

Fortunata Aurora Basile; Nathan Carlson

On the cardinality of Urysohn spaces and weakly H -closed spaces

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 144 (2019), No. 3, 325–336

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147777>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2019

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE CARDINALITY OF URYSOHN SPACES
AND WEAKLY H -CLOSED SPACES

FORTUNATA AURORA BASILE, Messina, NATHAN CARLSON, Thousand Oaks

Received March 21, 2018. Published online November 27, 2018.

Communicated by J. Gutiérrez García

Abstract. We introduce the cardinal invariant $\theta\text{-}aL'(X)$, related to $\theta\text{-}aL(X)$, and show that if X is Urysohn, then $|X| \leq 2^{\theta\text{-}aL'(X)\chi(X)}$. As $\theta\text{-}aL'(X) \leq aL(X)$, this represents an improvement of the Bella-Cammaroto inequality.

We also introduce the classes of firmly Urysohn spaces, related to Urysohn spaces, strongly semiregular spaces, related to semiregular spaces, and weakly H -closed spaces, related to H -closed spaces.

Keywords: Urysohn space; θ -closure; pseudocharacter; almost Lindelöf degree; cardinality; cardinal invariant

MSC 2010: 54A25, 54D10, 54D20

1. INTRODUCTION

We follow the notation from [8] and [9]. Recall that a *space* X is *Urysohn* if for every two distinct points $x, y \in X$ there are open sets U and V such that $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$.

Many researchers have worked on the cardinality of Urysohn and H -closed spaces, in particular considering cardinal invariants defined by using the θ -closure operator defined below (see, for instance, [1], [2], [4], [5], [6], [7], [10], [11]).

For a space X , we denote by $\chi(X)$ ($\psi(X)$, $\pi\chi(X)$, $c(X)$, $t(X)$) the *character* (respectively, *pseudocharacter*, π -*character*, *cellularity*, *tightness*), see [8].

The θ -*closure* of a set A in a space X is the set $\text{cl}_\theta(A) = \{x \in X : \text{for every neighborhood } U \ni x, \overline{U} \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$; A is said to be θ -*closed* if $A = \text{cl}_\theta(A)$, see [13].

The authors wish to thank Jack Porter for his helpful comments and suggestions in several places in this paper.

The complement of a θ -closed set is called a θ -open set. Considering the fact that the θ -closure operator is not in general idempotent, Bella and Cammaroto defined in [4] the θ -closed hull of a subset A of a space X , denoted by $[A]_\theta$, that is the smallest θ -closed subset of X containing A .

The γ -closure (see [2]) of the set A in a space X is the set $\text{cl}_\gamma(A) = \{x: \text{for every open neighborhood } U \text{ of } x, \text{cl}_\theta(\overline{U}) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$. A is said to be γ -closed if $A = \text{cl}_\gamma(A)$. The γ -closure operator is not in general idempotent.

If X is a Urysohn space, the θ -pseudocharacter of a point x in X (see [2]) is $\psi_\theta(x, X) = \min\{|\mathcal{U}|: \mathcal{U} \text{ is a family of open neighborhoods of } x \text{ and } \{x\} \text{ is the intersection of the } \theta\text{-closures of the closures of } \mathcal{U}\}$; the θ -pseudocharacter of X is $\psi_\theta(X) = \sup\{\psi_\theta(x, X): x \in X\}$.

The almost Lindelöf degree of a subset Y of a space X is $aL(Y, X) = \min\{k: \text{for every cover } \mathcal{V} \text{ of } Y \text{ consisting of open subsets of } X, \text{there exists } \mathcal{V}' \subseteq \mathcal{V} \text{ such that } |\mathcal{V}'| \leq k \text{ and } \bigcup\{\overline{V}: V \in \mathcal{V}'\} = Y\}$. The function $aL(X, X)$ is called the almost Lindelöf degree of X and denoted by $aL(X)$ (see [14] and [9]). The almost Lindelöf degree of X with respect to closed subsets is $aL_C(X) = \min\{k: \text{for every closed set } Y \subset X \text{ and every cover } \mathcal{V} \text{ of } Y \text{ consisting of open subsets of } X, \text{there exists } \mathcal{V}' \subseteq \mathcal{V} \text{ such that } |\mathcal{V}'| \leq k \text{ and } \bigcup\{\overline{V}: V \in \mathcal{V}'\} = Y\}$. The almost Lindelöf degree of X with respect to θ -closed subsets is $aL_\theta(X) = \min\{k: \text{for every } \theta\text{-closed set } Y \subset X \text{ and every cover } \mathcal{V} \text{ of } Y \text{ consisting of open subsets of } X, \text{there exists } \mathcal{V}' \subseteq \mathcal{V} \text{ such that } |\mathcal{V}'| \leq k \text{ and } \bigcup\{\overline{V}: V \in \mathcal{V}'\} = Y\}$. We have that the almost Lindelöf degree is hereditary with respect to θ -closed sets, so we have that $aL(X) = aL_\theta(X)$.

