

Chuanxi Qian; Justin Smith

Existence and global attractivity of periodic solutions in a higher order difference equation

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 54 (2018), No. 2, 91–110

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147216>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2018

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

EXISTENCE AND GLOBAL ATTRACTIVITY
OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS
IN A HIGHER ORDER DIFFERENCE EQUATION

CHUANXI QIAN AND JUSTIN SMITH

ABSTRACT. Consider the following higher order difference equation

$$x(n+1) = f(n, x(n)) + g(n, x(n-k)), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where $f(n, x)$ and $g(n, x): \{0, 1, \dots\} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous functions in x and periodic functions in n with period p , and k is a nonnegative integer. We show the existence of a periodic solution $\{\bar{x}(n)\}$ under certain conditions, and then establish a sufficient condition for $\{\bar{x}(n)\}$ to be a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of the equation. Applications to Riccati difference equation and some other difference equations derived from mathematical biology are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Our aim in this paper is to study the existence and global attractivity of periodic solutions of the following higher order nonlinear difference equation

$$(1.1) \quad x(n+1) = f(n, x(n)) + g(n, x(n-k)), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where $f(n, x)$ and $g(n, x): \{0, 1, \dots\} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous functions in x and periodic functions with period p in n , and k is a nonnegative integer.

A solution $\{x(n)\}_{n \geq -k}$ is said to be eventually periodic with period $p \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ if there is an $n_0 \geq -k$ such that $x(n) = x(n+p)$ for every $n \geq n_0$. For the case that $p = 1$, such kind solutions are eventually constant, see for example, [6] and the references therein. If $n_0 = -k$, it is said that the solution is periodic. However, as usual, both type of solutions will be simply called periodic [7]. The existence of periodic solutions of difference equations has been studied by numerous authors and many interesting results have been obtained, see, for example, [1], [2], [5]–[10], [17]–[22], [24], [25] and the references cited therein. However, to the best of our knowledge, no much work has been done for the equations of form (1.1) on this topic. In addition, the study of global attractivity of periodic solutions of difference

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 39A10; secondary 92D25.

Key words and phrases: higher order difference equation, periodic solution, global attractivity, Riccati difference equation, population model.

Received October 6, 2017, revised November 2017. Editor R. Šimon Hilscher.

DOI: 10.5817/AM2018-2-91

equations is also relatively scarce. Recently, the global attractivity of periodic solutions of the following difference equation

$$(1.2) \quad x(n+1) = a(n)x(n) + g(n, x(n-k)), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

which is a special case of Eq. (1.1) with $f(n, x) = a(n)x$, has been studied in [15]. Applications to some difference equations derived from mathematical biology have been shown in [15] also. However, the results obtained there can not be applied to the cases when $f(n, x)$ is a nonlinear function in x such as the equation

$$(1.3) \quad x(n+1) = \frac{a(n)x^2(n)}{b(n) + x(n)} + c(n) \frac{e^{r(n)-q(n)x(n-k)}}{1 + e^{r(n)-q(n)x(n-k)}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where $\{a(n)\}$, $\{b(n)\}$, $\{c(n)\}$, $\{q(n)\}$ and $\{r(n)\}$ are nonnegative periodic sequences with period p , and k is a nonnegative integer. When $a(n) \equiv a$, $b(n) \equiv b$, $c(n) \equiv c$, $q(n) \equiv q$ and $r(n) \equiv r$ are all positive constants and $k = 0$, Eq. (1.3) reduces to

$$(1.4) \quad x(n+1) = \frac{ax^2(n)}{b + x(n)} + c \frac{e^{r-qx(n)}}{1 + e^{r-qx(n)}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Eq. (1.4) is a biological model derived from the evaluation of a perennial grass [23]. The boundedness and the persistence of positive solutions, the existence, the attractivity and the global asymptotic stability of the unique positive equilibrium and the existence of periodic solutions of Eq. (1.4) have been studied in [10] and [15]. For some other recent work on the attractivity of periodic solutions of difference equations, one may see [21], [22] and the references cited therein.

In the next section, we first show that under certain conditions, Eq. (1.1) has a nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p by employing Schauder Fixed Point Theorem. Then we establish a sufficient condition for $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ to be a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of the equation. For the proof of the global attractivity, we develop the method used in [15]. The related methods have been used in a recent paper [16] for the global attractivity of equilibrium of a nonlinear difference equation. In addition, some related equations have been studied by the first author of the present paper and collaborators for some time [3, 4, 11, 14].

In Section 3, we apply the results obtained in Section 2 to Eq. (1.3) to establish some sufficient conditions for the existence of a periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ and for $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ to be a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of the equation. Some interesting special cases of Eq. (1.3) are also discussed. In addition, we show that our results can be applied to the following Riccati difference equation

$$(1.5) \quad x(n+1) = \frac{\alpha(n)x(n) + \beta(n)}{\gamma(n)x(n) + \delta(n)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where $\{\alpha(n)\}$, $\{\beta(n)\}$, $\{\gamma(n)\}$ and $\{\delta(n)\}$ are nonnegative periodic sequences with period p . Riccati difference equations appear in mathematical biology. For instance, the discrete logistic model without delay is a Riccati difference equation. See [2], [12], [13] and [18]. Various properties and applications of Riccati difference equations have been studied by numerous authors, see for example, [7], [8] and the references cited therein. However, it seems that there are no many results on the existence and global attractivity of periodic solutions of these kinds of equations.

2. MAIN RESULTS

The following theorem provides a sufficient condition for the existence of nonnegative periodic solutions of Eq. (1.1). For the sake of convenience, we adopt the notation $\prod_{i=m}^n s(i) = 1$ and $\sum_{i=m}^n s(i) = 0$ whenever $\{s(n)\}$ is a real sequence and $m > n$ in the following discussion.

Theorem 1. *Assume that there is a nonnegative periodic sequence $\{a(n)\}$ with period p such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \hat{a} = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i) < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad f(n, x) \leq a(n)x \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1 \quad \text{and} \quad x \geq 0$$

and that $f(n, x) - a(n)x$ is nonincreasing in x . Suppose also that $g(n, x)$ is nonincreasing in x and that there is a positive constant B such that

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i) \right) [f(j, B) - a(j)B + g(j, B)] \geq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i) \right) g(j, 0) \leq B, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1.$$

Then Eq. (1.1) has a nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p .

