Ruifang Chen; Xianhe Zhao On TI-subgroups and QTI-subgroups of finite groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 70 (2020), No. 1, 179–185

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/148048

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2020

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

ON TI-SUBGROUPS AND QTI-SUBGROUPS OF FINITE GROUPS

RUIFANG CHEN, XIANHE ZHAO, Xinxiang

Received April 21, 2018. Published online September 16, 2019.

Abstract. Let G be a group. A subgroup H of G is called a TI-subgroup if $H \cap H^g = 1$ or H for every $g \in G$ and H is called a QTI-subgroup if $C_G(x) \leq N_G(H)$ for any $1 \neq x \in H$. In this paper, a finite group in which every nonabelian maximal is a TI-subgroup (QTI-subgroup) is characterized.

Keywords:TI-subgroup; QTI-subgroup; maximal subgroup; Frobenius group; solvable group

MSC 2010: 20D10

1. INTRODUCTION

All groups considered in this paper are finite and G always denotes a group.

A subgroup H of G is called a TI-subgroup if $H \cap H^g = 1$ or H for every $g \in G$. It is obvious that a minimal subgroup or a normal subgroup is a TI-subgroup. Recall that a group G is a Frobenius group if G has a nontrivial subgroup H such that $H \cap H^g = 1$ for every $g \in G - H$, and H is said to be a Frobenius complement of G. It is easy to see that a Frobenius complement of a Frobenius group is a TI-subgroup. In [13], Walls determined the finite groups in which every subgroup is a TI-subgroup. As generalizations, Li in [6] described the finite non-nilpotent groups in which every second maximal subgroup is a TI-subgroup. Moreover, Guo, Li and Flavell in [3] gave a complete classification of finite groups in which every abelian subgroup is a TI-subgroup. Most recently, in [8] and [12], Lu et al. and Shi et al. investigated finite groups in which every nonabelian subgroup is a TI-subgroup, respectively.

The research of the work is partially supported by the NSFC(11901169, U1504101, 11801151), the Youth Science Foundation of Henan Normal University(2019QK02) and Henan Engineering Laboratory for Big Data Statistical Analysis and Optimal Control, School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Henan Normal University.

On the other hand, Qian and Tang in [10] introduced the definition of QTIsubgroups. A subgroup H of G is called a QTI-subgroup if $C_G(x) \leq N_G(H)$ for any $1 \neq x \in H$. Clearly a TI-subgroup is a QTI-subgroup. However, the converse is not true, see [10], Example 1.2.

Furthermore, for every nonnormal maximal subgroup H of G, if H is a QTIsubgroup of G, then H is a CC-subgroup. In [10], Qian and Tang classify the groups all of whose abelian subgroups are QTI-subgroups. And in [7], Lu and Guo studied finite groups with all second maximal subgroups being QTI-subgroups.

Recall that a group G is *p*-closed if and only if it contains a normal Sylow *p*-subgroup (see e.g. [5], page 132).

In [9], Lu, Pang and Zhong characterized the structure of a finite G satisfying that all non-nilpotent maximal subgroups of G are QTI-subgroups, and they obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that every non-nilpotent maximal subgroup of G is a QTI-subgroup. Then

(1) G is solvable;

(2) G is p-closed and q-closed for some primes p and q.

In this paper, we consider an analogous problem as in [9] but putting stronger assumptions on the maximal subgroups. We investigate a finite group in which every nonabelian maximal subgroup is a QTI-subgroup, and for convenience we call such a group an NMQTI-group. Clearly, when G is an NMQTI-group, it satisfies the premises of this theorem. In fact, our main result, the classification of NMQTIgroups, reads as follows:

Theorem 1.2. Let every nonabelian maximal subgroup of a group G be a QTI-subgroup. Then G is one of the following types:

- (a) All maximal subgroups of G are normal. Then G is nilpotent.
- (b) There is a nonnormal maximal subgroup of G and all nonnormal maximal subgroups are abelian. Then G is solvable and the maximal nonnormal subgroups are all conjugate to a Carter subgroup of G.
- (c) There is a nonnormal nonabelian maximal subgroup H of G. Then $G = N \rtimes H$ is a Frobenius group with Frobenius kernel N a minimal normal subgroup of G and a nilpotent Frobenius complement H. The Sylow 2-subgroup H_2 of H is a generalized quaternion group.

Conversely, if a group G satisfies either of conditions (a)–(c), then every maximal nonabelian subgroup is a QTI-subgroup.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 2.1. The following statements about a nonnormal maximal subgroup H of a group G are equivalent:

- (a) H is a QTI-subgroup of G.
- (b) H is a CC-subgroup of G.

Proof. Since H is a nonnormal maximal subgroup of G, we deduce that $H = N_G(H)$, and the conclusion comes easily.

