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#### Abstract

Let $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ be a finite lattice with a least element $0 . \mathbb{A} G(L)$ is an annihilatingideal graph of $L$ in which the vertex set is the set of all nontrivial ideals of $L$, and two distinct vertices $I$ and $J$ are adjacent if and only if $I \wedge J=0$. We completely characterize all finite lattices $L$ whose line graph associated to an annihilating-ideal graph, denoted by $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, is a planar or projective graph.
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## 1. Introduction

In the last twenty years, the study of algebraic structures, using the properties of graph theory, tends to an exciting research topic. Associating a graph to an algebraic structure has been the interest of many researchers. For example see [2], [4], and [13]. The notion of an annihilating-ideal graph $\mathbb{A} G(R)$ of a commutative ring $R$ was introduced by Behboodi and Rakeei in [5] and [6]. However, they let all annihilating-ideals of $R$ be vertices of the graph $\mathbb{A} G(R)$, and two distinct vertices $I$ and $J$ be adjacent if and only if $I J=0$. In [1], Khashyarmanesh et al. introduced and studied the annihilating-ideal graph of a lattice $L$, denoted by $\mathbb{A} G(L)$. Graf $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is a graph whose vertex set is the set of all nontrivial ideals of $L$ and two distinct vertices $I$ and $J$ are joined by an edge if and only if $I \wedge J=0$.

First we review some definitions and notation from lattice theory.
Recall that a lattice is an algebra $L=(L, \wedge, \vee)$ satisfying the following conditions: for all $a, b, c \in L$ :
(1) $a \wedge a=a, a \vee a=a$,
(2) $a \wedge b=b \wedge a, a \vee b=b \vee a$,
(3) $(a \wedge b) \wedge c=a \wedge(b \wedge c), a \vee(b \vee c)=(a \vee b) \vee c$, and
(4) $a \vee(a \wedge b)=a \wedge(a \vee b)=a$.

There is an equivalent definition for a lattice (see for example [15], Theorem 2.1). To do this, for a lattice $L$, one can define an order on $L$ as follows: For any $a, b \in L$, we set $a \leqslant b$ if and only if $a \wedge b=a$. Then $(L, \leqslant)$ is an ordered set in which every pair of elements has a greatest lower bound (g.l.b.) and a least upper bound (l.u.b.). Conversely, let $P$ be an ordered set such that, for every pair $a, b \in P$, g.l.b. $(a, b)$ and l.u.b. $(a, b)$ belong to $P$. For each $a$ and $b$ in $P$, we define $a \wedge b:=$ g.l.b. $(a, b)$ and $a \vee b:=$ l.u.b. $(a, b)$. Then $(P, \wedge, \vee)$ is a lattice. A lattice $L$ is said to be bounded if there are elements 0 and 1 in $L$ such that $0 \wedge a=0$ and $a \vee 1=1$ for all $a \in L$. Clearly, every finite lattice is bounded. Let $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ be a lattice with a least element 0 and let $I$ be a nonempty subset of $L . I$ is called an ideal of $L$, denoted by $I \unlhd L$, if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) For all $a, b \in I, a \vee b \in I$.
(2) If $0 \leqslant a \leqslant b$ and $b \in I$, then $a \in I$.

For two distinct ideals $I$ and $J$ of a lattice $L$, we put $I \wedge J:=\{x \wedge y: x \in I, y \in J\}$.
In a lattice $(L, \wedge, \vee)$ with a least element 0 , an element $a$ is called an atom if $a \neq 0$ and, for an element $x$ in $L$, the relation $0 \leqslant x \leqslant a$ implies that either $x=0$ or $x=a$. We denote the set of all atoms of $L$ by $A(L)$. For terminology in lattice theory we refer to [10].

