

Panneerselvam Prabakaran
Relative weak derived functors

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 61 (2020), No. 1, 35–50

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/148074>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2020

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

Relative weak derived functors

PANNEERSELVAM PRABAKARAN

Abstract. Let R be a ring, n a fixed non-negative integer, $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{I}$ the class of all left R -modules with weak injective dimension at most n , and $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}$ the class of all right R -modules with weak flat dimension at most n . Using left (right) $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{I}$ -resolutions and the left derived functors of Hom we study the weak injective dimensions of modules and rings. Also we prove that $-\otimes-$ is right balanced on ${}_{\mathcal{M}_R} \times_{R\text{-}} \mathcal{M}$ by $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{W}\mathcal{I}$, and investigate the global right $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{I}$ -dimension of ${}_{R\text{-}} \mathcal{M}$ by right derived functors of \otimes .

Keywords: weak injective module; weak flat module; weak injective dimension; weak flat dimension

Classification: 18G25, 16E10, 16E30

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary. For a left R -module M , the character module $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is denoted by M^+ and for a class of R -modules \mathcal{C} , we denote by $\mathcal{C}^+ = \{C^+ : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Denote by ${}_{R\text{-}} \mathcal{M}$ the category of all left R -modules and by \mathcal{M}_R the category of right R -modules. For unexplained concepts and notations, we refer the reader to [2], [7], [9].

We first recall some known notions and facts needed in the sequel.

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of left R -modules and M a left R -module. Following [2], we say that a map $f \in \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ with $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is a \mathcal{C} -precover of M , if the group homomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(C', f) : \text{Hom}_R(C', C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C', M)$ is surjective for each $C' \in \mathcal{C}$. A \mathcal{C} -precover $f \in \text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ of M is called a \mathcal{C} -cover of M if f is right minimal, that is, if $fg = f$ implies that g is an automorphism for each $g \in \text{End}_R(C)$. Dually, we have the definition of \mathcal{C} -preenvelope (or \mathcal{C} -envelope). In general, \mathcal{C} -covers (\mathcal{C} -envelopes) may not exist, if exists, they are unique up to isomorphism.

A pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ of classes of left R -modules is called a *cotorsion theory*, see [2], if $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \mathcal{C}$ and ${}^\perp \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \{M \in {}_{R\text{-}} \mathcal{M} : \text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0 \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and ${}^\perp \mathcal{C} = \{M \in {}_{R\text{-}} \mathcal{M} : \text{Ext}_R^1(M, C) = 0 \ \forall C \in \mathcal{C}\}$. A cotorsion theory $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is called *perfect*, see [6], if every left R -module has a \mathcal{C} -envelope and a \mathcal{F} -cover.

Let C, D and E be abelian categories and $T: C \times D \rightarrow E$ an additive functor contravariant in the first variable and covariant in the second. Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be classes of objects of C and D , respectively. Then T is said to be right (or left) balanced by $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}$ [2, Definition 8.2.13] if for every object M of C , there is a $T(-, \mathcal{G})$ -exact complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{or } 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \cdots)$$

with each F_i (or F^i) in \mathcal{F} , and for every object N of D , there is a $T(\mathcal{F}, -)$ -exact complex

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow G^1 \rightarrow \cdots \quad (\text{or } \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0)$$

with each G^i (or G_i , respectively) in \mathcal{G} .

In [8], B. Stenström defined and studied FP -injective modules. A left R -module M is called *FP-injective* (or *absolutely pure*) if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0$ for all finitely presented left R -modules F . The *FP-injective dimension* of M , denoted by $FP\text{-id}(M)$, is defined to be the smallest non-negative integer n such that $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(F, M) = 0$ for every finitely presented left R -module F (if no such n exists, set $FP\text{-id}(M) = \infty$).

A left R -module M is called *super finitely presented*, see [4], if there exists an exact sequence of left R -modules: $\cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where each F_i is finitely generated and projective. Recently, Z. Gao and F. Wang introduced the notion of weak injective and weak flat modules, see [4]. A left R -module M is called *weak injective* if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0$ for any super finitely presented left R -module F . A right R -module N is called *weak flat* if $\text{Tor}_1^R(N, F) = 0$ for any super finitely presented left R -module F . The class of all weak injective (or weak flat) left (or right) R -modules is denoted by \mathcal{WJ} (or \mathcal{WF} , respectively).

Accordingly, the *weak injective dimension* of a left R -module M , denoted by $\text{wid}_R(M)$, is defined to be the smallest $n \geq 0$ such that $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(F, M) = 0$ for all super finitely presented left R -modules F . If no such n exists, set $\text{wid}_R(M) = \infty$. The *weak flat dimension* of a right R -module N , denoted by $\text{wfd}_R(N)$, is defined to be the smallest $n \geq 0$ such that $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(N, F) = 0$ for all super finitely presented left R -modules F . If no such n exists, set $\text{wfd}_R(N) = \infty$. The *left super finitely presented dimension*, denoted by $\text{l.sp.gldim}(R)$, of a ring R is defined as $\text{l.sp.gldim}(R) = \sup\{pd_R(M) : M \text{ is a super finitely presented left } R\text{-module}\}$.

Let n be a fixed non-negative integer. In what follows, the symbols \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{WJ} and \mathcal{WF} denotes the classes of all left R -modules with FP -injective dimension at most n , left R -modules with weak injective dimension at most n and right R -modules with weak flat dimension at most n , respectively.