A space X is called H -closed if it has finite almost Lindelöf degree.

Let $(X, \tau) = X$ be a topological space. We say that a subset A of X is regular open (regular closed) if $A = \text{int}(\overline{A})$ ($A = \overline{\text{int}(A)}$). The family $\mathcal{B} = \{U: U \text{ is regular open in } X\}$ is a base for X . Space X equipped with the topology generated by the base \mathcal{B} is called the semiregularization of X and is denoted by X_s . If $X = X_s$, then X is called semiregular.

For a subset A of a space X we will denote by $[A]^{\leq \lambda}$ the family of all subsets of A of cardinality $\leq \lambda$.

In Section 2 we introduce the notions of weakly H -closed spaces, strongly semiregular spaces and firmly Urysohn spaces and we prove that if X is a H -closed, strongly semiregular, firmly Urysohn space, then X is compact.

In Section 3 we construct two new cardinal invariants denoted by $\theta\text{-}aL'(X)$, related to the θ -almost Lindelöf degree, and by $t_{\tilde{c}}(X)$, related to tightness, using an operator denoted by $\tilde{c}(\cdot)$ (for this new operator we have that if X is a space and $A \subset X$, then $\text{cl}_\theta(A) \subseteq \tilde{c}(A) \subseteq \text{cl}_\gamma(A)$). We prove some results concerning weakly H -closed spaces and, in particular, we prove that if X is a Urysohn space, then

$|X| \leq 2^{\theta-aL'(X)t_\varepsilon(X)\psi_\theta(X)}$, which represents an improvement of the Bella-Cammaroto inequality (see [4]).

2. WEAKLY H -CLOSED SPACES AND STRONG SEMIREGULAR SPACES

In [2] the cardinal invariant θ - $aL(X)$ was introduced, known as the θ -almost Lindelöf degree of the space X .

Definition 2.1. The θ -almost Lindelöf degree of a subset Y of a space X is θ - $aL(Y, X) = \min\{k: \text{for every cover } \mathcal{V} \text{ of } Y \text{ consisting of open subsets of } X, \text{ there exists } \mathcal{V}' \subseteq \mathcal{V} \text{ such that } |\mathcal{V}'| \leq k \text{ and } \bigcup\{\text{cl}_\theta(\overline{V}): V \in \mathcal{V}'\} = Y\}$.

The function θ - $aL(X, X)$ is the θ -almost Lindelöf degree of the space X and it is denoted by θ - $aL(X)$.

We have that θ - $aL(X) \leq aL(X)$ for every space X , and using a slight modification of Example 2.3 in [3] we prove that the previous inequality can be strict.

We say that a space is *weakly H -closed* if it has finite θ -almost Lindelöf degree. We obviously have that an H -closed space is weakly H -closed but the converse is not true as the following example shows:

Example 2.1. We consider Bing's tripod space \mathbb{B} . Let \mathbb{B} be the set $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}^2: y \geq 0\}$ with the topology generated by the neighborhood base $\mathcal{V}_{(x,y)} = \{N_\varepsilon(x, y): \varepsilon > 0\}$, where $N_\varepsilon(x, y) = \{(x, y)\} \cup (x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}y - \varepsilon, x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}y + \varepsilon) \times \{0\} \cup (x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}y - \varepsilon, x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}y + \varepsilon) \times \{0\}$. It is easily seen that X is not Urysohn and not H -closed but considering the fact that the θ -closure of the closure of every open set is the whole space we certainly have that X is weakly H -closed.

We also introduce a new cardinal function related to the weakly Lindelöf degree called the θ -weakly Lindelöf degree.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a topological space and Y a subset of X , the θ -weakly Lindelöf degree of Y with respect to X , denoted by θ - $wL(Y, X)$, is

$$\theta$$
- $wL(Y, X) = \min\left\{k: \text{for every open cover } \mathcal{U} \text{ of } Y \text{ by open subsets of } X \right. \\ \left. \text{there exists } \mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{\leq k} \text{ such that } Y = \text{cl}_\theta(\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}})\right\}.$

Definition 2.3. Let X be a topological space, the θ -weakly Lindelöf degree of X , denoted by θ - $wL(X)$, is θ - $wL(X, X)$.