Now, we recall some definitions and notation on graphs. We use the standard terminology of graphs following [7]. Let $G$ be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. In a graph $G$, for two distinct vertices $a$ and $b$ in $G$, the notation $a-b$ means that $a$ and $b$ are adjacent. Also, the degree of $a$ vertex $a$, denoted by $\operatorname{deg}(a)$, is the number of edges incident to $a$, and an isolated vertex is a vertex with zero degree. A graph with no edges (but at least one vertex) is called an empty graph. The graph with no vertices and no edges is the null graph. For a positive integer $r$, an $r$-partite graph is one whose vertex set can be partitioned into $r$ subsets so that no edge has both ends in any one of the subsets. A complete r-partite graph is one in which each vertex is joined to every vertex that is not in the same subset. For notation, we let $K_{n}$ represent the complete graph on $n$ vertices, and $K_{m, n}$ the complete bipartite graph with part sizes $m$ and $n$. A complete bipartite graph $K_{1, n}$ is called star (see [7] and [12]). A graph $G$ is said to be contracted to a graph $H$ if there exists a sequence of elementary contractions which transforms $G$ into $H$, where an elementary contraction consists of deletion of a vertex or an edge or the identification of two adjacent vertices. A subdivision of a graph is any graph that can be obtained from the original graph by replacing edges by paths. The line graph
of a graph $G$ is the graph $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ with the edges of $G$ as its vertices, and two edges of $G$ are adjacent in $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ if and only if they are incident in $G$.

Recall that a simple graph is said to be planar if it can be drawn in the plane or on the surface of a sphere so that its edges intersect only at their ends. A remarkable characterization of the planar graphs was given by Kuratowski in 1930 (cf. [7], page 153). In 1962, Sedláček characterized the planarity of a line graph $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ by using the planarity of $G$ and its vertex degrees. In the sequel, we give the following theorem from [18] which will be used later.

Theorem 1.1 ([18], Lemma 2.6). A nonempty graph $G$ has a planar line graph $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ if and only if
(i) $G$ is planar,
(ii) $\triangle(G) \leqslant 4$, and
(iii) if $\operatorname{deg}(v)=4$, then $v$ is a cut vertex in the graph $G$.

By a surface, we mean a connected compact 2-dimensional real manifold without boundary, that is a connected topological space such that each point has a neighborhood homeomorphic to an open disc. It is well-known that every compact surface is homeomorphic to a sphere, or to a connected sum of $g$ tori $\left(S_{g}\right)$, or to a connected sum of $k$ projective planes $\left(N_{k}\right)$ (see [14], Theorem 5.1). This number $k$ is called the crosscap number of the surface. The projective plane can be thought of as a sphere with one crosscap. This means that the crosscap number of the projective plane is 1.


The canonical representation of a projective plane.

A graph $G$ is embeddable in a surface $S$ if the vertices of $G$ are assigned to distinct points in $S$ so that every edge of $G$ is a simple arc in $S$ connecting the two vertices which are joined in $G$. A projective graph is a graph that can be embedded in a projective plane. The least number $k$ that $G$ can be embedded in $N_{k}$ is called the crosscap number of $G$. We denote the crosscap number of a graph $G$ by $\bar{\gamma}(G)$. One easy observation is that $\bar{\gamma}(H) \leqslant \bar{\gamma}(G)$ for any subgraph $H$ of $G$. If $G$ cannot be embedded in $S$, then $G$ has at least two edges intersecting at a point which is not a vertex of $G$. We say a graph $G$ is irreducible for a surface $S$ if $G$ does not embed
in $S$, but any proper subgraph of $G$ embeds in $S$. The set of 103 irreducible graphs for the projective plane has been found by Glover, Huneke and Wang in [11], and Archdeacon in [3] proved that this list is complete. This list also has been checked by Myrvold and Roth in [17]. Hence a graph embeds in the projective plane if and only if it contains no subdivision of 103 graphs in [11]. Also, a complete graph $K_{n}$ is projective if $n=5$ or 6 , and the only projective complete bipartite graphs are $K_{3,3}$ and $K_{3,4}$ (see [8] or [16]). Note that a planar graph is not considered as a projective graph. For more detailes on the notions concerning embedding of graphs following [19].