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x(n)\}_{n=-k}^{\infty}$ be a real sequence and let

$$X = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \text{ satisfies } x(n+p) = x(n), \quad n \geq -k\}.$$

Then X is a normed vector space with the usual linear operations and the norm $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sup_{0 \leq n \leq p-1} |x(n)|$. Let Λ be a subset of X defined by

$$\Lambda = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in X \text{ with } 0 \leq x(n) \leq B, \quad n \geq -k\}.$$

It is easy to see that Λ is a compact and convex subset of X .

Now, define a mapping T on Λ as the following: for each $\mathbf{x} = \{x(n)\} \in \Lambda$,

$$(2.4) \quad Tx(n) = \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i) \right) [f(j, x(j)) - a(j)x(j) + g(j, x(j-k))].$$

Clearly T is continuous since f and g are periodic in the first variable and continuous in the second one. We show that $T: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$. In fact, by noting $f(n, x) - a(n)x$ and $g(n, x)$ are nonincreasing in x , and (2.2) and (2.3) hold, it is easy to see that

$$Tx(n) \geq \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i) \right) [f(j, B) - a(j)B + g(j, B)] \geq 0$$

and

$$Tx(n) \leq \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i) \right) g(j, 0) \leq B.$$

Next, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} Tx(n+p) &= \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \sum_{j=n+p}^{n+2p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+2p-1} a(i) \right) [f(j, x(j)) - a(j)x(j) + g(j, x(j-k))] \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i+p) \right) [f(j+p, x(j+p)) \\ &\quad - a(j+p)x(j+p) + g(j+p, x(j+p-k))] \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i) \right) [f(j, x(j)) - a(j)x(j) + g(j, x(j-k))] \\ &= Tx(n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{Tx(n)\}$ is periodic with period p and so $T\mathbf{x} \in \Lambda$. Then by Schauder Fixed Point Theorem, T has a fixed point $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \{\tilde{x}(n)\} \in \Lambda$. We claim that $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is a solution of Eq. (1.1). In fact, by noting $\prod_{i=n+1}^{n+p} a(i) = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i) = \hat{a}$, $\prod_{i=n+p+1}^{n+2p} a(i) = 1$, $f(n+p, \tilde{x}(n+p)) = f(n, \tilde{x}(n))$, $g(n+p, \tilde{x}(n+p-k)) = g(n, \tilde{x}(n-k))$ and $T\tilde{x}(n) = \tilde{x}(n)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} T\tilde{x}(n+1) &= \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+p} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p} a(i) \right) [f(j, \tilde{x}(j)) - a(j)\tilde{x}(j) + g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))] \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p} a(i) \right) [f(j, \tilde{x}(j)) - a(j)\tilde{x}(j) + g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \left(\prod_{i=n+1}^{n+p} a(i) \right) [f(n, \tilde{x}(n)) - a(n)\tilde{x}(n) + g(n, \tilde{x}(n-k))] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \left(\prod_{i=n+p+1}^{n+2p} a(i) \right) [f(n+p, \tilde{x}(n+p)) - a(n+p)\tilde{x}(n+p) \\ &\quad + g(n+p, \tilde{x}(n+p-k))] \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p} a(i) \right) [f(j, \tilde{x}(j)) - a(j)\tilde{x}(j) + g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))] \\ &\quad - \frac{\hat{a}}{1-\hat{a}} [f(n, \tilde{x}(n)) - a(n)\tilde{x}(n) + g(n, \tilde{x}(n-k))] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} [f(n, \tilde{x}(n)) - a(n)\tilde{x}(n) + g(n, \tilde{x}(n-k))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{a(n+p)}{1-\hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i) \right) [f(j, \tilde{x}(j)) - a(j)\tilde{x}(j) + g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))] \\
 &\quad + f(n, \tilde{x}(n)) - a(n)\tilde{x}(n) + g(n, \tilde{x}(n-k)) \\
 &= a(n)T\tilde{x}(n) + f(n, \tilde{x}(n)) - a(n)\tilde{x}(n) + g(n, \tilde{x}(n-k)) \\
 &= f(n, \tilde{x}(n)) + g(n, \tilde{x}(n-k)) = f(n, T\tilde{x}(n)) + g(n, T\tilde{x}(n-k)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{T\tilde{x}(n)\}$ satisfies Eq. (1.1) and so $\{T\tilde{x}(n)\}$, that is $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$, is a periodic solution of Eq. (1.1) with period p . The proof is complete. \square

The following theorem provides a sufficient condition for a periodic solution of Eq. (1.1) to be a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (1.1). This theorem is an extension and improvement of the corresponding result obtained in [15] for Eq. (1.2). We relax the condition

$$0 < a(n) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a(n) \neq 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$$

assumed in [15] to the more general condition

$$\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i) < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a(n) \geq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$$

which has been assumed above in the hypotheses of Theorem 1 for the existence of periodic solutions. This relaxation of the condition makes the result more applicable.

Theorem 2. *Assume that $f(n, x)$ is nondecreasing in x and there is a nonnegative sequence $\{a(n)\}$ with period p such that (2.1) holds and $f(n, x) - a(n)x$ is nonincreasing in x . Suppose also that $g(n, x)$ is nonincreasing in x and there is a nonnegative periodic sequence $\{L(n)\}$ with period p such that*

$$(2.5) \quad |g(n, x) - g(n, y)| \leq L(n)|x - y|, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$$

and that either

$$(2.6) \quad a(n) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+k} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+k} a(i) \right) L(j) < 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$$

or

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+k+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+k+p-1} a(i) \right) L(j) < 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1.$$

If Eq. (1.1) has a nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p , then $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is the only periodic solution of Eq. (1.1) and $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (1.1) in the sense that every nonnegative solution $\{x(n)\}$ of Eq. (1.1) satisfies

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x(n) - \tilde{x}(n)) = 0.$$

Proof. Clearly, if we can show that every nonnegative solution of Eq. (1.1) converges to $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$, then $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is the unique periodic solution. Let $y(n) = x(n) - \tilde{x}(n)$. Then $\{y(n)\}$ satisfies

$$y(n+1) + \tilde{x}(n+1) = f(n, y(n) + \tilde{x}(n)) + g(n, y(n-k) + \tilde{x}(n-k)).$$

Since $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is a solution of Eq. (1.1), $\tilde{x}(n+1) = f(n, \tilde{x}(n)) + g(n, \tilde{x}(n-k))$. Hence, it follows that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} y(n+1) &= f(n, y(n) + \tilde{x}(n)) - f(n, \tilde{x}(n)) \\ &\quad + g(n, y(n-k) + \tilde{x}(n-k)) - g(n, \tilde{x}(n-k)). \end{aligned}$$