In [10], Y. Zeng and J. Chen proved all left R -modules over a left coherent ring R have \mathcal{F} -preenvelope and \mathcal{F} -cover and they investigated the derived functors of Hom using \mathcal{F} -resolutions. In [5], Z. Gao and Z. Huang investigated the derived functors of Hom and \otimes using \mathcal{WJ} and \mathcal{WF} -resolutions. Recently, T. Zhao in [12] proved that over any ring R , \mathcal{WS} and \mathcal{WF} are preenveloping and covering classes. Inspired by the above works and by [11], in this paper we investigate the derived functors of Hom and \otimes using \mathcal{WS} and \mathcal{WF} -resolutions. This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we investigate the \mathcal{WS} -dimensions of modules and rings in terms of left or right \mathcal{WS} -resolutions. We give some characterizations of right \mathcal{WS} -dim $M \leq m$ and right \mathcal{WS} -dim ${}_R R \leq m$. Also, we obtain some equivalent conditions concerning the weak injective dimension of a module N .

In Section 3, we first show that $-\otimes-$ is right balanced on $\mathcal{M}_R \times {}_R \mathcal{M}$ by $\mathcal{WF} \times \mathcal{WS}$. Then we investigate the global right \mathcal{WS} -dimension of ${}_R \mathcal{M}$ in terms of the properties of the right derived functors of “ \otimes ”.

The following results proved by T. Zhao in [12] will be used frequently in this paper.

Proposition 1.1 ([12, Corollary 2.4]).

- (1) For a left R -module M , we have $\text{wid}_R(M) = \text{wfd}_R(M^+)$.
- (2) For a right R -module M , we have $\text{wfd}_R(M) = \text{wid}_R(M^+)$.

Theorem 1.2 ([12, Theorem 4.8 and Theorem 4.9]). *The class \mathcal{WS} is preenveloping and covering.*

Theorem 1.3 ([12, Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5]). *The class \mathcal{WF} is preenveloping and covering.*

2. Left derived functors of Hom and right \mathcal{WS} -dimension

By Theorem 1.2, all left R -modules have \mathcal{WS} -preenvelopes and \mathcal{WS} -covers. Hence $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ is left balanced on ${}_R \mathcal{M} \times {}_R \mathcal{M}$ by $\mathcal{WS} \times \mathcal{WS}$. Let $\text{Ext}_m(-, -)$ denote the m th left derived functor of $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ with respect to the pair $\mathcal{WS} \times \mathcal{WS}$. Then, for any two left R -modules M and N , $\text{Ext}_m(M, N)$ can be computed by using a right \mathcal{WS} -resolution of M or a left \mathcal{WS} -resolution of N . For a left \mathcal{WS} -resolution of M : $\cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each $F_i \in \mathcal{WS}$, write

$$K_0 = M, \quad K_1 = \ker(F_0 \rightarrow M), \quad \text{and} \quad K_i = \ker(F_{i-1} \rightarrow F_{i-2}) \quad \text{for } i \geq 2.$$

The m th kernel K_m , $m \geq 0$, is called the m th \mathcal{WS} -syzygy of M .

Let $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{g} F^0 \xrightarrow{f} F^1 \rightarrow \dots$ be a right \mathcal{WS} -resolution of M in ${}_R\mathcal{M}$. Applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, N)$ to the sequence, we get the deleted complex

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^1, N) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Hom}(F^0, N) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $\text{Ext}_m(M, N)$ is exactly the m th homology of the complex above. There is a canonical map

$$\sigma: \text{Ext}_0(M, N) = \text{Hom}(F^0, N)/\text{im}(f^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N),$$

which is defined by $\sigma(\alpha + \text{im}(f^*)) = \alpha g$ for each $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(F^0, N)$.

Following [2], the left \mathcal{WS} -dimension of a left R -module M , denoted by $\text{left } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } M$, is defined as $\inf\{m: \text{there is a left } \mathcal{WS}\text{-resolution of the form } 0 \rightarrow F_m \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0\}$. If there is no such m , set $\text{left } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } M = \infty$. The global left \mathcal{WS} -dimension of ${}_R\mathcal{M}$, denoted by $\text{gl.left } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } {}_R\mathcal{M}$, is defined to be $\sup\{\text{left } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } M: M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}\}$. The right versions can be defined similarly, and they are denoted by $\text{right } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } M$ and $\text{gl.right } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } {}_R\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a ring and M a left R -module. Then $\mathcal{WS}\text{-dim}(M)$ is defined to be the smallest non-negative integer m such that $\text{Ext}^{m+n+1}(F, M) = 0$ for every super finitely presented left R -module F . If no such m exists, set $\mathcal{WS}\text{-dim}(M) = \infty$.

Remark 2.2. We note that if $n = 0$, then $\mathcal{WS}\text{-dim}(M)$ coincides with $\text{wid}(M)$ and if R is coherent ring then $\mathcal{WS}\text{-dim}(M)$ coincides with $\mathcal{F}\text{-dim}(M)$, see [10, Definition 3.1]. Moreover, if R is a coherent ring and $n = 0$, then $\mathcal{WS}\text{-dim}(M)$ is coincide with $FP\text{-id}(M)$.