In this paper, we assume that $L$ is a finite lattice and $A(L)=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ is the set of all atoms of $L$. We denote the line graph associated with $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ by $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ and we denote $w_{I, J}$ for the vertices $I, J \in \mathbb{A} G(L)$, where $I$ and $J$ are adjacent vertices in $\mathbb{A} G(L)$. In the second section of this work, we completely characterize all finite lattices $L$ such that the line graphs associated with their annihilating-ideal graphs $\mathbb{A} G(L)$, are planar or projective.

## 2. On the planarity and projectivity of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$

In this section, we explore the planarity and projectivity of the line graph associated with the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$, which is denoted by $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$. If $|A(L)|=1$, then $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is an empty graph, and hence $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is a null graph. We begin this section with the following notation, which is needed in the rest of the paper.

Notation. Let $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}$ be integers with $1 \leqslant i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k} \leqslant n$. The notation $U_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}}$ stands for the set

$$
\left\{I \unlhd L:\left\{a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right\} \subseteq I \text { and } a_{j} \notin I \text { for } j \in\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}\right\}
$$

Note that no two distinct elements in $U_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}}$ are adjacent in $\mathbb{A} G(L)$. Also, if the index sets $\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{k^{\prime}}\right\}$ of $U_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}}$ and $U_{j_{1} j_{2} \ldots j_{k^{\prime}}}$, respectively, are distinct, then one can easily check that $U_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}} \cap U_{j_{1} j_{2} \ldots j_{k^{\prime}}}=\emptyset$. Moreover, $V(\mathbb{A} G(L))=\bigcup U_{i_{1} i_{2} \ldots i_{k}}$ for all $1 \leqslant i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k} \leqslant n$. Suppose that $L$ has $n$ atoms. We denote the ideal $\left\{0, a_{i}\right\} \in U_{i}$, where $a_{i}$ is an atom and $U_{i}$ is an ideal, with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, by $u_{i}$. Note that $U_{12 \ldots n}$ consist of isolated vertices. Clearly, the isolated points do not affect planarity and projectivity. Hence, we ignore the set of isolated vertices from the vertex-set of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, and so we do not show these points in our figures.

Now, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. If $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is planar or projective, then the size of $A(L)$ is at most four.

Proof. Assume on the contrary that $|A(L)| \geqslant 5$. Then the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ contains a copy of $K_{5}$ with vertices $u_{1} \in U_{1}, u_{2} \in U_{2}, u_{3} \in U_{3}, u_{4} \in U_{4}$ and $u_{5} \in U_{5}$. So the contraction of the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a subdivision of $K_{3,3}$ (see Figure 1). Therefore it is not a planar graph, which is a contradiction.


Figure 1.
Also, the contraction of the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $E_{20}$, one of the graphs listed in [11] (see Figure 2). Therefore $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is not a projective graph, which is again a contradiction.


Figure 2.

By Lemma 2.1, it is sufficient for us to investigate the planarity and projectivity of the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ in the cases in which the size of $A(L)$ is 2,3 , or 4 .

First we state necessary and sufficient conditions for the planarity and projectivity of the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, when $|A(L)|=2$.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $|A(L)|=2$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is a planar graph if and only if $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right| \leqslant 5$.

Proof. First, assume that $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is planar and assume on the contrary that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right| \geqslant 6$. By [1], Theorem 2.6, we know that as $|A(L)|=2$, the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is a complete bipartite graph. If $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is a star graph, then the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{5}$, which is not planar. Otherwise, $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is
not a star graph. Then it contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{2,4}$ or $K_{3,3}$. In these two cases, $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a subdivision of $K_{3,3}$. Hence $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is not planar, which is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right| \leqslant 5$. If $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right|=2$, then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{L}\left(K_{2}\right)$, which is an empty graph with one vertex. Also, if $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right|=3$, then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L)) \cong \mathfrak{L}\left(K_{1,2}\right) \cong K_{2}$. In addition, if $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right|=4$, then $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$ or $K_{2,2}$. Hence $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is isomorphic to $K_{3}$ or $K_{2,2}$, respectively. Finally, assume that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right|=5$. If $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is a star graph, then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L)) \cong K_{4}$. Otherwise, the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is isomorphic to $K_{2,3}$ with vertices $u_{1}, I_{1}, I_{1}^{\prime} \in U_{1}$ and $u_{2}, I_{2} \in U_{2}$. In this case, the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is pictured in Figure 3.