First, assume that $\{x(n)\}$ does not oscillate about $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$. Then, $\{y(n)\}$ is either eventually positive or eventually negative. We assume that $\{y(n)\}$ is eventually positive. The proof for the case that $\{y(n)\}$ is eventually negative is similar and will be omitted. Hence there is a positive integer n_0 such that $y(n) > 0$, $n \geq n_0$. Then by noting that g is nonincreasing in x , it follows from (2.9) that

$$(2.10) \quad y(n+1) \leq f(n, y(n) + \tilde{x}(n)) - f(n, \tilde{x}(n)), \quad n \geq n_0 + k.$$

Since $f(n, x) - a(n)x$ is nonincreasing in x also,

$$(2.11) \quad f(n, y(n) + \tilde{x}(n)) - a(n)(y(n) + \tilde{x}(n)) \leq f(n, \tilde{x}(n)) - a(n)\tilde{x}(n), \quad n \geq n_0.$$

Hence, (2.10) and (2.11) yield

$$y(n+1) \leq a(n)(y(n) + \tilde{x}(n)) - a(n)\tilde{x}(n) = a(n)y(n), \quad n \geq n_0 + k$$

and so it follows that

$$y(n) \leq \left(\prod_{i=n_0+k}^{n-1} a(i) \right) y(n_0 + k), \quad n \geq n_0 + k.$$

By noting $a(n) \geq 0$, $a(n)$ is p -periodic and $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i) < 1$, we see that $\prod_{i=n_0+k}^{n-1} a(i) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $y(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and so (2.8) holds.

Next, assume that $\{x(n)\}$ oscillates about $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ and so $\{y(n)\}$ oscillates about zero. Then there is an increasing sequence $\{n_t\}$ of positive integers with $n_1 \geq k$ such that $y(n_1) \leq 0$ and for $t = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(2.12) \quad y(n) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n_{2t-1} < n \leq n_{2t}$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad y(n) \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n_{2t} < n \leq n_{2t+1}.$$

We claim that when $n_1 < n \leq n_2$,

$$(2.14) \quad y(n) \leq \sum_{j=n_1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) [g(j, y(j-k) + \tilde{x}(j-k)) - g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))].$$

In fact, from (2.9) we see that

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} y(n_1+1) &= f(n_1, y(n_1) + \tilde{x}(n_1)) - f(n_1, \tilde{x}(n_1)) \\ &\quad + g(n_1, y(n_1-k) + \tilde{x}(n_1-k)) - g(n_1, \tilde{x}(n_1-k)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $y(n_1) \leq 0$ and $f(n, x)$ is nondecreasing in x , $f(n_1, y(n_1) + \tilde{x}(n_1)) \leq f(n_1, \tilde{x}(n_1))$. Hence, it follows from (2.15) that

$$y(n_1 + 1) \leq g(n_1, y(n_1 - k) + \tilde{x}(n_1 - k)) - g(n_1, \tilde{x}(n_1 - k)),$$

that is, (2.14) holds when $n = n_1 + 1$. Next, assume that (2.14) holds when $n = m$ with $n_1 < m < n_2$,

$$(2.16) \quad y(m) \leq \sum_{j=n_1}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{m-1} a(i) \right) [g(j, y(j-k) + \tilde{x}(j-k)) - g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))].$$

From (2.9) we see that

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} y(m+1) - a(m)y(m) &= f(m, y(m) + \tilde{x}(m)) - f(m, \tilde{x}(m)) - a(m)y(m) \\ &\quad + g(m, y(m-k) + \tilde{x}(m-k)) - g(m, \tilde{x}(m-k)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(n, x) - a(n)x$ is nonincreasing in x and $y(m) > 0$,

$$f(m, y(m) + \tilde{x}(m)) - a(m)(y(m) + \tilde{x}(m)) \leq f(m, \tilde{x}(m)) - a(m)\tilde{x}(m)$$

which yields

$$f(m, y(m) + \tilde{x}(m)) - f(m, \tilde{x}(m)) - a(m)y(m) \leq 0.$$

Hence, it follows from (2.17) that

$$y(m+1) \leq a(m)y(m) + g(m, y(m-k) + \tilde{x}(m-k)) - g(m, \tilde{x}(m-k)).$$

Then by noting (2.16), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} y(m+1) &\leq a(m) \sum_{j=n_1}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{m-1} a(i) \right) [g(j, y(j-k) + \tilde{x}(j-k)) - g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))] \\ &\quad + g(m, y(m-k) + \tilde{x}(m-k)) - g(m, \tilde{x}(m-k)) \\ &= \sum_{j=n_1}^m \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^m a(i) \right) [g(j, y(j-k) + \tilde{x}(j-k)) - g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))], \end{aligned}$$

that is, (2.14) holds when $n = m + 1$. Therefore, by mathematical induction, (2.14) holds when $n_1 < n \leq n_2$.

Since $g(n, x)$ is periodic in n with period p and (2.5) holds, we see that

$$|g(n, x) - g(n, y)| \leq L(n)|x - y|, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Then it follows from (2.14) that when $n_1 < n \leq n_2$

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} y(n) &\leq \sum_{j=n_1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) |g(j, y(j-k) + \tilde{x}(j-k)) - g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=n_1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) L(j) |y(j-k)|. \end{aligned}$$

First assume that (2.6) holds. By noting the periodic property of $\{a(n)\}$ and $\{L(n)\}$, we see that there is a positive constant $c < 1$ such that

$$(2.19) \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+k} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+k} a(i) \right) L(j) \leq c, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Then by an argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 1 in [15], we may show that there is a subsequence $\{n_{t_m}\}$ of $\{n_t\}$ with $n_{t_{m+1}} \geq n_{t_m} + k$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$(2.20) \quad |y(n)| \leq c^m \max_{n_1-k \leq s \leq n_1} |y(s)| \quad \text{for } n > n_{t_m}.$$

For the sake of completeness, we give detail of the proof in the following. First, we claim that

$$(2.21) \quad y(n) \leq c \max_{n_1-k \leq s \leq n_1} |y(s)|, \quad n_1 < n \leq n_2.$$

To this end, consider the two cases $n_2 \leq n_1 + k + 1$ and $n_2 > n_1 + k + 1$, respectively. When $n_2 \leq n_1 + k + 1$, then for any $n_1 < n \leq n_2$, $n - k - 1 \leq n_1$ and so (2.18) yields