Lemma 2.2 ([1], Lemma 2.8). Let G contain a nilpotent CC-subgroup H with $N_G(H) = H$. Then G is a Frobenius group and H a Frobenius complement.

Lemma 2.3 ([1], Lemma 2.9). Let a solvable group G contain a CC-subgroup H satisfying $N_G(H) = H$. Then G is a Frobenius group and H a complement.

Lemma 2.4 ([7], Theorem 2.3). Let G be a finite group in which every maximal subgroup is a QTI-subgroup. Then G is of one of the following types:

- (a) G is nilpotent; or
- (b) $G = N \rtimes H$ is a Frobenius group with a kernel N and a complement H and N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G.

Now we will give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In (a) and (b) the condition of G being an NMQTIgroup is satisfied in a trivial manner. A case by case discussion will follow according to premises (a)–(c). We also establish, in each case separately, the converse direction.

(a) Certainly G must be a nilpotent group.

Conversely, if G is nilpotent, every maximal subgroup is normal in G and hence G is an NMQTI-group.

(b) Exercise 7 on page 309 in [11] implies that G is solvable. Let H be any nonnormal abelian maximal subgroup. Then H is self-normalizing and nilpotent and thus it is a Carter subgroup of G. It follows that all nonnormal maximal subgroups of G are conjugate.

Conversely, let a nonabelian solvable group G have abelian Carter subgroups which are at the same time maximal subgroups. Suppose H is any maximal subgroup which is abelian and not normal. Then H is self-normalizing and hence agrees with one of the Carter subgroups.

(c) We first claim that G is a Frobenius group with a nonabelian Frobenius complement. We discuss the cases:

Case 1: Let G not contain nonnormal abelian maximal subgroups. Then, as every normal subgroup of G is QTI, every nonnormal maximal subgroup of G is nonabelian

and hence is a QTI-subgroup; therefore, by Theorem 5 of [7], G is a solvable Frobenius group and H is a complement.

Case 2: Group G contains a nonnormal abelian maximal subgroup, say H. Then Exercise 7 on page 309 in [11] implies that G must be solvable. As H contains the centralizer of each of its nontrivial elements, it is a CC-subgroup of G. It follows from Lemma 3 of [1] that G is a solvable Frobenius group and H is a complement.

For proving that the Frobenius kernel N is a minimal normal subgroup of G, suppose, by way of contradiction, that there is a normal subgroup M of G, properly contained in N, and let it be maximal with respect to this property. Then MH = $M \rtimes H$ is a maximal nonnormal subgroup of G. As G is a Frobenius group and MHis neither contained nor contains the Frobenius kernel, it turns out that MH is a nonnormal nonabelian maximal subgroup of G and hence it is a CC-subgroup of G. Since M is nilpotent, it contains a nontrivial element centralized by an element $x \in N \setminus M$. Since $x \notin MH$, it follows that MH is not a QTI-subgroup, contrary to the assumptions. Hence, N is a minimal normal subgroup of G and all maximal nonnormal subgroups of G are conjugates of H.

Next let us prove that the Frobenius complement H is nilpotent and it will suffice to show that every maximal subgroup of H is normal in H (see e.g. [11], page 130). Suppose, by way of contradiction, that there is a nonnormal maximal subgroup Sof H. Then NS cannot be normal in G and is nonabelian. Hence, NS must be a QTI-subgroup of G. Therefore, for every $1 \neq s \in S$ the centralizer $C_G(s)$ must be contained in $N_G(NS) = NS$. Since $s \in H$ and H is a CC-subgroup of G, we deduce $C_G(s) \leq NS \cap H = S$. Thus, any nonnormal maximal subgroup Sof H must be a QTI-subgroup of H. In particular, such S is a CC-subgroup of Hand hence a Hall subgroup. Lemma 4 of [1] implies that H is a Frobenius group and S is the complement. Then, however, Z(H) = 1, contradicting the fact that His a Frobenius complement, since any Frobenius complement has a nontrivial center (see [4], page 506, Satz (c)).

Finally, we observe that for $q \in \pi(H)$ the Sylow q-subgroup H_q is either cyclic or, when q = 2, it can be a generalized quaternion as well. Thus, $H_{\pi \setminus \{2\}}$ is finite cyclic and, as we assumed G to contain a maximal nonabelian QTI-subgroup, it follows that H and therefore H_2 is nonabelian.