Figure 3.
In all of the above situations, $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is a planar graph.
Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $|A(L)|=2$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is a projective graph if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(i) $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right|=6$ and $\left|U_{i}\right|=1$ for some unique $i \in\{1,2\}$ or $\left|U_{i}\right|=\left|U_{j}\right|=3$ for $i, j \in\{1,2\}$.
(ii) $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right|=7$ and $\left|U_{i}\right|=1$ for some unique $i \in\{1,2\}$.

Proof. First, assume that the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is projective and on the contrary, $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right| \leqslant 5$. Then, by Theorem 2.1, the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is planar, which is not projective. Now, assume that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right|=6$ and $\mathbb{A} G(L) \cong K_{2,4}$. By [9], Example 2.14, $\bar{\gamma}\left(\mathfrak{L}\left(K_{2,4}\right)\right)=2$, and so the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is not projective. Hence, if $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right|=6$, then the statement (i) holds. Now, suppose that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right|=7$. If $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is not a star graph, then it is isomorphic to $K_{2,5}$ or $K_{3,4}$. By [9], Corollary 2.11, $\bar{\gamma}\left(\mathfrak{L}\left(K_{2,5}\right)\right)=2$ and, by [9], Example 2.14, $\bar{\gamma}\left(\mathfrak{L}\left(K_{3,4}\right)\right)=2$. So if $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right|=7$, then the statement (ii)
holds. Finally, we may assume that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right| \geqslant 8$. If $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is a star graph, then the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{7}$, which is not projective. Otherwise, $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is not a star graph. Then it contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{2,6}, K_{3,5}$ or $K_{4,4}$. In these cases, $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ contains a copy of $K_{2,4}$. Clearly, $\bar{\gamma}(\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))) \geqslant \bar{\gamma}\left(\mathfrak{L}\left(K_{2,4}\right)\right)$, and we have $\bar{\gamma}\left(\mathfrak{L}\left(K_{2,4}\right)\right)=2$. It means that the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is not projective. Therefore, if $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is projective, then one of the conditions (i) or (ii) holds.

Conversely, suppose that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right|=6$, and the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is a star graph. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L)) \cong K_{5}$, and so it is a projective graph. Now, suppose that $\mathbb{A} G(L) \cong K_{3,3}$. By [9], Example 2.12, $\bar{\gamma}\left(\mathfrak{L}\left(K_{3,3}\right)\right)=1$, and so the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is projective. Finally, suppose that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{2} U_{j}\right|=7$, and the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is a star graph. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L)) \cong K_{6}$, and so it is a projective graph.

Now, we investigate the planarity of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, when $|A(L)|=3$. Let $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right| \geqslant 5$. It is easy to see that $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to a complete 3-partite graph $K_{3,1,1}$ or $K_{2,2,1}$. Therefore the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a subdivision of $K_{3,3}$ or a subdivision of $K_{5}$, respectively. Hence it is not planar, and so we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. If $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is planar, then $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right| \leqslant 4$.
Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $|A(L)|=3$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is a planar graph if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(i) $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=3$ and $\left|U_{i j}\right| \leqslant 2$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 3$.
(ii) $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=4$ and $\left|U_{i j}\right| \leqslant 1$ for $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 3$.

Proof. First, assume that one of the conditions (i) or (ii) holds. Suppose that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=3$ and $\left|U_{12}\right|=\left|U_{13}\right|=\left|U_{23}\right|=2$. The graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ with vertices $u_{1} \in U_{1}$, $u_{2} \in U_{2}, u_{3} \in U_{3}, I_{12}, I_{12}^{\prime} \in U_{12}, I_{13}, I_{13}^{\prime} \in U_{13}$ and $I_{23}, I_{23}^{\prime} \in U_{23}$ is pictured in Figure 4.


Figure 4.

Hence the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ pictured in Figure 5 is planar.