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} y(n) &\leq \sum_{j=n_1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) L(j) \max_{n_1-k \leq s \leq n_1} |y(s)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=n-k-1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) L(j) \max_{n_1-k \leq s \leq n_1} |y(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then by noting (2.19), we see that (2.21) holds. Next, consider the case that $n_2 > n_1 + k + 1$. When $n_1 < n \leq n_1 + k + 1$, as we have shown above, (2.21) holds. Hence, we only need to show that (2.21) holds also when $n_1 + k + 1 < n \leq n_2$. To this end, first we show that

$$(2.23) \quad y(n) \leq a(n-1)y(n-1), \quad n_1 + k + 1 < n \leq n_2.$$

In fact, by noting that when $n_1 + k + 1 < n \leq n_2$, $y(n-1) > 0$ and $f(n, x) - a(n)x$ is nonincreasing in x , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} f(n-1, y(n-1) + \tilde{x}(n-1)) - a(n-1)(y(n-1) + \tilde{x}(n-1)) \\ \leq f(n-1, \tilde{x}(n-1)) - a(n-1)\tilde{x}(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$f(n-1, y(n-1) + \tilde{x}(n-1)) - f(n-1, \tilde{x}(n-1)) \leq a(n-1)y(n-1).$$

In addition, by noting that when $n_1 + k + 1 < n \leq n_2$, $y(n-1-k) > 0$ and $g(\cdot, x)$ is nonincreasing in x , we see that

$$g(n-1, y(n-1-k) + \tilde{x}(n-1-k)) - g(n-1, \tilde{x}(n-1-k)) \leq 0.$$

Then it follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} y(n) &= f(n-1, y(n-1) + \tilde{x}(n-1)) - f(n-1, \tilde{x}(n-1)) \\ &\quad + g(n-1, y(n-1-k) + \tilde{x}(n-1-k)) - g(n-1, \tilde{x}(n-1-k)) \\ &\leq a(n-1)y(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

and so (2.23) holds. Then by noting $a(n) \in [0, 1]$, it follows that $y(n_2) \leq y(n_2-1) \leq \dots \leq y(n_1+1+k)$, which implies that (2.21) holds when $n_1+k+1 < n \leq n_2$. Hence for any $n_1 < n \leq n_2$, (2.21) holds. Then by a similar argument, we may show that

$$(2.24) \quad y(n) \geq -c \max_{n_2-k \leq s \leq n_2} |y(s)|, \quad n_2 < n \leq n_3.$$

To this end, we first claim that when $n_2 < n \leq n_3$,

$$(2.25) \quad y(n) \geq \sum_{j=n_2}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) [g(j, y(j-k) + \tilde{x}(j-k)) - g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))].$$

From (2.9) we see that

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} y(n_2+1) &= f(n_2, y(n_2) + \tilde{x}(n_2)) - f(n_2, \tilde{x}(n_2)) \\ &\quad + g(n_2, y(n_2-k) + \tilde{x}(n_2-k)) - g(n_2, \tilde{x}(n_2-k)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $y(n_2) > 0$ and $f(n, x)$ is nondecreasing in x , $f(n_2, y(n_2) + \tilde{x}(n_2)) \geq f(n_2, \tilde{x}(n_2))$. Hence, it follows from (2.26) that

$$y(n_2+1) \geq g(n_2, y(n_2-k) + \tilde{x}(n_2-k)) - g(n_2, \tilde{x}(n_2-k)),$$

that is, (2.25) holds when $n = n_2 + 1$. Next, assume that (2.25) holds when $n = m$ with $n_2 < m < n_3$,

$$(2.27) \quad y(m) \geq \sum_{j=n_2}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{m-1} a(i) \right) [g(j, y(j-k) + \tilde{x}(j-k)) - g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))].$$

From (2.9) we see that

$$(2.28) \quad \begin{aligned} y(m+1) - a(m)y(m) &= f(m, y(m) + \tilde{x}(m)) - f(m, \tilde{x}(m)) - a(m)y(m) \\ &\quad + g(m, y(m-k) + \tilde{x}(m-k)) - g(m, \tilde{x}(m-k)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(n, x) - a(n)x$ is nonincreasing in x and $y(m) \leq 0$,

$$f(m, y(m) + \tilde{x}(m)) - a(m)(y(m) + \tilde{x}(m)) \geq f(m, \tilde{x}(m)) - a(m)\tilde{x}(m)$$

which yields

$$f(m, y(m) + \tilde{x}(m)) - f(m, \tilde{x}(m)) - a(m)y(m) \geq 0.$$

Hence, it follows from (2.28) that

$$y(m+1) \geq a(m)y(m) + g(m, y(m-k) + \tilde{x}(m-k)) - g(m, \tilde{x}(m-k)).$$

Then by noting (2.27) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} y(m+1) &\geq a(m) \sum_{j=n_2}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{m-1} a(i) \right) [g(j, y(j-k) + \tilde{x}(j-k)) - g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))] \\ &\quad + g(m, y(m-k) + \tilde{x}(m-k)) - g(m, \tilde{x}(m-k)) \\ &= \sum_{j=n_2}^m \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^m a(i) \right) [g(j, y(j-k) + \tilde{x}(j-k)) - g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))], \end{aligned}$$

that is, (2.25) holds when $n = m + 1$. Therefore, by mathematical induction, (2.25) holds when $n_2 < n \leq n_3$.

As before, since $g(n, x)$ is periodic in n with period p and (2.5) holds, we see that

$$|g(n, x) - g(n, y)| \leq L(n)|x - y|, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

It follows from (2.25) that when $n_2 < n \leq n_3$, as $y(n) \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |y(n)| = -y(n) &\leq \left| \sum_{j=n_2}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) [g(j, y(j-k) + \tilde{x}(j-k)) - g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))] \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=n_2}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) |g(j, y(j-k) + \tilde{x}(j-k)) - g(j, \tilde{x}(j-k))| \\ (2.29) \quad &\leq \sum_{j=n_2}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) L(j) |y(j-k)|. \end{aligned}$$

To show (2.24) holds, we consider the two cases $n_3 \leq n_2 + k + 1$ and $n_3 > n_2 + k + 1$, respectively. When $n_3 \leq n_2 + k + 1$, then for any $n_2 < n \leq n_3$, $n - k - 1 \leq n_2$ and so (2.29) yields

$$\begin{aligned} -y(n) &\leq \sum_{j=n_2}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) L(j) \max_{n_2-k \leq s \leq n_2} |y(s)| \\ (2.30) \quad &\leq \sum_{j=n-k-1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) L(j) \max_{n_2-k \leq s \leq n_2} |y(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