Lemma 2.3. *The following statements are equivalent for any $M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$ and $m \geq 0$:*

- (1) $\mathcal{WS}\text{-dim}(M) \leq m$;
- (2) $\text{Ext}^{n+m+1}(N, M) = 0$ for any super finitely presented left R -module N ;
- (3) if the sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F^m \rightarrow 0$ is exact with each $F^0, \dots, F^{m-1} \in \mathcal{WS}$ then $F^m \in \mathcal{WS}$;
- (4) $\text{wid}_R(M) \leq m + n$.

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2). We will proceed by induction on m . If $\mathcal{WS}\text{-dim}(M) = 0$, then it is clear. Suppose that $m \geq 1$ and N is a super finitely presented left R -module. Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be a projective resolution of N with P finitely generated projective. Then K is super finitely presented, and $\text{Ext}^{n+m+1}(N, M) \cong \text{Ext}^{n+m}(K, M) = 0$ by induction.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) is trivial.

(2) \Leftrightarrow (4) follows from [4, Proposition 3.3].

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Note that $\text{Ext}^{n+m+1}(N, M) \cong \text{Ext}^{n+1}(N, F^m)$ for all super finitely presented left R -module N . Then the implication follows by [4, Proposition 3.3].

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^{m-1} \rightarrow \dots$ be an injective resolution of M . Then we have $K = \text{coker}(E^{m-2} \rightarrow E^{m-1}) \in \mathcal{WS}$. From the isomorphism $\text{Ext}^{n+m+1}(N, M) \cong \text{Ext}^{n+1}(N, K)$, it follows that $\text{Ext}^{n+m+1}(N, M) = 0$ for all super finitely presented left R -module N . \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a ring. Then $\mathcal{WS}\text{-dim}(M) = \text{right } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } M$ for any left R -module M . Moreover $\text{right } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } M \leq m$ if and only if $\text{wid}_R(M) \leq m + n$.*

PROOF: It is trivial by Lemma 2.3. \square

Proposition 2.5. *The following statements are equivalent for any $M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$:*

- (1) $\text{wid}_R(M) \leq n$;
- (2) the canonical map $\sigma: \text{Ext}_0(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N)$ is an isomorphism for any $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$;
- (3) the canonical map $\sigma: \text{Ext}_0(M, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M)$ is an isomorphism;
- (4) the canonical map $\sigma: \text{Ext}_0(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N)$ is an epimorphism for any $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$;
- (5) the canonical map $\sigma: \text{Ext}_0(M, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M)$ is an epimorphism.

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is clear by setting $F^0 = M$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (5) and (2) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) are trivial.

(5) \Rightarrow (1). By (5), there exists $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(F^0, M)$ such that $\sigma(\alpha + \text{im}(f^*)) = \alpha g = 1_M$. So M is isomorphism to a direct summand of F^0 , and hence $\text{wid}_R(M) \leq n$. \square

Corollary 2.6. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{wid}_R({}_R R) \leq n$;
- (2) the canonical map $\sigma: \text{Ext}_0(R, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R, N)$ is an isomorphism for any $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$;
- (3) the canonical map $\sigma: \text{Ext}_0(R, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R, R)$ is an isomorphism;
- (4) the canonical map $\sigma: \text{Ext}_0(R, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R, N)$ is an epimorphism for any $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$;
- (5) the canonical map $\sigma: \text{Ext}_0(R, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R, R)$ is an epimorphism.

PROOF: It follows from Proposition 2.5. \square

Proposition 2.7. *The following statements are equivalent for any $M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$:*

- (1) $\text{right } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } M \leq 1$;
- (2) the canonical map $\sigma: \text{Ext}_0(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N)$ is a monomorphism for any left R -module N .

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2). By assumption, M has a right \mathcal{WS} -resolution $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow 0$. Thus we get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^1, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^0, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N)$ for any left R -module N . Hence σ is a monomorphism.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of left R -modules with $M \rightarrow E$ being a \mathcal{WS} -preenvelope of M . It is enough to prove that $L \in \mathcal{WS}$. By [2, Theorem 8.2.3], we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Ext}_0(L, L) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_0(E, L) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_0(M, L) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \sigma_1 \downarrow & & \sigma_2 \downarrow & & \sigma_3 \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(L, L) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(E, L) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(M, L). \end{array}$$

Note that σ_2 is an epimorphism by Proposition 2.5 and σ_3 is a monomorphism by (2). Hence σ_1 is an epimorphism by the Snake lemma. Thus $L \in \mathcal{WS}$ by Proposition 2.5. \square

Proposition 2.8. *The following statements are equivalent for any $M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$ and any $m \geq 2$:*

- (1) right \mathcal{WS} -dim $M \leq m$;
- (2) $\text{Ext}_{m+k}(M, N) = 0$ for any $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$ and $k \geq -1$;
- (3) $\text{Ext}_{m-1}(M, N) = 0$ for any $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$.