Figure 5.
Now, suppose that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=4,\left|U_{1}\right|=2$ and $\left|U_{12}\right|=\left|U_{13}\right|=\left|U_{23}\right|=1$. The graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ with vertices $u_{1}, I_{1} \in U_{1}, u_{2} \in U_{2}, u_{3} \in U_{3}, I_{12} \in U_{12}, I_{13} \in U_{13}$ and $I_{23} \in U_{23}$ is pictured in Figure 6 and $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, which is a planar graph is pictured in Figure 7.


Figure 6.


Figure 7.
Conversely, suppose that $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is a planar graph. By Lemma 2.2, $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right| \leqslant 4$.
Hence we have the following cases.
Case 1. $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=3$. If $U_{12}, U_{13}$ or $U_{23}$ has at least three elements, then there exists at least a vertex of degree 5 in the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$. Hence the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{5}$, and so it is not planar, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=4$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\left|U_{1}\right|=2$. If $U_{12}$ or $U_{13}$ has at least two elements, then there exists at least a vertex of degree 5 in the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$. Hence the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $K_{5}$, and so it is not planar, which is a contradiction. In addition, if $U_{23}$ has at least two elements, then the contraction of $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{2,4}$. Therefore $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ has a vertex of degree 4 which is not a cut vertex. By Theorem 1.1, $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is not a planar graph, which is a contradiction.

Now, we investigate the projectivity of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, when $|A(L)|=3$.
Suppose that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right| \geqslant 6$. Then the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,1,1}, K_{3,2,1}$ or $K_{2,2,2}$. If $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,1,1}$, then one can easily find a copy of $A_{1}$, one of the listed graphs in [11], in the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, which is not projective. Also, if $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{3,2,1}$, then one can easily find a copy of $E_{20}$, one of the graphs listed in [11], in the contraction of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, which is not projective. Now, if $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{2,2,2}$, then the contraction of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $E_{3}$, one of the listed graphs in [11], which is not projective. Therefore $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is not a projective graph.

As a consequence of the above discussion, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. If $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is projective, then $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right| \leqslant 5$.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that $|A(L)|=3$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is a projective graph if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(i) $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=3$, there exist unique $i$ and $j$, with $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 3$, such that $3 \leqslant\left|U_{i j}\right| \leqslant 4$ and $\left|U_{k k^{\prime}}\right| \leqslant 2$ for $k \in\{i, j\}$ and $\left\{k^{\prime}\right\}=\{1,2,3\} \backslash\{i, j\}$.
(ii) $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=4$, there exists a unique $i$, with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$, such that $\left|U_{i}\right|=2$, and for $\{j, k\}=\{1,2,3\} \backslash\{i\}$, if $2 \leqslant\left|U_{i j}\right| \leqslant 3$, then $\left|U_{i k}\right| \leqslant 1$ and $\left|U_{j k}\right| \leqslant 1$.
(iii) $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=5$,
(a) there exists a unique $i$, with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$, such that $\left|U_{i}\right|=3$, and for all $1 \leqslant j, k \leqslant 3, U_{j k}=\emptyset ;$
(b) there exists a unique $i$, with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$, such that $\left|U_{i}\right|=1$, and for $\{j, k\}=\{1,2,3\} \backslash\{i\},\left|U_{j k}\right| \leqslant 1$ and $U_{i j}=U_{i k}=\emptyset$.

Proof. First we assume that $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is a projective graph. By Lemma 2.3, $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right| \leqslant 5$. Hence we have the following cases.

Case 1. $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=3$. In this case, if $\left|U_{i j}\right| \leqslant 2$ for all $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$, then by Theorem 2.3, the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is planar, which is not projective. Also, without loss of generality we may assume that $\left|U_{12}\right|,\left|U_{13}\right| \in\{3,4\}$. Then one can easily check that the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $A_{1}$, one of the graphs listed in [11], which is not projective. In addition, if we assume that $U_{12}, U_{13}$ or $U_{23}$ has at least five elements, then the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{7}$, which is not projective. Therefore, for the projectivity of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, it is necessary that there exist unique $i$ and $j$, with $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant 3$, such that $3 \leqslant\left|U_{i j}\right| \leqslant 4$ and $\left|U_{k k^{\prime}}\right| \leqslant 2$ for $k \in\{i, j\}$ and $\left\{k^{\prime}\right\}=\{1,2,3\} \backslash\{i, j\}$.