By noting (2.19),

$$-y(n) \leq c \max_{n_2-k \leq s \leq n_2} |y(s)|,$$

thus (2.24) holds. Next, consider the case that $n_3 > n_2 + k + 1$. When $n_2 < n \leq n_2 + k + 1$, as we have shown above, (2.24) holds. Hence, we only need to show that (2.24) holds also when $n_2 + k + 1 < n \leq n_3$. To this end, we first show that

$$(2.31) \quad y(n) \geq a(n-1)y(n-1), \quad n_2 + k + 1 < n \leq n_3.$$

By noting that when $n_2 + k + 1 < n \leq n_3$, $y(n - 1) \leq 0$ and $f(n, x) - a(n)x$ is nonincreasing in x , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} f(n - 1, y(n - 1) + \tilde{x}(n - 1)) - a(n - 1)(y(n - 1) + \tilde{x}(n - 1)) \\ \geq f(n - 1, \tilde{x}(n - 1)) - a(n - 1)\tilde{x}(n - 1) \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$f(n - 1, y(n - 1) + \tilde{x}(n - 1)) - f(n - 1, \tilde{x}(n - 1)) \geq a(n - 1)y(n - 1).$$

In addition, by noting that when $n_2 + k + 1 < n \leq n_3$, $y(n - 1 - k) \leq 0$ and $g(\cdot, x)$ is nonincreasing in x , we see that

$$g(n - 1, y(n - 1 - k) + \tilde{x}(n - 1 - k)) - g(n - 1, \tilde{x}(n - 1 - k)) \geq 0.$$

Then it follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} y(n) &= f(n - 1, y(n - 1) + \tilde{x}(n - 1)) - f(n - 1, \tilde{x}(n - 1)) \\ &\quad + g(n - 1, y(n - 1 - k) + \tilde{x}(n - 1 - k)) - g(n - 1, \tilde{x}(n - 1 - k)) \\ &\geq a(n - 1)y(n - 1) \end{aligned}$$

and so (2.31) holds. Then by noting $a(n) \in [0, 1]$, as $y(n) \leq 0$, it follows that $y(n_3) \geq y(n_3 - 1) \geq \dots \geq y(n_2 + 1 + k)$, which implies that (2.24) holds when $n_2 + k + 1 < n \leq n_3$. Hence, for any $n_2 < n \leq n_3$, (2.24) holds.

If $n_2 - k > n_1$, we see that when $n_2 - k \leq n \leq n_2$, (2.21) holds and so

$$\max_{n_2 - k \leq s \leq n_2} |y(s)| \leq c \max_{n_1 - k \leq s \leq n_1} |y(s)| \leq \max_{n_1 - k \leq s \leq n_1} |y(s)|.$$

If $n_2 - k < n_1$, we see that (2.21) holds when $n_1 < n \leq n_2$; while when $n_2 - k \leq n \leq n_1$, by noting $n_1 - k < n_2 - k$, we see that $|y(n)| \leq \max_{n_1 - k \leq s \leq n_1} |y(s)|$. Hence, from the above discussion, we see that $\max_{n_2 - k \leq s \leq n_2} |y(s)| \leq \max_{n_1 - k \leq s \leq n_1} |y(s)|$ and so it follows from (2.24) that when $n_2 < n \leq n_3$,

$$(2.32) \quad y(n) \geq -c \max_{n_1 - k \leq s \leq n_1} |y(s)|.$$

By combining (2.21) and (2.32), we see that

$$|y(n)| \leq c \max_{n_1 - k \leq s \leq n_1} |y(s)| \quad \text{for } n_1 < n \leq n_3.$$

Then by the method of steps, we may show that

$$|y(n)| \leq c \max_{n_1 - k \leq s \leq n_1} |y(s)| \quad \text{for } n > n_1.$$

Now, by choosing an $n_{r_2} \in \{n_r\}$ with $n_{r_2} > n_1 + k$ and then by using a similar argument, we may show that

$$|y(n)| \leq c^2 \max_{n_1 - k \leq s \leq n_1} |y(s)| \quad \text{for } n > n_{r_2}.$$

Finally, by induction, we may show that for any positive integer $m > 1$, (2.20) holds. Since $0 < c < 1$, we see that $y(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then it follows that (2.8) holds.

Next, assume that (2.7) holds. By the periodic property of $\{a(n)\}$ and $\{L(n)\}$, there is a positive constant $d < 1$ such that

$$(2.33) \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+k+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+k+p-1} a(i) \right) L(j) \leq d, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

We claim that when $n_1 < n \leq n_2$,

$$(2.34) \quad y(n) \leq d \max_{n_1-k \leq s \leq n_1+p-1} |y(s)|.$$

To this end, consider the two cases $n_2 \leq n_1 + k + p$ and $n_2 > n_1 + k + p$, respectively. When $n_2 \leq n_1 + k + p$, then for any $n_1 < n \leq n_2$, $n - k - p \leq n_1$ and so (2.18) yields

$$\begin{aligned} y(n) &\leq \sum_{j=n_1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) L(j) \max_{n_1-k \leq s \leq n_1+p-1} |y(s)| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=n-k-p}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n-1} a(i) \right) L(j) \max_{n_1-k \leq s \leq n_1+p-1} |y(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then by noting (2.33), we see that (2.34) holds. Next, consider the case that $n_2 > n_1 + k + p$. When $n_1 < n \leq n_1 + k + p$, as we have shown above, (2.34) holds. Hence, we only need to show that (2.34) holds also when $n_1 + k + p < n \leq n_2$. First, by the same argument used for the case (2.6) above, we may have

$$(2.35) \quad y(n) \leq a(n-1)y(n-1), \quad n_1 + k + 1 < n \leq n_2.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} y(n_1 + k + p + 1) &\leq a(n_1 + k + p)y(n_1 + k + p) \\ &\leq a(n_1 + k + p)a(n_1 + k + p - 1)y(n_1 + k + p - 1) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \left(\prod_{i=n_1+k+1}^{n_1+k+p} a(i) \right) y(n_1 + k + 1) < y(n_1 + k + 1) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$y(n_1 + k + p + 2) < y(n_1 + k + 2), \dots, y(n_2) < y(n_2 - p).$$

Since $y(n)$ satisfies (2.34) when $n_1 < n \leq n_1 + k + p$, from the above inequalities we see that $y(n)$ satisfies (2.34) also when $n_1 + k + p < n \leq n_2$. Hence, (2.34) holds when $n_1 < n \leq n_2$. Then by an argument similar to that used for the case when (2.6) holds, we may show that there is a subsequence $\{n_{t_l}\}$ of $\{n_t\}$ with $n_{t_{l+1}} \geq n_{t_l} + k$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$|y(n)| \leq d^l \max_{n_1-k \leq s \leq n_1+p-1} |y(s)| \quad \text{for } n > n_{t_l}.$$

Since $0 < d < 1$, we see that $y(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then it follows that (2.8) holds. The proof is complete. \square

By combining Theorems 1 and 2, we have the following result immediately.