Now we prove that for a θ -open subset U of a space X , θ - $wL(\overline{U}, X) \leq \theta$ - $wL(X)$.

Proposition 2.1. If U is a θ -open subset of a space X , then θ - $wL(\overline{U}, X) \leq \theta$ - $wL(X)$.

Proof. Let $k = \theta\text{-}wL(X)$, $U \subseteq X$ θ -open and \mathcal{U} a cover of \overline{U} by sets open in X . Then $\mathcal{U} \cup \{X \setminus \overline{U}\}$ is an open cover of X and since $k = \theta\text{-}wL(X)$ there exists $\mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{\leq k}$ such that $X = \text{cl}_\theta(X \setminus \overline{U}) \cup \text{cl}_\theta(\bigcup \mathcal{V})$. We want to prove that $U \cap \text{cl}_\theta(X \setminus \overline{U}) = \emptyset$. Suppose that there exists $x \in U \cap \text{cl}_\theta(X \setminus \overline{U})$. Point x is in U which is θ -open, so there exists an open set W such that $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq U$. Then $\overline{W} \cap X \setminus \overline{U} \neq \emptyset$, so $U \cap X \setminus \text{int}(\overline{U}) \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. So U is contained in $\text{cl}_\theta(\bigcup \mathcal{V})$ and thus $\overline{U} \subseteq \text{cl}_\theta(\bigcup \mathcal{V})$, therefore $\theta\text{-}wL(\overline{U}, X) \leq k$. \square

Corollary 2.1. *If X is weakly H -closed and U is θ -open in X , then for every open cover \mathcal{U} of \overline{U} there exist a finite subfamily \mathcal{V} such that $\overline{U} \subseteq \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \text{cl}_\theta(\overline{V})$.*

Definition 2.4. We say that a space X is *strongly semiregular* if the family $\mathcal{B} = \{X \setminus \overline{U} : U \text{ is a } \theta\text{-open subset of } X\}$ is a base for X .

It could be seen that \mathcal{B} satisfies the requirements to be a base for some topology. X equipped with the topology generated by the base \mathcal{B} is called the *strong semiregularization* of X and is denoted by X_{ss} .

It is natural to investigate the relation between the strong semiregularization X_{ss} and the semiregularization X_s of the space X .

Considering the fact that a θ -open set is open, the closure of an open set is regular closed and that the complement of a regular closed set is regular open, we have

Proposition 2.2. *A strongly semiregular space is semiregular.*

The converse of the above statement is not true.

Example 2.2. Let \mathbb{B}_s be the semiregularization of the space \mathbb{B} of Example 2.1. We have that if U is a θ -open subset of \mathbb{B} , then $\mathbb{B}_s \setminus U$ is nowhere dense and so it has empty interior, hence the space is not strongly semiregular.

We can also observe that for a Hausdorff space X , it may happen that X_{ss} is not Hausdorff even though X_s is Hausdorff.

Example 2.3. \mathbb{B}_{ss} has the indiscrete topology whereas \mathbb{B}_s is Hausdorff.

We now give the definition of a new axiom of separation.

Definition 2.5. A topological space X is *firmly Urysohn* if for every $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exist two open subsets U, V of X such that $x \in U, y \in V$ and $\overline{U} \cap \text{cl}_\theta(\overline{V}) = \emptyset$.

We know that a firmly Urysohn space is Urysohn but we ask:

Question 2.1. Does there exist a Urysohn not firmly Urysohn space?

We investigate the θ -closed subsets of a firmly Urysohn, weakly H -closed space and we prove:

Proposition 2.3. *If X is firmly Urysohn and weakly H -closed and U is a θ -open subset of X , then $H = \overline{U}$ is θ -closed.*

Proof. Fix $x \notin H$. For each $y \in H$, there are open sets U_y and V_y such that $x \in U_y$, $y \in V_y$, and $\text{cl}_\theta(U_y) \cap \text{cl}_\theta(\overline{V_y}) = \emptyset$. By Corollary 2.1, for the open cover $\{V_y: y \in H\}$ of H , there is a finite subset $F \subseteq H$ such that $H \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in F} \text{cl}_\theta(\overline{V_y})$. Let $U = \bigcap_{y \in F} U_y$. Then $U \cap \bigcap_{y \in F} \text{cl}_\theta(\overline{V_y}) = \emptyset$. Thus, H is θ -closed. \square