Case 2. $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=4$. In this case, if $\left|U_{i j}\right| \leqslant 1$ for all $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$, then, by Theorem 2.3, the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is planar, which is not projective. Now, suppose that there exists a unique $U_{i}$, with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$, say $U_{1}$, such that $\left|U_{1}\right|=2$. If $\left|U_{23}\right| \geqslant 2$, then $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ contains a copy of $K_{2,4}$. Clearly, $\bar{\gamma}(\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))) \geqslant \bar{\gamma}\left(\mathfrak{L}\left(K_{2,4}\right)\right)$, and we have $\bar{\gamma}\left(\mathfrak{L}\left(K_{2,4}\right)\right)=2$. This implies that the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is not projective. Now, we may assume that $U_{23}=\emptyset$. If $U_{12}$ or $U_{13}$ has at least four elements, then the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{7}$, which is not projective. Also, if $\left|U_{12}\right|=\left|U_{13}\right|=2$, then the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $A_{1}$, one of the graphs listed in [11], which is not projective. Therefore, for the projectivity of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, it is necessary that $2 \leqslant\left|U_{i j}\right| \leqslant 3,\left|U_{i k}\right| \leqslant 1$ and $\left|U_{j k}\right| \leqslant 1$, for $\{j, k\}=\{1,2,3\} \backslash\{i\}$, when $\left|U_{i}\right|=2$.

Case 3. $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=5$. Suppose that $\left|U_{1}\right|=3$. If $U_{12}$ or $U_{13}$ has at least one element, then the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $D_{17}$, one of the graphs listed in [11], which is not projective. Also, if $U_{23}$ has at least one element, then the contraction of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $E_{20}$, one of the graphs listed in [11], which is not a projective graph. Therefore, for the projectivity of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, it is necessary that $U_{12}=U_{13}=U_{23}=\emptyset$, when $\left|U_{1}\right|=3$. On the other hand, suppose that there exists a unique $U_{i}$, with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$, say $U_{1}$, such that $\left|U_{1}\right|=1$. If $U_{12}$ or $U_{13}$ has at least one element, then the contraction of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $E_{20}$, one of the listed graphs in [11], which is not a projective graph. Also, if $\left|U_{23}\right| \geqslant 2$, then the contraction of $\mathfrak{L}(A G(L))$ contains a copy of $D_{17}$, one of the graphs listed in [11], which is not a projective graph. Therefore, for the projectivity of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, it is necessary that $U_{12}=U_{13}=\emptyset$ and $\left|U_{23}\right| \leqslant 1$, when $\left|U_{1}\right|=1$.

Conversely, if one of the statements (i), (ii) or (iii) holds, then we will show that $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is a projective graph.

First suppose that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=3$. If $\left|U_{12}\right|=\left|U_{13}\right|=2$ and $\left|U_{23}\right|=4$, then the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ is pictured in Figure 8, which is planar and the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is pictured in Figure 9, which is projective. We have $u_{1} \in U_{1}, u_{2} \in U_{2}, u_{3} \in U_{3}, I_{12}, I_{12}^{\prime} \in U_{12}$, $I_{13}, I_{13}^{\prime} \in U_{13}$ and $I_{23}, I_{23}^{\prime}, I_{23}^{\prime \prime}, I_{23}^{\prime \prime \prime} \in U_{23}$.


Figure 8.


Figure 9.
Now, suppose that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=4$ and $\left|U_{1}\right|=2$. If $\left|U_{12}\right|=3$ and $\left|U_{13}\right|=\left|U_{23}\right|=1$, then the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ with vertices $u_{1}, I_{1} \in U_{1}, u_{2} \in U_{2}, u_{3} \in U_{3}, I_{12}, I_{12}^{\prime}, I_{12}^{\prime \prime} \in U_{12}$, $I_{13} \in U_{13}$ and $I_{23} \in U_{23}$ is planar and the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is projective (see Figure 10).