Theorem 3. *Assume that $f(n, x)$ is nondecreasing in x and there is a nonnegative sequence $\{a(n)\}$ with period p such that (2.1) holds and $f(n, x) - a(n)x$ is nonincreasing in x . Suppose also that $g(n, x)$ is nonincreasing in x and there are a positive constant B and a nonnegative periodic sequence $\{L(n)\}$ with period p such that (2.2), (2.3), (2.5) and either (2.6) or (2.7) hold. Then Eq. (1.1) has a unique nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p and $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (1.1).*

When $f(n, x) = a(n)x$ where $\{a(n)\}$ is a nonnegative periodic sequence with period p , Eq. (1.1) reduces to Eq. (1.2). Clearly, (2.2) holds for any positive B , and (2.3) holds when B is large. Hence, the following conclusion is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.

Corollary 1. *Assume that $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i) < 1$, and that $g(n, x)$ is nonincreasing in x and there is a nonnegative periodic sequence $\{L(n)\}$ with period p such that (2.5) and either (2.6) or (2.7) hold. Then Eq. (1.2) has a unique nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p and $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (1.2).*

When g is free of x , that is, $g(n, x) = b(n)$ where $\{b(n)\}$ is a nonnegative periodic sequence with period p , Eq. (1.1) reduces to the first order equation

$$(2.36) \quad x(n + 1) = f(n, x(n)) + b(n).$$

Clearly, (2.5) and (2.7) hold with $L(n) \equiv 0$. (2.2) and (2.3) become

$$(2.37) \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i) \right) [f(j, B) - a(j)B + b(j)] \geq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$$

and

$$(2.38) \quad \frac{1}{1 - \hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i) \right) b(j) \leq B, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$$

respectively. Hence, the following conclusion is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.

Corollary 2. *Assume that $f(n, x)$ is nondecreasing in x and there is a nonnegative sequence $\{a(n)\}$ with period p such that (2.1) holds and $f(n, x) - a(n)x$ is nonincreasing in x , and that there is a positive constant B such that (2.37) and (2.38) hold. Then Eq. (2.36) has a unique nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p and $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (2.36).*

In particular, when $f(n, x) = a(n)x$ and $g(n, x) = b(n)$ where $\{a(n)\}$ and $\{b(n)\}$ are nonnegative periodic sequences with period p , Eq. (1.1) reduces to the following first order linear equation

$$(2.39) \quad x(n + 1) = a(n)x(n) + b(n).$$

From the above we have the following conclusion immediately.

Corollary 3. *Assume that $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i) < 1$. Then Eq. (2.39) has a unique nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p and $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (2.39).*

Remark 1. Recently, bounded and periodic solutions of the linear first order difference equation have been studied extensively in [21]. Several interesting results are obtained, one of which is the following: if $\{a(n)\}$ and $\{b(n)\}$ are two periodic sequences with period p and $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i)$ is different from zero and one, then Eq. (2.39) has a unique p -periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$. Furthermore, if $|\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i)| < 1$, then every solution of Eq. (2.39) converges geometrically to $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and it is getting away geometrically from $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ as $n \rightarrow -\infty$. Comparing this result with Corollary 3, we see that $\{a(n)\}$ and $\{b(n)\}$ are not required to be nonnegative and the conclusion is stronger in this result, but $a(n) \neq 0$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ is not required in Corollary 3.

3. APPLICATIONS

In this section, we apply the results obtained above to some equations derived from applications. First consider the following equation mentioned in Section 1

$$(3.1) \quad x(n+1) = \frac{a(n)x^2(n)}{b(n)+x(n)} + c(n) \frac{e^{r(n)-q(n)x(n-k)}}{1+e^{r(n)-q(n)x(n-k)}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where $\{a(n)\}$, $\{b(n)\}$, $\{c(n)\}$, $\{q(n)\}$ and $\{r(n)\}$ are nonnegative periodic sequences with period p , and k is a nonnegative integer. Eq. (3.1) is in the form of Eq. (1.1) with

$$f(n, x) = \frac{a(n)x^2}{b(n)+x} \quad \text{and} \quad g(n, x) = c(n) \frac{e^{r(n)-q(n)x}}{1+e^{r(n)-q(n)x}}.$$

Clearly, f is nondecreasing in x and $f(n, x) \leq a(n)x$. Noting

$$\frac{d}{dx}(f(n, x) - a(n)x)' = -\frac{a(n)b^2(n)}{(b(n)+x)^2} \leq 0$$

we see that $f(n, x) - a(n)x$ is nonincreasing in x . Observe that

$$\frac{d}{dx}(g(n, x)) = -c(n)q(n) \frac{e^{r(n)-q(n)x}}{(1+e^{r(n)-q(n)x})^2}$$

and

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}(g(n, x)) = c(n)q^2(n) \frac{e^{r(n)-q(n)x}(1-e^{r(n)-q(n)x})}{(1+e^{r(n)-q(n)x})^3}.$$

Clearly, $g(n, x)$ is nonincreasing in x , and for each n , $|\frac{dg(n, x)}{dx}|$ takes maximum when $x = \frac{r(n)}{q(n)}$ and $|\frac{dg(n, x)}{dx}|_{x=\frac{r(n)}{q(n)}} = \frac{c(n)q(n)}{4}$. Hence, $g(n, x)$ is L -Lipschitz in x with $L(n) = \frac{c(n)q(n)}{4}$ for each n . Then by Theorems 1 and 2, we have the following conclusion immediately.

Theorem 4. Assume that $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i) < 1$, and that there is a positive number B such that

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i) \right) \left[c(j) \frac{e^{r(j)-q(j)B}}{1 + e^{r(j)-q(j)B}} - \frac{a(j)b(j)B}{b(j) + B} \right] \geq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{1 - \hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i) \right) c(j) \frac{e^{r(j)}}{1 + e^{r(j)}} \leq B, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$$

where $\hat{a} = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i)$. Then Eq. (3.1) has a nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p . Furthermore, if either

$$(3.4) \quad a(n) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+k} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+k} a(i) \right) \frac{c(j)q(j)}{4} < 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$$

or

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+k+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+k+p-1} a(i) \right) \frac{c(j)q(j)}{4} < 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1,$$

then Eq. (3.1) has a unique nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p and $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (3.1).