We also investigate the relation between θ -open sets and regular spaces and we prove:

Proposition 2.4. *X has a base of θ -open sets if and only if X is regular.*

Proof. Suppose that X has a base consisting of θ -open sets. Let U be an open subset of X and $x \in U$, then there exists a θ -open subset V of X such that $x \in V \subseteq U$ and $x \notin X - V$. Subset V is θ -closed, so there exists an open subset W of X such that $x \in W$ and $\overline{W} \cap (X - V) = \emptyset$. This means $x \in W \subseteq \overline{W} \subseteq V \subseteq U$ if and only if X is a regular space. \square

Using the previous property, we find a connection with compact spaces. We recall an important result:

Lemma 2.1 ([12]). *A space X is H -closed and regular if and only if X is compact.*

If X is regular, then the θ -closure equals the closure and for this reason we can say that in a regular space the almost Lindelöf degree and the θ -almost Lindelöf degree coincide.

From Corollary 4.8(k) in [12], we prove:

Theorem 2.1. *If X is a firmly Urysohn, weakly H -closed and strongly semiregular space, then it is compact.*

Proof. Suppose that X is firmly Urysohn, weakly H -closed and strongly semiregular. We want to show that such a space X is regular because in a regular space we have that the closure and θ -closure are equal to each other and for this reason we have that the weakly H -closedness is equivalent to the H -closedness and we can apply Lemma 2.1. If X is strongly semiregular, then it has a base consisting of complements of closures of θ -open subsets of X which, by Proposition 2.3, are θ -open. So X has a base consisting of θ -open sets and for this reason it is regular. \square

We can observe that having a base consisting of complements of closures of θ -open sets is equivalent to having a base consisting of interiors of θ -closed sets.

We know that the character of a space is greater than or equal to the character of its semiregularization. We have the same result when considering the strongly semiregularization of the space X .

Proposition 2.5. *If X is a space and X_{ss} is the strong semiregularization of X , then $\chi(X_{ss}) \leq \chi(X)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and let \mathcal{B}_x be an open neighborhood system of x such that $|\mathcal{B}_x| \leq \chi(X)$. It suffices to show that $\{\text{int}([U]_\theta) : U \in \mathcal{B}_x\}$ is an open neighborhood system for x in X_{ss} . Let A be a θ -closed set such that $x \in \text{int}(A)$. There is $U \in \mathcal{B}_x$ such that $U \subseteq \text{int}(A) \subseteq A$. Thus, $x \in U \subseteq [U]_\theta \subseteq A$, and it follows that $x \in \text{int}([U]_\theta) \subseteq \text{int}(A)$. \square

3. CONSTRUCTION OF THE CARDINAL FUNCTION $\theta\text{-}aL'(\cdot)$ AND OF THE OPERATOR $\tilde{c}(\cdot)$

In this section we modify a filter construction given in [7]. In that paper an operator \hat{c} was constructed. Here we construct a related operator \tilde{c} .

Let X be a topological space, $x \in X$ and \mathcal{F}_x the collection of all finite intersections C of regular closed sets such that $x \in C$. It is easy to prove that \mathcal{F}_x is a filter base which can be extended to a filter \mathcal{C}_x that is maximal in the collection of all finite intersections of regular closed sets, partially ordered by inclusion.

The maximal filter \mathcal{C}_x has the following properties:

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a topological space and $x \in X$. Every regular closed subset of X which meets every element of \mathcal{C}_x is an element of \mathcal{C}_x .*

Proof. Let U be an open subset of X and $x \in X$. \overline{U} is regular closed. Suppose \overline{U} meets every element of \mathcal{C}_x . Then $\{\overline{U}\} \cup \mathcal{C}_x$ is a filter base that contains \mathcal{C}_x which can be extended to a maximal filter \mathcal{M} . As \mathcal{C}_x is maximal, we have $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{M}$ and $\overline{U} \in \mathcal{C}_x$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a Urysohn space, then for every $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ we have that $\mathcal{C}_x \neq \mathcal{C}_y$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. Since X is a Urysohn space, there exist two open subsets U, V of X such that $x \in U, y \in V$ and $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$. We have that $\overline{U} \in \mathcal{C}_x$ and $\overline{V} \in \mathcal{C}_y$. If $\mathcal{C}_x = \mathcal{C}_y$, then $\overline{V} \in \mathcal{C}_x$ and $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{C}_x$, a contradiction. \square

We now define new operators using the maximal filter \mathcal{C}_x .