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F^m \rightarrow 0$ be a right \mathcal{WS} -resolution of M . Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^m, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^{m-1}, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^{m-2}, N)$$

for all left R -modules N . Hence $\text{Ext}_m(M, N) = \text{Ext}_{m-1}(M, N) = 0$. It is clear that $\text{Ext}_{m+k}(M, N) = 0$ for all $k \geq -1$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is trivial.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Assume that $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F^m \rightarrow \dots$ is a right \mathcal{WS} -resolution of M with $L^m = \text{coker}(F^{m-2} \rightarrow F^{m-1})$. It suffices to show that $L^m \in \mathcal{WS}$. Clearly, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & F^0 & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & F^{m-2} & \xrightarrow{f} & F^{m-1} & \xrightarrow{g} & F^m & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & & & & & & & & & \searrow \pi & & \nearrow \lambda & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & L^m & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \\ & & & & & & & & & & 0 & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

By (3), we have $\text{Ext}_{m-1}(M, L^m) = 0$. The sequence

$$\text{Hom}(F^m, L^m) \xrightarrow{g^*} \text{Hom}(F^{m-1}, L^m) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Hom}(F^{m-2}, L^m)$$

is exact. Since $f^*(\pi) = \pi f = 0$, $\pi \in \ker(f^*) = \text{im}(g^*)$. Thus there exists $h \in \text{Hom}(F^m, L^m)$ such that $\pi = g^*(h) = hg = h\lambda\pi$, and hence $h\lambda = 1$ since π is epic. Thus $L^m \in \mathcal{WS}$. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let R be a ring. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of left R -modules with $A, B \in \mathcal{WS}$, then $C \in \mathcal{WS}$.*
- (2) *If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of right R -modules with $B, C \in \mathcal{WF}$, then $A \in \mathcal{WF}$.*

PROOF: (1). If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, then we have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(F, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(F, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+2}(F, A) \rightarrow \cdots$$

for any super finitely presented left R -module F . Because $A, B \in \mathcal{WS}$, $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(F, B) = 0 = \text{Ext}^{n+2}(F, A)$. This implies that $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(F, C) = 0$ and hence $C \in \mathcal{WS}$ by [4, Proposition 3.3].

(2). By hypothesis, the sequence $0 \rightarrow C^+ \rightarrow B^+ \rightarrow A^+ \rightarrow 0$ is exact with $C^+, B^+ \in \mathcal{WS}$ by Proposition 1.1. Then by (1), we have $A^+ \in \mathcal{WS}$. Hence $A \in \mathcal{WF}$ by Proposition 1.1 again. \square

Theorem 2.10. *The following are equivalent for a left R -module N and any $m \geq 2$:*

- (1) *left \mathcal{WS} -dim $N \leq m - 2$;*
- (2) *$\text{Ext}_{m+k}(M, N) = 0$ for any $M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$ and $k \geq -1$;*
- (3) *$\text{Ext}_{m-1}(M, N) = 0$ for any $M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$.*

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2). By (1), N has a left \mathcal{WS} -resolution $0 \rightarrow F_{m-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$. Then for any left R -module M , we have the following complex

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, F_{m-2}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, F_{m-3}) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, F_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, $\text{Ext}_{m+k}(M, N) = 0$ for all left R -module M and all $k \geq -1$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). By Theorem 1.2, N has a left minimal \mathcal{WS} -resolution

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_m \xrightarrow{f_m} F_{m-1} \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} N \longrightarrow 0$$

with each $F_i \in \mathcal{WS}$. Put $K_m = \ker(F_{m-1} \rightarrow F_{m-2})$ and $H = F_{m-1}/K_m$. Let $\lambda: K_m \rightarrow F_{m-1}$ be the inclusion and $\pi: F_{m-1} \rightarrow H$ the canonical projection.

Then there exists $p: F_m \rightarrow K_m$ such that $f_m = \lambda p$, and there exists a monomorphism $\alpha: H \rightarrow F_{m-2}$ such that $f_{m-1} = \alpha\pi$. Put $L = F_{m-2}/\text{im}(\alpha)$ and let $\beta: F_{m-2} \rightarrow L$ be the canonical projection. Then there exists a homomorphism $i: L \rightarrow F_{m-3}$ via $i(x + \text{im}(\alpha)) = f_{m-2}(x)$ such that $f_{m-2} = i\beta$. So we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 F_m & \xrightarrow{f_m} & F_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} & F_{m-2} & \xrightarrow{f_{m-2}} & F_{m-3} \cdot \\
 & \searrow p & & \nearrow \lambda & & \searrow \beta & \nearrow i \\
 & & K_m & & H & & L \\
 & & & \searrow \pi & & \nearrow \alpha & \\
 0 & & & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

By (3), $\text{Ext}_{m-1}(K_m, N) = 0$. Thus, the sequence

$$\text{Hom}(K_m, F_m) \xrightarrow{f_m^*} \text{Hom}(K_m, F_{m-1}) \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}^*} \text{Hom}(K_m, F_{m-2})$$

is exact. Since $f_{m-1*}(\lambda) = f_{m-1}\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda \in \ker(f_{m-1*}) = \text{im}(f_{m*})$, we have $\lambda = f_{m*}(l) = f_m l$ for some $l \in \text{Hom}(K_m, F_m)$. But $f_m = \lambda p$, and hence $\lambda = \lambda p l$. We obtain $p l = 1$ since λ is monic, and so $K_m \in \mathcal{WS}$. Since $0 \rightarrow K_m \rightarrow F_{m-1} \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, $H \in \mathcal{WS}$ by Lemma 2.9. Similarly, $L \in \mathcal{WS}$.