Clearly, if

$$(3.6) \quad c(n) \frac{e^{r(n)-q(n)}}{1 + e^{r(n)-q(n)}} \geq \frac{a(n)b(n)}{b(n) + 1}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad c(n) \frac{e^{r(n)}}{1 + e^{r(n)}} \leq \frac{1 - \hat{a}}{\sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} a(i) \right)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$$

then (3.2) and (3.3) hold with $B = 1$. Hence, the following corollary is a direct consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 4. Assume that $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i) < 1$, and that (3.6) and (3.7) hold. Then Eq. (3.1) has a nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$. Furthermore, if either (3.4) or (3.5) holds also, then $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is the only periodic solution of Eq. (3.1) and it is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (3.1).

Next, we consider some special cases of Eq. (3.1). If $a(n)b(n) \equiv 0$, then (3.2) holds for any B and (3.3) holds when B is large. Hence the following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.

Corollary 5. *Assume that $a(n)b(n) \equiv 0$ and $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i) < 1$. Then Eq. (3.1) has a nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$. Furthermore, if either (3.4) or (3.5) holds, then $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is the only periodic solution and it is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (3.1).*

In particular, when $a(n) \equiv 0$, Eq. (3.1) reduces to

$$(3.8) \quad x(n+1) = c(n) \frac{e^{r(n)-q(n)x(n-k)}}{1 + e^{r(n)-q(n)x(n-k)}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

and the following result is a direct consequence of Corollary 5.

Corollary 6. *Eq. (3.8) has a unique nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ which is also a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (3.8).*

When $b(n) \equiv 0$, Eq. (1.1) reduces to

$$(3.9) \quad x(n+1) = a(n)x(n) + c(n) \frac{e^{r(n)-q(n)x(n-k)}}{1 + e^{r(n)-q(n)x(n-k)}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

The following result comes from Corollary 5 immediately.

Corollary 7. *Assume that $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i) < 1$. Then Eq. (3.9) has a nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$. If either (3.4) or (3.5) holds, then $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is the only periodic solution and it is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (3.9).*

In addition, when $q(n) \equiv 0$, Eq. (3.1) reduces to

$$(3.10) \quad x(n+1) = \frac{a(n)x^2(n)}{b(n) + x(n)} + c(n) \frac{e^{r(n)}}{1 + e^{r(n)}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

In this case, (3.5) holds automatically. Hence, the following conclusion is a direct consequence of Theorem 4 and Corollary 4.

Corollary 8. *Assume that $\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} a(i) < 1$. Suppose also either there is a positive number B such that (3.2) and (3.3) hold with $q(n) \equiv 0$, or in particular (3.6) and (3.7) hold with $q(n) \equiv 0$. Then Eq. (3.10) has a unique nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p and $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (3.10).*

Next, consider the difference equation

$$(3.11) \quad x(n+1) = \frac{\alpha(n)x(n)}{\gamma(n)x(n) + \delta(n)} + \frac{\beta(n)}{\mu(n)x(n-k) + \eta(n)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

where $\{\alpha(n)\}$, $\{\beta(n)\}$, $\{\gamma(n)\}$, $\{\delta(n)\}$, $\{\mu(n)\}$ and $\{\eta(n)\}$ are nonnegative sequences. Eq. (3.11) is in the form of Eq. (1.1) with

$$f(n, x) = \frac{\alpha(n)x}{\gamma(n)x + \delta(n)} \quad \text{and} \quad g(n, x) = \frac{\beta(n)}{\mu(n)x + \eta(n)}.$$

Clearly f is nondecreasing in x . Noting

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(f(n, x) - \frac{\alpha(n)}{\delta(n)} x \right) = - \frac{\alpha(n)\gamma(n)x(\gamma(n)x + 2\delta(n))}{\delta(n)(\gamma(n)x + \delta(n))^2} \leq 0$$

we see that $f(n, x) - \frac{\alpha(n)}{\delta(n)}x$ is nonincreasing in x . Since

$$\frac{d}{dx}(g(n, x)) = -\frac{\beta(n)\mu(n)}{(\mu(n)x + \eta(n))^2},$$

we see that for each n ,

$$\max_{x \geq 0} \left| \frac{dg(n, x)}{dx} \right| = \frac{\beta(n)\mu(n)}{\eta^2(n)}.$$

Hence, by Theorems 1 and 2, we have the following result immediately.

Theorem 5. *Assume that*

$$(3.12) \quad \delta(n) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{\alpha(i)}{\delta(i)} < 1,$$

and that there is a positive number B such that

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} \frac{\alpha(i)}{\delta(i)} \right) \left[\frac{\beta(j)}{\mu(j)B + \eta(j)} - \frac{\alpha(j)\gamma(j)B^2}{\delta(j)(\gamma(j)B + \delta(j))} \right] \geq 0, \\ n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$$

and

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{1}{1 - \hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} \frac{\alpha(i)}{\delta(i)} \right) \frac{\beta(j)}{\eta(j)} \leq B, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$$

where $\hat{a} = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{\alpha(i)}{\delta(i)}$. Then Eq. (3.11) has a nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p . Furthermore, if either

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{\alpha(n)}{\delta(n)} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+k} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+k} \frac{\alpha(i)}{\delta(i)} \right) \frac{\beta(j)\mu(j)}{\eta^2(j)} < 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1,$$

or

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+k+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+k+p-1} \frac{\alpha(i)}{\delta(i)} \right) \frac{\beta(j)\mu(j)}{\eta^2(j)} < 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1,$$

then Eq. (3.11) has a unique periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p and $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is a global attractor of all nonnegative periodic solutions of Eq. (3.11).