Conversely, let $G = N \rtimes H$ be a solvable Frobenius group with Frobenius complement H a maximal subgroup and Frobenius kernel N minimal normal. Then N is an elementary abelian p-group for some prime p. Consider any maximal nonnormal subgroup M of G, set $\pi = \pi(H)$ and note that N is an elementary abelian Sylow p-subgroup of G. If M has a nontrivial π -subgroup, by conjugating, we can arrange that $M_{\pi} \leq H$. If $M_p = 1$, then M = H is thus a CC-subgroup and hence even a TI-subgroup of G. Assume next that $M_p \neq 1$. Then $M_{\pi} < H$, because otherwise $M_{\pi} = H$ and hence $M = \langle M_p, M_{\pi} \rangle = G$, a contradiction. Thus, indeed $M_{\pi} < H$ is a maximal subgroup of H and hence we need to have $M_p = N$ since M is maximal in G. Since H is nilpotent and M_{π} is a maximal subgroup of H, we note that $M_{\pi} \leq H$ and hence $M \leq G$. Therefore M is a QTI-subgroup of G and hence G is an NMQTI-group. \Box

3. Consequences

A concrete type of examples for situation (b) follows:

Example 3.1. Let p be a prime and R an arbitrary nontrivial abelian p'-group. Consider an irreducible nontrivial representation of R over the field with p-elements and let N denote the resulting R-module. Thus, R acts on N and we may form the semidirect product $N \rtimes R$. Fix an arbitrary abelian p-group L and let G be the direct product $G = (N \rtimes R) \times L$.

The following facts can be seen immediately:

- (1) N is a minimal normal subgroup of G.
- (2) The Sylow *p*-subgroup of G agrees with $P = N \times L$.
- (3) $H := R \times L$ is an abelian maximal subgroup and hence both, a Carter subgroup and a system normalizer for the Sylow system consisting of P and all the Sylow r-subgroups of R for $r \in \pi(R)$.

(4)
$$Z(G) = L \times C_R(N)$$
.

In particular, G is an NMQTI-group, having a nonabelian nonnormal maximal subgroup and all nonnormal maximal subgroups are abelian.

We can give a rather explicit description of the solvable groups in situation (b). Recall that a group with only abelian Sylow subgroups is called an A-group ([4], page 751).

Corollary 3.1. Let G be as in (b) of the preceding theorem and H a Carter subgroup. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G with G = NH and p be the prime for which N is a p-group. Fix a Sylow system $S = \{G_r : r \in \pi(G)\}$ so that $N \leq G_p$ and $H_r = G_r \cap H$ for $r \in \pi(H)$. Set $R = H_{p'}$ and $P = G_p$.

Then all of the following holds:

- (i) G' = N and $G = P \rtimes H$.
- (ii) $H_p \leq Z(G)$ and P is abelian.
- (iii) G is an A-group.
- (iv) $Z(G) = C_H(N) = H_p C_R(N)$ intersects N trivially.

In particular, letting $L := H_p$, the group G is of the type given in Example 3.1.

Proof. (i) Since S is a Sylow system, we have G = PR. Moreover, G = HN by the maximality of H and as N is not a subgroup of H. Therefore $G/N = HN/N \cong H/H \cap N$ is abelian and hence $N \ge G'$. By the minimality of N we deduce that N = G'. Since $N \le P$, it follows that P is normal and has complement R, i.e. $G = P \rtimes R$.

(ii) Since the conjugation with elements $R = H_{p'}$ induces the action of a p'-automorphism group on P, application of Theorem 3.6 in [2] implies $P = [P, R]C_P(R)$ and [[P, R], R] = [P, R]. Since G = NH and $N \leq P$ and $H \cap P = H \cap G_p = H_p$, we have $P = NH_p$. Then, since N and P are both normal in G, one has that $[N, P] = [N, NH_p] = [N, H_p]$ is normal in G. Since N is a minimal normal subgroup of G, we can only have [N, P] = N or [N, P] = 1. If [N, P] = N, then $[P, P] = [NH_p, NH_p] = N$, and [[P, P], P] = [N, P] = N, contradicting P being nilpotent. Hence [N, P] = 1, and therefore $[N, H_p] = 1$. Since G = NH and $[H, H_p] = 1$, we deduce $H_p \leq Z(G)$.

Taking into account that H_p is abelian, we find that $P = NH_p$ is abelian. Since $R = G_{p'}$ is abelian, G is an A-group.

(iii) Since $G_p = P$ is abelian and H is an abelian Hall p'-subgroup of G, it follows that G is an A-group.

Since G is an A-group, 14.3 Satz on page 751 in [4] in conjunction with (i) implies $1 = Z(G) \cap G' = Z(G) \cap N$. By (ii) $H_p = P \cap Z(G)$ and certainly $C_R(N) \leq Z(G)$. Hence $H_p C_R(N) \leq Z(G)$.