Next we will show that the complex

$$0 \rightarrow F_{m-2} \rightarrow F_{m-3} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

is a left \mathcal{WS} -resolution of N . First we show that $\beta: F_{m-2} \rightarrow L$ is an isomorphism. Let $T = \ker(f_{m-3})$, $\varphi: F_{m-2} \rightarrow T$ be an \mathcal{WS} -cover of T and $\psi: T \rightarrow F_{m-3}$ the inclusion mapping. Then $f_{m-2} = \psi\varphi$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 F_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{m-1}} & F_{m-2} & \xrightarrow{f_{m-2}} & F_{m-3} & \xrightarrow{f_{m-3}} & F_{m-4} \cdot \\
 & \searrow \pi & & \nearrow \alpha & & \searrow \beta & \nearrow i \\
 & & H & & L & & T \\
 & & & \searrow \varphi & & \nearrow \psi & \\
 0 & & & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Set $\sigma: L \rightarrow T$ via $x + \text{im}(\alpha) \mapsto f_{m-2}(x)$. It is easy to verify that σ is well defined and $i = \psi\sigma$. We have $\psi\varphi = f_{m-2} = i\beta = \psi\sigma\beta$, and $\varphi = \sigma\beta$ since ψ is monic. Hence, there exists a homomorphism $\eta: L \rightarrow F_{m-2}$ such that $\sigma = \varphi\eta$ for φ is an

\mathcal{WS} -cover and $L \in \mathcal{WS}$. So we have $\varphi = \sigma\beta = \varphi\eta\beta$ and $\eta\beta$ is an automorphism of F_{m-2} for $\varphi: F_{m-2} \rightarrow T$ is an \mathcal{WS} -cover. Hence, β is a monomorphism and so $F_{m-2} \cong L$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\alpha} F_{m-2} \xrightarrow{\beta} L \rightarrow 0,$$

then $\alpha = 0$ and $H \cong 0$. So the complex

$$0 \rightarrow F_{m-2} \rightarrow F_{m-3} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

is a left \mathcal{WS} -resolution of N , as desired. \square

Remark 2.11. We note that Theorem 2.10 is a generalization of [5, Proposition 4.10] and [10, Theorem 4.2]. In fact, if $n = 0$, then this is [5, Proposition 4.10] and if R is a coherent ring, then this is [10, Theorem 4.2].

Theorem 2.12. *The following are equivalent for $m \geq 2$:*

- (1) $\text{gl.right } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } {}_R\mathcal{M} \leq m$;
- (2) $\text{gl.left } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } {}_R\mathcal{M} \leq m - 2$;
- (3) $\text{Ext}_{m+k}(M, N) = 0$ for all left R -modules M, N and $k \geq -1$;
- (4) $\text{Ext}_{m-1}(M, N) = 0$ for all left R -modules M, N ;
- (5) $\text{l.sp.gldim}(R) \leq m + n$.

PROOF: By Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 2.10 the statements (1)–(4) are equivalent and (1) \Leftrightarrow (5) follows from Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.4. \square

Corollary 2.13. *For any ring R we have $\text{gl.left } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } {}_R\mathcal{M} = \text{gl.right } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } {}_R\mathcal{M} - 2$, and is zero if $\text{gl.right } \mathcal{WS}\text{-dim } {}_R\mathcal{M} \leq 2$.*

Lemma 2.14. *The following statements are equivalent for any $M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$ and $m \geq 0$:*

- (1) $\text{wid}_R(M) \leq m + n$;
- (2) for any left \mathcal{WS} -resolution $\cdots \rightarrow F_m \rightarrow F_{m-1} \rightarrow F_{m-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ for each $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$, $\text{Hom}_R(M, F_m) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, K_m) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, where K_m is the m th \mathcal{WS} -syzygy of N .

PROOF: We proceed by induction on m . For $m \geq 1$, we consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$, where F is an \mathcal{WS} -preenvelope of M . Then we

have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathrm{Hom}(F, F_m) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(F, K_m) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathrm{Hom}(M, F_m) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(M, K_m) & & \\
 \downarrow & & & & \\
 0 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(H, K_m) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(H, F_{m-1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(H, K_{m-1}) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(F, K_m) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(F, F_{m-1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(F, K_{m-1}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(M, K_m) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(M, F_{m-1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(M, K_{m-1}) \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Hence $\mathrm{wid}_R(M) \leq m + n$ if and only if $\mathrm{wid}_R(H) \leq m + n - 1$ by Lemma 2.3 if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}(H, F_{m-1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(H, K_{m-1})$ is surjective by induction if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}(F, K_m) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(M, K_m)$ is surjective by the second diagram if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}(M, F_m) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(M, K_m)$ is surjective by the first diagram.

For $m = 0$, let $K_0 = M$ in the first diagram. Then $\mathrm{Hom}(M, F_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(M, K_0)$ is surjective. Thus $F_0 \rightarrow M$ splits, and hence $M \in \mathcal{WS}$. If $M \in \mathcal{WS}$, it is clear that $\mathrm{Hom}(M, F_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(M, K_0)$ is surjective. \square

Corollary 2.15. *The following conditions are equivalent for any $m \geq 0$:*

- (1) *if $\cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is a left \mathcal{WS} -resolution of a left R -module M , then the sequence is exact at F_k for $k \geq m - 1$, where $F_{-1} = M$;*
- (2) *right \mathcal{WS} -dim ${}_R R \leq m$;*
- (3) *$\mathrm{wid}_R({}_R R) \leq m + n$;*
- (4) *if K_m is the m th syzygy of M , then the \mathcal{WS} -precover $F_m \rightarrow K_m$ is surjective.*

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (4). By the assumption, $\cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact at F_{m-1} . Thus $F_m \rightarrow K_m$ is surjective.