When $\mu(n) \equiv \gamma(n)$, $\eta(n) \equiv \delta(n)$ and $k = 0$, Eq. (3.11) reduces to the following Riccati equation

$$(3.17) \quad x(n+1) = \frac{\alpha(n)x(n) + \beta(n)}{\gamma(n)x(n) + \delta(n)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

(3.13), (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16) reduce to

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} \frac{\alpha(i)}{\delta(i)} \right) \frac{\beta(j)\delta(j) - \alpha(j)\gamma(j)B^2}{\delta(j)(\gamma(j)B + \delta(j))} \geq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1,$$

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{1}{1-\hat{a}} \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} \frac{\alpha(i)}{\delta(i)} \right) \frac{\beta(j)}{\delta(j)} \leq B, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1,$$

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{\alpha(n)}{\delta(n)} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\beta(n)\gamma(n)}{\delta^2(n)} < 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1.$$

and

$$(3.21) \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} \frac{\alpha(i)}{\delta(i)} \right) \frac{\beta(j)\gamma(j)}{\delta^2(j)} < 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, p-1,$$

respectively. Hence, the following is a direct consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 9. *Assume that (3.12) holds and there is a positive number B such that (3.18) and (3.19) hold. Then Eq. (3.17) has a periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p . Furthermore, if either (3.20) or (3.21) holds, then Eq. (3.17) has a unique nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p and $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (3.17).*

Clearly, if

$$(3.22) \quad \beta(n)\delta(n) \geq \alpha(n)\gamma(n), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad \frac{\beta(n)}{\delta(n)} \leq \frac{1-\hat{a}}{\sum_{j=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+p-1} \frac{\alpha(i)}{\delta(i)} \right)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

then (3.18) and (3.19) hold with $B = 1$. Hence, the following conclusion comes from Corollary 9 directly.

Corollary 10. *Assume that (3.12), (3.22) and (3.23) hold. Then Eq. (3.17) has a nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p . Furthermore, if either (3.20) or (3.21) holds, then $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is the only periodic solution and it is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (3.17).*

If $\alpha(n)\gamma(n) \equiv 0$, then (3.18) holds for any B , and (3.19) holds when B is large. Hence, the following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.

Corollary 11. *Assume that $\alpha(n)\gamma(n) \equiv 0$ and (3.12) holds. Then Eq. (3.17) has a nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$. Furthermore, if either (3.20) or (3.21) holds, then $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is the only periodic solution and it is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (3.17).*

In particular, when $\alpha(n) \equiv 0$, Eq.(3.17) reduces to

$$(3.24) \quad x(n+1) = \frac{\beta(n)}{\gamma(n)x(n) + \delta(n)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Clearly, (3.12) holds when $\delta(n) \neq 0$. Hence, from Corollary 8 we have the following conclusion immediately.

Corollary 12. *Assume that $\delta(n) \neq 0$. Then, Eq. (3.24) has a nonnegative periodic solution $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ with period p . Furthermore, if either (3.20) or (3.21) holds, then $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is the only periodic solution of Eq. (3.24) and $\{\tilde{x}(n)\}$ is a global attractor of all nonnegative solutions of Eq. (3.24).*

Acknowledgement. The authors are indebted to the referee for carefully reading the manuscript and providing helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal, R.P., Wong, P.J.Y., *Advanced Topics in Difference Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [2] Clark, M., Gross, L.J., *Periodic solutions to nonautonomous difference equations*, Math. Biosci. **102** (1990), 105–119.
- [3] Graef, J.R., Qian, C., *Global attractivity of the equilibrium of a nonlinear difference equation*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **52** (127) (2002), 757–769.
- [4] Graef, J.R., Qian, C., *Global attractivity in a nonlinear difference equation and its application*, Dynam. Systems Appl. **15** (2006), 89–96.
- [5] Hamaya, Y., Tanaka, T., *Existence of periodic solutions of discrete Ricker delay models*, Int. J. Math. Anal. **8** (2017), 939–950.
- [6] Iričanin, B., Stević, S., *Eventually constant solutions of a rational difference equation*, Appl. Math. Comput. **215** (2009), 854–856.
- [7] Kocic, V.L., Ladas, G., *Global Behavior of Nonlinear Difference Equations of Higher Order with Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [8] Kulenovic, M.R.S., Ladas, G., *Dynamics of Second Order Rational Difference Equations*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [9] Leng, J., *Existence of periodic solutions for higher-order nonlinear difference equations*, Electron. J. Differential Equations **134** (2016), 10pp.
- [10] Padhi, S., Qian, C., *Global attractivity in a higher order nonlinear difference equation*, Dynam. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Systems **19** (2012), 95–106.
- [11] Pappaschinopoulos, G., Schinas, C.J., Ellina, G., *On the dynamics of the solutions of a biological model*, J. Differ. Equations Appl. **20** (2014), 694–705.
- [12] Pielou, E.C., *An Introduction to Mathematical Ecology*, Wiley Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [13] Pielou, E.C., *Population and Community Ecology*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1974.
- [14] Qian, C., *Global stability in a nonautonomous genotype selection model*, Quart. Appl. Math. **61** (2003), 265–277.
- [15] Qian, C., *Global attractivity of periodic solutions in a higher order difference equation*, Appl. Math. Lett. **26** (2013), 578–583.
- [16] Qian, C., *Global attractivity in a nonlinear difference equation and applications to a biological model*, Int. J. Difference Equ. **9** (2014), 233–242.

- [17] Raffoul, Y., Yankson, E., *Positive periodic solutions in neutral delay difference equations*, Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl. **5** (2010), 123–130.
- [18] Stević, S., *A short proof of the Cushing-Henson conjecture*, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. (2006), 5pp., Art. ID 37264.
- [19] Stević, S., *Eventual periodicity of some systems of max-type difference equations*, Appl. Math. Comput. **236** (2014), 635–641.
- [20] Stević, S., *On periodic solutions of a class of k -dimensional systems of max-type difference equations*, Adv. Difference Equ. (2016), Paper No. 251, 10 pp.
- [21] Stević, S., *Bounded and periodic solutions to the linear first-order difference equation on the integer domain*, Adv. Difference Equ. (2017), Paper No. 283, 17pp.
- [22] Stević, S., *Bounded solutions to nonhomogeneous linear second-order difference equations*, Symmetry **9** (2017), Art. No. 227, 31 pp.
- [23] Tilman, D., Wedin, D., *Oscillations and chaos in the dynamics of a perennial grass*, Nature **353** (1991), 653–655.
- [24] Wu, J., Liu, Y., *Two periodic solutions of neutral difference equations modeling physiological processes*, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. (2006), Art. ID 78145, 12pp.
- [25] Zhang, G., Kang, S.G., Cheng, S.S., *Periodic solutions for a couple pair of delay difference equations*, Adv. Difference Equ. **3** (2005), 215–226.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS,
MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY,
MISSISSIPPI STATE, MS 39762, U.S.A.
E-mail: qian@math.msstate.edu