Figure 10.

Finally, suppose that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} U_{j}\right|=5$ and consider the following cases.
Case 1. There exists a unique $U_{i}$, with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$, say $U_{1}$, such that $\left|U_{1}\right|=3$, and also $U_{12}=U_{13}=U_{23}=\emptyset$. Then the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ with vertices $u_{1}, I_{1}, I_{1}^{\prime} \in U_{1}$, $u_{2} \in U_{2}$ and $u_{3} \in U_{3}$ is planar. As observed, in Figure 11, the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is projective.


Figure 11.

Case 2. There exists a unique $U_{i}$, with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$, say $U_{1}$, such that $\left|U_{1}\right|=1$, also $U_{12}=U_{13}=\emptyset$ and $\left|U_{23}\right|=1$. Then the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ with vertices $u_{1} \in U_{1}$, $u_{2}, I_{2} \in U_{2}, u_{3}, I_{3} \in U_{3}$ and $I_{23} \in U_{23}$ is planar, and so $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is pictured in Figure 12, which is a projective graph.


Figure 12.

In the following, we study the planarity and projectivity of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, when $|A(L)|=4$.

Lemma 2.4. If $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is planar or projective, then $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{4} U_{j}\right|=4$.
Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{4} U_{j}\right| \geqslant 5$. Then the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ has a vertex of degree 4 which is not a cut vertex. Hence, by Theorem 1.1, $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is not a planar graph, which is a contradiction. Also, on the contrary, consider that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{4} U_{j}\right|=5$ and $\left|U_{1}\right|=2$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $E_{20}$, one of the graphs listed in [11], which is not a projective graph. It is again a contradiction.

Theorem 2.5. Suppose that $|A(L)|=4$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is a planar graph if and only if $U_{i j}=\emptyset$ and $\left|U_{i j k}\right| \leqslant 1$ for all $i, j, k \in\{1,2,3,4\}$.

Proof. First, assume that the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is planar. By Lemma 2.4, we have $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{4} U_{j}\right|=4$. If there exists at least one element in $U_{i j}$ for $i, j \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, then one can easily check that the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a subdivision of $K_{3,3}$, which is not planar. Also, if one of the sets $U_{i j k}$ has at least two elements for $i, j, k \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, then the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ has a vertex of degree 5 . Hence the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $K_{5}$, which is impossible.

Conversely, suppose that $U_{12}=U_{13}=U_{23}=\emptyset$ and $\left|U_{123}\right|=\left|U_{124}\right|=\left|U_{134}\right|=$ $\left|U_{234}\right|=1$. The graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$ with vertices $u_{1} \in U_{1}, u_{2} \in U_{2}, u_{3} \in U_{3}, u_{4} \in U_{4}$, $I_{123} \in U_{123}, I_{124} \in U_{124}, I_{134} \in U_{134}$ and $I_{234} \in U_{234}$ is pictured in Figure 13.


Figure 13.
Hence $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is pictured in Figure 14, which is a planar graph. Therefore, in the case that $U_{i j}=\emptyset$ and $\left|U_{i j k}\right| \leqslant 1$ for all $i, j, k \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, we have $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is planar.

In the sequel, suppose that $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{4} U_{j}\right|=4$. We have the following situations.
(i) There exist $i, j \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ such that $\left|U_{i j}\right| \geqslant 2$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $A_{1}$, one of the listed graphs in [11], which is not a projective graph.