(4) \Leftrightarrow (2). It follows by Lemma 2.14.

(3) \Leftrightarrow (2) is clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose $m \geq 2$, and let $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F^m \rightarrow 0$ be a right \mathcal{WS} -resolution of R . Then $\text{Ext}_k(R, M) = 0$ for $k \geq m - 1$. Computing $\text{Ext}_k(R, M)$ by using a left \mathcal{WS} -resolution $\dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, we see that the sequence is exact at F_k for any $k \geq m - 1$.

If $m = 1$ and $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow 0$ is a right \mathcal{WS} -resolution of R , then $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^1, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^0, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R, M)$ is exact. Thus $\text{Ext}_k(R, M) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$ and $\text{Ext}_0(R, M) \rightarrow M$ is a monomorphism. But computing $\text{Ext}_0(R, M)$ by using a left \mathcal{WS} -resolution $\dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, we see that the sequence is exact at F_0 . So $\dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact at F_k for any $k \geq 0$.

Now let $m = 0$. Then ${}_R R \in \mathcal{WS}$, and so every \mathcal{WS} -precover is surjective. Thus $\dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact. \square

3. Right derived functors of \otimes and right \mathcal{WS} -dimension

In this section, we prove that $- \otimes -$ is right balanced on $\mathcal{M}_R \times_R \mathcal{M}$ by $\mathcal{WF} \times \mathcal{WS}$.

Proposition 3.1. *The following hold for any ring R :*

- (1) *If $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a \mathcal{WS} -preenvelope of a module A in ${}_R \mathcal{M}$, then $f^*: B^+ \rightarrow A^+$ is a \mathcal{WF} -precover of A^+ in \mathcal{M}_R .*
- (2) *If $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a \mathcal{WF} -preenvelope of a module A in \mathcal{M}_R , then $f^*: B^+ \rightarrow A^+$ is a \mathcal{WS} -precover of A^+ in ${}_R \mathcal{M}$.*

PROOF: By Proposition 1.1, we have $\mathcal{WS}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{WF}$ and $\mathcal{WF}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{WS}$. Now both the assertions follows from [3, Theorem 3.1]. \square

The following proposition is the generalization of [5, Proposition 5.1] and [2, Example 8.3.9].

Proposition 3.2. *$- \otimes -$ is right balanced on $\mathcal{M}_R \times_R \mathcal{M}$ by $\mathcal{WF} \times \mathcal{WS}$.*

PROOF: Assume that $M \in \mathcal{M}_R$ and $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \dots$ is a right \mathcal{WF} -resolution of M in \mathcal{M}_R . Let $E \in \mathcal{WS}$. Then $E^+ \in \mathcal{WF}$ by Proposition 1.1. So we get the exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^1, E^+) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F^0, E^+) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, E^+) \rightarrow 0$$

which gives the exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow (F^1 \otimes E)^+ \rightarrow (F^0 \otimes E)^+ \rightarrow (M \otimes E)^+ \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus we get the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \otimes E \rightarrow F^0 \otimes E \rightarrow F^1 \otimes E \rightarrow \dots$.

On the other hand, let $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$ and let $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \dots$ be a right $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}$ -resolution of N . Then $\dots \rightarrow E^{1+} \rightarrow E^{0+} \rightarrow N^+ \rightarrow 0$ is a left $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}$ -resolution of N^+ by Proposition 1.1. Hence

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, E^{1+}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, E^{0+}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, N^+) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for any right R -module $F \in \mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}$, this is equivalent to the sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow (F \otimes E^1)^+ \rightarrow (F \otimes E^0)^+ \rightarrow (F \otimes N)^+ \rightarrow 0$$

being exact. So $0 \rightarrow F \otimes N \rightarrow F \otimes E^0 \rightarrow F \otimes E^1 \rightarrow \dots$ is exact for any right R -module $F \in \mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}$, as desired. \square

We denote by $\text{Tor}^n(-, -)$ the n th right derived functor of $- \otimes -$ with respect to $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 3.3. *The following are equivalent for a left R -module N and $m \geq 2$:*

- (1) *right $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}$ -dim $N \leq m$;*
- (2) *$\text{Tor}^{m+k}(M, N) = 0$ for all $M \in \mathcal{M}_R$ and $k \geq -1$;*
- (3) *$\text{Tor}^m(M, N) = \text{Tor}^{m-1}(M, N) = 0$ for all $M \in \mathcal{M}_R$;*
- (4) *$\text{Tor}^{m-1}(M, N) = 0$ for any finitely presented right R -module M .*