Definition 3.1. For a space X and an open subset U of X , define:

$$\widetilde{U} = \{x \in X : \overline{U} \in \mathcal{C}_x\}.$$

In the following propositions we give several properties of \widetilde{U} .

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a space and U an open subset of X . Then $\overline{U} \subseteq \widetilde{U} \subseteq \text{cl}_\theta(\overline{U})$.*

Proof. If $x \in \overline{U}$, then $\overline{U} \in \mathcal{C}_x$ and $x \in \widetilde{U}$. Let V be an open subset of X such that $x \in \overline{V}$. By Proposition 3.1, $\overline{V} \cap \overline{U} \neq \emptyset$, hence $x \in \text{cl}_\theta(\overline{U})$. \square

Question 3.1. If X is a space, does there exist an open subset U of X such that $\overline{U} \subsetneq \widetilde{U} \subsetneq \text{cl}_\theta(\overline{U})$?

Proposition 3.4. *If X is a topological space and V, W are open subsets of X , then $\widetilde{V} \cup \widetilde{W} = \widetilde{V \cup W}$. In particular this operator distributes over finite unions.*

Proof. $\widetilde{V} \cup \widetilde{W} = \{x \in X : \overline{V} \in \mathcal{C}_x\} \cup \{x \in X : \overline{W} \in \mathcal{C}_x\} = \{x \in X : \overline{V} \cup \overline{W} = \overline{V \cup W} \in \mathcal{C}_x\} = \widetilde{V \cup W}$. \square

The analogue of the following proposition in the case of Hausdorff spaces is contained in the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [7].

Proposition 3.5. *X is a Urysohn space if and only if for every $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ there exist open subsets U, V of X such that $\widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{V} = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose that for every $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ there exist open subsets U, V of X such that $\widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{V} = \emptyset$. We have that $\overline{U} \subseteq \widetilde{U}$ and $\overline{V} \subseteq \widetilde{V}$, so $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$. This means that X is a Urysohn space.

Conversely, suppose X is Urysohn, then for every $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ there exist open subsets U, V of X such that $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$. We want to show that $\widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{V} = \emptyset$. In order to have a contradiction, suppose there exists $z \in \widetilde{U} \cap \widetilde{V}$. From the definition of \widetilde{U} and \widetilde{V} , we have $\overline{U}, \overline{V} \in \mathcal{C}_z$. \mathcal{C}_z is a filter, therefore $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{C}_z$, a contradiction. \square

For a space X and a subset A of X we define a new operator called the \tilde{c} -closure in this way:

Definition 3.2. Let X be a space and A a subset of X ,

$$\tilde{c}(A) = \{x \in X : \widetilde{U} \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ for every open subset } U \text{ of } X \text{ containing } x\}.$$

We say that $A \subseteq X$ is \tilde{c} -closed if $A = \tilde{c}(A)$.

We have the following propositions.

Proposition 3.6. *If X is a space and A is a subset of X , then we have $\text{cl}_\theta(A) \subseteq \tilde{c}(A) \subseteq \text{cl}_\gamma(A)$.*

Proof. If $x \in \text{cl}_\theta(A)$, then for every open subset V of X such that $x \in V$, $\overline{V} \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $\widetilde{V} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in \tilde{c}(A)$.

If $x \in \tilde{c}(A)$, then for every open subset U of X such that $x \in U$ we have that $\widetilde{U} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and by Proposition 3.3 we have $\widetilde{U} \subseteq \text{cl}_\theta(\overline{U})$. Thus for every open subset U of X such that $x \in U$ we have that $\text{cl}_\theta(\overline{U}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$, so $x \in \text{cl}_\gamma(A)$. \square

Question 3.2. If X is a space, does there exist $A \subseteq X$ such that $\text{cl}_\theta(A) \subsetneq \tilde{c}(A) \subsetneq \text{cl}_\gamma(A)$?

Proposition 3.7. *If X is a space and U is an open subset of X , then $\text{cl}_\theta(\overline{U}) \subseteq \tilde{c}(\overline{U}) \subseteq \tilde{c}(\widetilde{U})$.*

Proof. If U is an open subset of X , by definitions we have $\text{cl}_\theta(\overline{U}) \subseteq \tilde{c}(\overline{U}) \subseteq \tilde{c}(\widetilde{U})$. \square

Question 3.3. If X is a space, does there exist an open subset U of X such that $\text{cl}_\theta(\overline{U}) \subsetneq \tilde{c}(\widetilde{U})$?

