.12) we obtain

$$\alpha^2 Bg(X,Y) + B\alpha^2 \eta(Y)\eta(X) - \frac{B}{2n}S(X,Y) - \frac{AB}{2n}\eta(Y)\eta(X) = 0,$$

which implies

$$S(X,Y) = 2n\alpha^2 g(X,Y) + 2n(\alpha^2 - \frac{A}{2n})\eta(Y)\eta(X).$$
 (5.8)

So the manifold becomes η -Einstein manifold.

Acknowledgement. Authors are thankful to honorable referee for valuable suggestions to improve the paper.

References

- Bagewadi, C. S., Ingalahalli, G.: Ricci solitons in Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifolds. Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyhaziensis 28 (2012), 59–68.
- Bagewadi, C. S., :, Venkatesha Some curvature tensors on a trans-Sasakian manifold. Turk. J. Math. 31 (2007), 111–121.
- [3] Basu, N., Bhattacharyya, A.: Conformal Ricci soliton in Kenmotsu manifold. Global Journal of Advanced Research on Classical and Modern Geometries 4 (2015), 15–21.
- [4] Bejan, C. L., Crasmareanu, M.: Ricci solitons in manifolds with quasi-constant curvature. Publ. Math. Debrecen 78, 1 (2011), 235–243.

- [5] Dutta, T., Basu, N., Bhattacharyya, A.: Some curvature identities on an almost conformal gradient shrinking Ricci soliton. Journal of Dynamical Systems and Geometric Theories 13, 2 (2015), 163–178.
- [6] Dutta, T., Basu, N., Bhattacharyya, A.: Almost conformal Ricci solitons on 3dimensional trans-Sasakian manifold. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics 45, 5 (2016), 1379–1392.
- [7] Dutta, T., Bhattacharyya, A., Debnath, S.: Conformal Ricci soliton in almost C(λ) manifold. Internat. J. Math. Combin. 3 (2016), 17–26.
- [8] Dwivedi, M. K., Kim, J.-S.: On conharmonic curvature tensor in K-contact and Sasakian manifolds. Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society 34, 1 (2011), 171–180.
- [9] Fischer, A. E.: An introduction to conformal Ricci flow. class. Quantum Grav. 21 (2004), S171–S218.
- [10] Formella, S., Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings of Einstein spaces. Ann. Sci. Stetinenses 9 (1994), 31–40.
- [11] Hamilton, R. S.: Three Manifold with positive Ricci curvature. J. Differential Geom. 17, 2 (1982), 255–306.
- [12] Hamilton, R. S.: The Ricci flow on surfaces. Contemporary Mathematics 71 (1988), 237–261.
- [13] Hinterleitner, I., Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings and Einstein spaces. In: Geometric Methods in Physics, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2013, 331–335.
- [14] Hinterleitner, I., Kiosak, V.: φ(Ric)-vektor fields in Riemannian spaces. Arch. Math. 5 (2008), 385–390.
- [15] Hinterleitner, I., Kiosak, V.: φ(Ric)-vector fields on conformally flat spaces. AIP Conf. Proc. 1191 (2009), 98–103.
- [16] Hinterleitner, I., Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings onto Weyl manifolds. J. Appl. Math. 2, 1 (2009), 125–133; In: Proc. 8th Int. Conf. on Appl. Math. (APLIMAT 2009), Slovak University of Technology, Bratislava, 2009, 423–430.
- [17] Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings of semisymmetric Riemannian spaces. Archives at VINITI, Odessk. Univ., Moscow, 1976.
- [18] Mikeš, J., Vanžurová, A., Hinterleitner, I.: Geodesic Mappings and Some Generalizations. *Palacky Univ. Press*, Olomouc, 2009.
- [19] Mikeš, J. et al.: Differential Geometry of Special Mappings. Palacky Univ. Press, Olomouc, 2015.
- [20] Mikeš, J., Starko, G. A.: On hyperbolically Sasakian and equidistant hyperbolically Kählerian spaces. Ukr. Geom. Sb. 32 (1989), 92–98.
- [21] Mikeš, J.: On Sasaki spaces and equidistant Kähler spaces. Sov. Math., Dokl. 34 (1987), 428–431.
- [22] Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces. J. Math. Sci. 78, 3 (1996), 311–333.
- [23] Mikeš, J.: On geodesic mappings of 2-Ricci symmetric Riemannian spaces. Math. Notes 28 (1981), 622–624; Transl. from: Mat. Zametki 28 (1980), 313–317.
- [24] Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings of m-symmetric and generalized semisymmetric spaces. Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ) 36, 8 (1992), 38–42.
- [25] Mikeš, J.: Geodesic mappings on semisymmetric spaces. Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ) 38, 2 (1994), 35–41.
- [26] Mikeš, J.: Equidistant Kähler spaces. Math. Notes 38 (1985), 855–858.
- [27] Sekigawa, K.: Almost Hermitian manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions. Kodai Math. J. 2 (1979), 384–405.

- [28] Sharma, R.: Almost Ricci solitons and K-contact geometry. Monatsh. Math. 175 (2014), 621–628.
- [29] Sinyukov, N. S.: Geodesic Mappings of Riemannian Spaces. Nauka, Moscow, 1979.
- [30] Szabo, Z. I.: Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying R(X, Y).R = 0, The local version. J. Diff. Geom. 17 (1982), 531–582.
- [31] Tripathi, M. M.: Ricci solitons in contact metric manifolds. arXiv:0801,4222v1 [mathDG] 2008 (2008), 1–6.
- [32] Yadav, S., Suthar, D. L.: Certain derivation on Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifold. Global Journal of Science Frontier Research Mathematics and Decision Sciences 12, 2 (2012), 1–6.