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2). Assume $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F^m \rightarrow 0$ is a right $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}$ -resolution of N . Then the sequence

$$M \otimes F^{m-2} \rightarrow M \otimes F^{m-1} \rightarrow M \otimes F^m \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for any $M \in \mathcal{M}_R$. It follows that $\text{Tor}^m(M, N) = \text{Tor}^{m-1}(M, N) = 0$. It is clear that $\text{Tor}^{m+k}(M, N) = 0$ for any $k \geq 1$. Hence, (2) holds.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) are trivial.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Let $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \dots$ be a right $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}$ -resolution of N . Then for any finitely presented right R -module P ,

$$P \otimes F^{m-2} \rightarrow P \otimes F^{m-1} \rightarrow P \otimes F^m \rightarrow P \otimes F^{m+1}$$

is exact by (4). Hence, $K = \ker(F^m \rightarrow F^{m+1})$ is pure in F^m by [2, Lemma 8.4.23], and $K \in \mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}$ by [12, Corollary 4.7]. So $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F^{m-1} \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ is a right $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}$ -resolution of N and hence (1) follows. \square

Theorem 3.4. *The following are equivalent for a ring R and $m \geq 2$:*

- (1) *gl.right $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{F}$ -dim ${}_R\mathcal{M} \leq m$;*
- (2) *$\text{Tor}^{m+k}(M, N) = 0$ for all $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}_R$ and $k \geq -1$;*
- (3) *$\text{Tor}^m(M, N) = \text{Tor}^{m-1}(M, N) = 0$ for all $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}_R$;*
- (4) *$\text{Tor}^{m-1}(M, N) = 0$ for all $N \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$ and all finitely presented right R -module M .*

PROOF: The result follows from Proposition 3.3. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a ring and $m \geq 0$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *for every flat left R -module F , there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow A^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A^m \rightarrow 0$ with each $A^i \in \mathcal{WF}$;*
- (2) *there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow A^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A^m \rightarrow 0$ of left R -modules with each $A^i \in \mathcal{WF}$;*
- (3) *if $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \cdots$ is a right \mathcal{WF} -resolution of a right R -module M , then the sequence is exact at F^k for $k \geq m - 1$, where $F^{-1} = M$.*

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2) is immediate.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). By Proposition 3.2, we know that $- \otimes -$ is right balanced on $\mathcal{M}_R \times_R \mathcal{M}$ by $\mathcal{WF} \times \mathcal{WF}$ with right derived functor $\text{Tor}^k(-, -)$.

If $m \geq 2$, there is a right \mathcal{WF} -resolution $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow B^0 \rightarrow B^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B^m \rightarrow \cdots$ with $B^i \in \mathcal{WF}$. Moreover the above sequence is exact. Let $K = \text{coker}(B^{m-2} \rightarrow B^{m-1})$. Since there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow A^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A^m \rightarrow 0$ with each $A^i \in \mathcal{WF}$ by (2), we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & B^0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & B^{m-2} & \longrightarrow & B^{m-1} & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & A^0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & A^{m-2} & \longrightarrow & A^{m-1} & \longrightarrow & A^m & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Hence, there is an exact complex:

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow B^0 \oplus R \rightarrow B^1 \oplus A^0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B^{m-1} \otimes A^{m-2} \rightarrow K \oplus A^{m-1} \rightarrow A^m \rightarrow 0$$

with exact subcomplex $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0$. We have the exact quotient complex:

$$0 \rightarrow B^0 \rightarrow B^1 \oplus A^0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B^{m-1} \otimes A^{m-2} \rightarrow K \oplus A^{m-1} \rightarrow A^m \rightarrow 0.$$

Since \mathcal{WF} is closed under cokernels of monomorphisms, extensions and direct summands. It follows that $K \in \mathcal{WF}$. Hence, there is a right \mathcal{WF} -resolution $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow B^0 \rightarrow B^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B^{m-1} \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ with $B^i, K \in \mathcal{WF}$. It is easy to check that $\text{Tor}^k(M, R) = 0$ for $k \geq m - 1$. Computing by $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \cdots$, as in (3), we see that $\text{Tor}^k(M, R)$ is just the k th homology group of this complex, giving the desired result.

If $m = 1$, we can assume that $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow A^1 \rightarrow 0$ is a right \mathcal{WF} -resolution of R by the proof above. Hence, $\text{Tor}^1(M, R) = 0$, so that $F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow F^2$ is exact and $M \otimes R \rightarrow \text{Tor}^0(M, R)$ is onto. Computing the later morphism using $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1$, we obtain that $M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1$ is exact.

If $m = 0$, then (2) means that $\text{wid}_R({}_R R) \leq n$. But we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \otimes R \rightarrow F^0 \otimes R \rightarrow F^1 \otimes R \rightarrow \dots$ since the functor $-\otimes-$ is right balanced. That is, $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \dots$ is exact.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Assume (3) with $m \geq 2$. Let F be a flat left R -module and $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow A^1 \rightarrow \dots$ a right $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}$ -resolution of F . Obviously, this complex is exact. Then by (3), we get $\text{Tor}^k(M, F) = 0$ for $k \geq m - 1$ since F is flat. Computing using $0 \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow A^1 \rightarrow \dots$ and using [5, Lemma 5.6], we get $K = \ker(A^m \rightarrow A^{m+1})$ is pure in A^m , so $K \in \mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}$. Hence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow A^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A^{m-1} \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ gives the desired exact sequence.