We investigate the relation between Urysohn spaces and the operator $\tilde{c}(\cdot)$ and we prove the following:

Proposition 3.8. *If X is a Urysohn space, then for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ there exists an open subset U of X such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin \tilde{c}(\widetilde{U})$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$. X is a Urysohn space, so that by Proposition 3.5 there exist open subsets U, V of X such that $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $\widetilde{V} \cap \widetilde{U} = \emptyset$. We have that $\tilde{c}(\widetilde{U}) = \{z \in X : \widetilde{W} \cap \widetilde{U} \neq \emptyset \text{ for every open subset } U \text{ of } X \text{ and } y \in V \text{ but } \widetilde{V} \cap \widetilde{U} = \emptyset, \text{ so } y \notin \tilde{c}(\widetilde{U})\}$. \square

For a space X we define a new cardinal invariant $t_{\tilde{c}}(X)$ related to the tightness $t(X)$.

Definition 3.3. For a space X , the \tilde{c} -tightness of a point $x \in X$, denoted by $t_{\tilde{c}}(x, X)$, is

$$t_{\tilde{c}}(x, X) = \min\{k : \text{for every } A \subseteq X, \text{ if } x \in \tilde{c}(A), \text{ there exists } B \in [A]^{\leq k} \text{ such that } x \in \tilde{c}(B)\}.$$

The \tilde{c} -tightness of the space X , denoted by $t_{\tilde{c}}(X)$, is

$$t_{\tilde{c}}(X) = \sup_{x \in X} t_{\tilde{c}}(x, X).$$

A natural question is:

Question 3.4. Are $t_{\tilde{c}}(X)$ and $t(X)$ incomparable?

With the following proposition we prove that $t_{\tilde{c}}(X)$ is bounded above by the character.

Proposition 3.9. *If X is a space, then $t_{\tilde{c}}(X) \leq \chi(X)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$, $A \subseteq X$ such that $x \in \tilde{c}(A)$ and let \mathcal{V}_x be a neighborhood system of x in X with $|\mathcal{V}_x| \leq \chi(x, X)$. Because $x \in \tilde{c}(A)$, for every $V \in \mathcal{V}_x$ we have that $\tilde{V} \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Let $y_{\tilde{V}} \in \tilde{V} \cap A$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}_x$. We put $B = \{y_{\tilde{V}} : V \in \mathcal{V}_x\}$, so $B \subseteq A$, $x \in \tilde{c}(B)$ and $|B| \leq \chi(x, X)$. This proves that $t_{\tilde{c}}(x, X) \leq \chi(x, X)$. \square

Using the \tilde{c} -tightness and the θ -pseudocharacter we find a bound for the cardinality of the \tilde{c} -closure of a subset A of a space X .

Proposition 3.10. *Let X be a Urysohn space such that $t_{\tilde{c}}(X)\psi_{\theta}(X) \leq k$. Then for every $A \subseteq X$ we have that $|\tilde{c}(A)| \leq |A|^k$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \tilde{c}(A)$. Since $\psi_{\theta}(X) \leq k$, by Proposition 3.8 there exists a family $\{U_{\alpha}(x)\}_{\alpha < k}$ of neighborhoods of x such that $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < k} \text{cl}_{\theta}(\overline{U_{\alpha}(x)}) = \bigcap_{\alpha < k} \tilde{c}(\overline{U_{\alpha}(x)})$. We want to prove that $x \in \tilde{c}(\overline{U_{\alpha}(x)} \cap A)$ for all $\alpha < k$. Let U be an open neighborhood of x and $\alpha < k$. Since $x \in \tilde{c}(A)$, we have that $\emptyset \neq \overline{U} \cap \overline{U_{\alpha}(x)} \cap A \subseteq \tilde{U} \cap \overline{U_{\alpha}(x)} \cap A$. This shows that $x \in \tilde{c}(\overline{U_{\alpha}(x)} \cap A)$. Since $t_{\tilde{c}}(X) \leq k$, there exists $A_{\alpha} \subset \overline{U_{\alpha}(x)} \cap A$ such that $|A_{\alpha}| \leq k$ and $x \in \tilde{c}(A_{\alpha}) \subseteq \tilde{c}(\overline{U_{\alpha}(x)})$. Then $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < k} \tilde{c}(A_{\alpha})$ and $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < k} \in [[A]^{\leq k}]^{\leq k}$, so $|\tilde{c}(A)| \leq |[[A]^{\leq k}]^{\leq k}| = |A|^k$. \square

We now give another version of the Lindelöf and of the θ -almost Lindelöf degree using these new operators.