For proving the converse pick $1 \neq z \in Z(G)$. Then $z = nh_pr$ for suitable $n \in N$, $h_p \in H_p$, and $r \in R$. Since $h_p \in Z(G)$, we must have $n_r \in Z(G)$. Since $n_r \in C_G(N)$, we have $r \in C_G(N)$ and hence $r \in C_R(N)$. Therefore $n \in Z(G)$. And since $N \cap Z(G) = 1$, we conclude that n = 1. Thus $Z(G) \leq H_pC_R(N)$, as claimed. The last statement is clear from (i)–(iv) and the discussion in Example 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. The following statements for a finite group G are equivalent:

- (A) G is an NMTI-group.
- (B) G is exactly one of the following types:
 - (B.1) G is nilpotent.
 - (B.2) $G = N \rtimes H$ is a Frobenius group for N a minimal normal subgroup of G and H is either abelian or nonabelian nilpotent of even order with $H_{2'}$ cyclic.

Proof. Since every NMTI-group is NMQTI, we may use Theorem 1.2 and inspect conditions (a)-(c).

(a) Certainly every nilpotent group is NMTI since in fact all maximal subgroups are TI-subgroups.

(b) Since $H \cap H^x \ge Z(G)$ holds for all $x \in G$, we conclude from the fact that H

is not normal in G that Z(G) = 1. In particular, $H_p = 1$, H = R and P = N is a minimal normal subgroup of $G = N \rtimes H$.

Next suppose there is $1 \neq x \in R$ with $C_N(x) \neq 1$. Then selecting any $1 \neq n \in C_N(x)$, one finds $1 \neq x \in R \cap R^n \leq H \cap H^n$. Since H is supposed to be a TI-subgroup, we conclude $H = H^n$. Therefore $[H, \langle n \rangle] \leq H \cap N = 1$ implies the contradiction that $G = \langle n, H \rangle = \langle n \rangle H$ is abelian. Thus, $G = N \rtimes R$ is a Frobenius group with kernel N and abelian complement H = R. This yields the first type of Frobenius groups in (B.2).

(c) Now $G = N \rtimes H$ is a Frobenius group and the only nonnormal maximal subgroups of G are the conjugates of H, and all of them are TI-subgroups. The second situation in (B.2) arises.

Acknowledgement. We are very grateful to the reviewer for valuable suggestions.

References

[1]	Z. Arad, W. Herfort: Classification of finite groups with a CC-subgroup. Commun. Al-		
	gebra 32 (2004), 2087–2098.	zbl MR doi	
[2]	D. Gorenstein: Finite Groups. Harper's Series in Modern Mathematics, Harper & Row,		
	New York, 1968.	$\mathrm{zbl}\ \mathrm{MR}$	
[3]	X. Guo, S. Li, P. Flavell: Finite groups whose abelian subgroups are TI-subgroups. J.		
	Algebra 307 (2007), 565–569.	zbl MR doi	
[4]	B. Huppert: Endliche Gruppen I. Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften		
	134, Springer, Berlin, 1967. (In German.)	$\mathbf{zbl} \mathbf{MR} \mathbf{doi}$	
[5]	H. Kurzweil, B. Stellmacher: The Theory of Finite Groups. An Introduction. Universi-		
	text, Springer, New York, 2004.	$\mathbf{zbl} \mathbf{MR} \mathbf{doi}$	
[6]	S. Li: Finite non-nilpotent groups all of whose second maximal subgroups are TI-groups.		
	Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. 100A (2000), 65–71.	$\mathrm{zbl}\ \mathbf{MR}$	
[7]	J. Lu, X. Guo: Finite groups all of whose second maximal subgroups are QTI-subgroups.		
	Commun. Algebra 40 (2012), 3726–3732.	zbl MR doi	
[8]	J. Lu, L. Pang: A note on TI-subgroups of finite groups. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math.		
	Sci. 122 (2012), 75–77.	zbl MR doi	
[9]	J. Lu, L. Pang, X. Zhong: Finite groups with non-nilpotent maximal subgroups.		
	Monatsh. Math. 171 (2013), 425–431.	zbl MR doi	
10]	G. Qian, F. Tang: Finite groups all of whose abelian subgroups are QTI-subgroups. J.		
	Algebra 320 (2008), 3605–3611.	zbl MR doi	
11]	D. J. S. Robinson: A Course in the Theory of Groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics		
-	80, Springer, New York, 1996.	zbl MR doi	
12]	J. Shi, C. Zhang: Finite groups in which all nonabelian subgroups are TI-subgroups. J.		
	Algebra Appl. 13 (2014), Article ID 1350074, 3 pages.	zbl MR doi	
13	G. Walls: Trivial intersection groups. Arch. Math. 32 (1979), 1–4.	zbl MR doi	

Authors' address: Ruifang Chen (corresponding author), Xianhe Zhao, School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, N. 46, East of Construction Road, Xinxiang, Henan, 453007, P.R. China, e-mail: fang119128@126.com, zhaoxianhe989@163.com.