Figure 14.
(ii) There exist $i, i^{\prime}, j, j^{\prime} \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ with $i \neq i^{\prime}, j \neq j^{\prime}$, such that $\left|U_{i j}\right|=$ $\left|U_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right|=1$. Then the contraction $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $D_{17}$, one of the graphs listed in [11], which is not a projective graph.
(iii) There exist $i, i^{\prime}, j \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ with $i \neq i^{\prime}, j$ such that $\left|U_{i j}\right|=\left|U_{i^{\prime} j}\right|=1$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $D_{17}$, one of the graphs listed in [11], which is not a projective graph.
(iv) For all $1 \leqslant i, j, k \leqslant 4,\left|U_{i j k}\right| \leqslant 1$ and $U_{i j}=\emptyset$. Then, by Theorem 2.5, the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is planar, which is not projective.
(v) There exist $i, j, k$, with $1 \leqslant i, j, k \leqslant 4$ such that $\left|U_{i j k}\right| \geqslant 4$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $K_{7}$, which is not projective.
(vi) There exist unique $i, i^{\prime}, j, k \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ with $i \neq i^{\prime}, j, k$ such that $2 \leqslant\left|U_{i j k}\right| \leqslant 3$ and $\left|U_{i^{\prime} i j}\right|=\left|U_{i^{\prime} i k}\right|=\left|U_{i^{\prime} j k}\right|=1$. Then the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$, with vertices $u_{1} \in U_{1}, u_{2} \in U_{2}, u_{3} \in U_{3}, u_{4} \in U_{4}, I_{123}, I_{123}^{\prime}, I_{123}^{\prime \prime} \in U_{123}, I_{124} \in U_{124}$, $I_{134} \in U_{134}$ and $I_{234} \in U_{234}$ is planar. Therefore the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, which is pictured in Figure 15, is projective.


Figure 15.
(vii) There exist $i, i^{\prime}, j, k \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ with $i \neq i^{\prime}, j, k$ such that $\left|S_{i j k}\right|=\left|S_{i^{\prime} j k}\right|=2$. Then $\mathfrak{L}\left(\Gamma_{2}(L)\right)$ contains a copy of $A_{1}$, one of the listed graphs in [11], which is not a projective graph.
(viii) There exist $i, j, j^{\prime}, k, k^{\prime} \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ with $i, j \neq j^{\prime}, k \neq k^{\prime}$ such that $\left|U_{i j}\right|=1$ and $\left|U_{i j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}\right|=2$. Then the contraction of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $B_{1}$, one of the listed graphs in [11], which is not a projective graph.
(ix) There exist $i, j, k$, with $1 \leqslant i, j, k \leqslant 4,\left|U_{i j}\right|=\left|U_{i j k}\right|=1$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ contains a copy of $E_{19}$, one of the graphs listed in [11], which is not a projective graph.
(x) There exist unique $i, i^{\prime}, j, j^{\prime}$ with $\left\{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right\}=\{1,2,3,4\} \backslash\{i, j\}$ such that $\left|U_{i j}\right|=$ $\left|U_{i i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right|=\left|U_{j i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right|=1$. Then the graph $\mathbb{A} G(L)$, with vertices $u_{1} \in U_{1}, u_{2} \in U_{2}$, $u_{3} \in U_{3}, u_{4} \in U_{4}, I_{12} \in U_{12}, I_{134} \in U_{134}$ and $I_{234} \in U_{234}$ is planar. Therefore the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, which is pictured in Figure 16, is projective.


Figure 16.
As a consequence of the above discussion and Lemma 2.4, we state the necessary and sufficient conditions for the projectivity of the graph $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$, when the size of $A(L)$ is equal to 4 .

Theorem 2.6. Suppose that $|A(L)|=4$. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\mathbb{A} G(L))$ is a projective graph if and only if $\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{4} U_{j}\right|=4$ and one of the following conditions holds:
(i) There exist unique $i \neq i^{\prime}, j, k$ with $1 \leqslant i, i^{\prime}, j, k \leqslant 4$ such that $2 \leqslant\left|U_{i j k}\right| \leqslant 3$ and $\left|U_{i^{\prime} i j}\right|=\left|U_{i^{\prime} i k}\right|=\left|U_{i^{\prime} j k}\right|=1$.
(ii) There exist unique $i, i^{\prime}, j, j^{\prime}$ with $\left\{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right\}=\{1,2,3,4\} \backslash\{i, j\}$ such that $\left|U_{i j}\right|=$ $\left|U_{i i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right|=\left|U_{j i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\right|=1$.
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