Definition 3.4. Let X be a topological space and Y a subset of X . We define $\tilde{L}(Y, X)$ by

$$\tilde{L}(Y, X) = \min \left\{ k : \text{for every cover } \mathcal{U} \text{ of } Y \text{ by sets open in } X \right. \\ \left. \text{there exists } \mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{\leq k} \text{ such that } X = \bigcup \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \right\}.$$

We put $\tilde{L}(X, X) = \tilde{L}(X)$.

We show now that if A is \tilde{c} -closed, then $\tilde{L}(A, X) \leq \tilde{L}(X)$.

Proposition 3.11. *If A is a \tilde{c} -closed subset of X , then $\tilde{L}(A, X) \leq \tilde{L}(X)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\tilde{L}(X) \leq k$ and let C be a \tilde{c} -closed subset of X . For every $x \in X \setminus C$ there exists an open subset U_x of X such that $\tilde{U}_x \subseteq X \setminus C$. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of C , then $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \cup \{U_x : x \in X \setminus C\}$ is an open cover of X . As $\tilde{L}(X) \leq k$, there exists $\mathcal{V}' \in [\mathcal{V}]^{\leq k}$ such that $X = \bigcup \tilde{\mathcal{V}}'$. Thus there exists $\mathcal{V}'' \in [\mathcal{U}]^{\leq k}$ such that $C \subseteq \bigcup \tilde{\mathcal{V}}''$. This proves that $\tilde{L}(C, X) \leq k$. \square

Definition 3.5. For a space X , the θ -almost Lindelöf degree of X with respect to \hat{c} -closed subsets ($= \sup\{\theta\text{-}aL(C, X) : C \text{ is } \hat{c}\text{-closed}\}$) is denoted as $\theta\text{-}aL'(X)$ (instead of $\theta\text{-}aL_{\hat{c}}(X)$).

Note that $\theta\text{-}aL(X) \leq \theta\text{-}aL'(X) \leq \tilde{L}(X)$.

Question 3.5. Does there exist a space X such that $\theta\text{-}aL(X) < \theta\text{-}aL'(X) < \tilde{L}(X)$?

Now we prove our main result that is a new cardinal bound for Urysohn spaces. To prove this result we use Theorem 3.1 in [9].

Theorem 3.1 (Hodel). *Let X be a set, k an infinite cardinal, $f : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ an operator on X , and for each $x \in X$ let $\{V(\alpha, x) : \alpha < k\}$ be a collection of subsets of X . Assume*

- (T) (*tightness condition*) if $x \in f(H)$, then there exists $A \subseteq H$ with $|A| \leq k$ such that $x \in f(A)$;
- (C) (*cardinality condition*) if $A \subseteq X$ with $|A| \leq k$, then $|f(A)| \leq 2^k$;
- (C-S) (*cover-separation condition*) if $H \neq \emptyset$, $f(H) \subseteq H$ and $q \notin H$, then there exists $A \subseteq H$ with $|A| \leq k$ and a function $f : A \rightarrow k$ such that $H \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} V(f(x), x)$ and $q \notin \bigcup_{x \in A} V(f(x), x)$.

Then $|X| \leq 2^k$.

To prove the next theorem we use Theorem 3.1 and the operator $\tilde{c}(\cdot)$.

Theorem 3.2. *If X is a Urysohn space, then $|X| \leq 2^{\theta\text{-}aL'(X)t_{\tilde{c}}(X)\psi_{\theta}(X)}$.*

Proof. Let $k = \theta\text{-}aL'(X)t_{\tilde{c}}(X)\psi_{\theta}(X)$. As $\psi_{\theta}(X) \leq k$ for every $x \in X$ there exists a family $\mathcal{W}_x = \{W(\alpha, x) : \alpha < k\}$ of open subsets of X containing x such that $\{x\} = \bigcap_{W \in \mathcal{W}_x} \text{cl}_{\theta}(\overline{W})$.

For every $x \in X$ and $\alpha < k$, we put $V(\alpha, x) = \text{cl}_{\theta}(\overline{W(\alpha, x)})$ and prove the three conditions of Theorem 3.1.

For $H \subseteq X$, define $f(H) = \tilde{c}(H)$.

- ▷ Condition (T) is true because $t_{\tilde{c}} \leq k$.
- ▷ Condition (C) is true by Proposition 3.10.