Now let $m = 1$. Then (3) says $M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \dots$ is exact, so $\text{Tor}^k(M, F) = 0$ for $k > 0$ and $M \otimes F \rightarrow \text{Tor}^0(M, F)$ is onto. Hence, if $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow A^1 \rightarrow \dots$ is exact, then $M \otimes F \rightarrow M \otimes A^0 \rightarrow M \otimes A^1 \rightarrow M \otimes A^2$ is exact for any finitely presented right R -module M . By [5, Lemma 5.6] again, we get the desired exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ with $K = \ker(A^1 \rightarrow A^2)$.

If $m = 0$, then $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \dots$ being exact means $\text{Tor}^k(M, F) = 0$ for $k > 0$ and $M \otimes F \rightarrow \text{Tor}^0(M, F)$ is an isomorphism. This gives that $0 \rightarrow M \otimes F \rightarrow M \otimes A^0 \rightarrow M \otimes A^1$ is exact for all M which implies that F is a pure submodule of A^0 , so $F \in \mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}$. \square

Corollary 3.6. *The following are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) every flat left R -module has weak injective dimension at most n ;
- (2) every injective right R -module has weak flat dimension at most n ;
- (3) ${}_R R$ has weak injective dimension at most n ;
- (4) $(\mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}^\perp)$ is a perfect cotorsion theory.

PROOF: (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows from Theorem 3.5.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) is proved in [12, Proposition 4.17].

(4) \Rightarrow (3). It follows from the fact that if $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{S} = {}^\perp(\mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}^\perp)$, then each projective left R -module is in $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}$. \square

Recall that a \mathcal{C} -envelope $\varphi: M \rightarrow C$ is said to have *unique mapping property*, see [1], if for any homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow C'$ with $C' \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a unique homomorphism $g: C \rightarrow C'$ such that $g\varphi = f$. Dually, we have the definition of \mathcal{C} -cover with unique mapping property.

We end this paper with the following result.

Theorem 3.7. *The following are equivalent for a ring R :*

- (1) $\text{l.sp.gldim}(R) \leq n$;
- (2) $\text{wid}_R(R) \leq n$ and every left R -module has a monomorphic $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}$ -cover;
- (3) every left R -module has an epimorphic $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}$ -cover with the unique mapping property;

- (4) every left R -module has a \mathcal{WS} -envelope with the unique mapping property.

PROOF: (1) \Rightarrow (2), (1) \Rightarrow (3) and (1) \Rightarrow (4). Let M be a left R -module. Then $M \in \mathcal{WS}$ by (1). Then it is easy to verify that the identity homomorphism on M is a \mathcal{WS} -cover with the unique mapping property. It is also a \mathcal{WS} -envelope of M with the unique mapping property.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let M be any left R -module. By (2), M has an epimorphic \mathcal{WS} -cover $f: F \rightarrow M$. Since $\text{wid}_R(R) \leq n$, it is easy to see that f is an epimorphism and hence $M \in \mathcal{WS}$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). For any left R -module M , let $f: E \rightarrow M$ be a \mathcal{WS} -cover of M with the unique mapping property, where $E \in \mathcal{WS}$. By (3), $K = \ker(f)$ has an epimorphic \mathcal{WS} -cover $g: E' \rightarrow K$. So we obtain the following row exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & E' & & & \\
 & & g \swarrow & \downarrow ig & \searrow 0 & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{i} & E & \xrightarrow{f} & M \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

Since $f(ig) = 0$, we have $ig = 0$ by uniqueness. Note that g is an epimorphism. Hence $K = \ker(f) = \text{im}(g) \subseteq \ker(i) = 0$. Hence $M \in \mathcal{WS}$ and so (1) follows.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). The proof is similar to that of (3) \Rightarrow (1). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Ding N., *On envelopes with the unique mapping property*, Comm. Algebra. **24** (1996), no. 4, 1459–1470.
- [2] Enochs E. E., Jenda O. M. G., *Relative Homological Algebra*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 30, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- [3] Enochs E. E., Huang Z., *Injective envelopes and (Gorenstein) flat covers*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **15** (2012), no. 6, 1131–1145.
- [4] Gao Z., Wang F., *Weak injective and weak flat modules*, Comm. Algebra **43** (2015), no. 9, 3857–3868.
- [5] Gao Z., Huang Z., *Weak injective covers and dimension of modules*, Acta Math. Hungar. **147** (2015), no. 1, 135–157.
- [6] Göbel R., Trlifaj J., *Approximations and Endomorphism Algebra of Modules*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 41, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2006.
- [7] Rotman J. J., *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 85, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [8] Stenström B., *Coherent rings and FP-injective modules*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **2** (1970), 323–329.
- [9] Xu J., *Flat covers of modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1634, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [10] Zeng Y., Chen J., *Envelopes and covers by modules of finite FP-injective dimensions*, Comm. Algebra. **38** (2010), no. 10, 3851–3867.

- [11] Zhang D., Ouyang B., *On n -coherent rings and (n, d) -injective modules*, Algebra Colloq. **22** (2015), no. 2, 349–360.
- [12] Zhao T., *Homological properties of modules with finite weak injective and weak flat dimensions*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **41** (2018), no. 2, 779–805.

P. Prabakaran:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BANNARI AMMAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
ALATHUKOMBALAI, SATHYAMANGALAM, 638 401, TAMIL NADU, INDIA

E-mail: prabakaranpvkr@gmail.com

(Received July 11, 2018, revised November 10, 2018)