▷ We prove condition (C-S). Let $\emptyset \neq H \subseteq X$ satisfy $\tilde{c}(H) \subseteq H$. We have that $H \subseteq \tilde{c}(H)$ so $H = \tilde{c}(H)$ and H is \tilde{c} -closed. Suppose $q \notin H$. For every $a \in H$ there exists $\alpha_a < k$ such that $q \notin \text{cl}_\theta(\overline{W(\alpha_a, a)}) = V(\alpha_a, a)$. Let $f: H \rightarrow X$ such that $f(a) = \alpha_a$. The set $\{W(f(a), a) : a \in H\}$ is an open cover of H and since H is \tilde{c} -closed and $\theta\text{-}aL'(X) \leq k$, there exists $A \in [H]^{\leq k}$ such that $H \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in A} V(f(a), a)$ and $q \notin \bigcup_{a \in A} V(f(a), a)$. This proves condition (C-S).

Applying Theorem 3.1 we have that $|X| \leq 2^k = 2^{\theta\text{-}aL'(X)t_{\tilde{c}}(X)\psi_\theta(X)}$. □

We can observe that every \tilde{c} -closed set is also θ -closed. We also know that the almost Lindelöf degree is hereditary with respect to θ -closed sets, so for every space X we have

$$\theta\text{-}aL'(X) \leq \theta\text{-}aL_\theta(X) \leq aL_\theta(X) = aL(X).$$

Furthermore, we know that $\psi_\theta(X) \leq \chi(X)$ and by Proposition 3.9 we have that $t_{\tilde{c}}(X) \leq \chi(X)$.

From these facts we obtain the following:

Corollary 3.1 ([4]). *If X is a Urysohn space, then $|X| \leq 2^{aL(X)\chi(X)}$.*

References

- [1] *O. T. Alas, L. D. Kočinac*: More cardinal inequalities on Urysohn spaces. *Math. Balk., New Ser.* 14 (2000), 247–251. zbl MR
- [2] *F. A. Basile, M. Bonanzinga, N. Carlson*: Variations on known and recent cardinality bounds. *Topology Appl.* 240 (2018), 228–237. zbl MR doi
- [3] *M. Bell, J. Ginsburg, G. Woods*: Cardinal inequalities for topological spaces involving the weak Lindelöf number. *Pac. J. Math.* 79 (1979), 37–45. zbl MR doi
- [4] *A. Bella, F. Cammaroto*: On the cardinality of Urysohn spaces. *Can. Math. Bull.* 31 (1988), 153–158. zbl MR doi
- [5] *F. Cammaroto, A. Catalioto, B. A. Pansera, B. Tsaban*: On the cardinality of the θ -closed hull of sets. *Topology Appl.* 160 (2013), 2371–2378. zbl MR doi
- [6] *F. Cammaroto, L. Kočinac*: On θ -tightness. *Facta Univ., Ser. Math. Inf.* 8 (1993), 77–85. zbl MR
- [7] *N. A. Carlson, J. R. Porter*: On the cardinality of Hausdorff spaces and H -closed spaces. *Topology Appl.* 241 (2018), 377–395. zbl MR doi
- [8] *R. Engelking*: *General Topology*. Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN, Warsaw, 2007. (In Polish.) zbl
- [9] *R. E. Hodel*: Arhangel'skiĭ's solution to Alexandroff's problem: a survey. *Topology Appl.* 153 (2006), 2199–2217. zbl MR doi
- [10] *L. D. Kočinac*: On the cardinality of Urysohn and H -closed spaces. *Proc. Math. Conf., Priština, 1994* (L. D. Kočinac, ed.). Univ. of Priština, Faculty of Sciences, Priština, 1995, pp. 105–111. zbl MR
- [11] *L. D. Kočinac*: On the cardinality of Urysohn spaces. *Questions and Answers in General Topology* 13 (1995), 211–216. zbl MR
- [12] *J. R. Porter, R. G. Woods*: *Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces*. Springer, New York, 1988. zbl MR doi

- [13] *N. V. Veličko*: H -closed topological spaces. Am. Math. Soc., Transl., II. Ser. 78 (1968), 103–118; translated from Mat. Sb., n. Ser. 70 (1966), 98–112. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [14] *S. Willard, U. N. B. Dissanayake*: The almost Lindelöf degree. Can. Math. Bull. 27 (1984), 452–455. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Authors' addresses: *Fortunata Aurora Basile*, University of Messina, Piazza Pugliatti, 1-98122 Messina, Italy, e-mail: basilef@unime.it; *Nathan Carlson*, Department of Mathematics, California Lutheran University, 60 W Olsen Rd, Thousand Oaks, CA 91360, California, USA, e-mail: ncarlson@callutheran.edu.